## Are your MRI contrast agents cost-effective? Learn more about generic Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents.





## Normal Pressure Hydrocephalus: New Concepts on Etiology and Diagnosis

William G. Bradley

*AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2000, 21 (9) 1586-1590 http://www.ajnr.org/content/21/9/1586

This information is current as of April 16, 2024.

## Normal Pressure Hydrocephalus: New Concepts on Etiology and Diagnosis

William G. Bradley, M.D., Ph.D.

Normal pressure hydrocephalus (NPH) is remarkable for two reasons: 1) it is one of the few treatable causes of dementia, and 2) neuroradiologists are usually involved in making the diagnosis. Hakim and Adams (1) are generally credited with the initial description of NPH, although it may actually have been described under a different name earlier by McHugh (2). It consists of the clinical triad of gait disturbance, dementia, and incontinence in a patient who radiographically has communicating hydrocephalus, ie, ventricles dilated out of proportion to any sulcal enlargement (which distinguishes it from atrophy) (3).

Over the 35 years since it was first described, the definition of NPH has been expanded. Initially it was considered to be idiopathic (4, 5); at present, common usage includes any form of chronic, communicating hydrocephalus (6, 7), and even a few noncommunicating forms such as aqueductal stenosis (8). Because all these patients may present with a similar clinical triad, and they may all be treated with a ventriculoperitoneal (VP) shunt, this expansion of the definition is probably appropriate, although certain secondary features distinguish the idiopathic form from communicating hydrocephalus with known causes. For example, the idiopathic form of NPH tends to present in the elderly (9), whereas patients with chronic communicating hydrocephalus from prior subarachnoid hemorrhage, meningitis, neurosurgery, or head trauma tend to present at an earlier age. Also, response to shunting seems to be worse (30–50%) for patients with the idiopathic form than for patients with a known cause of communicating hydrocephalus (50–70%) (10–12). Depending on the specific diagnostic criteria used, one half of the cases of NPH are considered to be idiopathic and one half result from a known insult; thus, NPH probably represents the final common pathway for a number of different disease processes (13–15).

The symptom complex of NPH has been explained on the basis of both mechanical (16) and ischemic factors (17–21). It has been suggested that the ventricular enlargement leads to vascular stretching (22), and the decreased compliance (23) and high pulse pressure leads to local "barotrauma" (20) or "tangential shear stress" (16). It has been postulated that the purpose of the shunt is to

From the Memorial Medical Center, Long Beach, CA Address reprint requests to William G. Bradley, MD, PhD, Long Beach Memorial Medical Center, Magnetic Resonance Center, 403 E. Columbia St, Long Beach, CA 90806. add additional capacitance to the system (24), increasing perfusion (22), not to decrease the pressure (which is already normal).

The gait disturbance is a gait "apraxia" and represents a combination of motor deficits, failure of postural righting reflexes, abnormal smooth pursuit, and failed suppression of vestibuloocular reflexes (13, 25). The gait has been described as "magnetic" because of the wide stance and slow, small steps with reduced floor clearance (13, 26). There is increased tone and brisk tendon reflexes in the lower limbs, and absence of weakness or incoordination (26). Impaired input from the sensorimotor cortex, the superior frontal cortex, and the anterior cingulate gyrus to the reticular formation in the tegmentum of the brain stem may also contribute to the gait and stance disorder (26, 27). Since the fibers of the corticospinal tract that supply motor function to the legs pass closest to the lateral ventricles in the corona radiata, it is not surprising that the gait disturbance is usually the first symptom to appear and the first one to resolve following successful VP shunting (28).

Problems with urinary functions begin with feelings of urgency, and in the later stages, develop into frank disinhibition (13). This may initially be due to involvement of the sacral fibers of the corticospinal tract (29), and later may be a feature of the dementia (13).

The dementia is subcortical (30, 31) and is characterized by inertia, forgetfulness, and poor executive function (13). The lack of cortical features helps to distinguish the dementia of NPH clinically from that of Alzheimer's disease. A number of groups have noticed an increased incidence of subcortical, deep white matter hyperintensities on T2-weighted MR images (20, 32–34). That these represent small vessel ischemia is further substantiated by the finding of decreased cerebral blood flow (CBF) (35–43), which generally improves after shunting (38).

