Sequential Combination of Intravenous Recombinant Tissue Plasminogen Activator and Intra-Arterial Urokinase in Acute Ischemic Stroke
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BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Combined intravenous (IV) and intra-arterial (IA) thrombolytic therapy may be faster and easier to initiate than monotherapy, and its recanalization rate may be better as well. The sequential combination of recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rTPA) and urokinase (UK) has synergistic and complementary effects on clot lysis. We prospectively evaluated the effectiveness and safety of sequential combination of IV rTPA and IA UK in acute ischemic stroke.

METHODS: IV rTPA was administered to patients with acute stroke within 3 hours of onset. Those whose condition had not improved at the end of rTPA infusion were further treated with selective IA UK. We evaluated baseline and 30-day National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) scores and 90-day modified Rankin Scale scores.

RESULTS: Thirty patients were initially treated with IV rTPA; 24 were further treated with IA UK. Four patients who had rapid reocclusion following initial successful IA therapy received IV abciximab. Fourteen of 24 patients who underwent angiography had an effective perfusion state of Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction grade 3 flow. Median baseline and 30-day NIHSS scores were 18 and 2, respectively. Eighteen patients improved to a modified Rankin scale score of 0 or 1 after 90 days. Symptomatic hemorrhage developed in two patients.

CONCLUSION: The strategy of using conventional-dose IV rTPA and the sequential combination of IA UK in patients without an early clinical response to IV treatment was safe and feasible. This strategy achieved high complete arterial recanalization rates and good functional outcomes.

Early and complete recanalization of an occluded artery is the main therapeutic goal of acute ischemic stroke. Since the National Institute of Neurologic Disorders and Stroke (NINDS) study (1) was done, intravenous (IV) recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rTPA) therapy within 3 hours after the onset of symptoms has been widely used. Despite the advantage of the rapid and easy initiation of thrombolytic therapy, IV rTPA treatment is limited by low recanalization rates, particularly in larger proximal arterial occlusions (2, 3). Compared with the IV approach, intra-arterial (IA) thrombolysis is reported to have higher recanalization rates and an extended therapeutic window (4–6). However, IA thrombolysis is limited by the delay to the initiation of treatment and the need for specialized angiographic techniques.

The combined IV and IA approach has been increasingly examined in pilot studies. This approach is reportedly feasible and safe, with a better recanalization rate and potentially improved patient outcomes (7–11). In most of these studies, rTPA was used for both IV and IA thrombolysis with divided doses for the IV and IA treatments. However, the combined use of thrombolytic agents with different mechanisms may have an additive and/or complementary effect. TPA and urokinase (UK) are the native plasminogen activators in the fibrinolytic system and have been used effectively in stroke patients. They are known to have synergistic and complementary effects in experimental studies in vitro and in vivo and also in patients with acute myocardial infarction when the agents are admin-
cluded sequentially (12–17). In this pilot study, we examined the efficacy and safety of a sequential combination of IV rTPA and IA UK in patients with acute ischemic stroke patients who presented within 3 hours after the onset of symptoms.

**Methods**

**Patient Selection**

We prospectively enrolled consecutive patients with acute ischemic stroke who were admitted to the neurology department during a period of 21 months and who underwent thrombolysis. The institutional review board approved this study, and informed consent was obtained from the patients or their surrogates. The inclusion criteria for thrombolysis, based on those of previous major thrombolysis trials, included ischemic stroke with the planned initiation of IV thrombolysis within 3 hours after onset, patient age of 18–85 years, a National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (NIHSS) score >4 (except for isolated aphasia or hemianopia), normal or early signs on nonenhanced brain CT scans without evidence of hemorrhage, and obtainable informed consent.

Exclusion criteria were the following: a history of symptomatic ischemic stroke within 6 weeks; a history of head trauma within the previous 90 days; a history of surgery; biopsy involving a major organ; recent or active hemorrhage; trauma associated with internal organ injury or an ulcerative wound within the previous 30 days; a history of an arterial puncture at a noncompressible site within the previous 7 days; seizure at onset; a history of intracranial hemorrhage; a clinical presentation suggestive of subarachnoid hemorrhage or septic embolism; systolic blood pressure >185 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure >110 mm Hg; bleeding diathesis; a history of serious, advanced, or terminal illness; rapidly improving neurologic signs; a clinical presentation of a lacunar infarction; or a comatose state in suspected carotid arterial stroke. Patients with an initial blood pressure above the specified limits were excluded if aggressive treatment was required to reduce their blood pressure below that level. Laboratory exclusion criteria included an increased international normalized ratio of >1.7, a history of heparinization rate between the successful and unsuccessful recanalization groups. P value <.05 was considered significant.

