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BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: The human brain exhibits a complex pattern of differential aging. The purpose of this study was to examine whether age differences in the volume of cerebellar regions and the ventral pons are differential or generalized, whether the age-related shrinkage is linear or exponential, and whether there are sex differences in the size of the cerebellum and pons.

METHODS: The volumes of the cerebellar hemispheres (excluding the vermis and the peduncles), the vermis, and the ventral pons were estimated from the prospectively acquired MR scans of 190 healthy volunteers (aged 18–81 years). The relation between regional volumes, age, and sex was assessed while taking into account differences in body size (height).

RESULTS: We found a moderate age-related reduction in the volume of the cerebellar hemispheres and the cerebellar vermis. In contrast to previous findings that suggested differential vulnerability of the posterior vermis, the age-related shrinkage of the vermian lobules was uniform—about 2% per decade. In accord with all reports in the literature, the size of the ventral pons was unrelated to age. The volume of the cerebellar hemispheres, the vermis, and the ventral pons were larger in men, even after adjustment for height. The magnitude of the sex difference was the largest in the hemispheres and the anterior vermis, and the smallest in the lobules VI–VII (declive-folium-tuber).

CONCLUSION: Moderate age-related shrinkage of the cerebellum and lack of age-related differences in the ventral pons are robust phenomena. However, in all likelihood, the effects of age on the cerebellum are not differential but uniform. The cerebellum and the pons are larger in men than in women and the difference is especially pronounced in the cerebellar hemispheres and the anterior vermis.
to $-0.76$ for the DFT, $-0.07$ to $-0.60$ for the posterior vermis, and $-0.07$ to $-0.57$ for the anterior vermis. In contrast, there was clear agreement in the literature that the pons maintains its size throughout the life span: median correlation with age $r = 0.07$, with the range between $-0.04$ and $0.15$.

Three studies that appeared since the completion of the quantitative review neither clarified the issue nor altered the estimates of the magnitude of age effect. The reported correlations between cerebellar volume and age covered a wide range: $r = -0.02$ (12), $-0.27$ (10), and $-0.43$ (13). The correlations between age and the size of the vermis were $r = -0.07$ (5) and $-0.41$ (13). Correlations between age and the adjusted volume of subdivisions of the vermian lobules were $r = -0.35$, $-0.37$, and $-0.31$ for anterior, DFT, and posterior, respectively (10), and $-0.44$, $-0.34$, $-0.29$, and $-0.09$ for anterior, DFT, lobule VIII, and lobules IX–X, respectively (13). Notably, when gray and white matter compartments of the cerebellum were measured separately, the negative effects of age were observed only in the gray matter, whereas the bulk of the white matter remained invariant across the age range (13). Studies in which the preponderance of the measured volume was gray matter also tended to produce larger effects (5).

Sex differences in gross cerebellar neuroanatomy have been observed in several studies. In some samples, in comparison to women, men had larger cerebellar hemispheres (5, 7, 12), cerebellar vermis (12), anterior vermis (5), and ventral pons (8). However, the differences do not always favor men. In one sample, women evidenced greater volume of medial cerebellar hemispheres and the DFT after adjustment for the total cerebellar size (10). One report suggested that women might show steeper age-related decline in the area of the vermis than do their male counterparts (12).

In sum, even though age-related shrinkage of the cerebellum appears established, the magnitude of the loss is unclear. In addition, one cannot resolve the issue of shrinkage being regional or generalized. Regarding sex differences, not only the magnitude, but also their direction and even mere existence, are far from being the matter of consensus. The studies summarized in the meta-analysis (2) differed in sample composition (not all screened for age-related diseases), measurement methods (not all used computer-assisted volumetry), and sample size (not all had enough statistical power to discover moderate and small effects). The latter bears on clinical interpretation of the findings as well, for whereas findings from small samples can be combined to make inferences about the existence of the phenomenon in question, they are characterized by relatively large standard errors and cannot help to establish its normative limits. Thus, additional prospective studies conducted on a sample of healthy volunteers using volumetric methods are needed to help clarify these issues.

