Not All Age-Related White Matter Hyperintensities Are the Same: A Magnetization Transfer Imaging Study
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PURPOSE: Our aim was to assess whether presumed histologic heterogeneity of age-related white matter hyperintensities (WMH) is reflected in quantitative magnetization transfer imaging measures.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: From a group of patients participating in a double-blind placebo-controlled multicenter study on the effect of pravastatin (PROSPER), we selected 56 subjects with WMH. WMH were classified as periventricular WMH (PVWMH) and deep WMH (DWMH). PVWMH were subclassified as irregular or smooth, depending on the aspect of their border. Signal intensity of WMH on T1-weighted images was scored as iso- or hypointense. The mean magnetization transfer ratio (MTR) value of different types of WMH was assessed and compared. As a control group, we selected 19 subjects with no or limited WMH.

RESULTS: Mean (SE) MTR of PVWMH (frontal, 31.2% [0.2%]; occipital, 32.2% [0.2%]) was lower than that of DWMH (33.7% [0.5%]). The mean MTR of frontal PVWMH (31.2% [0.2%]) was lower than that of occipital PVWMH (32.2% [0.2%]). Compared with occipital PVWMH, frontal PVWMH more often had a smooth lining (72% frontal versus 8% occipital) and an area with low signal intensity on T1-weighted images (76% frontal versus 35% occipital). MTR did not differ between smooth (31.1% [0.3%]) and irregular (31.6% [0.5%]) PVWMH.

CONCLUSION: Age-related WMH are heterogeneous, despite their similar appearance on T2-weighted images. By taking into account heterogeneity of age-related WMH, both in terms of etiology and in terms of severity of tissue destruction, one may obtain better understanding on the causes and consequences of these lesions.

White matter hyperintensities (WMH) are striking abnormalities that are often found on T2-weighted and fluid-attenuated inversion recovery (FLAIR) images in the elderly. WMH occur in about 30% of healthy subjects over 60 years of age, and their prevalence shows a steady rise with increasing age. WMH can be divided in 2 subtypes according to their location: periventricular WMH (PVWMH) lining the lateral ventricles and the deep WMH (DWMH) in the remaining white matter. The most important and consistent risk factor for WMH is age; other established risk factors are female gender, aortic atherosclerosis, and elevated systolic blood pressure. The correlation between WMH and cognitive functioning is not yet clear. In some studies, correlations were found, whereas in other studies no such relationships were observed. In studies in which WMH are subclassified, different functional correlates have been found for PVWMH and DWMH. PVWMH are correlated with cognitive decline, and DWMH are correlated with late-onset depression.

In correlative radiologic-pathologic studies, it has been demonstrated that WMH, though having a uniform appearance on MR imaging, are histologically heterogeneous. Braffman et al found WMH in the elderly to represent white matter infarctions, white matter gliosis, or plaques of demyelination. On the basis of their radiologic appearance, Fazekas et al distinguished PVWMH with a smooth delinea-
related WMH is rather limited, partly because in imaging studies, all WMH have often been considered as similar lesions. The aim of this study was to assess whether the presumed histologic heterogeneity of age-related WMH is reflected in quantitative MTI measures. For that purpose, we compared MTR values in PVWMH and DWMH and compared MTR values between smooth and irregular PVWMH, between frontal and occipital PVWMH, and between PVWMH with normal or hypointense signal intensity on T1-weighted images.

Materials and Methods

Subjects
Subjects included in this study were selected from the first 184 participants of the nested MR imaging substudy of the PROspective Study of Pravastatin in the Elderly at Risk (PROSPER), a double-blind placebo-controlled multicenter study on the effect of pravastatin on the occurrence of cerebrovascular events in elderly people with cardiovascular risk factors. Participants in the PROSPER study were recruited from the general population in either primary care settings or in trial centers in close proximity to each of the coordinating centers. Men and women were selected on the basis of age (70–82 years) and the presence of either pre-existing vascular disease or elevated risk of such disease because of smoking, hypertension, or diabetes. One exclusion criterion was poor cognitive function (Mini-Mental State Examination score, <24).

