

Are your MRI contrast agents cost-effective?

Learn more about generic Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents.



AJNR

Pitfalls in Lactate Measurements at 3T

T. Lange, U. Dydak, T.P.L. Roberts, H.A. Rowley, M. Bjeljac and P. Boesiger

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2006, 27 (4) 895-901

<http://www.ajnr.org/content/27/4/895>

This information is current as of April 17, 2024.




Fig 1. Single-voxel spectra acquired at 1.5T from the brain of a patient with a high-grade glioma by using PRESS localization. *B*, TE = 144 ms. *C*, TE = 288 ms. Cho indicates choline; Lac, lactate; NAA, *N*-acetylaspartate.

the lactate resonance has completely vanished for TE = 144 ms due to anomalous J-modulation (Fig 2).

The third patient example (Fig 3), carried out on different systems, shows lactate peaks of similar relative amplitude with TE = 144 ms and TE = 288 ms at 1.5T. The lactate signal loss, which is expected with TE = 288 ms compared with TE = 144 ms because of T2 relaxation, is approximately compensated by the signal loss due to anomalous J-modulation with TE = 144 ms. At 3T, however, anomalous J-modulation gives rise to a complete disappearance of the lactate peak.

Phantom Measurements

A standard brain metabolite phantom containing 5 mmol/L of lactate was measured on 3 3T MR imaging scanners from 3 different vendors (GE Healthcare, Philips Medical Systems, and Siemens Medical Solutions). Single-voxel MR spectra (volume of interest [VOI] size = $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cm³, PRESS localization) were acquired from the same volume once with TE = 144 ms and once with TE = 288 ms. The lactate peak seen at 1.33 ppm for TE = 288 ms would be expected to be smaller than the inverted doublet for TE = 144 ms as a result of T2 relaxation. However, at 3T, spectra from all 3 MR systems show significantly larger lactate resonances at TE = 288 ms than at TE = 144 ms. For one system, the lactate peak has even disappeared completely. This disappearance demonstrates that a significant amount of the lactate signal is lost (not visible) at TE = 144 ms (Fig 4).

To quantify the signal loss due to anomalous J-modulation on the basis of the phantom spectra acquired for TE = 144 ms and TE = 288 ms, one would have to determine the T2 relaxation constant of lactate first. Since the relaxation constant of lactate for the used brain metabolite phantom was unknown and difficult to determine with high precision, a different approach was chosen: MR spectroscopic imaging (MRSI) offers the possibility of dispensing with spatially selective refocusing pulses because the MRSI slice can be selected only by the excitation pulse, and the spatial encoding within the slice is achieved with phase-encoding only. However, in a standard MRSI measurement protocol without PRESS localization,

both the excitation pulse and the refocusing pulse, which is needed for the echo formation, are slice-selective on some scanners, leading again to some signal loss. To estimate the amount of signal loss due to anomalous J-modulation, we acquired MRSI datasets once with ("standard" MRSI sequence) and once without the slice-selection gradient during the refocusing pulse. Theory predicts that the overall signal loss at TE = 144 ms is the same for a standard MRSI sequence as for a single-voxel protocol using PRESS (Appendix). It can also be calculated that no signal loss should occur for lactate for a standard MRSI measurement with TE = 288 ms. Therefore, to validate the theoretic predictions experimentally, MRSI datasets were acquired for these 2 echo times. Postprocessing of the spectra included exponential filtering of the time domain signal cosine filtering in k-space, and B₀ correction.

Figure 5 shows the results of the MRSI measurements without PRESS. For echo times of 144 ms and 288 ms, one representative MRSI voxel is shown (acquired once with [Figs 5A, -C] and once without a refocusing pulse gradient [Figs 5B, -D], respectively).

Integrating the modulus spectra and comparing the results with and without anomalous J-modulation yielded a signal loss of 72.2% for TE = 144 ms, whereas the signal intensity was approximately the same for TE = 288 ms. Theoretic calculations taking into account the chemical shift difference of the coupled nuclei and the bandwidth of the used refocusing pulses yield a relative signal loss of 81.2% for TE = 144 ms,





Fig 2. Single-voxel spectra acquired at 3T from the brain of a patient with a grade III glioma by using PRESS localization. *B*, TE = 144 ms. *C*, TE = 288 ms. Cr indicates creatine.

whereas for TE = 288 ms, no signal loss due to anomalous J-modulation is predicted (Appendix).

