Relationship between Brain Tissue Oxygen Tension and CT Perfusion: Feasibility and Initial Results


BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Monitoring of intraparenchymal brain tissue oxygen tension ($P_{brO2}$) is an emerging tool in neurocritical care. The purpose of this study was to determine if there is a relationship between CT perfusion (CTP) imaging parameters and $P_{brO2}$.

METHODS: Nineteen patients underwent continuous $P_{brO2}$ monitoring with probes placed to target white matter in the cerebral hemisphere. Twenty-two CTP studies were performed at the level of the oxygen electrode, as identified on concurrent nonenhanced CT. CTP analysis software was used to measure mean transit time (MTT) and cerebral blood volume (CBV) and to derive cerebral blood flow (CBF) for a region of interest (ROI) surrounding the oxygen probe. For correlation, $P_{brO2}$ levels and other physiologic parameters were recorded at the time of CTP.

RESULTS: $P_{brO2}$ values at the time of CTP were 2.7–54.4 mm Hg, MTT was 1.86–5.79 seconds, CBV was 1.18–8.76 mL/100 g, and CBF was 15.2–149.2 mL/100 g/min. MTT but not CBV or CBF was correlated with $P_{brO2}$ ($r = -0.50$, $P = .017$). MTT, CBV, or CBF were not correlated with other physiologic parameters, including mean arterial pressure, cerebral perfusion pressure, intracranial pressure, and fraction of inspired oxygen. On multivariable analysis, only $P_{brO2}$ was independently associated with MTT.

CONCLUSION: CTP assessment of ROI surrounding an oxygen probe in the intraparenchymal brain tissue is feasible and showed a significant correlation between $P_{brO2}$ and MTT. Further studies are warranted to determine the role of CTP in assessing acute brain injury and whether it can be used to prospectively identify brain regions at risk for tissue hypoxia that should be targeted for advanced neuromonitoring.

Direct measurement of cerebral oxygenation and metabolism is gaining acceptance as a useful strategy for monitoring secondary ischemic brain injury in neurocritical care. The use of oxygen electrodes in the intraparenchymal brain tissue has been described in a wide range of largely observational clinical research studies in patients with traumatic brain injury and subarachnoid hemorrhage (1–5). Several devices are now commercially available in the United States and in Europe. However, even with the emergence of new technology and monitoring capabilities, important questions remain about their use: What is actually being measured? In which brain region should the probe or probes be placed? Understanding these issues is likely important for clarifying the physiologic nature and clinical importance of local brain tissue oxygen tension ($P_{brO2}$).

Current intraparenchymal monitors provide primarily focal measurements of $P_{brO2}$, and the desired location for probe placement remains a point of debate. Techniques involve either direct placement in a selected region of the brain at the time of craniotomy or, in most cases, placement done in the intensive care unit by using a standard method that targets the cerebral white matter of one hemisphere. Focal probes such as these are ideally placed in tissue at...
Methods

Patients with traumatic brain injury or nontraumatic intracerebral hemorrhage who were undergoing monitoring of brain tissue oxygen as part of a larger cohort study of advanced neuromonitoring were eligible for this study. Institutional review board approval was obtained for all aspects of this study. Because the patients were obtunded or comatose, informed consent was obtained from a legally authorized surrogate in all cases. As part of the overall protocol, after brain-tissue oxygen probe was placed, nonenhanced head CT was performed to determine its location. For this nested cohort study, a consecutive sample of subjects undergoing CTP was assembled by specifically select the region for probe placement and to assess brain regions that are not monitored.

Dynamic CT perfusion (CTP) imaging is an emerging technique that is increasingly used to evaluate patients with acute ischemic stroke (6, 7). This technique can be performed with most helical CT scanners and allows the assessment of a variety of parameters on one or more axial sections. By injecting contrast material and by performing sequential imaging of the section of interest, the mean transit time of contrast agent through brain tissue (MTT), the cerebral blood volume (CBV), and the cerebral blood flow (CBF) can be evaluated for a region of interest (ROI). However, the use of CTP has not been thoroughly evaluated in patients with other acute brain injuries, such as head trauma or intracerebral hemorrhage. Because of previous work in a swine model in which we found that PbrO2 has carbon dioxide reactivity and pressure autoregulation (8) and because of previous reports in which PbrO2 was correlated with CBF from xenon CT in humans (9, 10), we hypothesized that measures from CTP are correlated with PbrO2 in patients with brain injury. Therefore, we undertook this prospective cohort study to determine the feasibility of using CTP in patients with acute brain injuries who are undergoing monitoring of brain tissue oxygen and to determine whether PbrO2 on advanced neuromonitoring is related to physiologic parameters from CTP.