The acetazolamide challenge test, which normally increases CBF, fails to do so in NPH patients, particularly in the periventricular white matter (44). This lack of the usual vasomotor response to carbonic anhydrase inhibitors (or to inhaled CO<sub>2</sub>) probably indicates that the arterioles are already maximally dilated as a result of local ischemia (40). After CSF diversion, CBF in white matter generally improves, as does the response to acetazolamide (40). In addition to shedding some light on the role of autoregulation on the pathogenesis of the dementia of NPH, the acetazolamide challenge test has also been used to select patients for shunting

<sup>©</sup> American Society of Neuroradiology

AJNR: 21, October 2000 COMMENTARY 1587

(40, 44, 45). In this setting, the patients that have the best response to VP shunting have preoperative CBF above 20 mL/100 g/min (40).

The etiology of idiopathic NPH has been considered by many over almost 4 decades; however, no single theory has gained widespread acceptance. Ventricular enlargement can occur when the transmantle pressure (5), ie, the difference in pressure between the ventricles and the subarachnoid space, is increased (46), even temporarily (16, 47–51). Decreased CSF resorption increases transmantle pressure (16). CSF resorption in NPH is definitely abnormal, as shown by the saline infusion test (52). While many consider that CSF resorption occurs at the level of the arachnoidal villi (microscopic) or arachnoid granulations (macroscopic), other authorities feel that a substantial amount of CSF resorption occurs at the brain parenchymal level, ie, the transcapillary or transvenular level (53–56). (This is the reason that patients with obstructive hydrocephalus can resorb at least some CSF [53].) The fact that histologic analysis of the leptomeninges in idiopathic NPH fails to show fibrosis suggests upstream obstruction (57, 58) and lends credence to the increased venous resistance theory.

The theory proposed by Bateman in this issue of the AJNR (page 1574) suggests that increased transvenular resistance in the territory of the superior sagittal sinus is the initiating event in NPH. Since this could lead to ventricular enlargement and decreased blood supply in the same territory, it is an enticing theory—it encompasses the two major abnormalities in NPH. Dr. Bateman goes on to propose a test for diagnosing NPH based on quantitative measurements of the inflowing carotid or basilar arteries and the outflowing superior sagittal or straight sinus. Specifically, he suggests that the net systolic pulse volume and the temporal difference in the arterial and venous peaks are diagnostic of NPH. I have several problems with his methodology, particularly the use of prospective cardiac gating (which fails to sample the end of the cardiac cycle) (59) and scaling of arterial flow (either carotid or basilar) to match venous outflow (either in the superior sagittal sinus or straight sinus). I am also concerned about the small number of patients, the lack of blinding, and the use of normal controls almost 20 years younger than the NPH group. Regardless, if the same results could be reproduced with retrospective cardiac gating, if all blood flowing in and out of the brain were measured, and if this new test were performed on a larger number of both shunt-responsive and nonshunt-responsive NPH patients, then perhaps Dr. Bateman may be proven correct.

Over the years, a number of diverse tests have been used to select symptomatic NPH patients for VP shunting. Some tests are performed by radiologists, and some by neurologists or neurosurgeons. Nuclear or CT cisternography shows ventricular reflux with slow cortical uptake (60). Although this test reveals disordered CSF resorption at the level

of the arachnoid villi, it is insensitive to increased upstream resistance at the level of the veins. Thus, while cisternography may have a role in diagnosing known causes of communicating hydrocephalus, it is less useful for diagnosing idiopathic NPH. In addition, it cannot predict shunt response because the patients may already have developed atrophy (20). Thus, a positive cisternogram coupled to a nuclear or Xenon CT study that shows normal CBF is much more successful than cisternography alone in predicting which patients will respond positively to shunting (61).

Lumbar puncture and removal of 50 mL of CSF "tap test") has been used extensively (62–65), although some (66) have doubted its accuracy for predicting the outcome from shunting. Recently, a ventricular tap test has shown much greater sensitivity and specificity in selecting which patients will respond to shunting, not surprising given that the test comes closest to simulating the actual VP shunt (9). Pressure monitoring via an intracranial transducer should show normal mean baseline pressure (hence the name) with transient elevations of mean and pulse pressure known as "plateau" or "B" waves. B waves occurring during more than 50% of the monitoring period (47, 48, 67–69) are associated with a greater likelihood of successful response to shunting. B waves may also be the cause of ventricular enlargement (54). Unfortunately, B waves may not be present during the monitoring period, decreasing their sensitivity as a test to diagnose NPH. Saline infusion with pressure monitoring has been used to reveal decreased CSF resorptive capacity (51, 52). Obviously, such tests are invasive and run the risk of infection.

