Imaging Parameters of the Ipsilateral Medial Geniculate Body May Predict Prognosis of Patients with Idiopathic Unilateral Sudden Sensorineural Hearing Loss on the Basis of Diffusion Spectrum Imaging

Y. Zhang, Z. Zhang, X. Jia, X. Guan, Y. Lyu, J. Yang, and T. Jiang

ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss is an acute unexplained onset of hearing loss. We examined the central auditory pathway abnormalities in patients with unilateral idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss using diffusion spectrum imaging and the relationships between hearing recovery and diffusion spectrum imaging parameters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Forty-eight patients with unilateral idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss with a duration of ≤ 2 weeks (range, 8.9 ± 4.3 days) and 20 healthy subjects underwent diffusion spectrum imaging tractography. Hearing levels were evaluated using a pure-tone average at initial presentation and 3-month follow-up. Clinical characteristics and MR imaging findings were assessed.

RESULTS: Compared with healthy control subjects, the generalized fractional anisotropy values of patients decreased significantly in the bilateral posterior limbs of the internal capsule, with no differences between the ipsilateral and contralateral sides. The quantitative anisotropy values decreased in the Brodmann area 41, contralateral medial geniculate body, bilateral lateral lemniscus, anterior limb of internal capsule, middle temporal gyrus, and anterior corona radiata. Furthermore, at 3-month follow-up, 14 patients had <15 dB of hearing gain. Receiver operating characteristic curve analysis demonstrated that generalized fractional anisotropy in the ipsilateral medial geniculate body was related to prognosis (sensitivity = 64.7%; specificity = 85.7%; area under the curve = 0.796, 95% CI, 0.661–0.931; $P < .01$).

CONCLUSIONS: Diffusion spectrum imaging can detect abnormalities of white matter microstructure along the central auditory pathway in patients with unilateral idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss. The generalized fractional anisotropy value of the ipsilateral medial geniculate body may help to predict recovery outcomes.

ABBREVIATIONS: DSI = diffusion spectrum imaging; FA = fractional anisotropy; GFA = generalized fractional anisotropy; ISSHL = idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss; MGB = medial geniculate body; QA = quantitative anisotropy

Hearing plays a crucial role in communication with the outside world. Idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss (ISSHL) is an acute unexplained onset of hearing loss from a cochlear or retrocochlear origin.^{1,2} Sudden sensorineural hearing loss affects approximately 5–27 per 100,000 people

annually, and the incidence has increased across recent decades.^{3,4} Viral infections, cochlear ischemia, autoimmune processes, and metabolic derangement have been proposed as potential etiologies. Treatment options include steroids and other medications, hyperbaric oxygen therapy, and other complementary and alternative treatments. However, selection of treatments may be difficult due to the variety of possible etiologies.² Additionally, the hearing prognosis in individual cases is quite uncertain.

High-resolution MR imaging can detect the pathologic changes in the inner ear and the cochlea, providing new insights into the etiology of ISSHL.⁵ A number of studies have examined the prognostic value of white matter abnormalities in hearing loss using T2WI or FLAIR sequences with conflicting results.^{6,7} White matter microstructural changes along the auditory pathway have not been well-evaluated, but DTI is becoming a method to study the central auditory pathways.^{8,9} DTI is sensitive to the highly directional structure

Received March 30, 2020; accepted after revision August 29.

From the Departments of Hyperbaric Oxygen (Y.Z., J.Y.) and Radiology (Z.Z., X.J., Y.L., T.J.), Beijing Chaoyang Hospital, and Department of Radiology (X.G.), Beijing Shijitan Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing, China.

Y. Zhang and Z. Zhang are joint first authors.

This study was funded by Beijing Science and Technology Program (Z161100000116067).

Please address correspondence to Jing Yang, MD, Beijing Chaoyang Hospital, 8 Gongren Tiyechang Nanlu, Chaoyang District, Beijing, CN 100020; e-mail: BJCYhospitalHBO@126.com

Indicates open access to non-subscribers at www.ajnr.org

Indicates article with supplemental online table.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A6874>

of white matter, which can reflect the structural or functional changes of white matter. Fractional anisotropy (FA), as a DTI parameter, is considered a marker of fiber tract integrity. On the basis of a recent systematic review, white matter changes can be detected by DTI in patients with sensorineural hearing loss.¹⁰ However, DTI needs larger sample sizes for standardization, and the spatial resolution limits the further use of DTI in the auditory pathway. By contrast, diffusion spectrum imaging (DSI) generalizes DTI by acquiring more directions in *q*-space, either by high-angular-resolution diffusion imaging shells, a cube on a Cartesian grid, or Q-ball imaging.¹¹ Also compared with DTI, DSI can provide a better estimate of areas of crossing or kissing fibers, demyelination, and axonal remodeling.¹²

