Diffusion-Weighted MR Imaging Lesions after Filter-Protected Stenting of High-Grade Symptomatic Carotid Artery Stenoses

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: The clinical efficacy of filter devices in internal carotid artery (ICA) stent placement has been a matter of controversy. The aim of this retrospective study was to assess the number and extent of cerebral emboli, as represented by new lesions on diffusion-weighted MR imaging (DWI), in patients treated with filter-protected carotid stent placement.

METHODS: Standard DWI (B0 = 1000) was performed within 48 hours before and 48 hours after filter-protected carotid stent placement in 50 patients with symptomatic, high grade (>70%), atherosclerotic ICA stenosis. Number, extent, and vascular territory of new DWI lesions after stent placement were assessed by consensus of 2 experienced neuroradiologists. Multifactorial statistical analysis was performed to determine risk factors associated with DWI lesions.

RESULTS: New punctate DWI lesions with a median diameter of 2 mm were detected in 14 of 50 cases in the territory of the stented ICA and in 7 of 50 cases in other vascular territories. Median lesion load was 1 lesion (range, 1−15) per positive case in the stented ICA and 1 lesion (range, 1−7) in other vascular territories. All DWI lesions were clinically asymptomatic. Because of 1 hyperperfusion syndrome with temporary brain swelling, the 30-day stroke and death rate was 2%. Age ≥70 years was the only significant predictor for new DWI lesions, whereas sex, degree and site of stenosis, vascular risk factors, and stent and filter type showed no significant correlation.

CONCLUSIONS: New DWI lesions after filter-protected carotid stent placement are substantially more frequent in the ipsilateral ICA territory compared with other vascular territories. Therefore, intraluminal filters cannot completely protect the brain from procedure-related embolization. However, individual lesion load and the risk of clinically relevant ischemia is low.

Neuroprotective filters for internal carotid artery (ICA) stent placement have been developed to a high technical standard and are increasingly used for prevention against cerebral embolic complications. The current generation of filters from different manufacturers (Table 1) have pore sizes between 100 and 150 μm, which are small enough to capture embolic particles detached during the stent placement procedure and large enough for the continuation of blood flow. This is especially relevant for patients with poor cerebrovascular collateral supply, because filters are better tolerated than protection devices that use temporary balloon occlusion. The effectiveness of filter devices has been examined in experimental studies that have shown elimination of up to 96% of embolic particles with sizes greater than or equal to pore size generated in vitro or during stent placement of endarterectomy specimens.1,2

However, the recent studies of carotid stent placement without filter protection reported low rates of microemboli detected by transcranial Doppler3 and low incidence of stroke.4 As a result, the clinical effectiveness of filter devices has been questioned. In addition, the usage of filters may increase the incidence of arterial thrombosis, vasospasm, or dissection.5,6

On the other hand, the incidence of stroke after carotid stent placement is low at 3% to 5%; stroke as a sole marker for cerebral embolus is insensitive, and therefore only a large randomized study could prove the clinical benefits of filter-protected against unprotected carotid stenting, if stroke is used as the only endpoint. Therefore, alternative surrogate markers for embolic events, especially new lesions on diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI), has assumed increasing importance in the detection of subclinical microembolic events.7

To assess the extent of microembolic events and cerebral ischemia during filter-protected carotid stent placement, we have compared the DWI obtained before and after carotid stent placement to assess the number, size, and location of new lesions. Furthermore, statistical analysis was used to correlate the occurrence of DWI lesions with age, sex, degree, and site of the stenosis, technical features of the stent procedure as well as with factors indicating the status of atherosclerotic disease of the patient.

Materials and Methods

Between year 2001 and 2005, 76 patients with symptomatic, high grade, atherosclerotic carotid artery stenoses were treated by carotid stent placement with the use of a filter as an embolic protection device. Fifty consecutive patients who had DWI within 48 hours before and after stent placement were included in the study. Patients with strokes within the first 24 hours after onset of symptoms and those with contraindication to MR imaging were excluded from the study. Patients with incorrect timing of MR imaging or with incomplete MR imaging examinations were also excluded from evaluation.

