Correlation between Human Papillomavirus Status and Quantitative MR Imaging Parameters including Diffusion-Weighted Imaging and Texture Features in Oropharyngeal Carcinoma

M. Ravanelli, A. Grammatica, E. Tononcelli, R. Morello, M. Leali, S. Battocchio, G.M. Agazzi, M. Buglione di Monale e Bastia, R. Maroldi, P. Nicolai, and D. Farina

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: The incidence of Oropharyngeal Squamous Cell Carcinoma (OPSCC) cases is increasing especially in the Western countries due to the spreading of human papilloma virus (HPV) infection. Radiological investigations, MRI in particular, are used in the daily clinical practice to stage OPSCC. The aim of this study was to investigate the association of quantitative MR imaging features including diffusion-weighted imaging and human papillomavirus status in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: We retrospectively analyzed 59 patients with untreated histologically proved T2–T4 oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. Human papillomavirus status was determined by viral DNA detection on tissue samples. MR imaging protocol included T2-weighted, contrast-enhanced T1-weighted (volumetric interpolated brain examination), and DWI sequences. Parametric maps of apparent diffusion coefficient were obtained from DWI sequences. Texture analysis was performed on T2 and volumetric-interpolated brain examination sequences and on ADC maps. Differences in quantitative MR imaging features between tumors positive and negative for human papillomavirus and among subgroups of patients stratified by smoking status were tested using the nonparametric Mann-Whitney *U* test; the false discovery rate was controlled using the Benjamini-Hochberg correction; and a predictive model for human papillomavirus status was built using multivariable logistic regression.

RESULTS: Twenty-eight patients had human papillomavirus-positive oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma, while 31 patients had human papillomavirus-negative oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma. Tumors positive for human papillomavirus had a significantly lower mean ADC compared with those negative for it (median, 850.87 versus median, 1033.68; $P < .001$). Texture features had a lower discriminatory power for human papillomavirus status. Skewness on volumetric interpolated brain examination sequences was significantly higher in the subgroup of patients positive for human papillomavirus and smokers ($P = .003$). A predictive model based on smoking status and mean ADC yielded a sensitivity of 83.3% and specificity 92.6% in classifying human papillomavirus status.

CONCLUSIONS: ADC is significantly lower in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma positive for human papillomavirus compared with oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma negative for it. ADC and smoking status allowed noninvasive prediction of human papillomavirus status with a good accuracy. These results should be validated and further investigated on larger prospective studies.

ABBREVIATIONS: HPV = human papillomavirus; OPSCC = oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma; SSF = spatial scaling factor; VIBE = volumetric interpolated brain examination

Oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (OPSCC) is probably the most studied head and neck neoplasm in the past decade, mainly due to the discovery of the role of human papillomavirus infection in carcinogenesis. This led to identification of a subset of patients with human papillomavirus (HPV)-positive OPSCC with

specific demographic characteristics (younger and with fewer comorbidities) and better prognosis compared with those with HPV-negative OPSCC (usually older with more comorbidities, smokers, and alcohol consumers).^{1–4} Other characteristics of HPV-positive OPSCC are the high ratio between nodal and primary tumor burden⁵ and the greater presence of intranodal cystic degeneration.^{6–8} MR imaging is routinely used for staging OPSCC in many centers. In addition to standard morphologic sequences, diffusion-weighted imaging offers an insight in tumor ultrastructure. Some recent studies have suggested that HPV-positive tumors are associated with lower apparent diffusion coefficient compared with HPV-negative ones.^{9–11} However, these results are equivocal.¹²

Texture analysis allows quantitative parameters to be ex-

Received December 22, 2017; accepted after revision May 27, 2018.

From the Departments of Radiology (M.R., E.T., M.L., G.M.A., R.M., D.F.), Otolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery (A.G., R.M., P.N.), Pathology (S.B.), and Radiotherapy (M.B.d.M.e.B.), University of Brescia, Brescia, Italy.