For further details of inclusion and exclusion criteria of the PROSPER study, we refer to the article by Shepherd et al. In these subjects, T2-weighted images were screened for the presence of WMH, defined as areas with increased signal intensity in the white matter compared with surrounding white matter. These areas were classified as WMH when they showed no mass effect and could not be related to types of pathology other than aspecific white matter hyperintensities. On the basis of this information, we created a study group with DWMH and/or PVWMH. Subjects with periventricular lesions <0.5 mm (measured with a caliper on hard copies of the T2-weighted images) were excluded from the analysis to limit including CSF in the regions of interest. DWMH needed to be larger than 5 × 5 pixels to be included, again to permit reliable quantitative region of interest analysis. Subjects with evidence of cerebral pathology besides aspecific WMH (such as infarction or tumors) on MR imaging were also excluded, again to permit reliable quantitative region of interest analysis. This exclusion resulted in a study group of 56 subjects with WMH. As a control group, 19 individuals were chosen from the same group of 184 participants of the PROSPER trial showing no WMH or a very limited load (Scheltens score of 1 or less; corresponding to <5-mm thickness of PVWMH) of WMH.

Image Acquisition
All imaging was performed on a 1.5T ACS-NT15 MR imaging system equipped with a Powertrak 6000 gradient system (Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands). All subjects were scanned on the same scanner in the same institution with the same software release by Systems, Best, the Netherlands). All subjects were scanned on the equipped with a Powertrak 6000 gradient system (Philips Medical Systems, Best, the Netherlands).

Lesion Classification and Segmentation
Periventricular lesions were detected and classified in irregular or smooth lesions (Fig 1), according to Fazekas et al. “Smooth delineation” was defined as having a smooth outline with normal-appearing white matter in all sections showing the PVWMH. Left and right PVWMH were considered separately. The classification of PVWMH was assessed in a consensus meeting by 3 of the authors (M.A.V.B., R.G., and A.S.). This was done for occipital and frontal periventricular lesions separately by using T2-weighted, proton density (PD), and FLAIR images. On T1-weighted images, the presence of periventricular hypointense lesions was assessed (Fig 2). They were defined as lesions with signal intensities on T1-weighted images lower than those of the surrounding white matter but higher than those of CSF.

After categorizing, one rater identified on MTI, acquired with the saturation pulse (having a PD contrast), the lesions that were detected on the T2-weighted, PD, and FLAIR images (Fig 3). The rater subsequently outlined manually the PVWMH and DWMH on these MTIs, in the study group, by using 3DVIEWNIX image processing software (Department of Radiology, Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, Pa). In the study and control group, normal-appearing periventricular white matter and deep white matter were manually outlined. Deep normal-appearing white matter was outlined in the semioval center by placing four 5 × 5 pixels in large regions of interest. The same regions of interest were used for sampling periventricular normal-appearing white matter. No samples were taken from periventricular normal-appearing white matter if periventricular lesions were present. For all outlined regions, volume
and mean MTR were calculated. MTR was defined as the percentage of change in signal intensity between the scans with and without the saturation pulse, as shown in the following equation:

$$MTR = \frac{M_0 - M_s}{M_0} \times 100\%.$$  

Analysis

Data are presented as means (SE). Means were compared with $t$ tests. $P$ values less than .05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

MTR values were calculated in WMH of 56 people. The average age of this group was 76 years (SD, 3 years) with 25 men and 31 women. In total, 93 frontal PVWMH, 52 occipital PVWMH, 20 DWMH, and 104 regions with normal-appearing white matter were outlined in the population with WMH. The control group included 19 subjects with an average age of 73 years (SD, 3 years) with 16 men and 3 women. In this group, 38 regions with normal-appearing deep white matter, 22 regions with normal-appearing frontal periventricular white matter, and 38 regions with occipital normal-appearing periventricular white matter were outlined. The MTR values of all regions are given in Table 1.

There was no difference between the normal-appearing white matter in the study group versus the control group ($P = .47$). Within the control group, no differences in MTR were observed between deep and periventricular normal-appearing white matter ($P = .35$). In the control group, there was no difference between frontal and occipital periventricular normal-appearing white matter ($P = .46$).

In the study group, a significant difference in mean (SE) MTR was found between DWMH and PVWMH (Fig 4). The MTR of DWMH (33.7% [0.5%]) was higher than that of PVWMH (frontal, 31.2% [0.2%]; $P < .001$; occipital, 32.2% [0.2%]; $P < .005$). The mean MTR of frontal PVWMH was lower than that of occipital PVWMH (31.2% [0.2%] versus 32.2% [0.2%]; $P < .005$).