Fifteen years ago, a number of investigators noted an increased aqueductal CSF flow void on the MR images of patients with communicating hydrocephalus (33, 70-72). In patients with clinical NPH, the extent of the flow void on proton density-weighted, non-flow-compensated, conventional spin-echo images has been highly correlated with a favorable response to CSF diversion (73, 74). Subsequent attempts to evaluate the CSF flow void on fast spin-echo images have failed (75, 76) (as might be expected due to the rephasing effects of the multiple 180° pulses). More recently, the volume of CSF pulsating back and forth through the aqueduct during systole or diastole (the "aqueductal CSF stroke volume") has been measured using phase-contrast MR imaging (77). Increased flow has been shown to correlate with a favorable response to shunting (77-80). In one report of shunt-responsive NPH patients with elevated aqueductal CSF stroke volumes, the CSF flow void was increased in only 50% of the conventional proton density-weighted images that had been performed with flow compensation. Thus, the important finding was that of hyperdynamic CSF flow, not of a prominent flow void per se. Hyperdynamic CSF flow is thus an indirect, but easily measured, sign of normal CBF and shunt-responsive NPH.

1588 COMMENTARY AJNR: 21, October 2000

Normal or reduced aqueductal CSF flow indicates that CBF is reduced, atrophy is present, and there is a decreased likelihood of shunt response.

In my institution, if the patient has symptoms of NPH, a routine MR scan using conventional (not fast) spin echo is performed. If a prominent aqueductal CSF flow void is present, the patient is considered for shunting. (We have not seen a falsepositive result on flow-compensated proton density-weighted conventional spin-echo images.) If the CSF flow void is normal, the patient undergoes a quantitative MR phase-contrast CSF flow study and, if positive, is considered for shunting. In my experience it is exceedingly unusual for a patient with hyperdynamic CSF flow not to respond positively to shunting (77). Using this algorithm, we have performed 100 quantitative CSF flow studies each year for the last 8 years for institutions throughout southern California.

The hypothesis that NPH is primarily a disease of increased venous resistance is interesting, but one might now ask, "What causes the previously normal venous resistance to become elevated in elderly patients?" I believe one could make a case for deep white matter ischemia being the initiating event. It is well known that NPH patients have a higher incidence of periventricular hyperintensities, ie, small vessel ischemic changes, than agematched control subjects (17-21, 32, 34). Furthermore, it is known that the damage is more diffuse than that seen on T2-weighted MR images because the magnetization transfer ratio is decreased (81) (indicating loss of myelin protein) and the apparent diffusion coefficient is increased (82) (indicating increased interstial edema) in patients with normalappearing white matter. Because the white matter is ischemic, the arteries are already maximally dilated, explaining the loss of autoregulation and lack of response to acetazolamide.

When the arterioles occlude, the draining venules close as well. Whereas this maintains the inflow-outflow balance for blood, the CSF normally drained by these parenchymal veins begins to back up until a new pathway can be found. According to the Monroe-Kellie doctrine, such a process might be expected to cause a transient elevation of intracranial pressure, ie, B waves. Because CSF is made by the choroid plexus within the ventricles, occluded venous drainage will lead to a transient increase in intraventricular pressure, resulting in ventricular enlargement.

The VP shunt may be effective for a number of reasons. For one, it may take up a greater proportion of CSF, taking the pressure off the parenchymal absorption route at the point of production within the ventricles. For another, it provides additional capacitance. If the VP shunt modulates the pulse pressure, there will be decreased interstitial edema, decreased interstitial pressure, improved perfusion, and decreased ischemia. (This may be the reason that third ventriculostomy has been effective in some patients with NPH [83].) The fact

that patients with idiopathic NPH respond less frequently and more transiently to shunting than patients with known causes of communicating hydrocephalus (84) may be because small vessel arteriosclerosis is a steadily progressive disease. At some point, the shunt is no longer able to make up for the lack of parenchymal CSF drainage. Patients with the most severe white matter disease (85), or those with the lowest CBF (41, 43), probably do not respond to shunting because irreversible atrophy has already occurred.

