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BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Despite improved patient outcomes because of intraarterial fibrinolysis, vertebrobasilar thromboembolism remains a fatal disease with a death rate of more than 50%. The outcome depends on the success of recanalization. Fibrinolysis achieves recanalization in only 50%–70% of the cases. Therefore, we investigated the feasibility of using a coronary mechanical device to increase the recanalization rate.

METHODS: Twelve patients with acute vertebrobasilar occlusion were included in the pilot study. The older 5F and the new 4F versions of the Possis Angiojet catheter, which use a waterjet to attract, fragment, and extract the thrombus, were used. Inclusion depended on the presence of acute multiesegmental intracranial or any extracranial vertebrobasilar occlusion. Exclusion criteria included coma lasting >8 hours and age >80. The Angiojet treatment did not exclude other therapeutic options.

RESULTS: The Angiojet catheter accessed the thrombosed site in 10 of 12 patients. Combined treatment with the Angiojet and additional fibrinolysis or angioplasty resulted in a recanalization rate of 100%. Of 37 occluded vertebrobasilar segments, 30 were primarily recanalized with the Angiojet. Three symptomatic and two asymptomatic hemorrhages were detected by CT. Five of the 12 patients died. Fifty percent of all patients obtained a moderate to excellent outcome (Modified Rankin Scale 0–3).

CONCLUSIONS: Use of the coronary Angiojet in the vertebrobasilar system is feasible. The device has the potential to increase the recanalization rate, especially in cases of extensive thrombosis, and, thus, improve patient outcomes.
nary arteries (18). Successful recanalizations of three cervical carotid arteries and five dural sinuses have also been reported (19–23). In this prospective study, we investigated the feasibility of using the coronary Angiojet in acute VBO.

**Methods**

**Patients**

From March 1999 through October 2003, consecutive patients having acute extensive VBO with progressive brain stem stroke were included in a prospective single-center study to evaluate the off-label use of the coronary Angiojet rheolytic catheter. Inclusion criteria included occlusion of multiple intracranial vertebrobasilar segments, an occlusion site in the extracranial VA confirmed by intraarterial angiography, and a diameter of >2 mm in the vessel to be treated. The institutional ethics committee approved the study. Treatment with the Angiojet rheolytic system was initiated after obtaining the informed written consent of the patient, if possible, or of the relatives.

Patients were excluded from the study if their coma had lasted >8 hours before treatment or if the patient was >80 years of age. Further exclusion criteria for the use of the Angiojet were an acute intracerebral hematoma and extensive brain stem or thalamic infarction detected by CT or MR.

**Device**

The Possis Angiojet consists of a high-pressure pulsatile pump that is connected with a 4F coronary catheter XMI (used in five patients) or a 5F catheter LF 140 (used in seven patients). The Angiojet catheter has a small-caliber, stainless steel tip and high-pressure tubing. It is based on the principle of Bernoulli, according to which high-speed saline jets create a low-pressure region at the tip. This low-pressure zone pulls the thrombus from the artery. Once inside the jet, the thrombus is fragmentized into particles smaller than erythrocytes and is evacuated through the device’s larger lumen, as described elsewhere (24, 25).

The stiffness of the coronary Angiojet afforded a proximally stronger supporting (coronary) microwire instead of the more floppy neurovascular microwires (Choice PT extra support 0.014 inch; Boston Scientific, Natick, MA).

**Technique**

All procedures were performed under systemic heparinization with an activated clotting time (ACT) of about 200–250 seconds. Intracranial acute VBO was confirmed by a four-vessel angiography including both the VA and the carotid artery. A 6F guiding catheter was placed proximal to the atlas loop of the VA. A floppy microwire (Transend 10; Boston Scientific) and a microcatheter (Prowler 10; Cordis Johnson & Johnson, Miami, FL) were advanced through the thrombus to verify the extension of the occlusion by a subsequent selective angiography. A 3-meter-long Choice PT extra support microwire was introduced, which caused a straightening of the first atlas loop; the microcatheter was removed, and finally the Angiojet was inserted into the distal VA. Then, one or two passes (first, from proximal to distal, and, second, distal to proximal) with the Angiojet were performed at a rate of 1–2 mm/s.

Altogether, the preparation of the Angiojet system, introduction of the microcatheter, exchange of the microwire, and introduction of the Angiojet catheter took about 30 minutes. Additional fibrinolysis by recombinant tissue plasminogen activator (rt-PA) and the administration of a GP-IIb/IIIa platelet receptor inhibitor (tirofiban) were allowed. Intracranial stenoses, which could cause rethrombosis, could be treated by balloon or stent angioplasty (2.5–4-mm coronary stent; Medtronic, Santa Rosa, CA).