**Statistical Analysis**

The chi-square test was used to define the difference of HT rate between the successful and unsuccessful recanalization groups. P value <.05 was considered significant.

**Results**

**Demographic Data**

Thirty consecutive patients (18 men, 12 women) with a median age of 63 years (range, 47–82 years), were enrolled. Twenty-five patients were admitted via the emergency room, whereas five developed an acute stroke during their admission for systemic illnesses other than cerebrovascular disease. The median time from onset to visit or discovery was 45 minutes. Nine patients had cardioembolic sources, such as an atrial fibrillation or a sick sinus syndrome.

**Thrombolytic Treatment**

IV rTPA was initiated at a median time of 2 hours 15 minutes (range, 60–210 minutes) from the onset of stroke. All but five patients received a full dose of rTPA (0.9 mg/kg). Early clinical improvement (NIHSS score ≥4) at the end of the rTPA infusion was observed in seven patients. However, the symptoms recurred in two of these patients at 7 and 2 hours after their initial improvement. Cerebral angiography was performed immediately after IA thrombolysis in 24 patients and not in five patients who had improved or in one patient in whom occlusion of a cortical branch was suggested. The median time from onset to the femoral arterial puncture was 3 hours 50 minutes (range, 60–310 minutes). Cerebral angiography demonstrated occlusions of the proximal middle cerebral artery (MCA) in nine patients, the distal internal carotid artery (ICA) in four, the cervical ICA in two, the basilar artery (BA) in two, the
distal MCA in one, and the posterior cerebral artery (PCA) in one (Table 1). Occluding thrombi were not found in five patients (three with stenosis of the clinically relevant arteries and two with normal angiographic findings). Finally, 16 patients were further treated with IA UK. Those not treated with IA UK

TABLE 1: Baseline characteristics, time to intervention, angiographic findings, and outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient/Age/Sex</th>
<th>Onset to (min)</th>
<th>UK Dose (U)</th>
<th>Arterial lesion on DSA</th>
<th>Final TIMI Grade</th>
<th>NIHSS Score</th>
<th>mRS Score</th>
<th>90 Day</th>
<th>HT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/59/F</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>Distal ICA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/61/M</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/53/F</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/74/F</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300,000</td>
<td>M2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/76/F</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/82/M</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/62/M</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>700,000</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/60/F</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/52/M</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>M1 stenosis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/47/M</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>60*</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>BA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/48/M</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>210*</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/78/M</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/74/F</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/65/F</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>400,000</td>
<td>Distal ICA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/63/M</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>800,000</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/61/M</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/78/M</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Cervical ICA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/70/M</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>600,000</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19/81/M</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Distal ICA stenosis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/67/M</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/71/M</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>1,000,000</td>
<td>Distal ICA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/59/F</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23/80/M</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24/60/F</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>310</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25/59/M</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Cervical ICA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/54/M</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>275</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>Distal ICA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27/72/F</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28/58/F</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29/57/M</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30/81/M</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>BA stenosis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Asym indicates asymptomatic hemorrhagic transformation; DSA, digital subtraction angiography; M1, M1 segment of the MCA; M2, M2 segment of the MCA; NA, not applicable; and Sym, symptomatic hemorrhagic transformation.

* Time from recurrent symptoms after IV thrombolysis to angiography.
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Fig. 1. Flowchart shows the sequential combination of thrombolysis. Numbers in parentheses are numbers of patients. TIMI = Thrombolysis in Myocardial Infarction.
were two patients with a cervical ICA occlusion, two with normal angiographic findings, and four with marked clinical improvement (stenosis of the relevant arteries in three and distal branch occlusion in one) during the diagnostic angiographic procedures (Fig 1). The total dose of UK ranged from 300,000 to 1,000,000 U (median, 600,000 U). IV abciximab was administrated in four patients (patients 3, 7, 10, and 28) who had a reocclusion immediately after initially successful thrombolysis (Table 1). The reoccluded arteries regained full reperfusion within 60 minutes after abciximab infusion.