MR imaging examinations were performed, with the use of standard head coils, on a Siemens Magnetom Vision 1.5T (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). DWI with echo-planar imaging (EPI) sequence (B0 = 1000) was obtained in axial and coronal sections. The number
and vascular territory of new poststent lesions were determined by consensus of 2 experienced neuroradiologists. The maximal diameters of DWI lesions were measured. Statistical analysis by using χ²-test, and multivariate regression with backward elimination correlated the occurrence of de novo DWI lesions with age, sex, and site and degree of stenoses. The risk factors arterial hypertension, diabetes, actual smoking, and hypercholesterolemia and the presence of coronary heart disease (CHD) and peripheral arterial occlusive disease (pAOH) as markers for generalized atherosclerosis were also included in the statistical analysis. Time of the procedure since the introduction of filter protection in our institute in 2001, as well as stent and filter type as potential influence factors for the occurrence of DWI lesions, were also analyzed.

Carotid stent placement was performed according to a study protocol approved by the local review board and ethics committee. Patients gave their written informed consent to participate. Patients with ≥70% symptomatic ICA stenosis (North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial [NASCET] criteria) and older than 50 years were selected for carotid stent placement by an interdisciplinary consensus among neurologists, vascular surgeons, and neuroradiologists. Concomitant medical treatment included a combination of 100 mg of aspirin and 75 mg of clopidogrel started at least 3 days before carotid stent placement and continued for 3 months afterward.

All stent procedures were done under local anesthesia with monitoring by anesthesiologists. After stent placement, the patients were monitored in a neurologic intermediate care unit for the first 24 hours.

Diagnosis of a carotid stenosis was established by Doppler and duplex sonography. Transfemoral arterial approaches were performed in all cases. A diagnostic angiogram of the common carotid artery in question was done to confirm the presence of high-grade stenosis and to make the final decision for stent placement.

A heparin bolus of 100 to 150 U/kg was given immediately before the interventional part of the procedure to increase the activated clotting time (ACT) to a minimum of 300 seconds. An 8F guiding catheter was introduced into the common carotid artery below the stenotic plaque. The stenosis was passed with the filter system, and the filter was deployed in the high cervical ICA below the skull base. The filter type was chosen according to availability and technical features. In subtotal stenoses (95% by NASCET criteria), we preferred the Spider monorail filter (ev3, Plymouth, Minn) because of the low crossing profile of the delivery catheter, which is able to pass tight residual lumen. If vascular curves within the filter deployment zone in the high cervical ICA were present, we used concentric filters such as Accunet (Guidant, Santa Clara, Calif) or EmboShield (MedNova, Abbott, Galway, Ireland) instead of eccentric filters such as EPI FilterWire EX, FilterWire EZ (Boston Scientific, Galway, Ireland) or Spider filters (ev3). The patency of the filter was checked by repeated contrast injections. Filter complications such as technical failure, vasospasm, dissection, filter obstruction, or thrombosis were registered.

Filter types used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material Design</th>
<th>Nitinol Filaments</th>
<th>Synthetic Membrane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Concentric</td>
<td>Eccentric</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manufacturer</td>
<td>Accunet</td>
<td>FilterWire EX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AngioGuard</td>
<td>FilterWire EZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cordis</td>
<td>Boston Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EmboShield</td>
<td>Boston Scientific</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Abbott</td>
<td>ev3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Porus size</td>
<td>115 μm</td>
<td>80 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100 μm</td>
<td>110 μm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crossing profile</td>
<td>3.2F</td>
<td>3.9F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.7F</td>
<td>3.2F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.2F</td>
<td>3.2F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number used in this study</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In general, predilation, stent deployment, and postdilation should be performed under filter protection. It is noteworthy that the study protocol allowed predilation with a 3-mm balloon without protection if the passage of the filter system was deemed impossible. All stenoses with a diameter equal or lower than the diameter of the stent delivery system were predilated with a 3- to 20-mm percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty (PTCA) balloon (Crossail; Guidant, Santa Clara, Calif). Self-expanding carotid stents, either carotid Wallstents (Schneider Boston Scientific, Galway, Ireland) or segmented nitinol stents (Acculink; Guidant) were deployed. Wallstents were preferred in patients with straight course of the carotid artery, whereas the more flexible segmented nitinol stent was used in angulated or curved bifurcations or in cases with significant differences between the diameters of internal and common carotid artery.

All stents were postdilated maximally to the measured diameter of the normal ICA. In most of the cases, a 5- to 20-mm percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty balloon (Viatrac; Guidant) was used, and postdilation was regarded as successful if a residual narrowing below 30% was proved on control angiograms.

