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BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Simultaneous traumatic carotid-cavernous fistulas (TCCFs) and traumatic cerebral aneurysms (TCAs) of the internal carotid artery (ICA) are rare. We describe the pitfalls of detecting a TCA before TCCF occlusion and the endovascular management of the TCA and TCCF.

METHODS: Over 12 years, 156 patients with TCCFs were treated at our institute. In four men (mean age, 34 years), associated TCAs were detected before \( n = 1 \) or after \( n = 3 \) endovascular occlusion of the TCCFs. Causes for the missed detection of the TCA before TCCF occlusion were masking by a parent artery and fistula drains \( n = 1 \), steal phenomenon \( n = 1 \), and a latent period \( n = 1 \). The TCAs were in the supraclinoid ICA \( n = 3 \) or the paraphthalmic artery \( n = 1 \). Three TCAs were treated with the endosaccular placement of electrodetachable coils.

RESULTS: Two TCCFs and associated TCAs were successfully occluded with preservation of the ICA. The paraphthalmic TCA was treated with coil occlusion of the TCA and TCCF. Spontaneous fatal rupture of the TCA occurred in one patient after subtotal TCCF occlusion. No notable procedure-related complication was observed in the other three patients.

CONCLUSION: TCAs may be difficult to detect before treatment of the TCCF because it may be overlooked, a latent period may occur, flow may be shunted, or they may be masked by a nearby parent artery or fistula drains. As soon as a TCA is found, endovascular management should be initiated promptly.

Traumatic carotid-cavernous fistula (TCCF) is the common cerebrovascular injury requiring endovascular treatment. On the contrary, traumatic cerebral aneurysms (TCAs) of the internal carotid artery (ICA) are rare complications, resulting from both penetrating and nonpenetrating head injuries. The most common sites for TCAs are the cavernous and petrous portions of the ICA; these injuries are often associated with basal skull fractures \( (1, 2) \). Other sites, such as the supraclinoid ICA, are seldom affected \( (3) \). TCCFs are rarely associated with a concomitant TCA. Clinically, a TCCF manifests as a cranial bruit, chemosis, proptosis, or decreased visual acuity. In contrast, a TCA is frequently asymptomatic until its fatal rupture.

The purpose of this study was to report our experience in the management of four TCAs associated with TCCFs, to describe the pitfalls in diagnosing a TCA in association with a TCCF, and to describe the principles in the endovascular management of these two traumatic cerebrovascular lesions.

Methods

From January 1992 to February 2003, a 156 consecutive patients with TCCFs were treated in our institute. Of them, four male patients (age range, 18–49 years; mean age, 34 years) were found to have associated TCAs. These were detected before \( n = 1 \) or after \( n = 3 \) endovascular occlusion of the TCCFs. The clinical and angiographic findings are summarized in the Table. All four patients were transferred to our institute for endovascular treatment of the TCCF. Their related symptoms and signs on presentation for endovascular embolization were proptosis \( n = 4 \), chemosis \( n = 4 \), bruit \( n = 1 \), decreased visual acuity \( n = 3 \), and diplopia \( n = 1 \). Initial cranial and facial CT findings included facial bone fracture \( n = 3 \), intracerebral hemorrhage \( n = 2 \), and subarachnoid hemorrhage (SAH) \( n = 2 \). The interval between trauma...
Demographic and clinical outcomes in patients with TCAs associated with TCCFs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Initial CT Findings</th>
<th>Clinical Manifestations on Endovascular Treatment</th>
<th>TCA Detection Prior to TCCF Occlusion</th>
<th>Location and Size of the TCA of the ICA</th>
<th>Follow-Up, mo</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1M49</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Chemosis, proptosis</td>
<td>Chemosis, proptosis, decreased visual acuity</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Supraclinoid, 3 × 2 mm</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>Oclusion of the TCCF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M40</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Brain swelling, facial bone fracture</td>
<td>Chemosis, proptosis, decreased visual acuity</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Supraclinoid, 3 × 3 mm</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>Complete steal without flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3M29</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Subarachnoid hemorrhage, facial bone fracture</td>
<td>Chemosis, proptosis, decreased visual acuity</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Supraclinoid, 3 × 3 mm</td>
<td>3 and 5</td>
<td>Subtotal occlusion of the TCCF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4M18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Parapharyngeal, 5 × 4 mm</td>
<td>Chemosis, proptosis, decreased visual acuity, bruit</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Infratemporal, 3 × 3 mm</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Occlusion of the suprachlionic ICA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: TCCF = transcarotid collateral flow; TCA = traumatic carotid artery aneurysm; CT = computed tomography; ICA = internal carotid artery.