The aim of the present study was to investigate the value of DSI in detecting microstructural abnormalities of the central auditory pathway in patients with ISSHL and to assess the correlations between clinical outcomes and DSI parameters.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

The study included 48 patients with unilateral ISSHL. The duration was ≤ 2 weeks with mild-to-profound hearing loss. All subjects were inpatients between January 2018 and October 2019 and were diagnosed by an otolaryngologist. The inclusion criteria were as follows: the presence of an unexplained unilateral sensorineural hearing loss of ≥ 30 dB in at least 3 contiguous audiometric frequencies that developed within 3 days. The exclusion criteria were as follows: 1) a history of Ménière disease, otitis media, or cholesteatoma; 2) history of cerebral infarction; 3) existing anatomic abnormality of the inner ear and auditory pathway; 4) previous otologic surgery; 5) systemic ototoxic drug therapy; 6) images with motion artifacts or patients who cannot tolerate MR imaging; and 7) failure to obtain a 3-month follow-up. As healthy controls, 20 subjects with normal hearing (10 women, 10 men) without a history of neurologic disorders were recruited. This clinical study was approved by the ethics committee of Beijing Chaoyang Hospital, Capital Medical University (assurance No. 2016–88). Written informed consent was obtained before the examination.

Clinical Characteristics and Audiologic Evaluation

The demographic data and medical history of patients were collected. Pure-tone audiometry was evaluated at initial presentation and at 3-month follow-up. The pure-tone average was calculated as the average at 250, 500, 1000, 2000, 4000, and 8000 Hz. The severity of hearing loss was graded by the pure-tone average as follows: mild (≤ 40 dB), moderate (41–70 dB), severe (71–90 dB), or profound (≥ 91 dB) hearing loss. The audiogram shapes were classified into 4 subtypes: low-frequency type, high-frequency type, flat type, and profound type.¹³

Hearing recovery was classified according to the Siegel criteria, as follows:¹ 1) healing: final hearing level of ≤ 25 dB; 2) partial recovery: hearing gain of ≥ 15 dB and final hearing level of 25–45 dB; 3) slight recovery: hearing gain of ≥ 15 dB and final hearing level of ≥ 45 dB; and 4) no response: hearing gain of < 15 dB or a final hearing threshold of > 75 dB. Healing, partial, and slight recoveries were considered improvement.

Treatment Process

All patients with ISSHL were treated with the same protocol. Systemic steroid therapy was administered to each patient, involving 1 mg/kg/day (maximum, 60 mg) of oral prednisolone for the first 5–6 days.¹³ Hyperbaric oxygen therapy was initiated within 14 days of onset and applied at a pressure of 2.0 atm for 60 minutes once daily. The use of other medicine was in accordance with the guidelines of the Chinese Medical Association of Otorhinolaryngology, Head and Neck Surgery.¹³

Image Acquisition and Processing

MR imaging was performed with a 3T Magnetom Prisma scanner (Siemens) using a 64-channel head coil. For structural MR imaging scans for anatomic reference, high-resolution anatomic MR imaging was performed using T1W1 with a rapid-acquisition gradient-echo. The details of the scan parameters are as follows: TE = 2.27 ms, TR = 2300 ms, flip angle = 8°, layer number = 208, voxel = $1.0 \times 1.0 \times 1.0$ mm, and FOV = 256×256 mm. DSI data were collected using a twice-refocused spin-echo EPI sequence and multiple *q*-values (TE = 79 ms, TR = 7200 ms, FOV = 220×220 mm, voxel size = $2.2 \times 2.2 \times 2.2$ mm³, b maximum = 3000 s/mm², and 257 directions for a scan time of 15 minutes) as previously described.¹⁴ For DSI data reconstruction, the study used a generalized *q*-sampling imaging reconstruction of the orientation distribution functions (discrete sampling direction = 362, average diffusion distance = 1.2 mm) as previously reported.¹¹ The space-normalization method was used in the CSF calibration to determine the location of CSF and to unify the diffusion amount relative to CSF as free-water diffusion.

