Interventional neuroradiologic procedures may cause large doses of radiation to be delivered to the craniofacial region. In recent years, because of the increasing number of procedures performed and the lengthy exposures involved, there has been a growing concern regarding the detrimental effects (examples of deterministic effects are early transient erythema and hair loss) of radiation to patients during guided interventional procedures. In fact, radiation-induced patient skin injuries have been reported to result from extended exposures in interventional procedures. Kuwayama et al have reported transient alopecia in 2 patients who underwent endovascular neurologic interventions; in each patient, the measured dose at the temporal area was approximately 4.2 Gy. Moreover, diagnostic and interventional radiologic procedures in the head, in particular those involving the orbits, involve procedures performed and the lengthy exposures involved, there has been a growing concern regarding the detrimental effects (examples of deterministic effects are early transient erythema and hair loss) of radiation to patients during guided interventional procedures. In fact, radiation-induced patient skin injuries have been reported to result from extended exposures in interventional procedures. Kuwayama et al have reported transient alopecia in 2 patients who underwent endovascular neurologic interventions; in each patient, the measured dose at the temporal area was approximately 4.2 Gy. Moreover, diagnostic and interventional radiologic procedures in the head, in particular those involving the orbits, inevitably carry a risk of radiation exposure to the lens of the eye.

Results of various studies in plain radiography have already revealed that the direct read-out flat panel detector (FPD) systems yield superior image quality and have a lower radiation dose requirement as compared with screen-film systems. Systems yielding superior image quality and having a lower radiation dose requirement as compared with screen-film systems. 6-10 However, no studies have evaluated digital subtraction angiography (DSA) at low-dose mode of FPD system was compared with 2D DSA at a standard-dose mode of FPD system and a conventional mode of I.I.-TV system. Data analysis was based on 171 observations (57 aneurysms [20 with bleb and 37 without bleb] × 3 contrast material concentrations) by each of 7 radiologists, and the detection performances of blebs were compared using a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) analysis.

RESULTS: The mean dose measurements with a phantom during 2D DSA were 0.36 mGy/frame with low-dose mode of FPD system, 0.72 mGy/frame with standard-dose mode of FPD system and 0.76 mGy/frame with I.I.-TV system. The mean Az at 100 mg I/mL was significantly higher for low-dose mode of FPD than for conventional-dose mode of I.I.-TV mean Az, 0.85 versus 0.56; P < .01), though differences were not significant with 150 and 300 mg I/mL between both systems.

CONCLUSION: The FPD system allows a considerable dose reduction during 2D DSA without loss of the image quality.

Materials and Methods

Specification of I.I.-TV and FPD System

The main technical features of the 2 systems are summarized in Table 1. The I.I.-TV system consisted of a 0.6-mm focal spot and a 10-inch (254-mm) cesium iodide image-intensifying tube (KXO-80C/D and DFP-2000A; Toshiba Medical Systems, Tokyo, Japan) that had been in routine use for about 1 year. The FPD angiography system consisted of a FPD of direct conversion type on a motorized C-arm (Safire; Shimadzu Corporation, Kyoto, Japan). This newly developed detector has a pixel pitch of 150 μm, covering a field of view of 23 cm². An a-SE film, about 1000 μm thick, is used as the conversion layer, has become commercially available. Compared with an image intensifier television (I.I.-TV) system, this angiography system using the FPD has several theoretical advantages, such as high spatial resolution, wide dynamic range, square field-of-view, and real-time imaging capabilities with no geometric distortion, which may be used for improved image quality, reduced patient exposure, or both. In this study, we compared 2D DSA on an FPD system with a conventional I.I.-TV system for the detection of simulated aneurysmal blebs using observer performance study and sought to examine the potential for dose reduction of 2D DSA with an angiography system using the FPD.