A different proportion of frontal and occipital PVWMH was found to be smooth. Sixty-seven of the 93 (72%) frontal PVWMH were smooth, whereas only 4 of the 52 (8%) occipital PVWMH were smooth. Mean (SE) MTR values of irregular and smooth PVWMH were not different. Irregular frontal PVWMH had an MTR of 31.6% (0.5%), whereas smooth frontal PVWMH had an MTR of 31.1% (0.3%, $P = .21$). Irregular occipital PVWMH had an MTR of 32.2% (0.2%), whereas smooth occipital PVWMH had an MTR of 32.1% (0.6%, $P = .48$).

The periventricular hypointensities on the T1-weighted

Table 1: Mean magnetization transfer ratio (MTR) values assessed in regions of interest (ROI) of normal-appearing white matter (NAWM) and white matter hyperintensities (WMH).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Group</th>
<th>N*</th>
<th>MTR (%)</th>
<th>SEM (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NAWM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMH</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>33.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>145</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>31.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>32.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAWM</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deep</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Periventricular</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>35.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates number of ROIs.
images all had smooth linings, regardless of the lining of the WMMH on T2-weighted images. In Table 2, the proportions of PVWMH with a hypointense appearance on T1-weighted images are shown. Most of the frontal PVWMH had a low signal intensity on T1-weighted images (76%), whereas only 35% of occipital PVWMH were hypointense on T1-weighted images. No correlation was observed between the presence of hypointense signal intensity on T1-weighted and irregularity of the PVWMH on T2-weighted images. In PVWMH with a low signal intensity on T1-weighted images, the area with a hypointense signal intensity was invariably smaller than the area with a high signal intensity on T2-weighted images.

Discussion

Our study confirmed the finding by others,\textsuperscript{12-14,17-19} that the MTR of WMMH is lower than the MTR of normal-appearing white matter in the elderly. In addition, we found that the MTR of PVWMH is lower than the MTR of DWMH. Of interest are also the differences that we observed between the frontal PVWMH and occipital PVWMH: Frontal PVWMH showed a lower MTR than the occipital PVWMH, and they showed more often a smooth lining and an area with low signal intensity on T1-weighted images. However, we did not find a difference in MTR between smooth and irregular periventricular lesions.

In this study, MTR analysis demonstrated significant differences between PVWMH and DWMH: Lower MTR values were observed in PVWMH than in DWMH. This finding corroborates an observation by Tanabe et al,\textsuperscript{17} who reported similar differences in MTR values between PVWMH and DWMH in subcortical ischemic vascular dementia. It could be argued that these findings are merely based on an artifact because of the inclusion of periventricular pixels with partial volume effects of CSF in the periventricular region of interest, which might give rise to artificially low MTR values of PVWMH. However, if this had been the case, we would have also expected a difference between the deep and periventricular normal-appearing white matter in the control subjects, which was not observed. We rather believe that these MTR changes reflect histologic differences between PVWMH and DWMH.

Two explanations for histologic differences between DWMH and PVWMH can be put forward. First, assuming that lesions in both locations are due to ischemia, we believe that the pathophysiologic mechanisms that lead to ischemia in these locations are different and the severity of the resulting ischemia could be different. The periventricular white matter is an arterial border zone that is supplied by long perforating arteries and is supposed to be particularly vulnerable to decreases in cerebral blood flow.\textsuperscript{20} The observed stronger association between cardiovascular risk factors with PVWMH compared with DWMH also suggests a difference in etiology.\textsuperscript{21} Perfusion may be jeopardized by more mechanisms (drops in blood pressure, diffuse small vessel disease, atherosclerosis, or emboli) in the periventricular than in the deep white matter (atherosclerosis or embolii), resulting in a higher accumulation of ischemic damage in the periventricular location.