Fiber tracking was conducted using DSISudio (Johns Hopkins University). ROIs were selected in the auditory neural pathway, including the superior olivary nucleus, inferior colliculus, lateral lemniscus, medial geniculate bodies (MGB), anterior limb of the internal capsule, posterior limb of the internal capsule, Heschl gyrus, superior temporal gyrus, middle temporal and inferior temporal gyri, anterior corona radiata, posterior corona radiata, auditory radiation, and Brodmann areas 41 and 42. Fiber tractography was performed in multifiber orientations (step size in each orientation = 1.0 mm, minimum fiber length = 20 mm, turning-angle threshold = 60°), as reported.¹⁵ The same method was adopted to determine the next moving direction if multiple fiber orientations existed, which involved the fiber orientation nearest to the incoming direction and a turning angle of $< 60^\circ$. The next moving directional estimate of each voxel was weighted by 20% of the previous incoming direction and 80% of the nearest fiber orientation, to smooth the tracks. This process was repeated until the quantified anisotropy (QA) of the fiber orientation was below the preset threshold (range, 0.13–0.17 depending on controls) or no fiber extended in the 60°-angle range. The image was standardized to match and correct the diffusion image in the Montreal Neurological Institute anatomic template.¹⁶ An optical fiber-tracking algorithm based on Streamline Tracking Technique (<https://www.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/34008-dti-fiber-tractography-streamline-tracking-technique>) was then implemented, and the QA and generalized fractional anisotropy (GFA) parameters of each ROI were obtained by DSISudio software.

Table 1: Demographic data, medical comorbidities, and audiometric characteristics

Study Characteristics	All Patients (<i>n</i> = 48)	Outcome		<i>P</i> Value
		Nonimprovement Group (<i>n</i> = 14)	Improvement Group (<i>n</i> = 34)	
Sex (%)				
Male	25 (52.1)	7 (28.0)	18 (72.0)	.85
Female	23 (47.9)	7 (30.4)	16 (69.6)	
Hypertension (%)				
Yes	17 (35.4)	5 (29.4)	12 (70.6)	.97
No	31 (64.6)	9 (29.0)	22 (71.0)	
Type 2 diabetes (%)				
Yes	10 (20.8)	2 (20.0)	8 (80.0)	.47
No	38 (79.2)	12 (31.6)	26 (68.4)	
Hyperlipidemia (%)				
Yes	15 (31.2)	4 (26.7)	11 (73.3)	.79
No	33 (68.8)	10 (26.3)	23 (69.7)	
Affected ear (%)				
Left	29 (60.4)	7 (24.1)	22 (75.9)	.34
Right	19 (39.6)	7 (36.8)	12 (63.2)	
Age (mean ± SD) (yr)	52.3 ± 10.8	53.5 ± 11.7	51.88 ± 10.5	.64
Duration (mean ± SD) (day)	8.9 ± 4.3	10.2 ± 5.0	8.4 ± 3.9	.17
PTA (mean ± SD) (dB)	64.3 ± 25.6	59.5 ± 26.1	75.93 ± 20.7	.10
Audiogram shape (%)				
Low-frequency	10 (20.8)	1 (10.0)	9 (90.0)	.45
High-frequency	11 (22.9)	4 (36.4)	7 (63.6)	
Flat	14 (29.2)	4 (28.6)	10 (71.4)	
Profound	13 (27.1)	5 (38.5)	8 (61.5)	
Hearing loss severity based on PTA (%)				
Mild (40 dB)	15 (31.3)	1 (6.7)	14 (93.3)	.12
Moderate (41–60 dB)	6 (12.5)	3 (50.0)	3 (50.0)	
Severe (61–90 dB)	18 (37.5)	7 (38.9)	11 (61.1)	
Profound (≥91 dB)	9 (18.7)	3 (33.3)	6 (66.7)	

Note:—PTA indicates pure-tone average.

Statistical Analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using statistical software (SPSS, Version 26.0; IBM). Quantitative data are described as mean ± SD. Categorical data are presented as frequencies. On the basis of data distribution, the Student *t* test or Mann-Whitney *U* test was used to compare means between the 2 groups, the paired-*t* test or Wilcoxon matched-pairs signed rank test was used to compare DSI parameters between both sides in the same subjects, and a 1-way ANOVA or the Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test was used to compare DSI parameters between both sides of patients with those in the healthy control group. Bonferroni correction for multiple testing was applied with a significance level of $P < .05/N$ (*N* equals the number of ROIs). The χ^2 test was used to compare categorical data. Receiver operating characteristic curves were plotted; then, the optimum cutoff points of GFA and QA were determined. The area under the curve was used as an estimation of diagnostic accuracy. A value of $P < .05$ was considered statistically significant.