CT Protocol

All CT scans were obtained on a single-detector helical scanner (HiSpeed CT/i; GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, WI). All subjects underwent nonenhanced head CT (axial 5-mm collimation) after placement of a brain-tissue oxygen probe. Because the electrode was visible as a hyperattenuation on CT, the initial images were used to select the level for CTP (Fig 1). Because the oxygen-sensing region spanned 5 mm from the tip of the probe, the axial level corresponding to the most inferior aspect of the probe was used. CTP images were acquired during rapid bolus injection (4 mL/second) of nonionic iodinated contrast agent (Omnipaque 300, 40 mL total; Amersham, Princeton, NJ) via a peripheral (usually forearm) vein. Dynamic (cine) scanning (80 kVp, 200 mA) was repeated at this single axial section (10-mm collimation) after a 5-second delay.
for total of 50 images at a rate of one per second. All CTP studies were transferred in DICOM format to an imaging workstation (Advantage Workstation, GE Medical Systems) by means of an optical disk and reviewed by using deconvolution-based CTP analysis software (CT Perfusion2, GE Medical Systems). A single investigator (W.S.S.) who was blinded to brain-tissue oxygen values and other patient characteristics performed all analyses. Standard threshold values in Hounsfield units were used to exclude air and bone (and consequently the metallic tip of the oxygen probe) from analysis. MTT, CBV, and CBF were measured for a standard circular 350-mm² ROI that encompassed the sensing area of the oxygen probe (Fig 1A). Color maps were created for MTT, CBV, and CBF by using a standard color scale (Fig 1B). For subjects who underwent multiple CT studies after probe placement as part of their regular clinical care, CTP was concurrently performed if possible and the studies included in the dataset.

**Statistical Analysis**

All computer-derived and manually acquired physiologic data and CTP results were entered into a spreadsheet (Excel; Microsoft, Redmond, WA). Mean arterial and cerebral perfusion pressures were calculated from this raw data. Characteristics of the cohort were described as overall frequencies or as mean ± standard deviation as appropriate. The Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient was determined for the relationship between $P_{brO_2}$ or other physiologic parameters and MTT, CBV, or CBF, as the distribution for MTT, CBV, CBF, and $P_{brO_2}$ were approximately normal. Cerebral perfusion pressure and inspired oxygen content have been shown to influence $P_{brO_2}$ after brain injury (13, 14). Therefore, a multivariable model was developed to ascertain whether these factors influenced any relationship between MTT and $P_{brO_2}$. Because variables may show correlation between studies performed in the same subject, linear regression tends to cause overestimation of the precision of results. Therefore, generalized estimating equations were used to account for clustering of observations within individuals. The leverage and Cook distance were determined for each data point for the relationship between $P_{brO_2}$ or other physiologic parameters and MTT on CTP. After we adjusted for FiO₂ and cerebral perfusion pressure, $P_{brO_2}$ was independently associated with MTT on CTP. From these multivariable models, the relationship between $P_{brO_2}$ and MTT was independent of other physiologic parameters and that which is independent of other physiologic parameters and MTT, CBV, or CBF, as the distribution for MTT, CBV, CBF, and $P_{brO_2}$ was approximately normal. Cerebral perfusion pressure and inspired oxygen content have been shown to influence $P_{brO_2}$ after brain injury (13, 14). Therefore, a multivariable model was developed to ascertain whether these factors influenced any relationship between MTT and $P_{brO_2}$. Because variables may show correlation between studies performed in the same subject, linear regression tends to cause overestimation of the precision of results. Therefore, generalized estimating equations were used to account for clustering of observations within individuals. The leverage and Cook distance were determined for each data point for the relationship between $P_{brO_2}$ and MTT to determine whether any data point exerted disproportionate influence on this relationship (15). All statistical analyses were performed by using software (Stata version 8.0; Stata Corp., College Station, TX), and $P < .05$ indicated a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

Nineteen subjects (17 men, two women; median age, 45 years; age range, 19–76 years) underwent 22 CTP studies. Fifteen subjects had traumatic brain injury, and four had nontraumatic intracerebral hemorrhage. Time from injury to placement of the brain-tissue oxygen probe was 15 ± 10 hours, and time from probe placement to CTP was 57 ± 51 hours. Table 1 summarizes the physiologic characteristics of the subjects during CTP and their findings.

