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BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: The traditional endovascular approach to a cerebral aneurysm is anterograde, with the embolization and balloon protection catheters introduced via the parent vessel. Unfortunately, this approach may be restrictive, because these catheters cannot always be navigated at an optimal angle into the arterial branch that needs balloon protection or the part of the aneurysm that needs coiling. The purpose of this study was to determine the efficacy of a retrograde approach.

METHODS: Twelve patients, seven women and five men, 28 to 65 years old (mean age, 45 years), were treated via the retrograde approach between March 1998 and February 1999. Three patients were treated for acutely ruptured aneurysms following subarachnoid hemorrhage. The rest had asymptomatic, unruptured aneurysms.

RESULTS: We were able to accomplish endovascular treatment in 10 cases. In the other two, the attempted retrograde route of access could not be achieved. The treatment afforded complete embolization in nine of the 10 patients. Symptomatic distal clot embolization occurred in one patient who had some residual, albeit improving, deficits at discharge. No other patients worsened with the treatment. There were two intraprocedural aneurysmal ruptures. None of the aneurysms restudied within 6 months (eight of 12) showed evidence of recanalization.

CONCLUSION: Our results indicate that it is possible to safely and effectively access a cerebral aneurysm via a retrograde approach. We believe that the anatomic benefits afforded by this technique outweigh the potential risks associated with the catheterization of another major cerebral arterial feeder.

Endosaccular embolization of cerebral aneurysms with the use of Guglielmi detachable coils (GDCs) provides both short- and intermediate-term protection from subarachnoid hemorrhage with low morbidity (1). Current catheter technology affords access to almost all cerebral aneurysms, provided that the proximal vessels are not too diseased or tortuous. Indeed, with balloon protection (2) or stenting (3–6), even wide-necked lesions can be successfully treated. Generally, the approach to aneurysms has been anterograde, with the microcatheter for coil introduction and the balloon catheter for neck protection or stenting entering via the parent vessel feeding the aneurysm. Sometimes this approach is not optimal, either for filling the aneurysmal sac or for “remodeling” the neck. Also, persisting symptoms from aneurysms treated by parent vessel occlusion may rule out an anterograde approach.

It is now possible to access cerebral aneurysms by using an approach other than the usual anterograde route through the parent artery; ie, via a communicating vessel (7). We describe the indications, technique, and short-term results in a small group of patients with cerebral aneurysms who were treated via this approach. It is our belief that this procedure, often used in conjunction with the balloon remodeling technique, permits coiling of some otherwise uncoilable aneurysms and leads to improved anatomic results.

METHODS

Patient Population

Twelve patients, seven women and five men, 28 to 65 years old (mean age, 45 years), were treated for cerebral aneurysms via the retrograde approach between March 1998 and February 1999. Three patients were treated for acutely ruptured aneurysms following subarachnoid hemorrhage. The rest had asymptomatic, unruptured aneurysms.
Aneurysmal Characteristics

Aneurysms were located in both the posterior and anterior circulations, and all, in the opinion of the senior author, had configurations that required a retrograde approach, using either the embolization or balloon protection catheter for optimal management. The lesions were located on or upstream from the circle of Willis. Given the anatomic constraints dictated by percutaneous access to the cerebral circulation from the internal carotid artery (ICA) and vertebobasilar systems, this technique was not considered for aneurysms of the middle cerebral artery (MCA) or those beyond the A1 and P1 segments of the anterior cerebral artery (ACA) and posterior cerebral artery (PCA), respectively. Open communicating arteries to the vessel harboring the aneurysm and a good proximal vessel, with minimal tortuosity, were needed to successfully access the aneurysm in a retrograde fashion from a different circulation. Of note, two patients (cases 9 and 10) had undergone previous bilateral vertebral artery (VA) occlusions for difficult aneurysms in the area of the basilar bifurcation. These aneurysms had continued to grow and required further treatment. The retrograde approach was the only option for these patients.

Procedure

Anticoagulation.—All patients received an intravenous heparin bolus of 5000 IU followed by a continuous infusion of 2500–3000 IU/h. The activated clotting time (ACT) was checked intermittently throughout the procedure and the heparin was titrated to keep the ACT close to 300 seconds. Those patients who had not suffered a recent subarachnoid hemorrhage also received an intravenous bolus of 250 mg of acetylsalicylic acid (ASA) at the start of the procedure. All patients were kept on subcutaneous fractionated heparin for 1 week and oral ASA for 1 month after the procedure.