RESULTS

Demographic and Audiometric Data

The demographic data, medical comorbidities, and audiometric characteristics are summarized in Table 1. In total, there were 48 patients with unilateral ISSHL, including 25 (52.1%) men and 23 (47.9%) women, with a mean age of 52.3 ± 10.8 years (range, 23–68 years).

The mean duration between the onset of ISSHL and MR imaging was 8.9 ± 4.3 days (range, 1–14 days). The ISSHL was left-sided in 29 (60.4%) cases, while there were 19 (39.6%) right-sided cases. Hypertension (35.4%) was the most common medical comorbidity, followed by hyperlipidemia (31.2%) and type 2 diabetes (20.8%). The mean pure-tone average at onset presentation was 64.3 ± 25.6 dB (range, 30–110 dB), of which severe hearing loss (37.5%) was the most common finding, followed by mild (31.3%), extremely severe (18.7%), and moderate (12.5%) hearing loss. The audiogram shape was a low-frequency type in 20.8% of patients, a high-frequency type in 22.9%, a flat type in 29.2%, and a profound type in 27.1%.

On the basis of the hearing recovery at the end of the 3-month follow-up period, 48 patients were further divided into 2 groups: an improvement group (hearing gain of ≥ 15 dB, $n = 34$) and nonimprovement group (< 15 dB of hearing gain, $n = 14$). However, there were no obvious differences in the above demographic and audiometric characteristics between the groups (Table 1).

Characteristics of DSI Parameter Changes in Unilateral ISSHL

The DSI parameters (GFA, QA) of 20 healthy, age-matched control subjects (range, 27–60 years of age; mean age, 47.9 ± 12.5 years; $P = .089$) are shown in Table 2. There were no obvious differences in DSI parameters between the left and right sides of healthy subjects in any of the 13 ROIs. Therefore, a mean value of the left and right sides for each healthy subject in each of the ROIs was used for comparison with patients with unilateral ISSHL.

Differences in DSI Parameters between Patients with Unilateral ISSHL and Healthy Subjects

The DSI tractography parameters of patients with unilateral ISSHL and healthy subjects, including QA and GFA for each ROI, are shown in Tables 3 and 4.

Compared with the healthy control group, the GFA values of patients with unilateral ISSHL significantly decreased only in the bilateral posterior limb of the internal capsule ($P < .01$, $P < .05$ after Bonferroni correction). In addition, there was no obvious difference between the ipsilateral and contralateral sides.

The QA values significantly decreased in Brodmann area 41, the contralateral MGB, bilateral lateral lemniscus, anterior limb of the internal capsule, middle temporal gyrus, and anterior corona radiata compared with the healthy control subjects ($P < .01$, $P < .05$ after Bonferroni correction). Furthermore, obvious differences between the ipsilateral and contralateral sides were found in none of the above sites.

Differences in QA and GFA between Both Sides of the Same Patient

This study also compared these DSI parameters between both sides of each patient in the different outcome groups (Online Table). In the nonimprovement group, neither GFA nor QA values were different on either side of each patient. By contrast, in the improvement

group, the GFA values of the ipsilateral MGB and lateral lemniscus were significantly higher than the contralateral parameters of the same patient ($P < .05$ after Bonferroni correction).

Prognostic Value of DSI Parameters

The prognostic values of QA and GFA in the different ROIs are shown in the Online Table. Compared with the nonimprovement group, the GFA value of the ipsilateral MGB was higher in the improvement group ($P < .05$ after Bonferroni correction). The fiber-tracking image of MGB is shown in Fig 1. According to receiver operating characteristic curves, the GFA of the ipsilateral MGB had a cut-off point of 0.0967 based on the Youden Index, an area under the curve of 0.796 (95% CI, 0.661–0.931; $P = .001$), sensitivity of 64.7%, and specificity of 85.7% (Fig 2).