Dose Measurements

For 2D DSA, we set 2 programmable imaging modes with the FPD system: standard-dose mode and low-dose mode, each of which has different dose rate and image processing characteristics. The conventional-dose mode of I.I.-TV system was used as a routine one for cerebral angiography at our institution. We compared the radiation dose...
delivered to a 15-cm-thick block phantom (MIX-DP phantom; Sangyo Kagaku, Okayama, Japan) using 3 dose modes (standard-dose mode of FPD system, low-dose mode of FPD system, and conventional mode of I.I.-TV system). Each dose mode was obtained with the following exposure setting: low-dose mode of FPD system, 69 kVp, 14.1 mAs, and a 13:1 antiscatter grid with 1.0 m source-to-image distance (SID); standard-dose mode of FPD system, 69 kVp, 28.3 mAs, 13:1 antiscatter grid with 1.0 m SID; and conventional-mode of I.I.-TV system, 70 kVp, 14.9 mAs, 10:1 antiscatter grid with 0.95 m SID. DSA was performed in an identical manner, using the same positioning and technique and an experienced investigator (N.Od.), delivering the radiation to the phantom to simulate a complete angiographic procedure. The exposures generated in any configuration or mode were measured using a model 9015 dosimeter with a 6-mL ion chamber (Radical Corporation, Monrovia, Calif). For this study, the relative positions of the radiographic tube, procedure table, and detector (FPD or I.I.-TV) were fixed for both systems. The distances from the source tube to the table surface, from the table surface to the detector, and between the tube and the image detector were 80, 20, and 100 cm, respectively. For each measurement, the dosimeter was centered in the direct path of the beam and under the phantom (ie, at the table surface). The measured radiation exposure rate thus corresponded to the skin entry dose that would have been experienced by the average patient under identical imaging conditions.

**Phantom Design and Subject Selection**

An anthropomorphic vascular phantom (Renaissance of Technology, Shizuoka, Japan) consisted of a 19-cm-diameter cylinder made of silicone rubber designed to simulate the bilateral intracranial arteries with various intracranial aneurysms. Two types of simulated aneurysms (17 aneurysms with diameter of 3 mm and 15 aneurysms with diameter of 6 mm) were placed on the simulated internal carotid artery, anterior cerebral artery, and middle cerebral artery (Fig 1). Of all 32 aneurysms, 15 had a bleb with diameter of 2 mm, which was interpreted on a high-resolution 2048 (Barco, Kortrijk, Belgium) display monitor. The number of aneurysms with blebs was blinded to the observers in this study.

A total of 72 cerebral angiograms were prepared in order at 9 reading sessions (3 dose modes × 3 contrast material concentrations). For each observer, in each readout session, the 8 angiograms (4 projections with 1 dose mode per each carotid artery) were randomized by computer. For each aneurysm, the readers were asked to state the presence or absence of an aneurysmal bleb and to rank their level of confidence on a 5-point scale: 5 (aneurysm was clearly visualized), 4 (good), 3 (reasonably good), 2 (poor), and 1 (very poor). After independent interpretations were performed, the differences in assessment of both observers were resolved by consensus.

**Subjective Evaluation of 2D DSA**

First, 2 neuroradiologists (S.K., N.Oh) independently evaluated the image quality of the 2D DSA regarding the depiction of simulated aneurysms at separate session. These radiologists subjectively rated the depiction of simulated aneurysms using a 5-point scale: 5 (aneurysm was clearly visualized), 4 (good), 3 (reasonably good), 2 (poor), and 1 (very poor). After independent interpretations were performed, the differences in assessment of both observers were resolved by consensus.

**Observer Performance Study**

We next performed an observer performance study. Seven radiologists, including 4 board-certified interventional radiologists and 3 radiology residents with 4 years of experience in performing cerebral angiography, participated as observers. Before the test, the observers were informed that 1) the purpose of this study was to evaluate the radiologists’ performance in detecting simulated aneurysmal blebs, 2) this study included simulated aneurysms with and without blebs, and 3) a simulated aneurysmal bleb was 2 mm in diameter (no more than 1 bleb per aneurysm). The number of aneurysms with blebs was blinded to the observers in this study.

