

Are your MRI contrast agents cost-effective?

Learn more about generic Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents.



AJNR

Reply:

D.R. Buis, W.P. Vandertop, J.C.J. Bot, F. Barkhof, D.L. Knol, F.J. Lagerwaard, B.J. Slotman and R. van den Berg

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2012, 33 (6) E98

doi: <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A3188>

<http://www.ajnr.org/content/33/6/E98>

This information is current as of April 15, 2024.

Reply:

We thank Drs Carter and Lehman for their valuable comments on our article.

We assessed whether we could reliably use MR imaging to determine if brain arteriovenous malformations (bAVM) were obliterated after radiosurgery. Because obliteration is the “new” event during follow-up, our raters were specifically asked to look for obliteration, not for the presence of a patent nidus. Given this question, it was logical to define obliteration as a positive event, though we are aware that obliteration is absence, not presence, of disease.

To make binary decisions, we combined the groups named Probable Obliteration (PO) and Patent in our Table 3. This would have resulted in Table 3X, which was not published in the original paper¹:

DSA _{2c}	MRI ₂ Observer 1 (n = 117)		MRI ₂ Observer 2 (n = 117)	
	Patent	DO	Patent	DO
Patent	33	6	38	2
Obliterated	30	48	35	42
Total	63	54	73	44

Note:—DO indicates definitive obliteration.

Next, we did indeed make a mistake and used MR imaging as the reference data for DSA. We regret our error and made a correction, which was published in the April 2012 issue of the *American Journal of Neuroradiology*.²

The corrected Table 4 is shown below:

	Observer 1	Observer 2
Sensitivity	0.62	0.55
Specificity	0.85	0.95
Positive predictive value	0.89	0.95
Negative predictive value	0.52	0.52
Prevalence	0.67	0.66
False-positive rate	0.15	0.05
False-negative rate	0.38	0.45

Note:—DO indicates definitive obliteration.

Regarding the second teaching point, we agree with Carter and Lehman’s remarks. As stated in the patient-selection criteria in the paper, we included every patient who underwent radiosurgery for a bAVM in our institution and who was subjected to MR imaging and DSA before and after radiosurgery in the aforementioned sequence.¹ It is, therefore, likely that our data are “enriched” with reference standard–positive cases because bAVMs tend to obliterate after radiosurgery, and most DSAs in our study were performed for the purpose of demonstrating obliteration, suggesting that the prevalence of obliterated bAVMs among our study group was high. However, in general, progressive obliteration should be a characteristic of a population of patients with bAVMs a few years after radiosurgery. We agree that readers should always interpret study results in the context of the inclusion and exclusion criteria.

References

1. Buis DR, Bot JC, Barkhof F, et al. **The predictive value of 3D time-of-flight MR angiography in assessment of brain arteriovenous malformation obliteration after radiosurgery.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2012;33:232–38
2. Buis DR, Bot JC, Barkhof F, et al. **Erratum.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2012;33:e68

D.R. Buis

W.P. Vandertop

Department of Neurosurgery

J.C.J. Bot

F. Barkhof

Department of Neuroradiology

D.L. Knol

Departments of Epidemiology and Biostatistics

F.J. Lagerwaard

B.J. Slotman

Department of Radiation Oncology

VU University Medical Center

Amsterdam, the Netherlands

R. van den Berg

Department of Radiology

Academic Medical Center

Amsterdam, the Netherlands

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A3188>