A better understanding of the pathophysiology of NPH will undoubtedly lead to better patient selection and treatment. Bateman's article gives us new insights into the possible etiology of this complicated disease. I hope this will stimulate larger studies comparing MR-based tests of vascular compliance and aqueductal CSF stroke volume to the more invasive tap tests and intracranial pressure monitoring to best determine which patients will respond positively to shunting for NPH.

## References

- Adams RD, Fisher CM, Hakim S, et al. Symptomatic occult hydrocephalus with "normal" cerebrospinal pressures: a treatable syndrome. N Engl J Med 1965;273:117
- 2. McHugh PR. Occult hydrocephalus. Q J Med 1964;33:297–308
- Bradley WG, Quencer RM. Hydrocephalus and cerebrospinal fluid flow. In: Stark DD, Bradley WG, eds. Magnetic Resonance Imaging 3rd ed. St. Louis, Mo: Mosby; 1999;1483–1508
- 4. Hakim S, Adams RD. The special clinical problem of symptomatic hydrocephalus with normal cerebrospinal fluid pressure. *J Neurosci* 1965;2:307–327
- Conner ES, Black PML, Foley L. Experimental normal pressure hydrocephalus is accompanied by increased transmantle pressure. J Neurosurg 1984;61:322–328
- Fisher CM. The clinical picture in occult hydrocephalus. Clin Neurosurg 1977;24:270–284
- Vassilouthis J. The syndrome of normal-pressure hydrocephalus. J Neurosurg 1984;61:501–509
- 8. Vanneste JAL, Hyman R. Nontumoural aqueduct stenosis and normal pressure hydrocephalus in the elderly. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1986;49:529–535
- Krauss JK, Regel JP. The predictive value of ventricular CSF removal in normal pressure hydrocephalus. Neurol Res 1997; 19:357–360
- Vanneste JAL, Augustijn P, Dirven C, Tan WF, Goedhart ZD. Shunting normal pressure hydrocephalus: do the benefits outweigh the risks? A multicenter study and literature review. Neurology 1992;42:54–59
- Vanneste JAL. Three decades of normal pressure hydrocephalus: are we wiser now? J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1994; 57:1021–1025
- Black PM, Ojemann RG, Tzouras A. CSF shunts for dementia, incontinence, and gait disturbance. Clin Neurosurg 1985;32: 632–651
- Corkill RG, Cadoux-Hudson TAD. Normal pressure hydrocephalus: developments in determining surgical prognosis. Curr Opin Neurol 1999;12:671–677
- Ojemann RG, Fisher CM, Adams RD, New PF. Further experience with the syndrome of "normal" pressure hydrocephalus. *J Neurosurg* 1969;31:279–294
- Huckman MS. Normal pressure hydrocephalus: evaluation of diagnostic and prognostic tests. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 1981; 2:385–395
- Hakim S, Vengas JG, Burton JD. The physics of the cranial cavity, hydrocephalus and normal pressure hydrocephalus: mechanical interpretation and mathematical model. Surg Neurol 1970;5:187
- 17. Koto A, Rosenberg G, Zingesser LH, Horoupian D, Katzman R. Syndrome of normal pressure hydrocephalus: possible relation