$P_{brO_2}$ values during CTP were 2.7–54.4 mm Hg. On CTP, MTT for the ROI around the brain-tissue oxygen probe was 1.86–5.79 seconds, CBV was 15.2–149.2 mL/100 g/min. Despite individual variability, $P_{brO_2}$ had a significant inverse correlation with MTT ($r = 0.50, P = .017$) but no relationship with CBV ($r = 0.21$, $P = .36$) or CBF ($r = 0.27, P = .22$) (Fig 2).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first report of dynamic CTP as a tool for evaluating specific brain ROIs in patients undergoing advanced neuronomonitoring after acute brain injury. Others have considered the possibility of using advanced physiologic neuroimaging to validate and correlate measures from intraparenchymal brain metabolic monitors. In two studies of Xe CT for measuring quantitative CBF, $P_{brO_2}$ varied directly with CBF (9, 10). In a study of positron emission tomography (PET), change in $P_{brO_2}$ was correlated with change in end-capillary O₂ tension despite the lack of correlation between the absolute values of these measures (16).

Variability in the $P_{brO_2}$-MTT relationship (Fig 2) suggested that $P_{brO_2}$ did not entirely account for MTT. Therefore, multivariable analysis was undertaken to determine whether the relationship between $P_{brO_2}$ and MTT was independent of other physiologic parameters that have been demonstrated to influence $P_{brO_2}$. In this multivariable model including $P_{brO_2}$, $F_{O_2}$, and cerebral perfusion pressure, only $P_{brO_2}$ was independently associated with MTT on CTP. After we adjusted for $F_{O_2}$ and cerebral perfusion pressure, each 10-mm Hg decrease in $P_{brO_2}$ was associated with an increase in MTT of about 0.5 second.

**Table 1:** Physiologic variables in 22 subjects during CTP and CTP findings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean arterial pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>98 ± 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracranial pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>15 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral perfusion pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>83 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{O_2}$</td>
<td>0.41 ± 0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P_{brO_2}$ (mm Hg)</td>
<td>27.6 ± 13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Transit Time (second)</td>
<td>3.83 ± 1.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBV (mL/100 g)</td>
<td>3.94 ± 1.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBF (mL/100 g/min)</td>
<td>59.7 ± 38.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—Data are the mean ± standard deviation.

**Table 2:** Multivariable model of the relationship between physiologic parameters and MTT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>95% Confidence Interval</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_{brO_2}$ (mm Hg)</td>
<td>−0.42</td>
<td>−0.72, −0.12</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F_{O_2}$</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>−0.18, 42.6</td>
<td>.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebral perfusion pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>−0.38, 0.46</td>
<td>.85</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Expressed per 10-mm Hg change in $P_{brO_2}$ and cerebral perfusion pressure and per 0.10 change in $F_{O_2}$. 

In summary, our results are consistent with the hypothesis that variations in the MTT-PbrO₂ relationship may be better explained by variations in cerebral perfusion pressure than by variations in $P_{brO_2}$.
and weaknesses, so do each of these various types of physiologic neuroimaging. Additional studies such as ours will help to validate and better elucidate these important invasive and noninvasive technologies.