Please address correspondence to Alberto Grammatica, MD, Department of Otorhinolaryngology–Head and Neck Surgery, University of Brescia, Piazza Spedali Civili 1, 25123 Brescia, Italy; e-mail: albertogrammatica@libero.it

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A5792>

FIG 1. Example of freehand contouring of the tumor across its largest cross-sectional area on T2 (A), $b=1000$ (B), and ADC map (C) images. Tumor segmentation on ADC maps has been helped by side-by-side visualization of other sequences, including $b=1000$ DWI.

tracted from CT, MR imaging, or PET images by applying various mathematic computations and algorithms.¹³ The technique has been recently introduced in medical imaging research and has provided promising results for cancer prognostication^{14–19} and a noninvasive signature of relevant genotypic and phenotypic tumor patterns.^{20–22} Bogowicz et al²³ recently demonstrated that a radiomic CT signature can predict HPV status in head and neck cancer, even if its accuracy was not high enough to represent a valid alternative to p16 immunohistochemistry (a surrogate biomarker) and direct viral DNA or messenger RNA studies, which remain the criterion standard. To the best of our knowledge, no study has used MR imaging texture analysis to noninvasively determine HPV status in oropharyngeal cancer. The first objective of this study was to test the correlation between ADC and HPV status on a larger and more homogeneous OPSCC sample compared with previous studies. The second objective was to investigate correlations between HPV status and a set of texture features extracted from both morphologic and DWI sequences.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

This retrospective study included patients with untreated histologically demonstrated T2–T4 OPSCC assessed by the Multidisciplinary Head and Neck Group of our institution between March 2010 and April 2017. HPV status was determined by direct viral DNA study on tissue samples. Pretreatment MR imaging was available for all patients. Patients with primary tumors too small to be analyzed or with a low quality of MR images due to artifacts were excluded from image analysis. Tumor, Node, Metastasis classification was performed according to the eighth edition of the TNM classification of head and neck cancer.²⁴

HPV Status Assessment

HPV status was evaluated with the *digene* HC2 High-Risk HPV DNA Test (QIAGEN; <https://www.qiagen.com/us/shop/detection-solutions/human-pathogens/digene-hc2-high-risk-hpv-dna-test/#orderinginformation>), an in vitro nonradioactive nucleic acid hybridization assay with signal amplification using a chemiluminescent microtiter plate. This test is able to detect 18 HPV types, including high-risk (16, 18, 31, 33, 35, 39, 45, 51, 52, 56, 58, 59, 68) and low-risk types (6, 11, 42, 43, 44). The

Hybrid Capture 2 test shows a sensitivity and specificity equivalent to that of the polymerase chain reaction.²⁵

MR Imaging Protocol

MR imaging was performed using a 1.5T scanner (Magnetom Aera; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). The MR imaging protocol included: axial TSE T2 (TR, 5570 ms; TE, 102 ms; slice thickness, 3 mm; matrix, 448×224), axial echo-planar DWI (TR, 3900 ms; TE, 59 ms; slice thickness, 3 mm; matrix, 132×132) with b -values of 0 and 1000 s/mm^2 , and contrast-enhanced 3D fat-saturated gradient-echo T1 (volumetric interpolated brain examination [VIBE]) with an isotropic resolution of 0.7 mm. Apparent diffusion coefficient maps were automatically generated using an optimized noise filter.

Texture Analysis

Texture analysis was performed on primary tumors. MR images were transferred to an off-line PC and analyzed using proprietary texture analysis software TexRAD (TexRAD; Cambridge, UK). Three head and neck radiologists in consensus (M.R., M.L., E.T.), blinded to HPV status, drew an ROI on a single section of axial T2, ADC map, and postcontrast VIBE images (Fig 1), encompassing the primary tumor on its largest axial area. TexRAD software uses the filtration-histogram method as described by Miles et al.²⁶ The filtration-histogram method comprises an initial filtration step that highlights image features of a specified size, followed by histogram analysis of the filtered image. The size of highlighted image features is denoted by the spatial scaling factor (SSF), expressed in millimeters. Histograms generated from unfiltered and filtered images were quantified by the following parameters: mean (average value of the pixels within the ROI), SD (a measure of how much variation or dispersion exists from the average), mean of positive pixels (average value of the pixels greater than zero within the ROI), entropy (a metric that reflects texture irregularity and positively correlates with tumor heterogeneity), skewness (a measure of histogram asymmetry; positive values indicate histograms skewed to the right, while negative values indicate histograms skewed to the left; skewness = 0 indicates a perfectly symmetric histogram), and kurtosis (a measure of the peakedness of the histogram; positive kurtosis indicates a histogram that is more peaked than a Gaussian distribution, while negative kurtosis in-