AJNR: 21, October 2000 COMMENTARY 1589

- to hypertensive and arteriosclerotic vasculopathy. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1977;40:73–79
- Graff-Radford NR, Godersky JC. Idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus and systemic hypertension. Neurology 1987;37: 868–871
- Casmiro M, D'Alessandro R, Cacciatore FM, et al. Risk factors for the syndrome of ventricular enlargement with gait apraxia (idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus): a case-control study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1989;52:847–852
- Bradley WG, Whittemore AR, Watanabe AS, et al. Association of deep white matter infarction with chronic communicating hydrocephalus: implications regarding the possible origin of normal pressure hydrocephalus. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 1991; 12:31-39
- Krauss JK, Regel JP, Vach W, Droste DW, Borremans JJ, Mergner T. Vascular risk factors and arteriosclerotic disease in idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus of the elderly. Stroke 1996:27:24–29
- Greitz TV, Grepe AO, Kalmer MS, Lopez J. Pre- and postoperative evaluation of cerebral blood flow in low pressure hydrocephalus. J Neurosurg 1969;31:644–651
- Ekstedt J, Friden H. CSF hydrodynamics for the study of the adult hydrocephalus syndrome. In: Shapiro K, Mamarou A, Portnoy H, eds. *Hydrocephalus*. New York: Raven Press; 1984
- 24. Sklar FH, Lindler ML. The role of the pressure-volume relationship of brain elasticity in the mechanics and treatment of hydrocephalus. In: Shapiro K, Mamarou A, Portnoy H, eds. Hydrocephalus. New York: Raven Press; 1984
- Estanol BV. Gait apraxia in communicating hydrocephalus. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1981;44:305–308
- Nutt JG, Marsden CD, Thompson PD. Human walking and higher-level gait disorders particularly in the elderly. Neurology 1993;43:268–279
- Denny-Brown D. The Basal Ganglia and Their Relation to Disorders of Movement. London: Oxford University Press; 1962
- Graff-Radford NR, Godersky JC. Normal pressure hydrocephalus. Onset of gait abnormality before dementia predicts good surgical outcome. Arch Neurol 1986;43:940–942
- Gleason PL, Black PM, Matsumae M. The neurobiology of normal pressure hydrocephalus. Neurosurg Clin N Am 1993;4:667–675
- Gustafson L, Hagberg B. Recovery in hydrocephalic dementia after shunt operation. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1978;41: 940–947
- Thomsen AM, Borgesen SE, Bruhn P, Gjerris F. Prognosis of dementia in normal pressure hydrocephalus after a shunt operation. Ann Neurol 1986;20:304–310
- 32. Krauss JK, Regel JP, Vach W, et al. White matter lesions in patients with idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus and in an age-matched control group: a comparative study. Neurosurgery 1997;40:491–495
- 33. Jack CR Jr, Mokri B, Laws ER Jr, Houser OW, Baker HL Jr, Petersen RC. MR findings in normal-pressure hydrocephalus: significance and comparison with other forms of dementia. J Comput Assist Tomogr 1987;11:923–931
- 34. Krauss JK, Droste DW, Vach W, et al. Cerebrospinal fluid shunting in idiopathic normal-pressure hydrocephalus of the elderly: effect of periventricular and deep white matter lesions. *Neurosurgery* 1996;39:292–300
- Mathew NT, Meyer JS, Hartmann A, Ott EC. Abnormal cerebrospinal fluid blood flow dynamics. Implications in diagnosis, treatment and prognosis in normal pressure hydrocephalus. Arch Neurol 1975;32:657–664
- Vorstrup S, Chistensen J, Gjerris F, et al. Cerebral blood flow in patients with normal-pressure hydrocephalus before and after shunting. J Neurosurg 1987;66:379–387
- Mamo HL, Meric PC, Ponsin JC, Rey AC, Luft AG, Seylaz JA. Cerebral blood flow in normal pressure hydrocephalus. Stroke 1987;18:1074–1080
- Waldemar G, Schmidt JF, Delecluse F, Andersen AR, Gjerris S, Paulson OB. High resolution SPECT with [99mTc]-d, l-HMPAO in normal pressure hydrocephalus before and after shunt operation. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1993;56:655–664
- Kristensen B, Malm J, Fagerland M, et al. Regional cerebral blood flow, white matter abnormalities, and cerebrospinal fluid hydrodynamics in patients with idiopathic adult hydrocephalus syndrome. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1996:60:282–288
- alus syndrome. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1996;60:282–288
  40. Tanaka A, Kimura M, Nakayama Y, Yoshinaga S, Tomonaga M. Cerebral blood flow and autoregulation in normal pressure hydrocephalus syndrome. Neurosurgery 1997;40:1161–1168

 Kimura M, Tanaka A, Yoshinaga S. Significance of periventricular hemodynamics in normal pressure hydrocephalus. Neurosurgery 1992;30:701–704