DISCUSSION

In humans, the primary acoustic circuit is made up of the auditory nerve, brain stem, thalamus, and auditory cortex. In the cochlea, sound is transduced into a neural signal and then travels via the cochlear nerve to a synapse at the dorsal and ventral cochlear nuclei in the medulla oblongata. Then, the fibers project to the ipsilateral superior olivary nucleus or decussate to the contralateral superior olivary nucleus. Fibers further ascend to the inferior colliculus in the midbrain via the lateral lemniscus and subsequently

Table 2: Characteristics of diffusion spectrum imaging parameters in healthy subjects^a

ROIs	Parameters	Left Side	Right Side	P Value
Superior olivary nucleus	QA	0.6725 ± 0.0783	0.6584 ± 0.0910	.55
	GFA	0.0902 ± 0.0062	0.0896 ± 0.0081	.64
Inferior colliculus	QA	0.6531 ± 0.0800	0.6463 ± 0.0827	.76
	GFA	0.0936 ± 0.0052	0.0943 ± 0.0055	.41
Medial geniculate body	QA	0.6324 ± 0.0814	0.6327 ± 0.0923	.98
	GFA	0.0990 ± 0.0083	0.0968 ± 0.0077	.26
Lateral lemniscus	QA	0.6530 ± 0.0815	0.6486 ± 0.0687	.83
	GFA	0.0930 ± 0.0088	0.0925 ± 0.0055	.76
Anterior limb of internal capsule	QA	0.5717 ± 0.0754	0.5871 ± 0.0754	.45
	GFA	0.0853 ± 0.0053	0.0877 ± 0.0044	.08
Posterior limb of internal capsule	QA	0.6947 ± 0.0635	0.6907 ± 0.0551	.81
	GFA	0.1046 ± 0.0035	0.1046 ± 0.0027	.97
Heschl	QA	0.6054 ± 0.0916	0.5792 ± 0.0763	.27
	GFA	0.0882 ± 0.0074	0.0831 ± 0.0141	.18
Superior temporal gyrus	QA	0.5974 ± 0.0762	0.5941 ± 0.0731	.87
	GFA	0.0928 ± 0.0042	0.0912 ± 0.0037	.09
Middle temporal gyrus	QA	0.6020 ± 0.0752	0.6064 ± 0.0720	.83
	GFA	0.0935 ± 0.0033	0.0929 ± 0.0029	.46
Inferior temporal gyrus	QA	0.5594 ± 0.0661	0.5731 ± 0.0686	.47
	GFA	0.0849 ± 0.0079	0.0871 ± 0.0069	.06
Anterior corona radiata	QA	0.5868 ± 0.0717	0.5711 ± 0.0638	.41
	GFA	0.0951 ± 0.0044	0.0937 ± 0.0039	.06
Posterior corona radiata	QA	0.7172 ± 0.0814	0.7081 ± 0.0785	.68
	GFA	0.1135 ± 0.0071	0.1126 ± 0.0077	.36
Auditory radiation	QA	0.6727 ± 0.0783	0.6617 ± 0.0827	.62
	GFA	0.1021 ± 0.0061	0.1010 ± 0.0070	.49
Brodmann area 41	QA	0.6350 ± 0.0790		
	GFA	0.0960 ± 0.0034		
Brodmann area 42	QA	0.5483 ± 0.0793		
	GFA	0.0831 ± 0.0090		

^a Data are mean ± SD.

Table 3: Differences in GFA between patients with unilateral ISSHL (hearing loss ipsilateral side and contralateral side) and healthy subjects^a

ROIs	Patients with Unilateral ISSHL		Healthy Controls (n = 20)	P Value
	Hearing Loss Ipsilateral Side (n = 48)	Hearing Loss Contralateral Side (n = 48)		
Superior olivary nucleus	0.0898 ± 0.0142	0.0922 ± 0.0163	0.08993 ± 0.0066	.69
Inferior colliculus	0.0951 ± 0.0090	0.0948 ± 0.0067	0.0939 ± 0.0050	.84
Medial geniculate body	0.0963 ± 0.0116	0.0940 ± 0.0098	0.0979 ± 0.0067	.31
Lateral lemniscus	0.0951 ± 0.0084	0.0911 ± 0.0092	0.0928 ± 0.0061	.07
Anterior limb of internal capsule	0.0816 ± 0.0068	0.0815 ± 0.0071	0.0865 ± 0.0038	.01
Posterior limb of internal capsule	0.0984 ± 0.0073 ^c	0.0997 ± 0.0051 ^b	0.1046 ± 0.0026	.001
Heschl	0.0829 ± 0.0228	0.0842 ± 0.0140	0.0857 ± 0.0078	.83
Superior temporal gyrus	0.0894 ± 0.0070	0.0892 ± 0.0079	0.0920 ± 0.0034	.28
Middle temporal gyrus	0.0920 ± 0.0057	0.0907 ± 0.0061	0.0932 ± 0.0026	.20
Inferior temporal gyrus	0.0850 ± 0.0084	0.0850 ± 0.0071	0.0859 ± 0.0064	.89
Anterior corona radiata	0.0884 ± 0.0075	0.0881 ± 0.0076	0.0941 ± 0.0039	.005
Posterior corona radiata	0.1084 ± 0.0093	0.1079 ± 0.0091	0.1131 ± 0.0071	.08
Auditory radiation	0.0997 ± 0.0089	0.0992 ± 0.0093	0.1015 ± 0.0057	.61
Brodmann area 41		0.0950 ± 0.0074	0.0960 ± 0.0034	.47
Brodmann area 42		0.0812 ± 0.0085	0.0831 ± 0.0090	.41