Table 1: Baseline characteristics of patients and tumors

	Total (n = 59)	HPV+ (n = 28)	HPV- (n = 31)	P Value
Age (yr)	64.9	64.4	65.4	.904
Sex				
Male	43	19	24	.413
Female	16	9	7	
Smoker	34/59	8/28	26/31	<.0001
Site	38, Palatine tonsil 17, Tongue base 3, Soft palate 1, Posterior wall	20, Palatine tonsil 7, Tongue base 1, Soft palate	18, Palatine tonsil 10, Tongue base 2, Soft palate 1, Posterior wall	.611
TNM classification				
T	T2 = 25 T3 = 2 T4 = 32	T2 = 16 T3 = 1 T4 = 11	T2 = 9 T3 = 1 T4 = 21 (16, T4a; 5, T4b)	.084
N	N0 = 14 N1 = 15 N2 = 18 N3 = 12	N0 = 7 N1 = 13 N2 = 6 N3 = 2	N0 = 7 N1 = 2 N2 = 12 (8, N2b; 4, N2c) N3 = 10 (N3b = 10)	.002
M	M0 = 57 M1 = 2	M0 = 27 M1 = 1	M0 = 30 M1 = 1	.144

Note:—HPV+ indicates human papillomavirus-positive; HPV−, human papillomavirus-negative.

Table 2: Quantitative MR imaging features significantly different between patients positive and negative for HPV

Variable	HPV−		HPV+		P Value	
	No.	Mean	No.	Mean	Uncorrected	Corrected
Mean ADC	29	1045.2072	24	860.0675	.000112	.00168
MPP T2 SSF 4	31	149.4606	27	192.3400	.0064	.108
MPP T2 SSF 3	31	136.8981	27	173.5967	.0072	.108
Mean T2 SSF 2	31	17.6865	27	52.8400	.0182	.15525
Mean T2 SSF 3	31	34.4752	27	82.4037	.0207	.15525
Mean T2 SSF 1	31	5.8284	27	19.9081	.031	.1625
Mean T2 SSF 4	31	54.0761	27	107.7759	.0325	.1625
Kurtosis VIBE SSF 4	30	0.3773	26	−0.09423	.0358	.446

Note:—HPV− indicates human papillomavirus-negative; HPV+, human papillomavirus-positive; MPP, mean of positive pixels.

icates that the histogram is flatter than a Gaussian distribution). In this study, SSFs of 1, 2, 3, and 4 mm were used. A total of 30 parameters [$6 \times (\text{unfiltered} + 4 \text{ SSF})$] were computed for each sequence.

Statistical Analysis

Texture parameters were compared between HPV-positive and HPV-negative groups using the Mann-Whitney *U* or Student *t* test (when normally distributed). The Benjamini-Hochberg correction was applied to control the false discovery rate. The χ^2 test was used to compare categorical variables. Patients were divided in 4 subclasses, according to smoking and HPV status, which were considered as classification variables in a second step: smokers and HPV-negative (class 1), nonsmokers and HPV-positive (class 2), smokers and HPV-positive (class 3), and nonsmokers and HPV-negative (class 4). Multivariable logistic regression was used to build a predictive model for HPV status. The statistical significance level was set at $P = .05$. Statistical tests were performed using MedCalc for Windows, Version 17.8.6 (MedCalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium).