The filter was retrieved and checked for macroscopic particles, which were registered if visible. Finally, angiograms of the carotid bifurcation and the intracranial circulation were performed to demonstrate the reconstruction of the carotid lumen and to exclude macroembolic complications.

Clinical and sonographic evaluation of the patient was done by independent neurologists before and immediately after the procedure, at discharge, and at 3 months after the intervention. Clinical complications were classified according to the Modified Rankin Scale (mRS). Table 1 shows the filter types used for carotid stent placement in this series.

Statistical significance of nonparametric data were determined by the Wilcoxon-Whitney-Mann U test and the χ² test. The correlation between the occurrence of new DWI lesions and procedural and patient-based risk factors was evaluated with multivariate logistic regression analysis with backward elimination.

**Results**

**Patient and Procedure Characteristics**

Forty-eight patients, 35 men and 13 women with a median age of 70 years (range, 50–87 years) had 50 symptomatic carotid stenoses with median degree of stenosis of 85% (range, 70%–95%, NASCET criteria). All were treated by filter-protected carotid stent placement. There were 2 patients with bilateral stenoses who had neurologic symptoms and intervention at different times.

All 50 carotid stent procedures fulfilled the inclusion criteria for this study and the patients had DWI examinations within an interval of 48 hours before and 48 hours after technically successful filter-protected carotid stent placement. All
patients had filter protection during all steps of the angioplasty procedure with the exception of 1 patient in whom predilation without protection was required to allow the passage of the filter system through a 95% stenosis. Thirty-eight Acuclink stents and 12 carotid Wallstents were implanted. No severe technical complications were observed. Five patients showed slow flow after filter deployment as a result of vasospasm or guidewire-induced vessel kinking. Occlusion of the filter by debris or clot without flow in the carotid artery after postdilation was observed in 3 cases, 2 with EPI filters and 1 with FilterWire EZ. Three of 8 patients with filter-induced restriction of flow showed temporary neurologic symptoms that completely disappeared after retrieval of the filter. Eleven of 50 filters (22%) contained macroscopic particles with sizes up to 1.2 mm. Table 1 shows the technical details of the carotid stent procedures.

**DWI Evaluation**

Fourteen of 50 cases (28.4%) with technically successful carotid stent placement showed punctate new DWI lesions in the territory of the ipsilateral ICA. With a single exception (a patient with small cerebellar infarcts with a maximal diameter of 12 mm), none of the other lesions exceeded a maximal diameter of 4 mm (median, 2 mm; range, 1–4 mm). Median lesion load on the ipsilateral side was 1 lesion (range, 1–15) per positive case. Seven of 40 patients showed a median of 1 lesion (range, 1–7) in vascular territories independent of the stented ICA. Two patients showed first new DWI lesions in the ipsilateral carotid and other vascular territories. Only 1 patient with severe aortic arch atherosclerosis showed multiple emboli in several vascular territories. Fig 1 illustrates the occurrence and distribution of DWI lesions. Fig 2 shows MR images of 2 cases with typical and maximal extension of embolic events.

There were significantly more new DWI lesions in elderly patients equal or above the median age of 70 years (70–89 years) compared with a younger group aged between 50 and 69 years (P = .01; Fig 3). Multivariate regression did not demonstrate any statistically significant correlation between sex, site, and percentage of stenosis or vascular risk factors and the presence of CHD or pAOD and the occurrence of new DWI lesions.

In the first 2 years after introduction of filter protection, we observed a statistically nonsignificant trend toward more DWI lesions than in the most recent 2 years. There was also a trend toward a higher incidence of lesions after implantation of nitinol stents compared with the Wallstent (Fig 3). This was statistically not significant, and no clear correlation between filter type and filter complications was found. In addition, the patient who was treated with predilation without filter protection showed no new DWI lesions.

During early follow-up, 1 patient developed new neurologic deficits and seizures 2 days after carotid stent placement. On MR imaging, there was evidence of vasogenic reperfusion edema. It is noteworthy that DWI showed no lesions and helped to distinguish reperfusion phenomenon from cerebral infarct. The patient’s symptoms, which included worsening of pre-existing right-sided hemiparesis and aphasia, regressed within 2 weeks. At the 3-month follow-up, there remained only minor residual deficit with no relevant impairment of daily activities (mRS grade 2).