- Graff-Radford NR, Rezai K, Godersky JC, Eslinger P, Damasio H, Kirchner PT. Regional cerebral blood flow in normal pressure hydrocephalus. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1987;50: 1589–1596
- Chang CC, Kuwana N, Noji M, Tanabe Y, Koike Y, Ikegami T. Cerebral blood flow in patients with normal pressure hydrocephalus. Nucl Med Commun 1999;20:167–169
- 44. Miyake H, Ohta T, Kajimoto Y, Deguchi J. Diamox® challenge test to decide indications for cerebrospinal fluid shunting in normal pressure hydrocephalus. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 1999; 141:1187–1193
- Meyer JS, Tachibana H, Hardenberg JP, et al. Normal pressure hydrocephalus. Influences on cerebral hemodynamic and cerebrospinal fluid pressure: chemical autoregulation. Surg Neurol 1984;21:195–203
- Fishman RA. Occult hydrocephalus [letter]. N Engl J Med 1966; 274:466–467
- 47. Pickard JD, Teasdale G, Matheson M, et al. Intraventricular pressure waves: the best predictive test for shunting in normal pressure hydrocephalus. In: Shulman K, Marmarou A, Miller JD, et al, eds. Intracranial Pressure IV. Berlin: Springer; 1980;498–500
- DiRocco C. Hydrocephalus and cerebrospinal fluid pulses. In: Shapiro K, Mamarou A, Portnoy H, eds. *Hydrocephalus*. New York: Raven Press; 1984:231–250
- Foltz EL. Hydrocephalus and CSF pulsatility: clinical and laboratory studies. In: Shapiro K, Mamarou A, Portnoy H, eds. Hydrocephalus. New York: Raven Press; 1984:337–362
- Geschwind N. The mechanism of normal pressure hydrocephalus. J Neurol Sci 1968;7:481–493
- Borgesen SE, Gjerris F. The predictive value of conductance to outflow of cerebrospinal fluid in normal pressure hydrocephalus. Brain 1982;105:65–86
- Boon AJ, Tans JT, Delwel EJ, et al. Does CSF outflow resistance predict the response to shunting in patients with normal pressure hydrocephalus? Acta Neurochir Suppl (Wien) 1998;71:331–333
- Castro ME, Portnoy HD, Maesaka J. Elevated cortical venous pressure in hydrocephalus. Neurosurgery 1991;29:232–238
- Penar PL, Lakin WD, Yu J. Normal pressure hydrocephalus: an analysis of aetiology and response to shunting based on mathematical modeling. Neurol Res 1995;17:83–88
- Greitz D, Greitz T, Hindmarsh T. A new view on the CSF-circulation with the potential for pharmacological treatment of childhood hydrocephalus. Acta Paediatr 1997;86:125–132
- Portnoy HD, Branch C, Castro ME. The relationship of intracranial venous pressure to hydrocephalus. Childs Nerv Syst 1994;10:29–35
- De Land FH, James AE Jr, Ladd DJ, Koninsmark BW. Normal pressure hydrocephalus: a histologic study. Am J Clin Pathol 1972;58:58–63
- Vessal K, Sperber EE, James AE Jr. Chronic communicating hydrocephalus with normal CSF pressures: a cisternographicpathologic correlation. Ann Radiol (Paris) 1974;17:785–793
- Nitz WR, Bradley WG, Watanabe AS, et al.Flow dynamics of cerebrospinal fluid: assessment with phase-contrast velocity MR imaging performed with retrospective cardiac gating. Radiology 1992;183:395–405
- Tator CH, Fleming JF, Sheppard RH, Turner VM. A radioscopic test for communicating hydrocephalus. J Neurosurg 1968;28: 327–340
- Chang C-C, Kuwana N, Ito S, Ikegami T. Prediction of effectiveness of shunting in patients with normal pressure hydrocephalus by cerebral blood flow measurement and computed tomography cisternography. Neurol Med Chir (Tokyo) 1999;39: 841–846
- 62. Fisher CM. Communicating hydrocephalus. Lancet 1978;1:37-
- Wood JH, Bartlet D, James AE, Udvarhelyi GB. Normal-pressure hydrocephalus: diagnosis and patient selection for shunt surgery. Neurology 1974;24:517–525
- Wikkelso C, Andersson H, Blomstrand C, Lindqvist G. The clinical effect of lumbar puncture in normal pressure hydrocephalus. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1982;45:64–69
- Wikkelso C, Andersson H, Blomstrand C, Lindqvist G, Svendsen P. Normal pressure hydrocephalus: predictive value of the cerebrospinal fluid tap-test. Acta Neurol Scand 1986;3:566–573
- Malm J, Kristensen B, Karlsson T, Fagerlund M, Eifverson J, Ekstedt J. The predictive value of cerebrospinal fluid dynamic