A second explanation for the observed differences in MTR between DWMH and PVWMH is not based on differences in vascularization between these brain areas but on differences in interstitial fluid dynamics. Because the brain is devoid of a lymphatic system, interstitial fluid is transported through the extracellular space of the brain and through the ependymal lining to the ventricles.\textsuperscript{22,23} Once the CSF is in the ventricles, the ependymal lining prevents it from leaking into brain parenchyma. Because in elderly subjects with PVWMH, partial disappearance of the ependymal lining of the ventricles has been observed, it is conceivable that increased interstitial water resulting from ependymal discontinuation contributes to the high signal intensity on T2-weighted MR images.\textsuperscript{10} The similarity between the distribution of age-related PVWMH and the reversible periventricular high signal intensity on T2-weighted images that can be observed in patients with obstructive hydrocephalus (Fig 5) supports this hypothesis. The observed lower MTR values in PVWMH compared with DWMH could thus be based on the additional contribution of increased interstitial fluid concentration on MTR values in the periventricular white matter, which is absent in the deep white matter.

Apart from differences in MTR values between DWMH and PVWMH, differences were also observed between frontal and occipital PVWMH, suggesting that there are also differences in histologic composition of PVWMH in these locations.

Differences between frontal and occipital PVWMH are also

Table 2: Magnetization transfer ratio (MTR) values of smooth and irregular lesions in frontal and occipital white matter hyperintensities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Smooth</th>
<th>Irregular</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frontal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTR, % (SE)</td>
<td>31.1 (0.3)</td>
<td>31.6 (0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. hypointense on T1 (%)</td>
<td>57 (75%)</td>
<td>14 (82%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occipital</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTR, % (SE)</td>
<td>32.1 (0.6)</td>
<td>32.2 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. hypointense on T1 (%)</td>
<td>1 (25%)</td>
<td>17 (35%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
suggested by the observation in our study that frontal PVWMH more often have a smooth lining than occipital PVWMH. This observation can be explained by what is known about the natural history of WMH. WMH in the periventricular location occur early in life in almost every individual, often long before the appearance of DWMH. These periventricular lesions have a smooth lining and are most pronounced around the frontal horns and along the laterosuperior border of the bodies of the ventricles. Later and in a more limited number of individuals, the PVWMH in these locations may further grow, start showing an irregular border, and may confluence among others, such as around the occipital horns of the lateral ventricles. These observations suggest that age-related PVWMH around the frontal and occipital horns have different etiologies.

PVWMH in the frontal location more often showed low signal intensity on T1-weighted images than those located occipitally, corroborating the suggestion of differences in tissue composition between these 2 locations based on our MTI analysis. These hypointense areas may partly be explained by more severe tissue destruction in this location, but the specific shape of the hypointense areas around the frontal horns and the relationship of the hypointense areas with the areas with high signal intensity on T2-weighted images rather suggest a different pathogenesis. The frontal hypointense areas invariably had the appearance of smoothly delineated frontal caps, irrespective of the delineation of the same lesions on T2-weighted images (Fig 2). Often the frontal hypointense areas were smaller than the hypointense areas in the same location and in the same subjects (Fig 2). The morphologic similarity between the frontal periventricular hypointense lesions and the frontal hypointense caps that are prevalent in most adults suggests that 1) these lesions are based on the same etiology and 2) this etiology differs from that of the occipital and larger irregular frontal PVWMH. On the basis of our observations, we hypothesize that frontal PVWMH are partly caused by increased interstitial fluid accumulation and partly by ischemia, whereas in the development of occipital and large irregular frontal PVWMH, there is less influence of interstitial fluid dynamics. This hypothesis is supported by observations from Fazekas et al, who demonstrated that irregular PVWMH on MR imaging correlated with more severe ischemic changes on histology than smooth PVWMH did.

We found no difference in MTR values between smooth and irregular periventricular lesions. This seems contradictory to the observations from Fazekas et al, who, on histology, found more ischemic tissue destruction in PVWMH with an irregular border on MR imaging than in smoothly delineated PVWMH. However, the larger contribution of increased interstitial fluid in smooth lesions may, in terms of MTR values, have compensated for the more severe tissue destruction in irregular lesions.

In conclusion, the findings in this study demonstrate that age-related WMH, despite their similar appearance on T2-weighted images, are heterogeneous. In most studies aimed at assessing the causes and the functional consequences of age-related WMH, all WMH are treated equally by assessing the total volume of WMH based on T2-weighted images. In general, such studies show poor, if any, correlations between the load of WMH on one hand and measures of cognitive functioning or risk factors on the other. By taking into account the heterogeneity of age-related WMH, both in terms of etiologies and in terms of severity of tissue destruction, one can obtain a better understanding of the causes and consequences of these lesions.

References