RESULTS

Sixty-eight patients with OPSCC were enrolled; however, 4 were excluded because of the small size of the primary tumor and 5 for the low quality of MR images due to motion artifacts. Thus, 59 patients were analyzed (43 men and 16 women); 28 were HPV-

positive (47%), and 31 patients were HPV-negative (53%). Table 1 summarizes baseline patient characteristics. Twenty-six HPV-negative lesions were found in smokers (class 1); 20 HPV-positive lesions, in nonsmokers (class 2); eight HPV-positive lesions, in smokers (class 3); and 5 HPV-negative lesions, in nonsmokers (class 4). Use of tobacco was observed in a significantly higher ratio of patients with HPV-negative OPSCC ($P < .0001$, χ^2 test). Age was not different between the 2 groups.

MR imaging texture analysis was performed on all 3 sequences (T2, DWI, and VIBE) in 50 patients. DWI was not analyzed in 5 patients (because of artifacts in 3 and because the sequence was not available in 2). The VIBE sequence was not analyzed in 3 patients (2 because of artifacts, 1 because the sequence was not available). In 1 patient, neither T2 nor DWI was analyzed because of low image quality.

Table 2 summarizes the texture features that were significantly associated with HPV status. Mean ADC was the parameter with the highest discriminatory power, while other parameters had significant but higher *P* values. After Benjamini-Hochberg correction for the false discovery rate, only mean ADC maintained statistical significance. If one combined mean ADC and smoking habit in multivariable logistic regression, the resulting model allowed correctly classifying 88.2% of cases, corresponding to an area under the receiver operating characteristic curve of 0.944 (sensitivity, 83.3%; specificity, 92.6%) (Fig 2). When subclasses

determined by a combination of HPV status and history of smoking were considered, some texture parameters were significantly different between class 3 (HPV-positive, smoking) and classes 1 and 2; class 4 (HPV-negative, nonsmokers) was excluded because of the very low patient numbers. In particular, patients in class 3 (HPV-positive, smokers) had a significantly higher skewness: SSF = 2 mm on VIBE sequences compared with the other 2 classes (Table 3). This association maintained its statistical significance after Benjamini-Hochberg correction.

DISCUSSION

Our study confirms the preliminary results obtained by previous publications regarding the correlation between ADC derived from DWI and HPV status in OPSCC; finding that HPV-positive tumors had a significantly lower ADC than HPV-negative tumors. Even if the reason for this finding remains unknown, several possible explanations may be conceived. On the basis of their previous study, Driessen et al²⁷ hypothesized that the low stromal volume observed in HPV-positive head and neck cancer could explain the cancer lower ADC. Furthermore, cancer cell nests in HPV-driven cancer are often surrounded by zones of lymphoid cells,²⁸ which could increase tissue cell density, thus leading to lower ADC. This hypothesis is supported by a very recent pilot study published by Swartz et al,²⁹ who found a strongly significant negative correlation between ADC and CD3-positive cell count in 20 patients with OPSCC.

In addition, HPV-positive OPSCC seems to be more fre-

quently associated with higher Ki-67 levels,³⁰ which have been found to be negatively correlated to ADC in several cancer types.^{31–35} The same aforementioned study by Swartz et al²⁹ also demonstrated a negative correlation between ADC and Ki-67 expression.

The increasing evidence for the relationship between the ADC value and HPV status is relevant given that the results from several preliminary studies^{36–43} suggest an association between higher tumor pretreatment ADC and a poor response to chemoradiation and prognosis in head and neck cancer, though none considered HPV status in multivariable analysis. Therefore, our results emphasize the need for future studies on DWI to include HPV status as a possible important confounder for ADC in OPSCC. The present study was performed on a larger patient cohort than previous studies.^{10–12} The number of HPV-positive and HPV-negative tumors is better balanced (28 and 31, respectively) compared with the group analyzed by Driessen et al,⁹ which included only 6 HPV-positive tumors. Furthermore, the latter study included a miscellanea of head and neck cancers, while our study was selectively directed to analyze oropharyngeal cancer. Different from articles published by Chan et al,¹⁰ Nakahira et al,¹¹ and Schouten et al,¹² HPV status in our study was defined by direct viral DNA and messenger RNA studies rather than by p16 immunohistochemistry. This is an important strength because p16 immunostaining is a surrogate marker of HPV status and, despite its high sensitivity, has only moderate specificity because p16 may be constitutively activated in HPV-negative OPSCC.⁴⁴ Remarkably, the absolute ADC values that we found are compellingly lower than those obtained in the previously cited studies in both HPV-positive and HPV-negative OPSCC. Even if the reason for these discrepancies cannot be fully explained, they may be conceivably imputed to differences in sequences and segmentation strategy. Kolff-Gart et al⁴⁵ demonstrated that ADC values measured on different head and neck tissues differ significantly among different MR imaging systems and sequences. Juan et al⁴⁶ demonstrated that non-echo-planar sequences (like those used by Schouten et al¹²) produce significantly higher ADC values for the major salivary glands compared with echo-planar sequences. Choices of different b-values and intrinsic signal-to-noise ratio might also influence ADC. Finally, ROI segmentation on B_0 images (as performed by Driessen et al⁹ and Chan et al¹⁰) might include peritumoral edema, leading to increased ADC mean values.