There were no periprocedural deaths, and the 30-day stroke and death rate was 1 of 50 (2%). One patient developed an asymptomatic 70% in-stent-restenosis during the first 3 months; all other stents remained patent.

**Discussion**

In agreement with previous studies,8 we have observed that new DWI lesions occur frequently after carotid stent placement even with the use of protective devices.9,10 Some of these lesions have been detected in vascular territories independent of the stented carotid artery and are probably related to catheterization procedure and the placement of a large-caliber guiding catheter. The frequency and extent of these clinically silent DWI lesions in regions other than the stented vascular territories are within the range described for diagnostic cerebral angiography.11,12 Air embolism, thrombus formation, or detachment of particles from atherosclerotic vessel walls during catheterization are the most probable causes of these microembolic lesions, which cannot be influenced by the use of a protective device.13

With MRI, new DWI lesions in the territory of the stented ICA are detected more frequently than emboli on diagnostic intra-arterial angiograms. Our results in a group of patients with filter protection show that the frequency of occurrence of new DWI lesions is within the range described by other authors.8–10 We cannot exclude the possibility that a proportion of DWI lesions are due to the catheterization procedure before the deployment of the filter device. Other potential sources of microemboli are the passage of the filter device through the stenosis, incomplete apposition of the filter, passage of parti-
cles through the filter pores, and loss of material during capture and retrieval of the filter.

Embolic signals have been observed by transcranial Doppler during all phases of the carotid stent procedure. A recently published study detected even more signals with the use of filter devices. However, the rate of macroembolic complications in filter-protected stent placement was lower than in the unprotected group. With a median of 1 punctate ischemia per DWI positive case, the lesion load in our study is low, and a shower of multiple emboli occurred in only 1 case. Additional involvement of DWI lesions in vascular territories, which are not treated with a stent, led to the assumption that catheterization of the atherosclerotic aortic arch was the most probable cause of this subclinical complication. Low number and extension of lesions in the ipsilateral carotid territory do not support hypotheses that the filter itself is a source of additional embolism by thrombogenicity, material loss through the filter membrane, or movement of the device. Macroscopic particles captured in the filter membrane add weight to the claim that filter devices are effective. The rate of filter complications and DWI-positive cases has decreased over time with increasing experience of the operators and technical improvements of filter systems. Filter obstruction was mainly observed with the first generation of the EPI filter, which had a pore size of only 80 μm. Filter movement during the intervention as potential source of microemboli or vasospasm occurred mainly during the initial learning curve and can be widely avoided with improved technique and the use of monorail devices. Increased age was the only significant risk factor for the occurrence of DWI lesions, probably because of a higher proportion of patients with widespread atherosclerosis. A clear influence by other vascular risk factors or presence of CHD and pAOD could not be detected. This may occur because the subgroups of patients presenting with different entities become relatively small.

The trend toward more lesions with the use of segmented nitinol stents may be explained by the fact that these stents were favorably used in technically more difficult cases with tortuosity of the carotid artery. A clear difference between different filter devices was not detectable. The low DWI lesion load corresponds to the absence of clinically detectable cerebral ischemia and larger territorial infarcts that might have been prevented by filters containing macroscopic particles.

The limitation of this study was the lack of a control group, which was not included in the protocol for ethical reasons. Comparison of the results with centers working without filter protection may be helpful in this regard. At the moment, there is no level 1 evidence to support the mandatory use of filter protective devices in carotid stent placement. We hope that subgroup analyses of larger randomized carotid endarterectomy versus stent studies will contribute to this topic. A further possible limitation of this study was that we might have
selected only cases suitable for easy filter placement. But in our patient group, the median carotid stenosis grade of 85%, median age of 70 years, and comorbidity profile similar to that of the SAPPHIRE study were arguments against this assumption.

Conclusions
Low lesion load and lesion extent and absence of embolic strokes in our cases is encouraging in that filters are effective in the prevention of macroembolic ischemia during carotid stent procedures. However, the higher incidence of new DWI-lesions in the stented ICA territory indicates that distal filters are not perfect in the prevention of subclinical procedure-related ischemia. Elderly patients in particular seem to be at a higher risk. From the current data, it remains unclear whether catheter access to the angioplasty site or complications of filter devices or carotid stents are mainly responsible for the occurrence of new DWI lesions.
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