**Arterial Recanalization**

In 24 patients who underwent cerebral angiography, TIMI grade 3 flow was observed in 14 (58%), and TIMI grade 2 flow was observed in three (12%). The MCA had a successful recanalization of TIMI grade 3 or 2 flow in 11 of 13 patients. The BA was recanalized in all three patients, and the ICA was recanalized in three of seven patients (Table 2). In 16 patients treated with combined thrombolysis, TIMI grade 3 flow was achieved in nine (56%), and TIMI grade 2 flow was achieved in three (18%).

**Clinical Outcomes**

The median baseline NIHSS score was 18 (range, 4–30) in 30 patients. At 30 days, the score could be assessed in 26 patients; the median was 2 (range, 0–30) (Fig 2). An improvement in the NIHSS score to ≥4 was observed in 23 patients. About 60% (18 patients) had a good functional outcome defined as an mRS score of 0 or 1 at 90 days. Twelve (70%) of 17 patients with a successful recanalization (TIMI grade 2 or 3) and two (28%) of seven with an unsuccessful recanalization (TIMI 0 or 1) had an mRS score of 0 or 1 at 90 days. The mortality rate after 90 days of follow-up was 10% (three of 30 patients). One patient died from an intracranial hemorrhage, and the other two died after discharge due to undefined causes.

**Hemorrhagic Transformation**

HT was observed in seven patients (23%). Six developed in those receiving combined IV rTPA and IA UK, and one affected a patient receiving IV rTPA. No HT was observed in those treated with abciximab. Clinical deterioration due to HT occurred in two patients (one from the IV rTPA group and the other from the combined IV rTPA and IA UK group) and led to death in one patient. Six patients who underwent angiography during thrombolysis had HT: four (57%, TIMI grade 0 in three and TIMI grade 1 in one) of seven patients with unsuccessful recanalization and two (11%; TIMI grade 2 in one and TIMI grade 3 in one) of 17 patients with successful recanalization (P < .05).

**Discussion**

Although thrombolytic therapy is effective in reducing the neurologic deficits in acute ischemic stroke, thrombolysis fails in a considerable proportion of patients. Combined IV and IA thrombolysis may have benefits because a high recanalization rate is expected with IA thrombolysis, in addition to the fast and easy introduction of the thrombolytic agent via the IV route. Although different thrombolytic regimens and strategies for combined thrombolysis have been examined and are under investigation, this study differs from previous or ongoing studies in several points. In this study, the UK was combined with rTPA, whereas others used rTPA for both the IV and the IA approaches. The sequential combination of rTPA and UK/pro-UK has complementary and synergistic effects on clot lysis (17). The fibrin selectivity of UK/pro-UK is attributed to its selective activation of fibrin-bound plasminogen, whereas TPA directly binds strongly to fibrin. Predigestion of a thrombus by TPA exposes the plasminogen binding sites, which are required for the action of UK/pro-UK. Therefore, thrombolysis may be more efficient when TPA is followed by a UK/pro-UK infusion, as was shown in experimental studies and in patients with acute coronary artery disease (14–16).

IA thrombolysis was attempted in patients who did not have an early clinical response to a full dose of
rTPA. In the Emergency Management of Stroke (EMS) bridging trial (7), two-thirds (0.6 mg/kg) of the dose used in the NINDS trial for IV rTPA (0.9 mg/kg) was used for the IV thrombolysis portion, and a maximum dose of 20 mg rTPA was used for subsequent IA thrombolysis. The IV infusion time was also shortened (from 60 to 30 minutes). A similar dose regimen has been used in other trials (8, 10). In the ongoing Interventional Management of Stroke (IMS) study (18), the IV thrombolysis by using the same dose and infusion time of rTPA as in the EMS bridging trial was followed as soon as possible by cerebral angiography. The indication for the IA approach was the presence of thrombus. In this study, patients who did not have an early clinical response to a full dose of IV rTPA were given further treatment with IA thrombolysis. With this approach, some patients who improve early after IV rTPA therapy may avoid an unnecessary IA procedure. In this study, five patients had so improved after rTPA infusion that angiography for IA thrombolysis was not performed. Furthermore, IA UK infusion was unnecessary in four patients because of marked improvement in the angiographic suite. Therefore, angiography or IA UK infusion, which can cause angiographic complications or additional risks of hemorrhage, were avoidable in 30% of patients. However, in this study, the median delay from the IV rTPA bolus to angiography was 1 hour 35 minutes. In the IMS trial (18), the delay was approximately 40 minutes. In this regard, the strategy of fast angiography to identify a thrombus as a therapeutic target in the IMS study may shorten the access time to additional thrombolytic therapy.