In the prospective study reported here, we examined age and sex differences in the size of the cerebellum and the ventral pons. The main questions regarding the age differences were whether, in the healthy elderly, the cerebellar hemispheres and the lobules VI and VII of the vermis show age-related shrinkage, whereas the anterior vermis and pons do not. If age-related differences in the volume of posterior fossa structures were observed, we sought to establish whether the trend was linear or exponential, as suggested in some reports (10). In addition, we examined whether, with body size controlled, there are sex differences in size of the cerebellum and pons, and whether age-related trends differed between the sexes. To improve the methodology in comparison to most previous studies, including our own, we used a more precise method of cerebellar alignment following methodology described by Luft and colleagues (12), and used volumes rather than cross-sectional areas as indices of size for the vermian structures and the pons.

**Methods**

**Subjects**

The data for this study were collected in an ongoing investigation of neuroanatomic correlates of age-related differences in cognition. Subjects were recruited by advertising in local media and on the University of Memphis campus. They signed a consent form approved by the Committee for Protection of Human Subjects in Research of the University of Memphis and by the Baptist Memorial Hospital Patients Participation Committee, and were screened using an extensive health questionnaire. Persons who reported history of cardiovascular, neurologic, and psychiatric conditions, head trauma with loss of consciousness for more than 5 minutes, thyroid problems and diabetes, treatment for drug and alcohol problems, or a habit of taking more than three alcoholic drinks per day were excluded from the study. None of the participants used anti-seizure medication, anxiolytics, or antidepressants. Subjects who suffered from claustrophobia were explicitly advised against participation in the study. Eighteen subjects (seven men and eleven women, between the ages of 39 and 74 years) whose hypertension was successfully controlled by medication were also included in the sample. None of the subjects participated in our previous studies of neuroanatomy of aging (5, 6).

All subjects were screened for dementia and depression using a modified Blessed Information-Memory-Concentration Test (14) with cut-off of 30, and a Geriatric Depression Questionnaire (15) with a cut-off of 15. An experienced neuroradiologist (JDA) examined the MR scans for signs of space-occupying lesions and cerebrovascular disease. The final sample consisted of 190 subjects: 113 women (47.09 ± 16.17 years old) and 77 men (45.74 ± 16.90 years old). The age distribution was approximately rectangular, and there were no age differences between the sexes ($t < 1$). Average education among the subjects was 15.8 years (almost four years of college), suggesting a highly selected group of individuals, although there was a weak negative correlation between education and age ($r = -0.19, P < .05$). All subjects were consistent right-handers.

**MR Image Acquisition and Processing**

Imaging was performed on a 1.5-T system. All measurements were conducted off-line on the images acquired in the axial
region of interest (ROI) areas were measured with NIH Image public domain software (Version 1.60, available at the World Wide Web URL http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image). Images were displayed on a 21-inch monitor, and each ROI was traced manually using a digitizing tablet. Volumes were computed using the Cavalieri estimate (16). Reliability of measures was assessed by intraclass correlations presuming random selection of raters (ICC[2], ref. 17); all estimates of reliability exceeded 0.90. Trained operators, who were blind to the subjects’ age and sex, manually traced all ROIs as outlined on the MR images in Figs 1 and 2. We resolved all questionable cases by consulting the correlative and general brain atlases (18, 19).

