

Are your **MRI contrast agents** cost-effective?

Learn more about generic **Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents**.



**FRESENIUS
KABI**

caring for life

AJNR

Do the Magic Angle Effects or Susceptibility Effects Affect the Visualization of Nigrosome 1?

E.Y. Kim

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol 2020, 41 (4) E20

doi: <https://doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A6458>

<http://www.ajnr.org/content/41/4/E20>

This information is current as of April 23, 2024.

Do the Magic Angle Effects or Susceptibility Effects Affect the Visualization of Nigrosome 1?

I read with great interest the recently published article by Arai et al¹ in the *American Journal of Neuroradiology*. In this article, the authors claimed that the asymmetric visualization of nigrosome 1 is affected by the magic angle and susceptibility. They also suggested that these challenges in visualization are caused by the anatomic slant structure of nigrosome 1. For proper assessment of nigrosome 1 on MR imaging, it is of the utmost importance that researchers should be familiar with its anatomy and obtain high-spatial-resolution imaging to reduce partial-volume effects. In this regard, I am concerned about the methods used by the authors of this article.

First, the authors claimed that the MR imaging visualization of nigrosome 1 is often poor because of the asymmetry of this cell cluster, regardless of whether nigrosome 1 is healthy. I tried to find any relevant studies with regard to the cell cluster asymmetry in nigrosome 1 but to no avail. It, therefore, would be better to add any reference to this description.

Second, it has been reported that the CNS does not show magic angle effects (T2 prolongation at certain angles) because it has no ordered collagen.² It, therefore, is implausible to describe that visualization of nigrosome 1 is affected by magic angle effects. Because a multiecho gradient recalled-echo sequence was used in this study, there may be changes of T2* contrast in certain regions of the white matter. It has been shown that the relative orientation of white matter fibers to the B₀ field significantly affects T2* measurements, and the dominant source of this orientation dependency is susceptibility effects from myelin.³ Myelin, however, is not, or is scarcely, present in nigrosome 1.⁴ Thus, neither magic angle effects nor susceptibility effects may affect the image contrast in healthy nigrosome 1.

Third, even if nigrosome 1 visualization is affected by the magic angle effects due to its slant, nigrosome 1 should have higher signal intensity in nontilted head imaging than in tilted head imaging. The opposite results, however, were presented in this study.

Fourth, the authors indicated nigrosome 1 on the susceptibility map in Fig 5.¹ The indicated structures with hypointensity are

connected to the slightly hypointense regions below the substantia nigra. Nigrosome 1, however, is located between the 2 hyperintense areas on quantitative susceptibility mapping because it is seen as a hyperintense region between the 2 hypointense regions on SWI (also known as the “swallow tail sign”). Nigrosome 1 is also located more anteriorly than that in Fig 5.¹ The structure indicated by the authors, rather, would be the medial lemniscus (based on the *Duvernoy's Atlas of the Human Brain Stem and Cerebellum*),⁵ not nigrosome 1, which is supported by the fact that it shows orientation-dependent signal changes because of its myelin content. It would have been better to test if higher-spatial-resolution imaging (eg, 0.5 × 0.5 × 1.0 mm³) shows similar results because nigrosome 1 is very small and easily affected by a partial-volume effect.⁶

REFERENCES

1. Arai N, Kan H, Ogawa M, et al. **Visualization of nigrosome 1 from the viewpoint of anatomic structure.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2020; 41:86–91 [CrossRef Medline](#)
2. Bydder M, Rahal A, Fullerton GD, et al. **The magic angle effect: a source of artifact, determinant of image contrast, and technique for imaging.** *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2007;25:290–300 [CrossRef Medline](#)
3. Oh SH, Kim YB, Cho ZH, et al. **Origin of B0 orientation dependent R2* (=1/T2*) in white matter.** *Neuroimage* 2013;73:71–79 [CrossRef Medline](#)
4. Massey LA, Miranda MA, Al-Helli O, et al. **9.4T MR microscopy of the substantia nigra with pathological validation in controls and disease.** *Neuroimage Clin* 2017;13:154–63 [CrossRef](#)
5. Naidich TP, Duvernoy HM, Delman BN, et al. *Duvernoy's Atlas of the Human Brain Stem and Cerebellum.* Austria: Springer-Verlag/Wien; 2009
6. Kim EY, Sung YH, Lee J. **Nigrosome 1 imaging: technical considerations and clinical applications.** *Br J Radiol* 2019;92:20180842 [CrossRef Medline](#)

 E.Y. Kim

Department of Radiology
Gil Medical Center
Gachon University College of Medicine
Incheon, South Korea

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A6458>