Finally, the study protocol included evaluation and treatment of reocclusion, which can occur during or immediately after an initially successful thrombolysis (19). Abciximab, a potent and specific platelet glycoprotein IIb/IIIa receptor inhibitor, has been effective in resolving recurrent thrombosis after thrombolytic-induced thrombolysis in individuals with acute myocardial infarction or ischemic stroke, as this type of rethrombosis has platelet-mediated thrombotic mechanisms (20–23). As was shown in this study and a previous report (19), reocclusion can be completely and safely resolved with abciximab. Although the safety and efficacy of widespread use of abciximab in acute stroke awaits the final results of ongoing studies (24), its selective use may be beneficial in patients with reocclusion.

Combined thrombolysis may have the benefits of high complete recanalization rate and improved patient outcomes. Recanalization to TIMI grade 3 flow was achieved in more than one-half of patients in this study; this rate was similar to those of previous studies of combined IV/IA thrombolysis. In the present study, favorable functional outcomes of 90-day mRS scores of 0 or 1 were achieved in 60% of patients. This rate was higher than those observed in studies examining the effect of pure IA or IV thrombolysis: for IA therapy: 26% in Prolyse in Acute Cerebral Thromboembolism (PROACT) II study for IA therapy and for IV therapy, 39% in the NINDS rTPA study, and 35.7% in the European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study (ECASS) (1, 5, 25). Reported rates of favorable outcomes, 25–77% in previous combined IV/IA thrombolysis trials, are comparable to ours (7–11).

Hemorrhage is one of major negating factors of thrombolytic therapy, as it diminished the effect of thrombolysis and limits the enrollment of patients because of the risk of hemorrhage. HT was a major concern in this study because UK was administrated in addition to a conventional dose of rTPA. Although six of the seven patients with HT were treated with combined thrombolysis, the HT rate was similar to those in the previous studies or trials. In comparison data from a single center, no significant difference was found between the agents (rTPA vs UK) and the route of thrombolysis (IA vs combined IV/IA) (26). An increased dose of IV rTPA was suggested to increase the risk of hemorrhage in a small pilot study performed before the larger NINDS rTPA study (27); however, the effect of sequentially administrated UK (which reacts to a receptor different than that targeted by rTPA) on the development of HT is unclear.

Several studies have identified factors that may lead to HT after thrombolysis. In an analysis of the data from the European Cooperative Acute Stroke Study and the NINDS Stroke Study, several factors were associated with HT: severe neurologic deficits; increasing age; and early ischemic changes, brain edema, or mass effect at CT (28, 29). Increased glucose level, high NIHSS score, prolonged time to recanalization, less recanalization, and low platelet counts were independent predictors of HT in IA thrombolysis trials (26). Although these results suggest that no single clinical factor predicts the development of HT, vessel-wall damage that leads to HT is mediated by matrix metalloproteinase-9, a proteolytic enzyme that degrades the major extracellular matrix components of the vessel wall (30). Increased baseline plasma levels of this metalloproteinase before thrombolysis are associated with the development of HT and a failure of thrombolysis (31, 32). This observation may partly account for the increased rate of HT in our patients with poor recanalization.

This study had several limitations. First, a small number of patients enrolled, and there was no control group. Second, the sizes and sites of the occluded arteries were heterogeneous. However, this study showed that UK, which is known to be synergistic with and complementary to rTPA, may be safely and effectively administrated by the IA route in acute ischemic stroke without an early clinical response to conventional IV rTPA treatment.

**Conclusion**

In this pilot study, a full dose of IV rTPA and a sequential combination of IA UK for patients without an early clinical response to the IV approach was feasible and safe. This approach had high recanalization rates, good functional outcomes, and acceptable mortality and hemorrhage rates. However, because of the small number of patients, the lack of a control group, and the presence of heterogeneous arterial
lesions, further large-scale studies are needed to confirm these results.
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