This is the first study investigating the correlation between MR imaging texture features and HPV status in head and neck cancer. The relationship between texture parameters and HPV status was weaker than that observed between mean ADC and HPV status. Even if some parameters showed raw *P* values < .05 (1 below .01), they lost significance after correction for the false discovery rate, which is strongly recommendable when a large number of

FIG 2. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve of the predictive model based on mean ADC and smoking status. The classification variable was HPV status, while the variable was the predicted probability produced by multivariable logistic regression. AUC indicates area under the curve.

Table 3: Quantitative MR imaging features significantly different between patients in class 3 (HPV+ and smokers) and those in classes 1 and 2 (smokers only and HPV+ only, respectively)

Variable	Class 1 or 2		Class 3		P Value	
	No.	Mean	No.	Mean	Uncorrected	Corrected
Skewness VIBE SSF 2	42	0.165	8	0.769	.000798	.02394
Kurtosis VIBE SSF 2	42	0.4544	8	1.4763	.0076	.114
Skewness VIBE SSF 3	42	0.1713	8	0.5788	.0184	.184
Skewness VIBE SSF 1	42	0.1119	8	0.4038	.0364	.273

variables without a priori validation are tested.⁴⁷ Conversely, a strongly significant association was found between skewness SSF = 2 mm (a descriptor of histogram asymmetry) on postcontrast VIBE and groups derived from the combination of HPV and tobacco smoking status. In particular, the patients in the group of HPV-positive/smokers had a significantly higher skewness when comparing the HPV-positive/nonsmoker and HPV-negative/smoker groups. Even if this result has no obvious explanation, it is interesting in line with previous observations that the combination of HPV infection and tobacco smoking leads to a higher risk of treatment failure⁴⁸ and an accumulation of genetic mutations.⁴⁹

This study has some limitations. It is retrospective and based on ROIs traced on a single slice rather than on volumetric tumor analysis. Due to the relatively low number of patients, analysis of texture data did not include complex classification algorithms, which would have required both training and validation subgroups, each with sufficient numbers of patients. Thus, further information regarding relevant texture patterns could still be studied within our data. A more comprehensive approach will be adopted when our sample size reaches an adequate size. Even if the identification of a noninvasive detection method for HPV status was not the objective of the present study, the good performance of the simple regression model based on mean ADC and smoking status (which led to correct classification in 88% of cases) is an interesting result that deserves to be validated on external datasets. Furthermore, the accuracy of the model could be further improved by the addition of texture information.

CONCLUSIONS

Our study confirms the correlation between ADC derived from DWI and HPV status in OPSCC with a significantly lower ADC in HPV-positive tumors compared to HPV-negative.

Bases on these findings, we developed a simple predictive model based on ADC and smoking status that can be used as a non-invasive and cost-effective detection method for HPV status. This model deserves to be validated on external datasets and to be perfected with other parameters to increase its sensitivity.

A further noteworthy observation was that patients who are both HPV-positive and smokers have significantly higher MRI skewness, which is in line with published literature. This result does not yet have an obvious explanation and therefore would require confirmation by adding more patients and to be validated on external datasets.