After acquisition, the MR data were reformatted off-line and corrected for undesirable effects of head tilt, pitch, and rotation by using proprietary software. The MR images were re-aligned on a Power Macintosh 8100 using BrainImage 2.3.3 public domain software (obtained from the World Wide Web URL http://sol.med.jhu.edu/Brainimage.html). The operator used standard neuroanatomic landmarks to bring each brain into a unified system of coordinates in which the sagittal plane cut through the middle of the vermis. In this situation, the cerebellum frequently appears misaligned with respect to the cerebrum (12). Therefore, we aligned the cerebellar hemispheres in the axial plane along the line drawn perpendicular to the vertical that ran tangential to the dorsal brainstem on the midsagittal slice (Fig 1). In addition, we aligned the brain along the middle of the vermis; in the coronal plane, the brains were aligned along the orbits. Reformatted images were cut into 0.86-mm coronal and sagittal sections. Reformatted coronal sections were sampled 1.5 mm apart, whereas the sagittal sections were sampled continuously. The midsagittal slice was selected as the slice on which the cerebral aqueduct became continuous with the fourth ventricle.

The region of interest (ROI) areas were measured with NIH Image public domain software (Version 1.60, available at the World Wide Web URL http://rsb.info.nih.gov/nih-image). Images were displayed on a 21-inch monitor, and each ROI was traced manually using a digitizing tablet. Volumes were computed using the Cavalieri estimate (16). Reliability of measures was assessed by intraclass correlations presuming random selection of raters (ICC[2], ref. 17); all estimates of reliability exceeded 0.90. Trained operators, who were blind to the subjects’ age and sex, manually traced all ROIs as outlined on the MR images in Figs 1 and 2. We resolved all questionable cases by consulting the correlative and general brain atlases (18, 19).

For the cerebellar hemispheres, the coronal slices were divided into two equal groups at random, and each half-sample was traced by a different operator. The areas of the hemispheres were measured on 32–40 coronal slices. The vermis, the cerebellar peduncles, and the fourth ventricle were excluded, whereas the hemispheric gray matter, the cerebellar tonsils, the velum, and the corpus medullare were included in the tracing of each cerebellar hemisphere. The rostral border was defined as the first slice on which cerebellar gray matter became visible and distinguishable from the cerebellar peduncles. Distinguishing the point at which the cerebellar peduncles ended and the corpus medullare began was difficult in many cases. Because on the most anterior slices the bulk of the white matter belongs to the peduncles, we started inclusion of the cerebellar white matter at the most rostral slice that cut through the anterior vermis. The measurement proceeded until the cerebellar hemispheres were no longer observed or until they became indistinguishable from the occipital lobe of the cerebrum. Thus, the bulk of the measured volume consisted of the cerebellar gray matter from the lateral surfaces of the cerebellar hemispheres and the tonsils.

The cerebellar vermis was traced on three slices: the midsagittal slice and two parasagittal slices, one on each side of the midsagittal. The volume of the cerebellar vermis was estimated from three slices. Three ROIs were traced by following the vermian outline as faithfully as possible, entering all “coves” created by fissures and sulci. The vermian ROI (the anterior vermis) consisted of the lobules I–V: lingual, centralis, and culmen ROI 1 (anterior vermis). The anterior border of the ROI was the superior medullary velum, and the primary fissure served as its posterior border. The ROI2 consisted of the lobules VI and VII (the decline, the folium, and the tuber). Its anterior border was the primary fissure, and the prepyramidal fissure demarcated the posterior border. Finally, ROI3 (the posterior vermis) included the pyramis, the uvula, and the nodulus. The anterior border was the prepyramidal fissure and the posterior border was the velum. The tonsils were excluded from the vermian ROIs.