^a Data are mean ± SD.

^b Compared with the control group, $P < .05$ after Bonferroni correction.

^c Compared with the control group, $P < .01$ after Bonferroni correction.

Table 4: Differences in QA between patients with unilateral ISSHL (hearing loss ipsilateral side and contralateral side) and healthy subjects^a

ROIs	Patients with Unilateral ISSHL		Healthy Controls (n = 20)	P Value
	Hearing Loss Ipsilateral Side (n = 48)	Hearing Loss Contralateral Side (n = 48)		
Superior olivary nucleus	0.5883 ± 0.1047	0.6058 ± 0.0989	0.6578 ± 0.0852	.04
Inferior colliculus	0.6006 ± 0.0844	0.5949 ± 0.0787	0.6463 ± 0.0860	.06
Medial geniculate body	0.5607 ± 0.0949	0.5395 ± 0.0876 ^b	0.6309 ± 0.0883	.001
Lateral lemniscus	0.5986 ± 0.0903 ^c	0.5588 ± 0.1002 ^b	0.6527 ± 0.0714	.001
Anterior limb of internal capsule	0.4798 ± 0.0778 ^b	0.4825 ± 0.0868 ^b	0.5799 ± 0.0646	<.001
Posterior limb of internal capsule	0.6264 ± 0.1115	0.6342 ± 0.0974	0.6895 ± 0.0585	.04
Heschl	0.4931 ± 0.1452	0.5029 ± 0.1011	0.5840 ± 0.0799	.01
Superior temporal gyrus	0.5249 ± 0.0812	0.5266 ± 0.0820	0.5918 ± 0.0759	.005
Middle temporal gyrus	0.5421 ± 0.0732 ^c	0.5345 ± 0.0730 ^c	0.6026 ± 0.0780	.002
Inferior temporal gyrus	0.4969 ± 0.0659	0.4852 ± 0.0914	0.5639 ± 0.0674	.001
Anterior corona radiata	0.5000 ± 0.0691 ^b	0.4985 ± 0.0676 ^b	0.0580 ± 0.0739	<.001
Posterior corona radiata	0.6537 ± 0.0988	0.6484 ± 0.0916	0.7148 ± 0.0837	.02
Auditory radiation	0.5950 ± 0.0848	0.5948 ± 0.0860	0.6607 ± 0.0819	.008
Brodmann area 41		0.5515 ± 0.1041 ^c	0.6303 ± 0.0850	.002
Brodmann area 42		0.4792 ± 0.0756	0.5421 ± 0.0860	.004

^a Data are mean ± SD.

^b Compared with the control group, $P < .01$ after Bonferroni correction.

^c Compared with the control group, $P < .05$ after Bonferroni correction.

project to the MGB in the thalamus. Finally, the acoustic radiation from the MGB transmits the auditory information to the temporal cortex through the internal capsule.¹⁷

Most studies examining white matter microstructure in sensorineural hearing loss have focused on long-term patients, and the auditory cortex, inferior colliculus, and lateral lemniscus are the most widely studied regions using DTI.¹⁰ Some DTI studies have focused on only the lateral lemniscus and inferior colliculus because the 2 sides are more distinguishable along the auditory pathway.⁸ In these regions, the auditory nerve fibers are predominantly oriented vertically, while other regions including the cochlear nuclei, superior olivary body, and MGB contain longitudinal, transverse, and oblique fibers, leading to poor spatial resolution and signal-to-noise ratio.^{8,17,18} By contrast, DSI was reported to have the highest sensitivity for detecting crossing fibers compared with DTI and diffusional kurtosis imaging.¹⁹ Therefore, in this study, we chose DSI to detect microstructural abnormalities along the central auditory pathway and to assess the correlations of clinical outcomes with DSI parameters.