REFERENCES

1. Chaturvedi AK, Engels EA, Pfeiffer RM, et al. **Human papillomavirus and rising oropharyngeal cancer incidence in the United States.** *J Clin Oncol* 2011;29:4294–301 [CrossRef Medline](#)
2. Applebaum KM, Furniss CS, Zeka A, et al. **Lack of association of alcohol and tobacco with HPV16-associated head and neck cancer.** *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2007;99:1801–10 [CrossRef Medline](#)
3. Ang KK, Harris J, Wheeler R, et al. **Human papillomavirus and survival of patients with oropharyngeal cancer.** *N Engl J Med* 2010;363:24–35 [CrossRef Medline](#)
4. Ragin CC, Taioli E. **Survival of squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck in relation to human papillomavirus infection: review and meta-analysis.** *Int J Cancer* 2007;121:1813–20 [CrossRef Medline](#)
5. Stenmark MH, Shumway D, Guo C, et al. **Influence of human papillomavirus on the clinical presentation of oropharyngeal carcinoma in the United States.** *Laryngoscope* 2017;127:2270–78 [CrossRef Medline](#)
6. Huang YH, Yeh CH, Cheng NM, et al. **Cystic nodal metastasis in patients with oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma receiving chemoradiotherapy: relationship with human papillomavirus status and failure patterns.** *PLoS One* 2017;12:e0180779 [CrossRef Medline](#)
7. Cantrell SC, Peck BW, Li G, et al. **Differences in imaging characteristics of HPV-positive and HPV-negative oropharyngeal cancers: a blinded matched-pair analysis.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2013;34:2005–09 [CrossRef Medline](#)
8. Goldenberg D, Begum S, Westra WH, et al. **Cystic lymph node metastasis in patients with head and neck cancer: an HPV-associated phenomenon.** *Head Neck* 2008;30:898–903 [CrossRef Medline](#)
9. Driessen JP, van Bommel AJ, van Kempen PM, et al. **Correlation of human papillomavirus status with apparent diffusion coefficient of diffusion-weighted MRI in head and neck squamous cell carcinomas.** *Head Neck* 2016;38(Suppl 1):E613–18 [CrossRef Medline](#)
10. Chan MW, Higgins K, Enepekides D, et al. **Radiologic differences between human papillomavirus-related and human papillomavirus-unrelated oropharyngeal carcinoma on diffusion-weighted imaging.** *ORL J Otorhinolaryngol Relat Spec* 2016;78:344–52 [CrossRef Medline](#)
11. Nakahira M, Saito N, Yamaguchi H, et al. **Use of quantitative diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging to predict human papilloma virus status in patients with oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma.** *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2014;271:1219–25 [CrossRef Medline](#)
12. Schouten CS, de Graaf P, Bloemena E, et al. **Quantitative diffusion-weighted MRI parameters and human papillomavirus status in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2015;36:763–67 [CrossRef Medline](#)
13. Castellano G, Bonilha L, Li LM, et al. **Texture analysis of medical images.** *Clin Radiol* 2004;59:1061–69 [CrossRef Medline](#)
14. De Cecco CN, Ganeshan B, Ciolina M, et al. **Texture analysis as imaging biomarker of tumoral response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy in rectal cancer patients studied with 3-T magnetic resonance.** *Invest Radiol* 2015;50:239–45 [CrossRef Medline](#)
15. Ganeshan B, Miles KA, Babikir S, et al. **CT-based texture analysis potentially provides prognostic information complementary to interim FDG-PET for patients with Hodgkin's and aggressive non-Hodgkin's lymphomas.** *Eur Radiol* 2017;27:1012–20 [CrossRef Medline](#)
16. Goh V, Ganeshan B, Nathan P, et al. **Assessment of response to tyrosine kinase inhibitors in metastatic renal cell cancer: CT texture as a predictive biomarker.** *Radiology* 2011;261:165–71 [CrossRef Medline](#)
17. Ravanelli M, Farina D, Morassi M, et al. **Texture analysis of advanced non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) on contrast-enhanced computed tomography: prediction of the response to the first-line chemotherapy.** *Eur Radiol* 2013;23:3450–55 [CrossRef Medline](#)
18. Smith AD, Gray MR, del Campo SM, et al. **Predicting overall survival in patients with metastatic melanoma on antiangiogenic therapy and RECIST stable disease on initial posttherapy images using CT texture analysis.** *AJR Am J Roentgenol* 2015;205:W283–93 [CrossRef Medline](#)
19. Zhang H, Graham CM, Elci O, et al. **Locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck: CT texture and histogram analysis allow independent prediction of overall survival in patients treated with induction chemotherapy.** *Radiology* 2013;269:801–09 [CrossRef Medline](#)
20. Aerts HJ, Velazquez ER, Leijenaar RT, et al. **Decoding tumour phenotype by noninvasive imaging using a quantitative radiomics approach.** *Nat Commun* 2014;5:4006 [CrossRef Medline](#)
21. Miles KA, Ganeshan B, Rodriguez-Justo M, et al. **Multifunctional imaging signature for V-KI-RAS2 Kirsten rat sarcoma viral oncogene homolog (KRAS) mutations in colorectal cancer.** *J Nucl Med* 2014;55:386–91 [CrossRef Medline](#)

