Is Perls Stain Specific for Hemosiderin?

The paper by Thulborn et al. [1] on the distribution of ferritin and hemosiderin in cerebral hemorrhage implies that Perls Prussian blue stain stains hemosiderin and does not stain ferritin. This was most surprising to us, as we had just obtained excellent Perls stains of ferritin-containing regions of the brain [2] (Fig. 1). We think that given the current interest in iron in the brain and its effect on MR, clarification of the specificity of Perls stain is essential before this misconception is propagated further.

The Perls method was developed in 1867 and, as described in standard histochemistry texts, is a stain for ferric iron, not just hemosiderin. It is based on the formation of ferric ferrocyanide (Prussian blue) that occurs when ferric ions, released by hydrochloric acid from iron-containing compounds, react with potassium ferrocyanide. The sensitivity can be intensified by using diaminobenzidine (DAB), as the ferrocyanide of the Perls reaction catalyzes the oxidation of DAB, which forms an insoluble brown precipitate [3].

The assumption by Thulborn et al. may have stemmed from earlier reports [4] that hemosiderin is more sensitive to the Perls reaction than ferritin is. This difference in earlier histochemical methods was due to leaching of iron in water-soluble compounds (ferritin is water soluble; hemosiderin is not). This problem nowadays is overcome by using the Perls-DAB method and cryostat-cut sections [3]. For example, in our study [2], we not only stained ferritin-containing brain nuclei with Perls-DAB but also correlated the optical density of the stain with the magnitude of MR hypointensity.

Nevertheless, we do not dispute the conclusion of Thulborn et al. that ferritin contributes to hypointensity on MR images of late hemorrhage. After all, ferritin and hemosiderin have similar magnetic properties, and the effect of ferritin on MR has long been noted [5, 6].
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Reply

In our study [1], my colleagues and I used two histopathologic stains to investigate the role of two biochemically distinct storage forms of iron—hemosiderin and ferritin—in the MR appearance of cerebral hemorrhage. Pathologists use Perls Prussian blue stain to stain ferric iron, and they have used the term hemosiderin to imply a positive stain. This must be distinguished from the substance the
biochemist terms hemosiderin, which is defined operationally by its solubility and means of purification, given its yet imperfect structural and compositional definition. The stain reaction requires the presence of only two identical or similar metal ions in different oxidation states to generate the intense blue color. For example, colorless solutions of Fe^{3+}(ClO_4)_3 and K_2Fe^{3+}(CN)_6 can be mixed to produce the bright blue color.

The ferritin stain uses an antibody specific for the well-characterized iron-protein complex that binds iron for storage and transport.

It is clear from our results [1] that the distribution of ferritin immunoreactivity and Perl' positivity are different. Ferritin immunoreactivity has a wider distribution than Perl's positivity does. This difference in distribution cannot be explained by the differences in solubility as implied by Bizzi et al. Differences in distribution may represent differences in reaction rates for different forms of iron. Calibrating response curves for tissue sections by using polychromatic diffuse light to obtain quantitative measurements of iron concentration is complex and was well beyond the requirements of our study, as discussed elsewhere (Thulborn et al., poster presented at the annual meeting of the Society of Magnetic Resonance in Medicine, 1989). It was not intended to imply that ferritin does not react with the Perl stain but rather to show that the specific protein ferritin is present in chronic hemorrhage. None of the assumptions implied in the letter of Bizzi et al. were made or were necessary for this work.

Others [2] have suggested that a positive Perl stain should not be used to imply that ferritin only is present. The brain contains a sizable pool of iron that is not characterized biochemically and that likely reacts with this stain. Animal models of iron metabolism will be an important component of detailed biochemical analysis of all iron pools. I thank Bizzi et al. for their support for the conclusions of our paper, if not the semantics.
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Tuberous Sclerosis: CT and MR Findings

I read with interest the case report by Tien et al. [1] of a giant-cell astrocytoma in a 3-day-old neonate with tuberous sclerosis. It is important to consider tuberous sclerosis in neonates even when they have no family history of the syndrome.

My colleagues and I saw an otherwise healthy 6-week-old girl who had focal seizures that were difficult to control with medication. The infant had been delivered vaginally after 37 weeks gestation. The mother was a 26-year-old woman, gravida 2 para 1, who had no history of herpes. During the pregnancy, she had had an upper respiratory infection and a urinary tract infection that were treated with antibiotics. She had no family history of tuberous sclerosis or any other neurocutaneous disorder.

Physical examination showed a healthy-appearing 6-week-old girl with weight in the 75th percentile and head circumference in the 95th percentile. A capillary hemangioma on the upper right eyelid extended onto the bridge of the nose. No other abnormalities were noted. Specifically, no other skin lesions were identified (the results of an examination with ultraviolet light were normal.) The baby had repeated clinically evident seizures, and electroencephalography showed additional subclinical seizures. Plain CT (Fig. 1A) showed a subtle area of nonenhancing slightly increased attenuation in the white matter of the left temporal lobe. MR confirmed this lesion and showed additional smaller lesions throughout the supratentorial white matter (Figs. 1B-1D). No periventricular (particularly in the region of the foramina of Monro) abnormalities were seen. Cardiac sonography showed at least two masses, which were thought to be most consistent with rhabdomyomas. Ophthalmologic examination showed a retinal tumor on the right side (and possibly an additional lesion of the left). The findings on renal sonography were normal. The clinical diagnosis was tuberous sclerosis. The seizures eventually were controlled with phenobarbital and primidone, and the patient was discharged with plans for follow-up in appropriate clinics and for genetic counseling.