An observed decrease in FA is likely attributed to axonal loss and/or demyelination.¹⁸ GFA, as the SD of diffusion directions within a voxel, is the parameter of DSI simulation of FA deriving from DTI.¹² QA is an anisotropy index similar to FA, but it is calculated for each orientation-distribution function peak in each voxel.²⁰ The decrease of QA and GFA both can reflect the damage to white matter, just like FA.

A major finding of this study was the prognostic value of GFA in the ipsilateral MGB. In addition, the QA value decreased on the contralateral side of the MGB. A relationship between QA reduction of the contralateral MGB and the severity of hearing loss was found in our previous research.²¹ The MGB plays a central role in auditory processing. It is the efferent and afferent tracts to the primary auditory cortex, responsible for the complex perception of sounds.²² Huang et al²³ also reported that FA in the MGB is a valuable predictive biomarker of cochlear implantation

outcome. This report confirmed that MGB may have predictive value in the process of hearing rehabilitation.

Given that 70%–80% of the fibers cross to the contralateral side, and only 20%–30%, to the ipsilateral side, how does GFA of the ipsilateral MGB affect prognosis? In the improvement group, GFA of the ipsilateral MGB was significantly higher than that of the contralateral side of the same patient, while there were no differences between the ipsilateral and contralateral sides of each patient in the nonimprovement group. These data suggest that the ipsilateral side of nerve fiber integrity was better in patients with good prognosis. Langers et al²⁴ found that the stimulation to deaf ears of patients with unilateral hearing loss can cause weak responses in the MGB, and these responses were strongest ipsilateral to the deaf side. In contrast, the response of the MGB to stimulation was dominantly contralateral in the subjects with normal hearing. Thus, speculatively, ipsilateral compensation may have occurred because of the contralateral injury. The better ipsilateral fiber integrity is conducive to the transmission of nerve impulses, which may improve the prognosis. Further studies are required to confirm the mechanism of hearing recovery in patients with unilateral ISSHL. Yang et al²⁵ also reported that the decreased hearing level of the opposite ear was a poor prognostic factor in elderly patients with unilateral sensorineural hearing loss. This effect of the opposite ear on prognosis may also explain why better fiber integrity of the hearing loss on the ipsilateral side was associated with better prognosis. This finding is because 70%–80% of the fibers of the opposite ear cross over to the hearing loss on the ipsilateral side.

Prognostic factors for ISSHL have been reported in several studies, including age, degree of hearing loss, shape of the audiogram, duration between the onset of hearing loss and treatment, and complications such as hypertension and hyperlipidemia, of which the severity of the initial hearing loss is considered the most important.²⁶ By contrast, we found no differences in the above indices between patients with different hearing outcomes. Only 6 (12.5%) cases of moderate hearing loss were observed in the present study

FIG 1. Fiber tractography of the MGB from diffusion spectrum imaging: A, Axial. B, Coronal. C, Sagittal. ROIs on the QA map: D, Axial. E, Coronal. F, Sagittal. RhMGN indicates Right MGB; LhMGN, Left MGB.

FIG 2. The receiver operating characteristic curves of GFA in the ipsilateral MGB. AUC indicates area under the curve.

(less than the other 3 severities), which may partially explain the absence of effects of hearing loss on prognosis.

This study also showed a decrease of QA value in the lateral lemniscus. However, differing from some previous studies, this study failed to find changes in the inferior colliculus. For example, Wu et al¹⁸ reported significant differences of FA in both the lateral lemniscus and inferior colliculus of patients with sensorineural hearing loss, while Lin et al¹⁷ reported an inverse relationship between the severity of hearing impairment and FA values in those 2 sites. Lee et al²⁷ found greater differences in the inferior colliculus (the site of convergence for input from multiple lower auditory nuclei) compared with the lateral colliculus, suggesting increased

sensitivity of the inferior colliculus to injury. Furthermore, Chang et al⁹ thought that the inferior colliculus was the most common region of the central auditory pathway showing a reduced FA. Nevertheless, in that study, FA of the inferior colliculus decreased in all 8 patients with bilateral hearing loss, but in only 1 of 2 patients with unilateral hearing loss. By contrast, in a study focused on patients with hearing loss with chronic tinnitus, Husain et al²⁸ found no change in FA in those 2 sites. Most interesting, in a DTI study examining the effect of disease duration on the central auditory nerve fibers in patients with ISSHL, there was no change in the DTI index of those 2 sites with a duration of <1 week, while significant changes were found in patients with a duration of >2 years.⁸ Thus, we speculated that the duration of hearing loss may impact the structural changes in the auditory pathways because of compensation. Overall, these data suggest that unilateral or bilateral hearing loss and different durations may explain, at least in part, our contrasting findings in the inferior colliculus.