22. Weiss GJ, Ganeshan B, Miles KA, et al. **Noninvasive image texture analysis differentiates K-ras mutation from pan-wildtype NSCLC and is prognostic.** *PLoS One* 2014;9:e100244 CrossRef Medline
23. Bogowicz M, Riesterer O, Ikenberg K, et al. **Computed tomography radiomics predicts HPV status and local tumor control after definitive radiochemotherapy in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.** *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys* 2017;99:921–28 CrossRef Medline
24. Huang SH, O'Sullivan B. **Overview of the 8th Edition TNM Classification for Head and Neck Cancer.** *Curr Treat Options Oncol* 2017;18:40 CrossRef Medline
25. Clavel C, Masure M, Putaud I, et al. **Hybrid capture II, a new sensitive test for human papillomavirus detection: comparison with hybrid capture I and PCR results in cervical lesions.** *J Clin Pathol* 1998;51:737–40 CrossRef Medline
26. Miles KA, Ganeshan B, Hayball MP. **CT texture analysis using the filtration-histogram method: what do the measurements mean?** *Cancer Imaging* 2013;13:400–06 CrossRef Medline
27. Driessen JP, Caldas-Magalhaes J, Janssen LM, et al. **Diffusion-weighted MR imaging in laryngeal and hypopharyngeal carcinoma: association between apparent diffusion coefficient and histologic findings.** *Radiology* 2014;272:456–63 CrossRef Medline
28. Westra WH. **The morphologic profile of HPV-related head and neck squamous carcinoma: implications for diagnosis, prognosis, and clinical management.** *Head Neck Pathol* 2012;6(Suppl 1):S48–54 CrossRef Medline
29. Swartz JE, Driessen JP, van Kempen PM, et al. **Influence of tumor and microenvironment characteristics on diffusion-weighted imaging in oropharyngeal carcinoma: a pilot study.** *Oral Oncol* 2018;77:9–15 CrossRef Medline
30. Liu J, Zhang M, Rose B, et al. **Ki67 expression has prognostic significance in relation to human papillomavirus status in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma.** *Ann Surg Oncol* 2015;22:1893–900 CrossRef Medline
31. Heijmen L, Ter Voert EE, Nagtegaal ID, et al. **Diffusion-weighted MR imaging in liver metastases of colorectal cancer: reproducibility and biological validation.** *Eur Radiol* 2013;23:748–56 CrossRef Medline
32. Schob S, Meyer J, Gawlitza M, et al. **Diffusion-weighted MRI reflects proliferative activity in primary CNS lymphoma.** *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0161386 CrossRef Medline
33. Shin JK, Kim JY. **Dynamic contrast-enhanced and diffusion-weighted MRI of estrogen receptor-positive invasive breast cancers: associations between quantitative MR parameters and Ki-67 proliferation status.** *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2017;45:94–102 CrossRef Medline
34. Surov A, Gottschling S, Mawrin C, et al. **Diffusion-weighted imaging in meningioma: prediction of tumor grade and association with histopathological parameters.** *Transl Oncol* 2015;8:517–23 CrossRef Medline
35. Surov A, Stumpp P, Meyer HJ, et al. **Simultaneous (18)F-FDG-PET/MRI: associations between diffusion, glucose metabolism and histopathological parameters in patients with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.** *Oral Oncol* 2016;58:14–20 CrossRef Medline
36. King AD, Thoeny HC. **Functional MRI for the prediction of treatment response in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma: potential and limitations.** *Cancer Imaging* 2016;16:23 CrossRef Medline