**Display of Images**

For both FPD and I.I.-TV, each 2D DSA was displayed and interpreted on a high-resolution 1560 × 2048 (Barco, Kortrijk, Belgium) monitor. The observers were permitted to manipulate the monitor brightness and contrast with a track ball or push buttons. For both systems, DSA images were postprocessed with noise reduction using recursive filter and edge enhancement.

**Statistical Analysis**

For subjective evaluation, statistical analyses were performed with a statistical software package (StatView 5.0; SAS Institute, Cary, NC). For the scores of image quality, all results were expressed as the mean ± SEM for each contrast material concentration and dose mode. A Wilcoxon signed rank test was performed on the results to assess the statistical significance of the different scores assigned to the I.I. and FPD images. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. To evaluate the level of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>FPD system</th>
<th>I.I.-TV system</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total filtration</td>
<td>3 mm of Al + 0.3 mm of Cu</td>
<td>3 mm of Al</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional filtration</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Frame rate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cine mode</td>
<td>30 fps</td>
<td>30 fps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoroscopy mode</td>
<td>15 fps</td>
<td>15 fps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Image matrix format</td>
<td>1440 × 1440</td>
<td>1024 × 1024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field of view</td>
<td>9 inches</td>
<td>12 inches</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:—FPD indicates flat panel detector; I.I.-TV, image intensifier television; fps, frames per second.
A 2D DSA with I.I.-TV system and both dose modes with FPD system consisted of 4 frames as mask and 14 frames as injection run. The mean dose measurements with a phantom during 2D DSA were 0.36 mGy/frame with the low-dose mode of FPD system, 0.72 mGy/frame with the standard-dose mode of FPD system, and 0.76 mGy/frame with the I.I.-TV system. Thus, the 2D DSA in dose measurements with low-dose mode of FPD system showed dose reductions of 50.0% (from 0.72 mGy/frame to 0.36 mGy/frame) compared with standard-dose mode of FPD system and 52.6% (from 0.76 mGy/frame to 0.36 mGy/frame) compared with I.I.-TV system.

**Table 2: Subjective evaluation for the 2D DSA regarding the depiction of simulated aneurysms**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast Material Concentration &amp; Dose Mode</th>
<th>Score for Image Quality</th>
<th>Mean ± SD*</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>300 mgI/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard-dose mode of FPD system</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-dose mode of FPD system</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional-dose mode of I.I.-TV system</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150 mgI/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard-dose mode of FPD system</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-dose mode of FPD system</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional-dose mode of I.I.-TV system</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 mgI/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard-dose mode of FPD system</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-dose mode of FPD system</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conventional-dose mode of I.I.-TV system</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:—DSA indicates digital subtraction angiography; FPD, flat panel detector; I.I.-TV, image intensifier television. Data are mean ± SD of scores for image quality evaluated using a 5-point scale (1, clearly visualized; 2, good; 3, reasonably good; 4, poor; 5, very poor)

** Significantly higher to I.I.-TV system (the Wilcoxon signed rank test).

**Results**

**Dose Measurements**

A 2D DSA with I.I.-TV system and both dose modes with FPD system consisted of 4 frames as mask and 14 frames as injection run. The mean dose measurements with a phantom during 2D DSA were 0.36 mGy/frame with the low-dose mode of FPD system, 0.72 mGy/frame with the standard-dose mode of FPD system, and 0.76 mGy/frame with the I.I.-TV system. Thus, the 2D DSA in dose measurements with low-dose mode of FPD system showed dose reductions of 50.0% (from 0.72 mGy/frame to 0.36 mGy/frame) compared with standard-dose mode of FPD system and 52.6% (from 0.76 mGy/frame to 0.36 mGy/frame) compared with I.I.-TV system.

