Prenatal Diagnosis of a Vein of Galen Aneurysmal Malformation with Fetal MR Imaging Study

We read with interest the article by Jones et al (1), in which the authors describe their experience in diagnosing and treating 13 children with vein of Galen aneurysmal malformation (VGAM) during a 14-year period. Their experience confirms that children with VGAM presenting during the neonatal period have a generally much worse prognosis than do those presenting later in childhood. Only two of the eight patients who presented during the neonatal period achieved normal or near-normal outcomes; five died, and one experienced significant impairment. Neonatal presentation with congestive heart failure is frequent with these malformations; if they are diagnosed prenatally, early delivery by means of cesarean section may be proposed to enable more effective cardiac evaluation and management. However, the authors also emphasize that only four cases among the 13 were diagnosed prenatally, and despite the increased use of routine prenatal sonography in recent years, they identified no trend toward a greater number of prenatal diagnoses. We would like to point out that fetal MR imaging may play a role for prenatal diagnosis of VGAM and to emphasize its value for appropriate management of these cases.

In 1995, we had experience with one such case. A 34-year-old gravida 2, para 0 woman was referred at 38 weeks and 5 days of gestation for evaluation of a routine sonography-detected anechoic intracranial lesion. Doppler ultrasonography revealed turbulent blood flow within the lesion, 20 mm in diameter, situated in the midline, and a possible diagnosis of VGAM was suggested (Fig 1). Intrauterine MR imaging was performed without curarization or sedation on a 1.0-T superconducting unit (Magnetom Impact; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). It confirmed the diagnosis of VGAM and showed otherwise normal brain parenchyma, without hydrocephalus (Fig 2). Fetal echocardiography showed slight cardiomegaly and slightly distended superior cava, brachiocephalic, and internal jugular veins. After 2 days, a male infant weighing 2860 g was delivered by cesarean section. Apgar scores were 9 at 1 min and 9 at 5 min; echocardiography showed no signs of congestive heart failure. Postnatal MR imaging and MR angiography were performed with the patient under narcosis at 35 days (not shown) and at 75 days of life (Figs 3 and 4); the child’s clinical condition remained good, and his development was normal. At 6 months of life, he underwent digital angiography and graded catheter embolization, which were performed during a 4-month period. For these procedures, the child was referred to another institution; therefore, no information is provided herein. The result was occlusion of 80% of the VGAM. At 7-year follow-up, the patient’s physical and psychomotor development was normal.

Although ultrasonography is the imaging technique of choice for assessment of fetal CNS abnormalities, intrauterine MR imaging has several advantages over it (2) and provides additional information that can aid in the management of these cases. Its use for revealing VGAM has rarely been reported (3–5). In fetuses with ultrasonographic findings consistent with VGAM, complementary MR imaging enables correct diagnosis, allows for better evaluation of the lesion, and aids in decision making, which also depends on an accurate assessment of the condition of the brain parenchyma. Elective early cesarean delivery can en-
able optimal care of the baby’s cardiac function, minimizing the risks related to the sudden diversion of flow through the malformation at birth. Importantly, monitoring with postnatal MR imaging and MR angiography can also help in understanding the right time to perform treatment. Prompt postdelivery embolization may not be the best management option. In their article, Jones et al note that embolization during the first few weeks of life is unlikely to result in good outcomes and that endovascular treatment should be delayed, if possible, for several months. Thanks to the absence of congestive heart failure, the child whose case we reported had treatment delayed for 6 months, and he eventually achieved a good outcome. His case also confirms that graded embolization procedures may provide the best results in these cases and that incomplete obliteration of the lesion may be ideal (1, 6).
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We appreciate the interest in our article expressed by Messori et al. In their letter, they provide an interesting example of a case of VGAM that included prenatal MR imaging as a major component of the diagnostic evaluation. Their experience in the treatment parallels ours, as they describe.

Our comment regarding the absence of a trend toward prenatal discovery of VGAM in our patients was essentially an anecdotal observation. Clearly, we conducted no epidemiologic investigation regarding the prevalence of prenatal sonography in our referral base, nor did we actively pursue information regarding the presence or timing of prenatal ultrasonography in our 13 cases. It may be a logical hypothesis that prenatal diagnosis of VGAM is on the rise, but that is a question to be answered by a different type of study.

The use of fetal MR imaging in the evaluation of VGAM is an interesting and ever more important topic. Fetal MR imaging is being used more and more frequently to further assess abnormalities identified on ultrasonograms. This is the role described by Messori et al; the lesion had been correctly identified by ultrasonography, but the extent and effect on the surrounding brain and cardiac structures was more confidently assessed by MR imaging. Because the child was essentially at term, the issue of exigent delivery is not in debate. However, Messori et al note that the use of cesarean section allowed greater control of the perinatal environment. It remains to be seen whether a cesarean delivery is physiologically less stressful for an infant with VGAM, but this is not an unreasonable presumption.

The greater issue presented by the case is the usefulness or necessity of prenatal MR imaging of the fetus with VGAM. It is of little doubt that fetal MR imaging is rapidly becoming a new standard of care in the assessment of complex fetal abnormalities. VGAM certainly belongs in that category. Considering the useful information obtainable with fetal MR imaging regarding viability of the brain and degree of cardiac compromise, fetal MR imaging should be considered a reasonable diagnostic tool when this diagnosis is made based on prenatal ultrasonography. Is it essential in the management of these cases? No, but it may allow treatment decisions to be made in a more controlled clinical environment. We would contend that such examinations be performed at the center where the child will eventually be treated to allow a single treatment team to contribute to the decision process from the start.

We thank Messori et al for bringing to the forefront this aspect of the evaluation and treatment of VGAM and congratulate them on their successful management of this difficult problem.
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