The ventral pons was traced on the same three slices (the midsagittal and two parasagittal on each side), as was the vermis. On those slices, the pons was traced, as it appeared—a smooth, ovoid contour without breaks. The dorsal border was the medial lemniscus—a strip of reduced signal intensity on a
Descriptive statistics and correlations for the age, height, and regional volumes of the cerebellum and pons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Height</th>
<th>Anterior Vermis</th>
<th>DFT</th>
<th>Posterior Vermis</th>
<th>Vermis</th>
<th>Cerebellar Hemispheres</th>
<th>Vermis</th>
<th>Anterior Vermis</th>
<th>DFT</th>
<th>Posterior Vermis</th>
<th>Vermis</th>
<th>Cerebellar Hemispheres</th>
<th>Vermis</th>
<th>Anterior Vermis</th>
<th>DFT</th>
<th>Posterior Vermis</th>
<th>Vermis</th>
<th>Cerebellar Hemispheres</th>
<th>Vermis</th>
<th>Anterior Vermis</th>
<th>DFT</th>
<th>Posterior Vermis</th>
<th>Vermis</th>
<th>Cerebellar Hemispheres</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Height</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior Vermis</td>
<td>-0.24**</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Declive-Folium-Tuber (DFT)</td>
<td>-0.29***</td>
<td>0.23**</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.55***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterior Vermis</td>
<td>-0.25***</td>
<td>0.23**</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.55***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermis</td>
<td>-0.32***</td>
<td>0.33***</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.55***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellar Hemispheres</td>
<td>-0.32***</td>
<td>0.33***</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>0.55***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral Pons</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td>0.22**</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.40***</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>16.39</td>
<td>9.62</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>13.82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation</td>
<td>46.53</td>
<td>169.45</td>
<td>1.19</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>127.09</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—* P < .05, ** P < .01; *** P < .001. Volumes are in cm³, age is in years.

T1-weighted MR image. The volume of the pons was estimated from the three slices using Cavalieri estimate.

Results

The volumes of the hemispheric gray matter and of all vermis lobules (but not of the ventral pons) correlated negatively with age (Table). The magnitude of negative correlations between the volumes and age was compared using Steiger’s Z* test (20), and no significant differences were found (P > .25). The scatter plots and the age trends for all measures are depicted in Figures 3–7. Using the equation of linear regression of the regional size on age, we estimated that within the age span between 20 and 80 years, shrinkage of the vermis lobules and the cerebellar hemispheres was about 2% per decade and ranged between 1.9% to 2.2% per decade.

To examine sex differences in the aging of posterior fossa structures, we analyzed the volumes of the vermis lobules, the pons, and the cerebellar hemispheres in three general linear models. In each model, the volume of the ROI served as the dependent variable, sex was a grouping factor, age (re-centered at the sample mean) was a continuous independent variable, and height (re-centered at the sample mean) served as a covariate. Because men were significantly taller than women (176.81 ± 7.66 cm versus 164.45 ± 7.39 cm, t[188] = 11.18, P < .001), we introduced height into all models as a covariate to control for variations that could have been related to the differences in body size. Cerebellar hemispheres (right versus left) and three vermis ROIs were repeated measures in their respective models. The assumption of the homogeneity of regression slopes was met for all models (height × sex interaction Fs < 1).

The results of these analyses revealed significant main effects of age on the volume of both cerebellar hemispheres: F(1,186) = 24.12, P < .001. The age-related shrinkage of the vermis was also significant: F(1,186) = 22.67, P < .001. No significant age × lobule and age × hemisphere interactions were observed (both F < 1.15, not significant). The left cerebellar hemisphere was significantly larger than the right: F(1,186) = 6.79, P < .01, although the magnitude of the difference was only 0.45%. To examine for possible nonlinear effects, we added an age term to the models. None of the quadratic components reached statistical significance (all F < 1).

A significant effect of sex on the volume of cerebellar hemispheres and the vermis was observed (F[1,186] = 32.54, and 20.86, respectively, both P < .001). The vermis and the cerebellar hemispheres were larger in men in spite of adjustment for height. However, there was a significant lobule × sex interaction (F[2,372] = 4.49, P < 0.05 with Greenhouse-Geisser correction). The post-hoc comparisons among the ROIs were conducted using effect size (corrected Cohen’s d) (21) computed from the means and standard deviations of the volume and area measures adjusted for height. Cohen’s d estimates the magnitude of effect in standard deviation units, and as illustrated in Figure 8, the magnitude of sex differences in regional size was the greatest in the cerebellar hemispheres (d = 0.95, 95% confidence interval [CI95%] = 0.90 to 1.00 SD) and the anterior vermis (d = 0.79, CI95% = 0.74 to 0.83 SD), and the smallest in the declive-folium-tuber (lobules VI–VII) of the vermis (d = 0.37, CI95% = 0.32 to 0.41 SD). The differences in the posterior vermis and the ventral pons were moderate: d = 0.54 (CI95% = 0.49 to 0.58 SD) and d = 0.43 (CI95% = 0.39 to 0.48 SD), respectively. Lack of overlap between 95% confidence limits indicates statistical significance of the differences between the pairs of comparisons.