Thus the measured signal loss is consistent with the theoretically expected value. In contrast to MRSI without PRESS, theory also predicts a small signal loss of 6.8% at TE = 288 ms when using PRESS localization for single-voxel experiments. However, this loss only needs to be taken into account when high-precision quantification is desired.

Discussion

Detection of lactate using MR spectroscopy plays an important clinical role in the assessment of a number of brain abnormalities, including tumor, stroke, and mitochondrial disorders. The presence of lactate in the context of a tumor can be considered diagnostic for glioblastoma multiforme. Lactate is also elevated as a consequence of mitochondrial abnormalities in neurodegenerative disorders such as Huntington disease. Since for very short echo times, there are often residual fat peaks visible in the spectral region of the lactate doublet, one has to resort to echo times near multiples of $1/J$ (J being the coupling constant) for proper lactate detection and quantification. As an inverted doublet can be discriminated more easily against other resonances like lipids, PRESS with TE = 144 ms is often considered the most appropriate method for unambiguous lactate detection at 1.5T. Although the effect of anomalous J-modulation and the potential signal loss for lactate at TE = 144 ms have been discussed in the literature,^{3,4} little attention has been given to this phenomenon in clinical routine so far. Neglecting this effect can potentially lead to a severe misinterpretation in clinical diagnosis as shown in our examples. The extent of the signal loss due to anomalous J-modulation can vary considerably depending on the field strength, the used coil, and the sequence parameters. A practical recommendation for clinical MR spectroscopy at 3T is to perform a phantom study at TE = 144 ms and TE = 288 ms; if the lactate signal intensity at TE = 144 ms is less than that seen at TE = 288 ms, it is recommended not to use TE = 144 ms, but rather only TE = 288 ms in clinical examinations, although in general, the sensitivity decreases with longer echo times because of T2 relaxation. However, the



quantitative influence of anomalous J-modulation can be different in specific *in vivo* examples, in which inhomogeneous metabolite distributions can either aggravate or attenuate the effect compared with *in vitro* experiments.

Strategies to prevent or alleviate the signal loss due to anomalous J-modulation are discussed elsewhere in detail³⁻⁵ but usually require changes in the scanner software. An approach implemented on several clinical scanners is to saturate the region of spin-selective refocusing with outer volume suppression pulses before excitation. For example, on some scanners, quadratic-phase suppression pulses have been implemented for this purpose,⁶ but they suppress the effect only partially, because they are not specifically tuned for lactate detection as can be seen both from *in vivo* and phantom examples in this article. However, at higher field strengths than 3T, which have now become available for human studies as well, the chemical shift displacement will be so pronounced that this work-around will be less effective.

Another approach for high-field systems is using pulses with much larger bandwidths, such as adiabatic pulses, to alleviate the chemical shift displacement. However, since ordinary adiabatic pulses are usually not spatially selective, they cannot be used in PRESS sequences. Localization by adiabatic selective refocusing (LASER) applies pairs of large-bandwidth adiabatic full passage pulses for volume selection and echo formation at the same time and will




Fig 3. Multivoxel spectra acquired 1 hour apart at 1.5T and 3T from the same region in the brain of a patient with MELAS, by using standard PRESS localization with TE = 144 ms and TE = 288 ms. An inverted lactate doublet is clearly visible at 1.5T, but not at 3T (arrows). Upright lactate peaks at TE = 288 are seen equally well at both field strengths (arrows).

probably be the method of choice for localization in high-field spectroscopy applications in the future.⁷ A further popular localization technique is STimulated Echo Acquisition Mode (STEAM), which uses 3 90° pulses giving rise to a stimulated echo. Since 90° pulses have much larger bandwidths than 180° pulses, the chemical shift displacement is far less severe. However, for STEAM, the signal intensity of coupled resonances not only varies with TE but also shows a strong modulation governed by the mixing time between the second and third pulse.¹ Furthermore, MRSI offers the possibility to dispense with spatially selective refocusing pulses and, therefore, prevent the signal cancellation. However, MRSI protocols without PRESS localization are usually not implemented on purely clinical scanners, and very good outer-volume suppression is required for this approach to prevent the spectra from being impaired by subcutaneous fat signal.