**Strengths and Limitations of CTP**

The major strength of CTP is that it is easily performed with most modern CT scanners, and it can be added as a sequence to CT that is already being performed as part of regular clinical care, without the need for additional technical personnel. Because contrast material is injected intravenously, as with other enhanced CT studies, no additional inhalational apparatus is required, as with Xe CT, and no access to radioisotopic material is necessary, as with PET. Xe CT and PET are expensive and require research staff and specialized equipment that may be available in only a few centers (18). Even newer MR techniques can be unwieldy in critically injured patients. With CTP, a nondiffusible indicator method is used to assess CBF, whereas Xe CT involves a diffusible tracer method (7). When these methods were directly compared, they are highly correlated, but CTP may tend to cause overestimation of CBF when parenchymal regions that include arterial branches are assessed (19, 20). Even with potential limitations, the ubiquitous use of CT to evaluate and treat patients with acute head injury and stroke makes the investigation of CTP of practical importance. Whether it provides physiologic neuroimaging that can be integrated into new approaches to multimodality monitoring in neurocritical care remains to be determined.

Exploration of CTP in patients with acute intracerebral hemorrhage (21) and acute traumatic brain injury is just beginning. CTP imaging was used in patients with traumatic brain injury to obtain information about cerebral autoregulation complementary to that provided by measurements of cerebral perfusion pressure alone (22). Although use of CTP in investigations of acute ischemic stroke is expanding, whether assumptions used to determine measured parameters, especially quantitative blood flow, translate as reliably in this setting as to other disorders is unclear. The accuracy of CTP depends on an intact blood-brain barrier (18), and during software analysis, selection of an appropriate arterial input function with which to compare the ROI is required. In acute ischemic stroke, most single-section CTP studies are performed at the level of the third ventricle, whereas in our study, the section of interest was always closer to the vertex to correspond to the level of the brain-tissue oxygen probe. To minimize variability in CTP study methods, we also chose a standard circular ROI of fixed size instead of manually adjusting the ROI to conform to white matter. Our mean CBF values were notably higher than those of previous studies of Xe CT to investigate white matter flow, though most of our values were within reported ranges (9, 10, 23). Although results of correlation studies between Xe CT and CTP are encouraging, some of our CTP-derived CBF values could have been overestimated as a result of technical aspects in performing CTP and interpreting the findings. (For example, a small amount of gray matter might have been included in the ROI because of partial-volume averaging, a cortical vein or artery might have been included in the ROI, or assumptions about blood-brain integrity might have been inaccurate.) Although post hoc exclusion of patients with $\text{CBF} < 100 \text{mL/100 g/min}$ did not change the results, this is clearly an important area for future clarification regarding CTP in patients with disorders other than ischemic stroke (18, 24). A comparison of focal CBF measured concurrently by using CTP and a parenchymal blood flow probe, such as the currently available thermal-diffusion probe, would best address this issue (25).

**Physiology of Microvascular Perfusion**

The idea that measures of microvascular perfusion might be correlated with focially measured tissue oxygen tension makes intuitive sense. It is well known that oxygen gradients exist in the microcirculation, and it is further hypothesized that microvascular pathways with higher flow have higher oxygen content (26). This supports the idea that the direct measurement of tissue oxygen tension by using microelectrodes or the evaluation of ROIs by using physiologic neuroimaging, such as CTP, may provide measures of the regional pool of available oxygen better than just calculations of the global arterial oxygen content. The fact that MTT is correlated with $P_{br}O_2$ suggests that $P_{br}O_2$ is more a measure of microvascular flow than a
marker of actual tissue ischemia. Emerging results in animals and humans support this view (8, 27).

CBF represents volume over time. We evaluated MTT and CBV from CTP in addition to the more familiar CBF because this allowed us to assess which component of CBF, time or volume, was most related to \( P_{brO2} \). In a previous study of noninjured anesthetized swine, we found a strong relationship between directly measured CBF and \( P_{brO2} \) in the subset undergoing both measurements (8). In studies of Xe CT in patients with head trauma, \( P_{brO2} \) varied directly with CBF (9, 10), though the correlation was considerably weaker than that of the swine study. The fact that CBF was not related to \( P_{brO2} \) in this study raises important questions about microvascular cerebral physiology. Although the injured state in humans may possibly alter the normal \( P_{brO2} \)-CBF relationship found in noninjured swine, this would disagree with previous results for CBF measured with Xe CT. More likely, the time required for blood to travel through tissue, as represented by MTT, is a more precise correlate of \( P_{brO2} \) than actual volume of blood over time. Whether this lack of correlation between CBF and \( P_{brO2} \) is due to dissociation between blood volume and transit time in the pathologically injured brain (as might occur with local edema or a depressed cerebral metabolic rate) or more related to methodologic issues with CTP assessment of CBF requires further evaluation.