Devices.—We generally preferred the Tracker-10 microcatheter (Target Therapeutics/Boston Scientific, Fremont, CA) for coil delivery and the Solstice Balloon Occlusion System (Medtronic MIS, Sunnyvale, CA) for remodeling. While this system is no longer available commercially, several balloon catheters of similar design are under development by other manufacturers. Guidewires were carefully chosen according to the demands of the case. The Transend 0.014-inch wire (Target Therapeutics) for the Tracker-10 catheter was used in all cases, although we switched to a Terumo 0.012-inch guidewire (Terumo Corp, Tokyo, Japan) with a 90° angle when the navigation was unsuccessful with the Transend. For the Solstice balloon catheter, the standard Quicksilver wire, which is provided with the Solstice Balloon Occlusion System, was often adequate, although a Terumo 0.012-inch or Transend 0.010-inch wire was needed for more difficult navigations. We found that both these guidewires effectively closed the inner lumen of the Solstice catheter to allow for balloon inflation and deflation. As a general rule, we were flexible in terms of our choice of guidewire, not feeling constrained by manufacturers’ recommendations. All aneurysms were embolized with GDC-10 microcoils (Target Therapeutics).

Technique.—General anesthesia was induced in all cases. Patients then underwent full angiographic cerebral evaluations, which included a high-resolution 3D rotational study, using the Integris BN 5000 biplane system (Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands). Our general preference was for bifemoral catheterization with short introducer sheaths, followed by guiding catheters in the appropriate cervical trunks of the feeding arteries. All aneurysms in this series had a complex anatomy, with features such as wide necks, adjacent arterial branches, and irregularly shaped sacs. In all but three cases, both embolization and balloon protection catheters were required. A standard microcatheter technique, using biplane fluoroscopy with roadmapping, was employed. The remodeling technique has been described elsewhere (2).

Indications.—As mentioned above, all aneurysms, in the senior author’s opinion, had an anatomy that dictated an unconventional approach with a microcatheter. This was usually because an arterial branch adjacent to the aneurysm that needed balloon protection was at an angle that was best protected by a nonanterograde approach with the balloon protection catheter (Fig 1). Sometimes the technique was chosen for aneurysms that could not be completely embolized, because the catheter could not be navigated, via an anterograde route, into a critical part of the aneurysm (Fig 2).

Clinical and Angiographic Follow-up

Each patient was scheduled for a follow-up angiogram at 3 months. A Glasgow Outcome Scale score was recorded for all patients at the time of discharge from the hospital (8).

Illustrative Cases

Case 4

A 47-year-old woman had first presented 6 months earlier with a left-sided visual field defect. She was imaged and found to harbor a large supraclinoid ICA aneurysm on the left and two smaller ones on the right. The left-sided aneurysm was successfully coiled (Fig 2), and the patient returned for treatment of the right-sided lesion. It was thought that the larger, more irregular aneurysm on the lateral wall was the more dangerous one, so we next proceeded there. Because the aneurysm had a fairly large neck, a balloon catheter was introduced through a guiding catheter in the right ICA. Next, the aneurysm was inserted with a Tracker 10 catheter, via the same arterial route, and the aneurysm...
was coiled. The catheter naturally “fell” into the posterior and superior lobe of the aneurysm and good packing of this portion of the aneurysm was achieved. Only a few loops of a GDC went into the anterior and inferior lobe. Further efforts to reposition the microcatheter into this lobe were futile and it was believed that the coiling was not yet adequate. After further study of the anatomy, including a 3D angiogram, it was decided to approach the aneurysm from the posterior circulation. With the balloon catheter kept in the ICA, a guiding catheter was placed in the right VA and the embolization catheter was navigated up the BA, into the right P1, then to the right PCom, the right ICA, and directly into the anterior and inferior lobe of the aneurysm. The navigation was not facile, but upon arrival at the origin of the PCom, in the ICA, the catheter fell quite naturally into the anterior and
inferior lobe of the aneurysm (Fig 2D). A rather dense packing with a good anatomic result was thereby achieved. The patient tolerated the procedure without complication. She was readmitted 2 months later, at which time the coils appeared stable, and the third aneurysm was embolized.

Results

Treatment Efficacy

No meaningful statistical analysis is possible with such a small number of patients. The data are presented in the Table.