**Evaluation**

Vessel patency was measured by the thrombolysis in myocardial infarction (TIMI) score: TIMI 0 (no perfusion), TIMI 1 (penetration without perfusion), TIMI 2 (partial perfusion), TIMI 3 (complete perfusion). Occlusion was defined as TIMI 0 or 1, and patency as TIMI 2 or 3.

Procedure-related complications were defined as vessel injury (dissection or rupture), Pre- and postprocedural brain CT scans were regularly performed in all patients. Additional MR imaging were performed in four patients before and in seven patients after treatment. MR images and CT scans were examined for the presence and size of any related ischemic changes or hemorrhage.

The initial neurologic status before the endovascular treatment was rated by an experienced vascular neurologist by using the National Institutes of Health stroke scale and the Glasgow coma scale. Clinical outcome was measured by using the modified Rankin scale (MR spectroscopy) at 90 days post-treatment by an independent, experienced neurologist who was not blinded to the type of treatment.

**Results**

Twelve patients with acute occlusions of the VA or BA (three women and nine men; mean age, 56 years; range, 40–73 years) met the inclusion criteria. Eleven patients had had an acute, severely progressive brain stem stroke 5–216 hours (this time window was irrelevant for inclusion) before the endovascular procedure; seven of them had been in a coma for 3–8 hours (only the duration of coma was relevant for inclusion).

**Angiographic and Interventional Findings**

The location and extensiveness of the VBO are summarized in Table 1; for an illustrative case, see Figs 1–6.

A total of 37 vertebrobasilar vessel segments were occluded in the 12 patients, and all were recanalized. This resulted in a recanalization rate of 100% for the combined endovascular treatment. Initially more than three vessel segments were thrombosed per patient.

Access to the occlusion site was achieved in 10 patients by using the Angiojet. In nine of these 10 patients and in 30 of the total 31 occluded vessel segments, the thrombus could be completely removed by the Angiojet alone. Recanalization with the Angiojet failed in one segment of one patient. In this case, another pass with the Angiojet was not performed, because of the small size of the remaining thrombus. Instead, the procedure was completed with intraarterial fibrinolysis, which achieved complete recanalization (patient 12). In another case fibrinolysis was applied after the Angiojet treatment because of the thrombosis of a periprocedurally implanted VA stent, which resolved (patient 1). In another two patients unsuccessful fibrinolysis preceded the use of the Angiojet (patients 3 and 9). Distal embolization of a thrombus fragment into the posterior cerebral artery occurred in one patient, but it was not further
treated (patient 2). Because of intracranial arteriosclerotic stenoses at the site of the thrombosis, one patient received additional balloon angioplasty (patient 5), and four patients were treated with stent angioplasty (patients 1, 7, 8, and 10) after the Angiojet procedure. Two intracranial stenoses were left untreated. Tirofiban was administered to five patients with stenoses after the Angiojet treatment.

In two of the 12 patients, the Angiojet could not be advanced beyond the atlas loops to the occlusion site because of the stiffness of the 5F device (there was no access failure of the new 4F device). Thus, balloon angioplasty (patient 4) and intraarterial fibrinolysis (patient 6) had to be performed in these cases and lead to successful recanalization. No dissection or vessel rupture due to the Angiojet was observed in this study.

### Intracranial Hematoma and Ischemic Lesions

Hemorrhages and pre- and post-treatment ischemic infarctions revealed by CT and/or MR imaging are presented in Table 2. Intracranial hematoma occurred in three of 10 patients treated by the Angiojet. They were associated with additional rt-PA or tirofiban treatment; one of them was symptomatic (patient 1).

Symptomatic hemorrhages were also observed in both patients in whom the Angiojet could not be advanced beyond the atlas loops (patients 4 and 6).

Ten patients had various ischemic infarctions in the territory of the posterior circulation. One patient died of malignant cerebellar infarction (patient 3).

### Clinical Outcome

The clinical results after 3 months are summarized in Table 2. Seven of the 10 patients treated by the Angiojet survived. Four of these seven patients had only minimal or no deficits (Modified Rankin Scale [MRS] 0–1), and two patients experienced a relatively favorable outcome with an MRS of 3. One patient had a poor outcome, because he suffered from a locked-in syndrome (MRS 5). Both patients who could not be treated by the Angiojet died.

### Discussion

Our pilot study found that the Angiojet achieves a high recanalization rate in acute VBO, even in cases of extensive thrombosis, which is unlikely to be recanalized by fibrinolysis (7). This suggests that patients with extended caudal basilar or vertebral occlusions might profit most from the use of the Angiojet.