To ensure that the differences among the regions were not an artifact of differential variability of the measures, we compared their coefficients of variation (CV, a ratio of standard deviation to the mean). The comparison yielded very similar values of CV ranging from 0.11 for the cerebellar hemispheres and the pons to 0.16 for lobules VI–VII.

Some reports in the literature indicated that history of hypertension can affect the appearance of the brain (22) and enhance age-related changes (23). Therefore, we repeated all the analyses after removing from the sample 18 participants (eight men and 10 women) who reported a history of medically controlled hypertension. As the results
remained virtually unchanged, we decided to retain
the participants with hypertension in the current
sample.

Discussion
The results of this study confirm that aging af-
fected the cerebellum and the ventral pons differ-
entially. The cross-sectional age-related differences
observed in this sample indicated that within the
limits of statistical power provided by a sample of
190 participants with age range capped at 81 years,
linear, not exponential time course, more parsimo-
nously describes the age-related shrinkage. How-
ever, we did not replicate differential aging within
the vermis, as we previously reported. All vermian
lobules appeared to suffer similar moderated effects
of aging. The results showed that even successful
(24) aging is accompanied by shrinkage of the cer-
ebellum at an estimated rate of about 2% per de-
cade. This rate is somewhat slower than estimated
in our previous studies, at least with regard to the
posterior vermis. As indicated in the introduction,
there are several methodological improvements in-
troduced in this study in comparison to our previ-
ous studies and most of the earlier studies in the
literature. Thus, we believe that the obtained results
may represent a more faithful reflection of the age
and sex differences in the cerebellum and the pons
than found in the previous studies (2). A longitu-
dinal investigation will help to clarify the rate of
age-related changes in the posterior fossa struc-
tures. Such a study is currently underway in our
laboratory.

A descriptive study, such as the one reported
here, cannot elucidate the mechanisms of differ-
ential aging. Several authors have speculated about the possible reasons for age-related shrinkage of the cerebellum, including differential strength of cerebrospinal fluid flow, watershed zone ischemia, genetic predisposition, and functional failure (5, 10, 12). Some researchers speculated that sexual dimorphism in cerebellar size can be attributed to the effects of sex hormones (10, 12), although empirical support for that supposition is still lacking. We have no data to strengthen or negate these speculations. It is possible, however, that the difference in age effects on the cerebellum and the pons is not a reflection of the differential neuronal shrinkage or attrition, but rather an artifact of differences in composition of the regions of interest: almost entirely gray matter in the cerebellum, and predominantly white matter in the pons. The majority of volumetric studies of the cerebrum in vivo reveal very little age-related shrinkage of the white matter, in contrast to significant declines in the gray matter volume (2). Direct comparisons of the age effects on the two compartments of the cerebellar tissue—the white and the gray matter—confirm that trend (13).

Our finding of larger cerebellar hemispheres in men mirrors analogous observations in the cerebral cortex (2). In addition, similar trends in the anterior vermis and the pons replicate our own findings in other samples (5, 6). In this sample, we also found similar sex differences in other cerebellar lobules. Notably, comparison of the magnitude of these differences across the regions reveals that the more frequently they are observed across samples, the stronger they are within the sample. Thus, on that basis, sexual dimorphism in the size of cerebellar hemispheres and the anterior vermis appears robust, whereas the differences in the posterior vermis are weaker and need to be replicated.

What are the clinical and functional implications of the findings reported here? The cerebellar
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