In two patients, the retrograde catheterization failed. In one of these patients (case 11), the aneurysm was reassessed, at the same sitting, from a conventional anterograde approach and was successfully embolized. In the other patient (case 9), the procedure was aborted because a small, asymptomatic, intraprocedural rupture occurred. The patient will be readmitted in the near future for another attempt.

Of the 10 aneurysms that were successfully accessed via this approach, 80% were completely occluded at the time of treatment. One patient in whom embolization was incomplete (case 5) had a particularly challenging aneurysm, the treatment of which had to be aborted before total occlusion could be achieved. This was because the aneurysm ruptured intraoperatively and the patient then suffered distal clot embolization. The other incompletely treated patient (case 10) had a difficult anat-
Data in 12 patients with cerebral aneurysms treated via nonanterograde approach

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Subarachnoid Hemorrhage</th>
<th>Aneurysm Location</th>
<th>Route of Access for Embolization Catheter</th>
<th>Route of Access for Balloon Catheter</th>
<th>Percentage of Occlusion</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Yes (H &amp; H grade I)</td>
<td>R. PICA</td>
<td>R. vert.</td>
<td>L. vert.</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>R. ICA bif.</td>
<td>R. ICA</td>
<td>L. ICA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>L. SCA</td>
<td>R. vert.</td>
<td>L. ICA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>R. ICA PComm</td>
<td>R. vert.</td>
<td>R. ICA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>L. ICA PComm</td>
<td>L. ICA</td>
<td>R. ICA</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Basilar bif.</td>
<td>R. vert.</td>
<td>R. ICA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Basilar bif.</td>
<td>L. vert.</td>
<td>L. ICA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Yes (H &amp; H grade IV)</td>
<td>Basilar bif.</td>
<td>L. vert.</td>
<td>R. ICA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Poor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Basilar bif.</td>
<td>R. ICA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Failure</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Yes (H &amp; H grade III)</td>
<td>L. SCA</td>
<td>R. ICA</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>R. ICA bif.</td>
<td>R. ICA</td>
<td>L. ICA</td>
<td>Failure, but 100% via conventional approach</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Basilar bif.</td>
<td>L. vert.</td>
<td>R. ICA</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Good</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—H & H indicates Hunt and Hess score; PICA, posterior inferior cerebellar artery; vert, vertebral artery; ICA, internal carotid artery; bif, bifurcation; SCA, superior cerebellar artery; PComm, posterior communicating artery; NA, not accessed.

Complications Related to Technique

Thromboembolic Events.—One patient (case 5) suffered a thromboembolic event as the result of treatment. This occurred in the territory of the vessel upon which the aneurysm was located, after a small intraoperative aneurysmal rupture required reversal of heparin. There were no embolic events in the territory of the vessel used for access for the nonanterograde route of access.

Rupture of Aneurysmal Sac.—Two intraoperative ruptures occurred (cases 5 and 9). The hemorrhages were small and easily controlled in both cases. One patient (case 5) deteriorated as a result of a distal thromboembolism after his heparin was reversed. The aneurysm in this case was already partially coiled and the bleeding was stopped with the aid of the remodeling balloon. The other patient suffered no ill effect. The small perforation in the aneurysm in this case was caused by a 0.010-inch guidewire during initial catheterization of the aneurysm. The small rupture sealed rapidly, although the heparin had to be antagonized and the procedure aborted.

Clinical Outcome

Only one patient (case 5) deteriorated as a result of treatment. He awoke with dysphasia and right-sided hemiparesis that gradually improved over the following days. While he left the hospital under his own locomotion 2 weeks after treatment, he had some residual right-sided hemiparesis and dysphasia. No aneurysmal ruptures occurred in any of the treated patients.

Follow-up Angiography

At the time of this writing, eight of the 12 patients have undergone early follow-up angiography. All lesions that were 100% occluded at the end of treatment remained so (six of six). In one patient (case 10), a complex dissecting aneurysm in the region of the left superior cerebellar artery, previously treated with bilateral VA occlusion, was considered to be only 80% occluded at the end of treatment. Follow-up angiography, however, showed that the aneurysm had become completely thrombosed. The other patient in whom the original coiling procedure had to be aborted before any coils could be deployed (case 9) expectedly showed a persistent aneurysm when she was restudied several months later.