**Subjective Evaluation**

With regard to the depiction of aneurysms on 2D DSA among the 3 dose modes, the results of the final consensus reviewed by 2 radiologists are summarized in Table 2. There was no significant difference between the low-dose mode and standard-dose mode
of FPD system with any kind of contrast material concentration. The depiction of aneurysms with both dose modes of FPD system was significantly superior to the I.I.-TV system with any kind of contrast material concentration. In particular, the difference was most prominent at a concentration of 100 mg I/mL (4.60 versus 3.00, P < .05).

For subjective evaluation of 2D DSA, interobserver agreement between the 2 radiologists in rating the depiction of aneurysms was good or excellent for the standard- and low-dose modes of the FPD system and the conventional-dose mode of the I.I.-TV system, respectively, with Kendall W values (7) of 1.00, 1.00, and 0.83 for rating of 2D DSA with 300 mg I/mL, 0.89, 0.88, and 0.73 for rating of 2D DSA with 150 mg I/mL, and 0.77, 0.68, and 0.60 for rating of 2D DSA with 100 mg I/mL.

**Observer Performance Study**

Table 3 and Fig 2 show the mean areas under the ROC curve (Az) for each mode. With concentrations of 300 and 150 mg I/mL, the mean Az values for images obtained with the low-dose mode of FPD (mean Az value = 0.92 and 0.83) in the detection of blebs were not significantly different from those obtained with the conventional dose mode of I.I.-TV (mean Az value = 0.91 and 0.82), respectively (Fig 3). In contrast, the mean Az value at 100 mg I/mL was significantly better for low-dose mode of FPD than for conventional-dose mode of I.I.-TV (mean Az value, 0.85 versus 0.56; P < .01) (Fig 4). For any contrast material concentration, there were no significant differences in the mean Az values between the standard- and low-dose modes of the FPD system.

**Discussion**

Our subjective evaluation for the depiction of simulated aneurysms on the 2D DSA demonstrated that the FPD system at half the exposure dose of the I.I.-TV system was significantly superior to the I.I.-TV system with any kind of contrast material concentration. Our observer performance study showed that the low-dose mode of FPD system allowed equivalent or superior detectability of the simulated blebs compared with the conventional-dose mode of the I.I.-TV system. This combined subjective and observer performance studies demonstrated that, with a radiation dose reduction of 50%, the diagnostic performance of the FPD system is still superior to that of the I.I.-TV system.

The good performance of the direct conversion type FPD system seems to be a result of a combination of spatial resolution and signal-to-noise ratio expressed as the detective quantum efficiency (DQE). Modulation transfer function (MTF) is generally accepted as the most important parameter of spatial resolution.
resolution for characterizing the performance of a detector system. Although measurements of physical imaging characteristics cannot be directly related to expected observer performance in a diagnostic setting, there is general agreement that a higher MTF is indicative of superior image quality. The FPD used in this study shows a high MTF in the previous theoretic analysis and experimental testing.12,13 Voelk et al14 and Strotzer et al8 have reported that the FPD, due to its high DQE, has the potential for dose reduction while maintaining diagnostic accuracy. In the FPD of direct conversion type, absorbed x-ray photons are directly converted to electron hole pairs in a conversion layer (eg, amorphous selenium), and then collected as electric charges on storage capacitors.15-17 Therefore, the capability of performing a simple conversion process with the FPD of direct conversion type reduces the scatter fraction of electrons and light photons within the detector in the underpenetrated regions of the image, and improves signal-to-noise ratio. In the ROC analysis of our study, the Az value for the detection of blebs was as low as 0.56 with I.I.-TV system at concentration of 100 mg I/mL. This result may be due to a low image contrast with I.I.-TV system, as well as the spatial resolution that results in the video system. Image blurring in I.I.-TV system can result from the scattering of x-ray beams, light, or both in the detector. Veiling glare, which has been a term to describe the scatter of electrons and light photons within an x-ray I.I.-TV, reduces image contrast.18 Although we did not perform a systematic analysis, more prominent reduction of image contrast was noted with 2D DSA by using I.I.-TV system (Fig 4C).