Results

The clinical findings and outcomes of the four patients are summarized in the Table. Two patients who underwent occlusion of the TCA and TCCF with preservation of the ICA flow had a recurrent TCCF or increased residual, shunted flow after the first embolization because of premature balloon deflation.
The recurrent or residual TCCFs were eventually treated by using GDCs and 60% N′-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate (Melsungen AG, Melsungen, Germany) under the protective balloon in the ICA at the fistula site; the parent arteries were preserved (Figs 1C, 2C). The patient with spontaneous rupture of the TCA and diffuse SAH died 7 days later as a consequence of the SAH; this was considered a procedural outcome. All TCCF-related symptoms were relieved after the last embolization. No notable procedure-related complications or recurrent TCA or TCCF were observed in other three patients. The clinical follow-up period was 12–25 months (mean, 20 months).

**Discussion**

TCAs of the intracranial carotid artery and its branches are rare, constituting only 0.15–0.04% of all intracranial aneurysms (4, 5). Of the 248 TCAs reported, 48% involved the intracranial ICA. Among them, 69% were situated in the intracavernous and petrous ICA, whereas 31% were located at the supraclinoid portion (6).

Pathoanatomic criteria for TCAs are severely lacking. Several authors report that the following typical angiographic features may be of help in the characterization of TCAs: delayed filling and emptying of the sac, an irregular contour, no visible neck, and an ostium not located at the common arterial branching points (4, 7). However, Fox (6) regards a history of recent trauma as the main criterion for the diagnosis of a TCA. Therefore, the assumption is that the diagnosis of a TCA must be based mainly on clinical evidence, such as the adequacy of injury and the local relationship between injury and the location of the TCA (7). In our small series, all four aneurysms were presumed to be of traumatic origin, largely on the basis of the clinical history of severe head trauma and the location of the TCA adjacent to the TCCF. However, given the 5% natural incidence of cerebral an-
eurysms in the general population (8), TCCFs may have a coincidence of non-TCA, as in four of 156 patients in the present series. The associated TCA may be clinically ignored because most TCAs are asymptomatic until their catastrophic rupture, and most patients are referred for treatment of the TCCF and its related visual problems. The consequences of missed diagnoses and treatment may cause devastating SAH or severe disability. There are several angiographic pitfalls involving the early detection of TCAs in the supraclinoid ICA before the occlusion of TCCFs. For example, in the case of a complete and large steal phenomenon of the TCCF, the TCA distal to the fistula may not be demonstrated on ipsilateral carotid angiograms because of insufficient vessel opacification by contrast medium. On occasion, the TCA may be shown by the recruitment of ipsilateral distal ICA flow through the contralateral ICA or vertebrobasilar systems. In addition, the TCAs could be masked by a nearby parent artery or by early opacification of the CS and its dilated venous drains. In these circumstances, associated TCAs may be difficult to assess, and they might be detected only after the fistula is completely or partially occluded. In some instances, TCAs may be missed because of their small size or because of poor image resolution. Therefore, angiography must be performed immediately after occlusion of the TCCFs to check for the possible coexistence of these associated TCAs. If necessary, rotational angiography should be used to clarify the parent artery and nearby TCA. Furthermore, some TCAs may have a latent period and take time to develop. The delay from the initial injury to the manifestation of TCAs varies from several hours to 10 years, but most series report a mean time to presentation of 2–3 weeks (9, 10). Be- noit and Wortzman (11) reported a large TCA arising from the supraclinoid ICA in association with a CCF, which was found 14 days following head injury. Waga et al (12) reported a case, similar to our first two cases, in which a TCA was overlooked or not opacified because of a large TCCF shunt proximal to the TCA. In another of our cases, the TCA was not shown on the first cerebral angiogram, which had been obtained during a 3-week latent period. This TCA was detected during the second embolization performed 5 weeks after trauma. In our first two cases, the TCA was first recognized only after a major shunt in the TCCF was occluded, as shown on postembolization angiograms. In our series, the reason why these TCAs escaped detection before the occlusion of the TCCFs was because they were small and overlooked, the flow proximal to the TCA was shunted, or a nearby parent artery and venous drains masked them. TCAs that rupture with the formation of the fistula (Fig 4) and TCAs located before the fistula tract of the TCCF are less difficult to find than TCAs beyond the fistula, as depicted on the initial diagnostic angiogram.