Appendix

The signal cancellation by anomalous J-modulation for coupled resonances arises from the chemical shift displacement artifact. The relative voxel displacement for 2 protons with chemical shifts δ_1 and δ_2 equals the ratio of the chemical shift difference ($\Delta\omega_{CS} = \delta_1 - \delta_2$) and the bandwidth of the RF pulse

($\Delta\omega_{RF}$) used for volume selection. For the signal intensity of the methyl (CH₃) resonance of lactate at 1.33 ppm, the signal loss can be understood as follows: Due to this chemical shift displacement, the volume selected by one single refocusing pulse decomposes into a region in which both the CH₃ and the methine protons of the lactate molecule are affected by the pulse (“nonselective” pulse) and a region in which only the methyl spin is inverted (“selective” pulse), and therefore the coupling evolution is refocused. This leads to a superposition of signal with different phases giving rise to signal cancellation in the spectrum. The volume selected by a PRESS sequence, which uses 2 refocusing pulses, consists of 4 partial volumes with different phase evolutions, depending on whether none, one, or both of the 2 refocusing pulses are spin-selective (Fig 6). In a PRESS sequence, the signal loss is also determined by the sequence timing because the spins are inverted twice by the 2 spatially selective refocusing pulses.⁸ By adding the signal terms for the 4 partial volumes, one can calculate the resulting signal loss. This effect, termed “anomalous J-modulation,”⁴ is particularly pronounced for TE = 144 ms, when the 2 superimposed signals from the partial volumes V₁ and V₄ have a phase difference of 180°, and therefore the cancellation is most effective, whereas for TE = 288 ms, almost no cancellation occurs (Fig 6).




Fig 4. Proton spectra acquired from a standard brain metabolite phantom containing 5 mmol/L of lactate. The measurements were performed on 3 T MR imaging scanners from 3 different vendors (Philips Medical Systems, GE Healthcare, and Siemens Medical Solutions). Single-voxel MR spectra (VOI size = $2 \times 2 \times 2$ cm³, PRESS localization) were acquired from the same volume once with TE = 144 ms and once with TE = 288 ms. Radio-frequency pulse bandwidths for the selective refocusing pulses vary between vendors in the range of 874–2300 Hz.

According to theory, the relative signal loss for lactate due to anomalous J-modulation at TE = 144 ms amounts to

$$\frac{\Delta S}{S} = 2 \frac{\Delta \omega_{CS}}{\Delta \omega_{RF}},$$

where $\Delta \omega_{CS}$ is the chemical shift difference of the coupled nuclei and $\Delta \omega_{RF}$ is the bandwidth of the refocusing pulse. At 3T the chemical shift difference between the methyl and the methine proton is 355 Hz and the refocusing pulse used in this example had a bandwidth of 874 Hz. This predicts a relative signal loss of 81.2% due to anomalous J-modulation for TE = 144 ms. The theoretic signal loss for single-voxel PRESS measurements at TE = 288 ms depends on the position of the 2 refocusing pulses within the sequence. Usually the PRESS sequence is rendered as asymmetric as possible, with the first refocusing pulse being irradiated as soon as possible (at time t_1) after the excitation pulse. For the standard PRESS sequence implemented on a 3T Philips Intera scanner, theory predicts the following signal loss due to anomalous J-modulation at TE = 288 ms:

$$\frac{\Delta S}{S} = 2 \frac{\Delta \omega_{CS}}{\Delta \omega_{RF}} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\Delta \omega_{CS}}{\Delta \omega_{RF}}\right) \cdot (1 - \cos(\pi \cdot J \cdot 2 t_1)) = 6.8\%.$$

For an MRSI sequence without PRESS localization but with

a slice-selective refocusing pulse for the echo formation, there are only 2 interfering partial volumes (corresponding to V_1 and V_4 in Fig 6). For TE = 144 ms, this leads to the same relative signal loss as for a single voxel PRESS sequence, whereas no signal loss at all occurs for TE = 288 ms.

