Optimal Imaging Protocol after Intraocular Silicone Oil Tamponade


PURPOSE: Our purpose was to define the optimal protocol for imaging of the orbits after vitreous humor replacement with silicone oil.

METHODS: Eleven eyes in 10 patients with tractional and/or rhegmatogenous retinal detachment were studied. Five CT scans and 18 high-field (1.5 T) MR images were obtained. Standard T1-weighted, T1-weighted with fat and silicone saturation, fast spin density–weighted, and T2-weighted orbital MR sequences were performed. Unique pulse sequences included fast spin density–weighted and T2-weighted imaging with and without fat saturation or silicone saturation, gradient-echo imaging, and short-tau inversion recovery imaging.

RESULTS: The T1-weighted MR and CT studies were comparable in displaying the silicone. However, the fat- or silicone-saturated fast T2-weighted sequences always showed the fibrous bands and subretinal fluid to best advantage. In one case, the eye also contained inadvertently retained perfluorocarbon liquid, which blended with silicone oil on both saturated images, requiring companion T1-weighted sequences without saturation to demonstrate its presence.

CONCLUSION: Simple, commonly available fat-saturated fast T2-weighted MR images supplemented by standard T1-weighted images are all that are needed to evaluate the eye efficiently after vitrectomy and tamponade.

As many as 1% of the population in the United States will have retinal detachment caused by a retinal tear (1). The natural course of this disorder and its treatment are summarized in Figure 1. In the majority of patients, indirect ophthalmoscopy affords an adequate appraisal. However, if there is significant corneal or lens opacification, sonography is useful for identifying the intraocular anatomy. The presence of silicone itself occasionally makes both clinical and sonographic evaluation difficult, and computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance (MR) imaging may be required in these cases. In some patients, incidental images of the postoperative eye may be required. The interpretation of these CT or MR studies requires a knowledge of the disease and a familiarity with the agents used for treatment, such as scleral buckles, tamponade with gases, silicone oil, or perfluorocarbon liquid (PFCL).

The appearance of silicone oil and PFCL has been described in standard clinical MR and CT protocols (2–8). Some authors have advocated the addition of a specialized T1-weighted silicone saturation pulse sequence to eliminate chemical-shift artifacts at the silicone oil–aqueous interface (7). In this article, we consider the possible value of fat- or silicone-saturated fast T2-weighted or spin density–weighted MR studies and review our experience with CT and MR imaging after vitreous replacement with silicone oil.

Methods

A retrospective review was performed of the imaging studies and medical records of 11 patients who underwent 12 vitrectomy procedures followed by silicone oil tamponade for tractional and/or rhegmatogenous retinal detachment. Patients’ ages, sex, diagnoses, and the type of surgical procedure they underwent were noted from medical records. In addition, the interval between surgery and imaging was determined. Eighteen MR images and five CT scans were acquired in the 11 patients (12 eyes) after silicone oil tamponade. In six patients, intraoperative PFCL was used. In nine patients, the procedure was a repeat operation. The Table presents a summary of the imaging and clinical data for all 11 patients.

The study group consisted of five men and six women ranging in age from 24 to 60 years. The right eye was involved in 10 patients, the left eye in two patients, and one patient had a bilateral procedure. The interval between operation and imaging was 7 to 489 days (mean, 141 days). Four patients had more than one postoperative imaging study.
MR imaging was performed postoperatively with a Signa 1.5-T unit (GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wis). The pulse sequences used for each patient are shown in the Table. In general, T1-weighted (500/15/2 [repetition time/echo time/ex- citations]) spin-echo images and T2-weighted (2000/114) fast spin-echo images were obtained with and without silicone saturation. Silicone saturation was achieved by using standard fat saturation with the frequency offset changed to $2290$ Hz from the default fat-saturation setting of $2220$ Hz.

Nine patients (10 eyes) were examined with orbital coils. Two patients (two eyes) were examined with the head coil. A 12- or 16-cm field of view was used in all cases. A 3-mm section thickness was obtained in 10 cases (11 eyes) and one patient was examined with no intersection gap. A 256 x 256 matrix was used for all studies except for the T1-weighted images, which were obtained with a 256 x 192 matrix. Gradient-echo and short-tau inversion recovery (STIR) sequences were each used in two patients.

To identify chemical-shift artifacts, a subset of four patients had presaturation sequences obtained with the read/phase-encode axes reversed or with a different bandwidth. The frequency-encoding direction was left to right in six cases (seven eyes) and anterior to posterior in 10 cases (11 eyes). Spin density–weighted images with and without silicone saturation were obtained in three patients.