1590 **COMMENTARY** AJNR: 21, October 2000

- tests in patients with the idiopathic adult hydrocephalus syndrome. Arch Neurol 1995:52:783-789
- Vanneste JAL. Diagnosis and management of normal-pressure hydrocephalus. J Neurol 2000;247:5-14
- 68. Symon L, Dorsch NWC. Use of long-term intracranial pressure measurement to assess hydrocephalic patients prior to shunt surgery. J Neurosurg 1975;42:258-273
- 69. Crockard HA, Hanlon K, Duda EE, Mullan JF. Hydrocephalus as a cause of dementia: evaluation of computerized tomography and intracranial pressure monitoring. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1977;40:736-740
- 70. Bradley WG, Kortman KE, Burgoyne B. Flowing cerebrospinal fluid in normal and hydrocephalic states: appearance on MR images. Radiology 1986;159:611-616
- 71. Sherman JL, Citrin CM, Gangarosa RE, Bowen BJ. The MR appearance of CSF flow in patients with ventriculomegaly. AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 1986;7:1025-1031
- 72. Quencer RM, Post MJD, Hinks RS. Cine MR in the evaluation of normal and abnormal CSF flow: intracranial and intraspinal studies. Neuroradiology 1990;32:371-391
- 73. Bradley WG, Whittemore AR, Kortman KE, et al. Marked cerebrospinal fluid void: indicator of successful shunt in patients with suspected normal pressure hydrocephalus. Radiology 1991;78:459-466
- 74. Schroth G, Klose U. MRI of CSF flow in normal pressure hydrocephalus. Psychiatry Res 1989;29:289-290
- 75. Hakim R, Black PM. Correlation between lumbo-ventricular perfusion and MRI-CSF flow studies in idiopathic normal pressure hydrocephalus. Surg Neurol 1998;49:14-20
- Krauss JK, Regel JP, Vach W, Jungling FD, Droste DW, Wakloo AK. Flow void of cerebrospinal fluid in idiopathic normal

- pressure hydrocephalus of the elderly: can it predict outcome **after shunting?** Neurosurgery 1997;40:67–73
- 77. Bradley WG, Scalzo D, Queralt J, Nitz WN, Atkinson DJ, Wong P. Normal-pressure hydrocephalus: evaluation with cerebrospinal fluid flow measurements at MR imaging. Radiology 1996;198:523-529
- 78. Egeler-Peerdeman SM, Barkhof F, Walchenbach R, Valk J. Cine phase-contrast MR imaging in normal pressure hydrocephalus patients: relation to surgical outcome. Acta Neurochir Suppl (Wien) 1998:71:340–342
- 79. Mase M, Yamada K, Banno T, Miyachi T, Ohara S, Matsumoto T. Quantitative analysis of CSF flow dynamics using MRI in normal pressure hydrocephalus. Acta Neurochir Suppl (Wien) 1998:71:350-353
- 80. Kim D-DS, Choi J-U, Huh R, Yun P-H, Kim D-I. Quantitative assessment of cerebrospinal fluid hydrodynamics using a phase-contrast cine MR image in hydrocephalus. Childs Nerv Syst 1999;15:461–467
- 81. Hahnel S, Freund M, Munkel K, Heiland S, et al. Magnetization transfer ratio is low in normal-appearing cerebral white matter in patients with normal pressure hydrocephalus. Neuroradiology 2000;42:174-179
- 82. Gideon P, Thomsen C, Gjerris F, Sorensen, Henriksen O. Increased self-diffusion of brain water in hydrocephalus measured by MR imaging. *Acta Radiol* 1994;6:514–519 83. Mitchell P, Mathew B. **Third ventriculostomy in normal pres**-
- sure hydrocephalus. Brit J Neurosurg 1999;13:382–385 Friedman WA, Sypert GW. Normal pressure hydrocephalus.
- Contemp Neurosurg 1983;5:1-6
- 85. Boon AJ, Tans JT, Delwel EJ, et al. The Dutch normal-pressure hydrocephalus study. How to select patients for shunting? An analysis of four diagnostic criteria. Surg Neurol 2000;53:201-207