One of the major advantages of the FPD is the wide dynamic range, which improves contrast throughout the image and allows better visualization of low-contrast regions.19-21 The dynamic range of our FPD system is 1:10,000 according to the manufacturers’ specifications. Although a wide dynamic range is the main feature of this FPD system, the diagnostic task in our phantom study mainly requires high contrast resolution and may be more limited by the signal-to-noise ratio and high spatial resolution rather than the wide dynamic range.

In observer performance study, we examined the diagnostic performance of both systems in the detection of the simulated aneurysmal blebs. Our study design was chosen for several reasons. Aneurysmal blebs represent clinically important lesions in patients with aneurysms, because the presence of an aneurysmal bleb may indicate a higher risk of bleeding from an unruptured internal carotid aneurysm.22 In addition, detec-
tion of aneurysmal blebs could be chosen to acquire good discrimination of imaging qualities. The simulated aneurysms with diameter of 3 or 6 mm detected too easily would have resulted in a score of image quality too close to “definitely present,” which would not have been useful in comparing imaging systems. We chose aneurysmal blebs, which were small objects placed at a tip onto the surface of the aneurysm, because spatial resolution and signal intensity-to-noise ratio with FPD can be expected to be an important contributing factor in the detectability of the blebs. The range of Az values in our observer performance study shows that depiction of the experimentally created aneurysmal blebs was appropriate for the evaluation of the imaging system and exposure level combinations. There are several limitations in our study. First, we arbitrarily selected the range of contrast material concentration of contrast agent to achieve good discrimination of 3 dose modes, because these have not been evaluated in the previous literature. Therefore, the use of concentration of 100 mg I/mL may not reflect the clinical reality. However, it is important to note that FPD system at half the exposure dose of I.I.-TV system was not inferior to I.I.-TV system with any kind of contrast material concentration. Second, a limitation of our phantom design was that no superimposed bone skull structures were simulated. Therefore, this phantom, which has nothing to produce the x-ray attenuation or scatter of a skull, may have collected much more photons for generating these images than would have been the case in human angiography. In addition, the absorption of the phantom in our study was much more homogeneous than the absorption of different areas of the actual human head (eg, the areas superimposed over the skull base versus those superimposed over the brain only), and our phantom was much less dynamic in terms of absorption range than an actual human head. Because of these reasons, one might assume that the low dose mode of the FPD system (with a 50% dose saving) could not always be used during all the intervention and working with the FPD standard dose mode could be necessary. In this study, however, it seems impossible to know whether these factors with phantom design would tend to overestimate or underestimate the performance of FPD system. In fact, in our institution, the low-dose mode of the FPD has been routinely used for cerebral angiography for about 2 years without any clinical problems. We believe that it is important to compare 2 systems in the same experimental condition. Third, our phantom experiment found no significant difference in image quality between the standard- and low-dose modes of FPD. Therefore, the lowest possible dose for the given diagnostic task could not be determined. Fourth, we evaluated only radiation dose during 2D DSA. Both fluoroscopy and DSA contribute to radiation dose in patients undergoing interventional procedures, though previous reports have not mentioned the precise percentage of radiation dose delivered by each of the 2 methods during the course of an actual interventional procedure.1,2,23,25 Therefore, the further investigation regarding the dose reduction of fluoroscopy with an angiography system by using the FPD is necessary. In conclusion, our study should be regarded as a step toward appraising the use of FPD technology in interventional neuroradiology. Our results show that 2D DSA with FPD allows an equivalent or better diagnostic performance for evaluation of simulated aneurysmal blebs at half the conventional exposure dose used with I.I.-TV system. These results highlight the potential clinical advantages of the SE-based FPD, which offer the possibility of reducing the patient dose with no loss of image quality. Further study is required to determine the lowest possible dose for the given diagnostic task by using FPD systems.
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