To understand the basic behavior of the lactate resonances in a PRESS sequence, one can approximate the used pulses as ideal hard pulses. However, pulse imperfections give rise to a far more complicated evolution behavior, which was investigated analytically, simulated numerically, and discussed in detail in several publications.⁹⁻¹³ Since the chemical shift is proportional to B_0 and, because of B_1 limitations, the pulse bandwidths are approximately inversely proportional to B_0 , the chemical shift displacement and therewith the lactate signal loss due to anomalous J-modulation at TE = 144 ms roughly scale with the square of the field strength.

Acknowledgments

We thank Drs. Andy Alexander and Karl Vigen, University of Wisconsin, Madison, and Dr. D-J Wang, CHOP, Philadelphia, for helping with the acquisition of some of the spectra on the scanners of different vendors.




Fig 5. Spectra from an MRSI imaging dataset acquired at 3T from a phantom containing 10 mmol/L of NAA and 20 mmol/L of lactate without PRESS localization. *A*, TE = 144 ms, with refocusing pulse gradient. *B*, TE = 144 ms, without refocusing pulse gradient. *C*, TE = 288 ms, with refocusing pulse gradient. *D*, TE = 288 ms, without refocusing pulse gradient.




Fig 6. Partial volumes and their coupling evolution for a single-voxel PRESS experiment: The 90° excitation pulse is applied with a gradient in the z direction, whereas the 2 refocusing pulses are applied with gradients in the x and y direction, respectively. The size of the partial volumes is determined by the chemical shift displacement. The signal phase of the magnetization is determined by TE, the scalar coupling constant (J) of lactate, and the time interval (t₁) between the excitation pulse and the first refocusing pulse.

References

1. de Graaf R. *In Vivo NMR Spectroscopy: Principles and Techniques*. Chichester, UK: John Wiley and Sons Ltd; 1998:53–57
2. Allen PS, Thompson RB, Wilman AH. **Metabolite-specific NMR spectroscopy in vivo**. *NMR Biomed* 1997;10:435–44
3. Yablonskiy DA, Neil JJ, Raichle ME, et al. **Homonuclear J coupling effects in volume localized NMR spectroscopy: pitfalls and solutions**. *Magn Reson Med* 1998;39:159–78
4. Kelley DAC, Lawrence LW, Star-Lack JM. **Lactate detection at 3T: compensating J coupling effects with BASING**. *J Magn Reson Imaging* 1999;9:732–37
5. Star-Lack J, Spielman D, Adalsteinsson E, et al. **In vivo lactate editing with simultaneous detection of choline, creatine, NAA, and lipid singlets at 1.5 T using PRESS excitation with applications to the study of brain and head and neck tumors**. *J Magn Reson* 1998;133:243–54
6. Tran TK, Vigneron DB, Sailasuta N, et al. **Very selective suppression pulses for clinical MRSI studies of brain and prostate cancer**. *Magn Reson Med* 2000;43: 23–33
7. Garwood M, DelaBarre L. **The return to frequency sweep: designing adiabatic pulses for contemporary NMR**. *Magn Reson Med* 2001;153:155–77
8. Schick F, Nägele T, Klose U, et al. **Lactate quantification by means of PRESS spectroscopy: influence of refocusing pulses and timing scheme**. *Magn Reson Imaging* 1995;13:309–19
9. Jung WI, Bunse M, Lutz O. **Quantitative evaluation of the lactate signal loss and its spatial dependence in PRESS localized ¹H NMR spectroscopy**. *J Magn Reson* 2001;152:203–13
10. Marshall I, Wild JA. **Systematic study of the lactate line-shape in PRESS-localized proton spectroscopy**. *Magn Reson Med* 1998;40:72–78
11. Thompson RB, Allen PS. **Sources of variability in the response of coupled spins to the PRESS sequence and their potential impact on metabolite quantification**. *Magn Reson Med* 1999;41:112–15
12. Slotboom J, Mehlkopf AF, Bovee WMMJ. **The effects of frequency-selective RF pulses on J-coupled spin-1/2 systems**. *J Magn Reson* 1994;108:38–50
13. Maudsley AA, Govindaraju V, Young K, et al. **Numerical simulation of PRESS localized MR spectroscopy**. *J Magn Reson* 2005;173:54–63