Results

Silicone oil showed an unexplained apparent decrease in volume in one patient (P.C.) between 7 and 162 days postoperatively. In two patients, the retina redetached postoperatively, as confirmed by follow-up studies and ophthalmoscopic examination. STIR sequences were found to be ineffective in nulling silicone oil signal, because the relaxation properties of this substance are different from those of fat; imaging time was also excessive. T2*-weighted gradient-echo imaging was tried in two cases. It was performed in patient M.W. because presence of blood was suspected on a previous imaging study. In advanced disease, scar tissue can form in the vitreous cavity (proliferative vitreoretinopathy), making reattachment of the layers more difficult. End-stage disease can result in a shrunken globe (phthisis bulbi), which may be removed for cosmetic reasons or for pain control.
### Imaging and clinical data for 11 patients (12 eyes)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>Age, y/Sex</th>
<th>Eye</th>
<th>Diagnosis</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>Interval between Operation and Imaging, d</th>
<th>CT</th>
<th>MR Imaging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>T1-Weighted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Plain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M.W.</td>
<td>49/F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>RRD, PDR, TRD</td>
<td>PPV, Cryo, RET</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I.A.</td>
<td>24/M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>RRD, PDR, TRD</td>
<td>PPV, MP, PFCL</td>
<td>319</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.K.</td>
<td>55/M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>RRD, PVR, CMV</td>
<td>PPV, MP, PFCL</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.D.</td>
<td>26/M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>RRD</td>
<td>PPF, MP, PFCL</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L.G.</td>
<td>30/F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>RRD</td>
<td>PPV, PFCL</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>266</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P.C.</td>
<td>48/F</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>RRD</td>
<td>PPV, SB, Sr, Cryo</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>162</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.S.*</td>
<td>44/M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>RRD</td>
<td>PPV, PFCL</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V.M.</td>
<td>45/M</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>RRD granuloma</td>
<td>PPV, MP, PFCL</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F.G.</td>
<td>47/F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>RRD</td>
<td>PPV, MP</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.V.</td>
<td>60/F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>TRD, MF</td>
<td>PPV, PPL, MP, EL</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.M.</td>
<td>33/F</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>RRD</td>
<td>PPV, AFX, EL, SB</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—AFX indicates air-fluid exchange; CMV, cytomegalovirus; Cryo, cryotherapy; EL, endolaser; Fat, fat saturation; MF, massive fibrosis; MP, membrane peeling; PDR, proliferative diabetic retinopathy; PFCL, perfluorocarbon; PPL, pars plana lensectomy; PPV, pars plana vitrectomy; PVR, proliferative vitreoretinopathy; RET, retinotomy; SB, scleral buckle; SD, spin density; Sili, silicone saturation; Sr, subretinal fluid drainage; SO, silicone oil; STIR, short-tau inversion recovery; RRD, rhegmatogenous retinal detachment; and TRD, tractional retinal detachment.

* Postoperative referral
optimal way to keep the chemical-shift interface artifacts from disturbing the structural features of the anterior and posterior globe (Fig 2).

Figure 2 shows the CT and MR studies of a patient with an uncomplicated postoperative course following silicone oil tamponade. This case is representative of nine of the subjects in the study. In Figure 3, MR and CT studies show a postoperative complication involving redetachment of the retina. Figure 4 shows a serious intraoperative complication with retained PFCL.

**Discussion**

The optimal imaging protocol for examining patients after treatment of retinal detachment must define residual scars and subretinal fluid accumulations as well as differentiate tamponade materials. In the following sections we describe the pathologic anatomy and the physical properties of substances used for tamponade (ie, silicone, PFCL, and gas) as well as the advantages and disadvantages of each imaging technique.

**Pathologic Anatomy**

The optimal imaging protocol for evaluating the postoperative eye must display the features that have clinical significance. The reoccurrence of detachment (see Figs 1 and 3) necessitates reoperation, especially if it is in the macular area of central vision. CT scans and T1-weighted MR images readily show the position of the silicone oil but do not convey any information about aqueous fluid (Fig 2) or the retinal detachment itself (Fig 3). The characteristic funnel-shaped retinal detachment is readily observed on fast spin density- and T2-weighted images, with contrast between fluid and scar tissue greatest on the fast T2-weighted sequence (see Fig 3). The unsaturated sequences are somewhat more difficult to interpret, because silicone oil is nearly the same intensity as the subretinal fluid and there is a strong chemical-shift artifact present from the silicone and a less obvious artifact from the orbital fat. The companion fat- or silicone-saturation sequences eliminate the orbital fat and silicone signals and their associated chemical-shift artifacts, improving visibility of the surrounding anatomy. Occasionally, spin density–weighted images are clearer because they have fewer motion artifacts than the T2-weighted images, owing to the shorter echo time (Fig 2D and G).

**Silicone Oil**

Silicone oils are long polymeric chains of alternating silicon and oxygen atoms with methyl side groups attached to the silicon. In ophthalmology, the term silicone oil designates any viscous hydrophobic polymeric compound based on siloxane chemistry. The most commonly used oils are polydimethylsiloxyl and trimethylsiloxyl polymer. The length of the chain determines the oil’s viscosity; the greater the length of the polymer, the greater the viscosity of the resulting silicone oil. The stoke is the unit of measure for the ratio of absolute viscosity to density (eg, the viscosity of water is approximately 0.01 stoke or 1 centistoke [cs] at room temperature). Silicone oil in clinical use is actually a mixture of oils of various viscosities, resulting in an average viscosity of 1000 or 5000 cs. Currently, the Food and Drug Administration has approved the use of 5000 cs oil alone because of evidence that it is more stable and causes fewer problems with emulsification in the eye (9). Thus, this higher viscosity is most commonly encountered in the United States, although 1000 cs oil is commonly used in some European countries because it is easier to handle surgically and easier to remove from the eye. At the end of vitrectomy surgery, silicone oil generally appears as a large cohesive droplet filling most of the vitreous cavity. Often, a small quantity of saline used during surgery remains in the eye and appears as a small crescent of fluid between the oil and retinal surface. This fluid is gradually absorbed by the body and replaced by the continually produced aqueous humor.