Discussion

Treatment Feasibility

This series confirms that it is possible to access an aneurysm using an unconventional approach, crossing over from another major cerebral artery via a communicating artery. This allows for opti-
mal GDC coiling and, if necessary, balloon remodeling.

**Treatment Efficacy**

The technique described was developed to allow for optimal treatment of aneurysms that otherwise were difficult or impossible to access. Given the success rate of embolization in the population studied (nine total occlusions of 12 aneurysms), it seems that the treatment is efficacious. In some patients, a retrograde approach afforded embolization that was otherwise impossible, while in others it allowed for a superior, more complete packing. Given experimental evidence that partial aneurysmal packing is not as protective against rupture as complete packing (9), it seems justifiable to pursue techniques that enable a denser endosaccular embolization to be achieved. It is difficult, however, to improve upon the complication rate from surgery for nonruptured aneurysms in good hands (10, 11). This new approach to embolization should probably, therefore, be reserved for operators with considerable experience in the endovascular treatment of aneurysms.

**Complications Related to the Technique**

**Thromboembolic Events.**—The reason for the clots in our one patient is certainly the reversal of heparinization, which was necessitated by a small clot. In our one patient is certainly the reversal of heparinization, which was necessitated by a small clot in our one patient. Given experimental evidence that partial aneurysmal packing is not as protective against rupture as complete packing (9), it seems justifiable to pursue techniques that enable a denser endosaccular embolization to be achieved. It is difficult, however, to improve upon the complication rate from surgery for nonruptured aneurysms in good hands (10, 11). This new approach to embolization should probably, therefore, be reserved for operators with considerable experience in the endovascular treatment of aneurysms.

**Rupture of the Aneurysmal Sac.**—Our experience indicates that there is minimal direct morbidity when an aneurysm ruptures during coiling if a remodeling balloon is in place (2). As the bleeding was easily controlled in both our cases of intraprocedural rupture, and the total amount of subarachnoid clot was small, we do not think that the subarachnoid blood was the direct cause of clinical deterioration in either case. The chain of events that led to a less than good outcome in case 5, however, was set in motion by the rupture, which necessitated the reversal of heparin, which subsequently led to the development of thromboembolism and a stroke. The patient had a partially coiled, wide-necked aneurysm that was undoubtedly thrombogenic. Most cases of intraprocedural rupture with a protection balloon in place, however, have had good outcomes in our experience. Although the 17% rate of aneurysmal rupture in this series (two of 12) may raise concerns, the numbers are too small to warrant any conclusions. Furthermore, we do not think that the risk of aneurysmal rupture is significantly elevated by this procedure. Indeed, the risk of a devastating, uncontrollable hemorrhage is probably lessened, as this technique allows us to optimally position the remodeling balloon so that it can be inflated in an emergency to control the bleeding.

**Indications for Use of the Technique**

Considerable experience with GDC embolization of aneurysms is necessary to be able to judge when a nonantegrade approach is indicated. We have found that 3D angiography provides valuable anatomic information that helps us to decide at the outset if an aneurysm is able to be coiled and, if it is, to choose an appropriate approach. High-resolution digital subtraction angiography is also necessary to assess the circulation to determine if a nonanterograde approach is even possible. The communicating arteries do not have to be huge, but they should be angiographically determined to be open, and larger than the device to be navigated, before an attempt at navigation is made. A nonanterograde approach should only be considered for those cases in which the antegrade route will not provide adequate access for coiling and remodeling. If the operator does not feel confident or experienced enough to attempt an approach from another vessel, then the patient is perhaps best referred to a surgeon for an opinion on the feasibility of an open approach.

**Conclusion**

While this technique demands that a catheter be inserted into second major cervical arterial feeder to the brain, with a potential for ischemic complications in another part of the brain, significant advantages in terms of access and anatomic reconstruction are achieved, allowing some otherwise impossible aneurysms to be embozized. With our protocol of heparinization, and a careful microcatheter technique, we do not think that such catheterizations are particularly dangerous. Perhaps newer embolization products, such as cellulose acetate and ethylene vinyl alcohol polymers (12–14), may eventually be easier to deliver than coils, and not demand unconventional routes for their application. For the time being, however, as this technique increases the options for endovascular treatment of cerebral aneurysms, its use should be considered in selected cases. As navigators, and indeed explorers, of the cerebral vasculature, neurointerventionalists should remember the old adage that how one gets to a destination is just as important as, and sometimes more satisfying than, what one does upon arrival.
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