The thrombus reached by the Angiojet could be quickly and almost completely removed. This depends, however, on the possibility of intracranial access of the Angiojet, which failed in two of 12 patients in the current study. The use of the new 4F coronary catheter seemed to ensure more reliable access to the BA than the older 5F catheter.

Because the extent of brain damage is related to the severity and duration of ischemia (11, 26), patients should be treated as early as possible and the recanalization procedure should be as brief as possible. Fibrinolysis by rt-PA or urokinase regularly requires about 1.5–2.5 hours to dissolve the clot. The time needed for the diagnostic angiography and positioning of the microcatheter must be added (11). By contrast, the Angiojet requires only the time needed for positioning plus the time for the angiography.

Another disadvantage of fibrinolysis is that fragments of the thrombus migrate distally into the posterior cerebral arteries in about 50% of all cases (according to our unpublished cases treated with intraarterial fibrinolysis). This occurred in only one of 10 cases when the Angiojet was used. The placement of a balloon in the subclavian artery, which induces backflow, can provide proximal protection in such a situation (27).

Other mechanical devices allowing for recanalization of BA thrombosis have been described in case reports and small series. It was possible to reach an intracranial position with a 4F catheter to aspirate the thrombus in young patients who had nontortuous vessels (28, 29). Retrievers were used to fragment the

### Table 1: Patient characteristics and angiographical results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient (no.)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>BA, Top</th>
<th>BA, Mid</th>
<th>BA, Caudal</th>
<th>V4</th>
<th>Vc</th>
<th>Catheter Access</th>
<th>PreTIMI jet</th>
<th>PostTIMI jet</th>
<th>TIMI end</th>
<th>Embolism</th>
<th>% Stenosis Site</th>
<th>Angioplasty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>5F Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>95, V4</td>
<td>Stent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>5F</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>P2</td>
<td>50, V1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>5F Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>70, V1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>5F</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>70, V1</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>5F</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>70, BA</td>
<td>Stent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>5F</td>
<td></td>
<td>No</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>80, BA</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td></td>
<td>5F Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>80, V4</td>
<td>Stent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>4F</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>90, BA</td>
<td>Stent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>4F</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>90, BA</td>
<td>Stent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>4F</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>90, BA</td>
<td>Stent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>4F</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>80, V4</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>x</td>
<td>4F</td>
<td></td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: —*: no access-no angiojet treatment possible; BA: basilar artery; n.a.: not applicable; V1: origin of the vertebral artery; V4: intracranial segment of the vertebral artery; Vc: cervical segments of the vertebral artery; x: occluded segments.
thrombus (30) or to remove the embolus by using a snare (31, 32), a spiral (33), or a net. The latter did not function without flow reversal (33, 34) but was successful after backflow was induced (27, 34). All these means of mechanical recanalization were limited to use with small emboli.

Rethrombosis due to intracranial stenosis is a frequent problem in atherothrombotic VBO (35, 36). Fibrinolysis may exacerbate it by activating the coagulation cascade, and the resulting fibrin fragments may mask heparin (37). Angioplasty and stent placement have proved quite successful in intracranial artery stenosis (38–44), but there are only a few reports about their use in acute stroke (11, 45–47). Because balloon angioplasty is often insufficient or may cause dissection, we preferred stent placement for intracranial stenosis. A drawback of stent placement in acute VBO, however, is the obligatory requirement of antiplatelet treatment, which might increase the risk of intracranial hemorrhage, especially following intraarterial fibrinolysis.

Five intracranial bleedings were documented in the current study, two of them in patients not treated (intended to treat) by the Angiojet. Four of these
hemorrhages occurred in patients who received fibrinolysis or GP-IIbIIIa thrombocyte receptor blockers. One patient who received both, in addition to the Angiojet treatment, died. This single case is in contrast to case reports and small series, in which the combination of intraarterial or systemic fibrinolysis and GP-IIbIIIa thrombocyte receptor blockers yielded good results and seemed to be superior to fibrinolysis alone (35–37, 48).

In a recent study investigating systemic treatment of basilar thrombosis by rt-PA (49), the authors report a recanalization rate of about 50%. This suggests the possibility of a “bridging” model, which would use mechanical recanalization in those cases, in which systemic treatment fails. Therefore, a prospective multicenter study of the treatment of caudal thrombotic occlusions with the Angiojet is now called for.

Conclusion

It is feasible to use the Angiojet to treat VA and BA thrombosis and embolism. In light of the small number of cases in the present series, no definitive statement can yet be made on the efficacy and safety of this novel endovascular device. Caudal and multisegmental vertebrobasilar artery occlusions seem to be indications for the application of the Angiojet, a technique that may help optimize recanalization and thus improve clinical outcome of VBO patients.
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