The appearance of intraocular silicone oil has been described on MR images in 25 cases and on CT scans in 14 cases (3–8). Silicone oil is always hyperdense with respect to contralateral vitreous on CT scans because of the presence of silicon atoms. It is very hyperintense relative to the contralateral normal vitreous on T1-weighted MR images. Silicone has been reported to be hyperintense on spin density– and T2-weighted images by some investigators (4, 6, 8) and isointense on spin density–weighted images and slightly hypointense on T2-weighted images by others (5, 7). This discrepancy is probably due to differences in the viscosity of the oil and the imaging parameters selected (5). Since T1 and T2 weighting depend...
on the tumbling rate of the oil molecules (which is proportional to the viscosity of the oil), they both depend on oil viscosity. This is particularly true of T2 weighting, which will decrease as viscosity increases. This means that higher-viscosity oils will appear hypointense on a T2-weighted image compared with lower-viscosity oils, and hypointense to a lesser extent on a spin density–weighted image.

In this study, the silicone oil was very hyperdense compared with normal vitreous on CT scans and hyperintense on T1-weighted MR images as compared with vitreous in the contralateral eye. Subtle contrast changes could not be reliably compared with those in the opposite eye on fast spin density– and T2-weighted images, because the shading artifact from the surface coil decreased the signal across the normal vitreous.

We found the CT and MR studies equally useful in depicting the presence of silicone tamponade (Figs 2–4). All MR pulse sequences showed the expected strong chemical-shift artifacts (ie, dark and bright crescent-shaped bands at the oil-aqueous interface) (Figs 2 and 3). These are prominent because the hydrogen atoms in the silicone oil have a stronger...
chemical shift than orbital fat. Silicone has a distinct resonance, which is approximately 290 Hz lower than the water resonance at 1.5 T (lipid hydrogen atoms in orbital fat resonate at 220 Hz lower than water).

Standard fat saturation uses a radio frequency (RF) pulse selectively tuned to the lipid frequency to selectively saturate the lipid signal while leaving the water signal unaffected. Silicone saturation is achieved by changing the RF fat-saturation pulse frequency offset from −220 Hz to −290 Hz. On a GE Signa scanner, the RF pulse saturates a band of frequencies 75 Hz to either side of the fat resonance, so it is understandable that standard fat suppression also gives some degree of silicone suppression. Although maximum suppression of silicone signal was achieved for silicone saturation (when the offset frequency was changed to −290 Hz), fat saturation also provided adequate suppression of the silicone signal (Figs 2 and 3). However, this may not be the case for other scanners, which may use a narrower bandwidth or different pulse shape for their fat-saturation pulse.

Intraoperative Perfluorocarbon Liquids

PFCL compounds include perfluoro-N-octane, perfluorophenanthrene, and perfluorodecalin. They appear dark on all MR images because fluorine atoms have been substituted for all the hydrogen atoms in these compounds. On CT scans, the large number of fluorine atoms causes PFCL to appear very hyperdense relative to the vitreous. PFCLs are not normally encountered in imaging because they are removed after intraoperative use to prevent retinal toxicity. When they are inadvertently retained, they layer below the silicone oil, because PFCL is denser than silicone oil. Several in vivo imaging studies of intraocular PFCL have been reported (2, 6), and Girardot et al (3) described its in vitro CT and MR appearance.

In our series, retained PFCL was seen in only one of the six cases in which it was used (Fig 4), in a patient who was referred from another hospital. The absence of signal from PFCL on an MR image caused it to be indistinguishable from the suppressed signal of silicone oil on a fat- or silicone-saturation sequence. Therefore, it is essential to obtain a standard T1-weighted sequence without suppression to detect any inadvertent retention of PFCL.

Gases

Gases are used to provide a short-term intraocular tamponade. The most commonly used gases are air, SF6, and C3F8 (see Fig 1). Serial examinations show changes in the volume of these gases as they equilibrate with intravascular gas and eventually reabsorb. Because of their low density and absence of hydrogen atoms, gases can be optimally detected by their dark appearance on either CT scans or MR images. Although gas and PFCL are both dark on MR imaging sequences, they can readily be distinguished because the relatively low density of gas causes it to rise above the silicone oil, whereas PFCL sinks below the silicone oil. No examples of retained gas were present in our series of patients.

Summary

Both CT and MR imaging are able to illustrate tamponade materials (gas, silicone oil, and inadvertently retained PFCL). However, only one combination of MR sequences was able to define fibrous bands and subretinal fluid accumulations optimally and to distinguish silicone oil from PFCLs. This examination was a silicone- or fat-saturated fast T2-weighted sequence supplemented by a standard T1-weighted pulse sequence.
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