Most TCCFs are essentially benign and involve an
impairment of visual acuity. However, there are some hazardous and fatal circumstances in which endovascular embolization should be performed immediately or even on an emergency basis. These include an acute impairment of visual acuity, epistaxis, sphenoid sinus aneurysm, intracerebral hemorrhage, or the presence of a large CS varix; these are found in only 0.9%–9.3% of patients (13, 14). The prognosis of patients with a TCA is generally poor. The mortality rate is almost 50% in cases left untreated (4, 15, 16), and one-half of patients who experience bleeding die after the first bleeding episode (17). Hopefully, earlier recognition and proper management of the TCAs will reduce the current mortality rate of 43% (18). In terms of the priority in which these cerebrovascular injuries are treated, the TCA should be occluded first if it is detected before the TCCF is occluded. However, if it is ignored or overlooked, it should be treated as soon as the TCCF is obliterated.

In our series, we attempted to treat three TCAs at 5, 8, or 15 weeks after trauma. Rupture or re-rupture of the TCAs did not occur, as usually happens 2–3 weeks before TCCF occlusion, largely because of the diversion of ICA blood flow and pressure to the fistula drains. Therefore, in the case of TCAs with associated TCAs, the shunted flow and pressure of the TCCF probably saved the TCA from rupture or re-rupture. Nevertheless, occlusion of the TCCF with reconstitution of the distal ICA flow can put the associated TCAs in the distal ICA at risk for increased pressure in the intracranial circulation, with further distention and subsequent devastating rupture. In our series, re-rupture of the TCA occurred in one case (but not in two others) after occlusion of the TCCF, with rebluing of the distal flow of the ICA. This was presumed in two cases, which were thought to have a more mature wall at 8 or 15 weeks after trauma, as compared with the third case at 5 weeks.

Regarding to treatment strategy, most TCAs have no formal wall, they are broad based and fragile, and their neck is obscured in the acute phase. Endovascular embolization with the placement of embolic material into the TCA presents an extreme danger of aneurysmal rupture with bleeding. Most reported TCAs in the supracarotid ICA were treated by means of deconstructive procedures, such as trapping or proximal ICA occlusion with or without distal revascularization. Endovascular occlusion of the proximal parent artery with or without a carotid occlusion test has been preferred in some instances. If a period of time has passed since the initial injury and discovery of the TCA (usually at least 4–6 weeks) the wall of the TCA may have matured enough to permit the safe delivery of an embolic device without fear of rupture. Some reports describe the safe and effective treatment of TCAs by using GDC endosaccular embolization with preservation of the parent artery (19–21). This treatment strategy is obviously favored for TCAs with a mature wall and a clear neck, although this type of aneurysm is less frequently encountered than others.

Conclusion

The occurrence of a TCCF with a TCA is rare. Early detection and treatment of the TCAs before TCCF occlusion may be difficult, largely because of steal phenomenon of the fistula, masking by a nearby parent artery and/or venous drains, overlapping of a small TCA, and a latent period of the TCA. Therefore, in a patient with a skull-base fracture and unexplained brain hemorrhage and neurologic deficits, an exhaustive angiographic workup to search for TCAs is necessary, both before and after occlusion of the TCCF. Prompt occlusion of the TCAs should be performed as soon as they are detected. Endosaccular placement of GDCs is indicated in TCAs with a mature wall and a clear neck.
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