37. Kim S, Loevner L, Quon H, et al. **Diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging for predicting and detecting early response to chemoradiation therapy of squamous cell carcinomas of the head and neck.** *Clin Cancer Res* 2009;15:986–94 CrossRef Medline
38. Hatakenaka M, Shioyama Y, Nakamura K, et al. **Apparent diffusion coefficient calculated with relatively high b-values correlates with local failure of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma treated with radiotherapy.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2011;32:1904–10 CrossRef Medline
39. Noij DP, Pouwels PJ, Ljumanovic R, et al. **Predictive value of diffusion-weighted imaging without and with including contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging in image analysis of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.** *Eur J Radiol* 2015;84:108–16 CrossRef Medline
40. Ohnishi K, Shioyama Y, Hatakenaka M, et al. **Prediction of local failures with a combination of pretreatment tumor volume and apparent diffusion coefficient in patients treated with definitive radiotherapy for hypopharyngeal or oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma.** *J Radiat Res* 2011;52:522–30 CrossRef Medline
41. Preda L, Conte G, Bonello L, et al. **Combining standardized uptake value of FDG-PET and apparent diffusion coefficient of DW-MRI improves risk stratification in head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.** *Eur Radiol* 2016;26:4432–41 CrossRef Medline
42. Lambrecht M, Van Calster B, Vandecaveye V, et al. **Integrating pretreatment diffusion weighted MRI into a multivariable prognostic model for head and neck squamous cell carcinoma.** *Radiother Oncol* 2014;110:429–34 CrossRef Medline
43. Ng SH, Lin CY, Chan SC, et al. **Clinical utility of multimodality imaging with dynamic contrast-enhanced MRI, diffusion-weighted MRI, and 18F-FDG PET/CT for the prediction of neck control in oropharyngeal or hypopharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma treated with chemoradiation.** *PLoS One* 2014;9:e115933 CrossRef Medline
44. Prigge ES, Arbyn M, von Knebel Doeberitz M, et al. **Diagnostic accuracy of p16INK4a immunohistochemistry in oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinomas: a systematic review and meta-analysis.** *Int J Cancer* 2017;140:1186–98 CrossRef Medline
45. Kolff-Gart AS, Pouwels PJ, Noij DP, et al. **Diffusion-weighted imaging of the head and neck in healthy subjects: reproducibility of ADC values in different MRI systems and repeat sessions.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2015;36:384–90 CrossRef Medline
46. Juan CJ, Chang HC, Hsueh CJ, et al. **Salivary glands: echo-planar versus PROPELLER diffusion-weighted MR imaging for assessment of ADCs.** *Radiology* 2009;253:144–52 CrossRef Medline
47. Chalkidou A, O'Doherty MJ, Marsden PK. **False discovery rates in PET and CT studies with texture features: a systematic review.** *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0124165 CrossRef Medline
48. Maxwell JH, Kumar B, Feng FY, et al. **Tobacco use in human papillomavirus-positive advanced oropharynx cancer patients related to increased risk of distant metastases and tumor recurrence.** *Clin Cancer Res* 2010;16:1226–35 CrossRef Medline
49. Zevallos JP, Yim E, Brennan P, et al. **Molecular profile of human papillomavirus-positive oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma stratified by smoking status.** *International Journal of Radiation Oncology Biology Physics* 2016;94:864 CrossRef