**Study Limitations and Future Directions**

This study had several limitations related to both the specific cohort and the overall controversies about CTP. Primarily because of logistical and personnel availability, a consecutive convenience sample of subjects undergoing both CTP and \( P_{brO2} \) monitoring, rather than the entire consecutive cohort of patients undergoing \( P_{brO2} \), was included for this initial study of feasibility and correlation. For similar reasons, CTP studies were performed at varying times after initial placement of the brain oxygen monitor. Also, the cohort included subjects with both traumatic brain injury and nontraumatic intracerebral hemorrhage. In the context of this study, it is difficult to determine whether these factors influenced the relationship between CTP parameters and \( P_{brO2} \). However, this heterogeneity between subjects should, if anything, diminish rather than enhance correlation. Furthermore, to be clinically useful, any correlation between neuroimaging and advanced neuromonitoring should be independent of disease and of the time of measurement. Certainly, other routinely used measures, such as mean arterial pressure, intracranial pressure, and \( F_{O2} \), are not valid for only a particular disease or time of measurement. Also, because of technical issues, we were unable to measure end-tidal \( CO_2 \) concentrations during the CTP studies. However, because the same ventilator settings were maintained by using transport ventilators, end-tidal \( CO_2 \) likely remained stable. Although this would not affect the primary relationship between MTT and \( P_{brO2} \), it did not allow us to consider end-tidal \( CO_2 \) in a multivariable model. For four studies, physiologic information was not collected in the CT scanner; therefore, parameters collected immediately after the patients’ return to the intensive care unit were used. During these studies, \( P_{brO2} \) was essentially the same before and after CT, and other physiologic and ventilatory parameters were maintained during transport. However, minor changes could not be ruled out. We believe that these issues did not influence our main findings because sensitivity analyses adjusted for time from probe placement to CT, using only patients with head trauma, or using only studies in which physiologic parameters were collected during CT yielded similar results, although they had less power to detect the relationship of interest.

Several important questions remain. We chose to test the hypothesis of a linear relationship between \( P_{brO2} \) and MTT, both in single pairwise correlation and in a multivariable model. Although this relationship was statistically significant, we observed notable variability between subjects, as shown in Figure 2. This variability is not surprising given that, in our study of noninjured anesthetized swine, baseline \( P_{brO2} \) varied from 21.6 to 65.1 mm Hg (8). Individual variability in baseline or postinjury microcirculatory oxygen gradients may affect the relationship between MTT and \( P_{brO2} \). Although none of the data points exerted disproportionate leverage or influence on the \( P_{brO2} \)-MTT relationship, a straight line might not have been the best way to describe this relationship. We had relatively few patients with very low \( P_{brO2} \) because, in this elective study, we deferred CTP in patients who were clinically unstable. Therefore, it would be premature to suggest that a specific MTT cutoff point can be used to define brain tissue hypoxia. The next step in these investigations should be the prospective use of CTP in a larger cohort of patients with acute brain injury, such as head trauma and intracerebral hemorrhage. Questions about the usefulness and reliability of CTP for assessing baseline flow, autoregulation, and physiologic reserve can be assessed for these conditions. A larger study should also address the usefulness of CTP in predicting clinical outcomes and in potentially guiding therapy to improve outcomes. In addition, improvements in the methods of CTP analysis can be more formally assessed (24). Prospective use of CTP before placement of brain-tissue oxygen monitors should also be studied to determine whether CTP can be used to target a region at high risk for tissue hypoxia for probe placement.

**Conclusion**

On dynamic CTP imaging, MTT is correlated with \( P_{brO2} \) and this relationship is independent of cerebral perfusion pressure and \( F_{O2} \). Because CTP is feasible with current CT technology, this type of physiologic neuroimaging could potentially be integrated into emerging approaches for multimodality monitoring of the cerebral metabolic state in acute brain injury.
Because of the complexity of the postinjury state, a single monitor is unlikely to provide complete information. The next step is to determine whether the practical availability of CTP can be harnessed as a useful tool to initially evaluate acute brain injury and as a complimentary tool to improve techniques of advanced metabolic brain monitoring.
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