In the case reported by Tien et al. [1], appropriate management necessitated removal of the large mass in the region of the foramen of Monro. In our case, the lesions in the white matter did not warrant craniotomy for therapeutic or diagnostic purposes. The discovery of cerebral parenchymal abnormalities possibly related to tuberous sclerosis should prompt a search for additional stigmata of this disorder (skin, renal, cardiac, retinal) in an attempt to make a diagnosis on the basis of clinical findings and thus avoid a brain biopsy.

The increased signal of the subcortical lesions (heterotopic groups of neurons and glial cells [2]) that we saw on T1-weighted and proton-density MR images has been described previously [3] and probably is due to a combination of the relatively long T1 of neonatal brain and the mineralization of the lesions [3, 4]. The mineralization probably
also explains the subtle increased attenuation on the CT scan (Fig. 1A) of our patient's largest lesion. In a neonate with seizures, multiple areas of abnormal white matter that (1) have increased signal on T1-weighted and proton-density MR images and appear essentially isointense with white matter on more T2-weighted MR images, (2) show no mass effect or contrast enhancement, and (3) have slight increased attenuation on plain CT should suggest mineralized parenchymal hamartomas and prompt an evaluation of other organ systems before a craniotomy or brain biopsy is considered.
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Reply
Dr. Quint has described a most interesting case, and I fully agree that a careful evaluation of other organ systems is justified in a neonate with brain lesions in order to rule out tuberous sclerosis even in the absence of a family history of the syndrome. Cardiac rhabdomyomas are among the most commonly associated lesions [1]. The subcortical lesions usually are hypointense on T1-weighted MR images and hyperintense on T2-weighted images, reflecting the well-known demyelinated foci [2, 3] that accompany the clusters of atypical astrocytes. Altman et al. [4] have described two neonates who had lesions with short T1 and T2 relaxation characteristics (high signal on T1-weighted images, low signal on T2-weighted images). Increased attenuation was seen on contrast-enhanced CT. Lesions with this appearance can be confused with hemorrhagic infarct or an area of calcification, neither of which was found on the pathologic examinations by Altman et al. They postulated that the signal intensity on T1-weighted images might have been high because the parenchymal lesions were being compared with the immature brain, which contains a higher concentration of water. Thus, the hamartoma appeared brighter. The low signal intensity on T2-weighted images possibly is related to the large, bizarre nuclei and scanty cytoplasm seen microscopically. However, the increased T1 signal of the lesions in Dr. Quint’s patient probably was due to mineralization of the lesions.

The hamartomas seen in tuberous sclerosis can have a variety of MR signal intensities because of their cytostructures. Prompt recognition and scrutiny of other organ systems can help in establishing an early diagnosis.

Robert D. Tien
University of California, San Diego Medical Center
San Diego, CA 92103
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Commentary
I read with interest the letters by Tien et al. [1] and by Dr. Quint. I applaud Dr. Quint’s comments that the recognition of cerebral parenchymal abnormalities as possibly being related to tuberous sclerosis should prompt a search for stigmata of this disorder in other organs before a brain biopsy is performed. Sometimes the results of a physical examination or an imaging study of the parents can be of considerable help in making this diagnosis. Many parents with subclinical tuberous sclerosis have hypopigmented macules, ungual or gingival fibromas, calcified subependymal nodules, or renal lesions [2].

The increased signal of calcified lesions on relatively T1-weighted MR images, reported by both Tien et al. and Quint is an interesting finding. My colleagues and I also have imaged a number of patients with tuberous sclerosis in whom calcified hemispheric and subependymal lesions have shown a short T1 relaxation time. The phenomenon of T1 shortening by calcification has been studied [3, 4]. It appears that calcium crystals can cause T1 and T2 shortening as well as diminished proton density. The degree of shortening of the relaxation times appears to be related to the surface area of the crystals [4]. Therefore, depending on the characteristic of the crystals of the calcium salt, T1 shortening may or may not be apparent on MR studies. In fact, T1 shortening is not apparent in most tubers.

Although I agree that it is important to be able to recognize tuberous sclerosis on imaging studies in neonates, I would expand and slightly amend Dr. Quint’s final paragraph. First, it is important to realize that anomalies of neuronal migration occasionally can be calcified and show diminished T1 and T2 relaxation times. These lesions also show no mass effect or contrast enhancement and have increased attenuation on CT scans. Anomalies of neuronal migration can be considered hamartomas, but they should not be misinterpreted as representing tuberous sclerosis because the genetic counseling is quite different for the two disorders. Brain calcification also can be seen in congenital infections, congenital tumors, and as a sequel of asphyxia [5]. Second, it is unusual for cortical hamartomas of tuberous sclerosis to be calcified at birth. In fact, the proportion of these lesions that is calcified increases along with the age of the patient [2]. A more common appearance of cortical tubers in infants is slightly prolonged T1 and T2 relaxation times compared with normal white matter, with mild expansion of the overlying gyrus. The subependymal region should be scrutinized carefully for hamartomas, which will appear iso- to slightly hypointense compared with white matter on short TR sequences and iso- to slightly hypointense compared with white matter on long TR sequences. Any findings that suggest tuberous sclerosis should prompt an evaluation of other organ systems, as well as examination of close relatives.

Anthony J. Barkovich
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San Francisco, CA 94143-0628
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