Consistent with our results, Shang et al²⁹ found a reduction of DTI parameters in the anterior and posterior limbs of the internal capsule, the middle temporal gyrus, and the anterior corona radiata in unilateral deafness. They thought axonal demyelination was the main mechanism of structural change. Lexical/semantic processing requires input from the middle temporal gyrus. In addition to our finding of bilateral white matter damage, Fan et al³⁰ found a decrease of gray matter in

the contralateral middle temporal gyrus of patients with hearing loss. Husain et al²⁸ also found decreased FA values in the internal capsule. The internal capsule is important to auditory function because it contains most of the afferent auditory fibers that enter the cortical area. Most auditory fibers are located in the posterior limb of the internal capsule. The anterior limb of the internal capsule is related to cognitive function because it connects the thalamus and prefrontal cortex, conveying cognition fibers.³¹ A study of patients with tinnitus also showed that the anterior corona radiation is one of the most changed areas in white matter.³² These above results indicate that we need to pay more attention to cognitive function and tinnitus in future research of ISSHL.

Finally, this study also found that QA decreased in Brodmann Area 41. Brodmann Area 41 is the primary auditory area. The results suggested that the short-term course of disease may already have affected the structural changes in the auditory area. However, changes in this area have not been reported in previous DTI studies in patients with ISSHL; these changes need further research and verification.

There were several limitations in our study. The patients were enrolled from a single institution, and the data were analyzed retrospectively. Furthermore, all patients received the same treatment strategy. Thus, we were unable to compare the effects of different treatment strategies on fiber integrity.

CONCLUSIONS

Our data suggest that DSI can detect abnormalities of white matter microstructure along the central auditory pathway in patients with unilateral ISSHL, and GFA in the ipsilateral MGB may help to predict recovery outcomes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the staff from the Department of Otolaryngology at Beijing Chaoyang Hospital.

Disclosures: Yi Zhang—RELATED: Grant: Beijing Municipal Commission of Science and Technology*; Fees for Participation in Review Activities Such as Data-Monitoring Boards, Statistical Analysis, End-Point Committees, and the Like: Beijing Municipal Commission of Science and Technology.* Zihao Zhang—RELATED: Grant: Beijing Municipal Commission of Science and Technology*; Fees for Participation in Review Activities Such as Data-Monitoring Boards, Statistical Analysis, End-Point Committees, and the Like: Beijing Municipal Commission of Science and Technology.* Xiaojiao Guan—RELATED: Grant: Beijing Municipal Commission of Science and Technology*; Fees for Participation in Review Activities Such as Data-Monitoring Boards, Statistical Analysis, End-Point Committees, and the Like: Beijing Municipal Commission of Science and Technology.* Yuelei Lyu—RELATED: Grant: Beijing Chaoyang Hospital*; Consulting Fee Or Honorarium: Beijing Chaoyang Hospital*; Support for Travel to Meetings for the Study or Other Purposes: Beijing Chaoyang Hospital*; Fees for Participation in Review Activities Such as Data-Monitoring Boards, Statistical Analysis, End-Point Committees, and the Like: Beijing Chaoyang Hospital.* Jing Yang—RELATED: Grant: Beijing Municipal Commission of Science and Technology*; Fees for Participation in Review Activities Such as Data-Monitoring Boards, Statistical Analysis, End-Point Committees, and the Like: Beijing Municipal Commission of Science and Technology.* Tao Jiang—RELATED: Grant: Beijing Municipal Commission of Science and Technology*; Fees for Participation in Review Activities Such as Data-Monitoring Boards, Statistical Analysis, End-Point Committees, and the Like: Beijing Municipal Commission of Science and Technology.* Xiuqin Jia—RELATED: Grant: Beijing Municipal Commission of Science and Technology*; Fees for Participation in Review Activities Such as Data-Monitoring Boards, Statistical Analysis, End-Point Committees, and the Like: Beijing Municipal Commission of Science and Technology.* *Money paid to the institution.

REFERENCES

1. Xie Y, Orabi NA, Zwolan TA, et al. **Outcomes of unilateral idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss: two decades of experience.** *Laryngoscope Invest Otolaryngol* 2019;4:693–702 [CrossRef Medline](#)
2. Ahmadzai N, Kilty S, Cheng W, et al. **A systematic review and network meta-analysis of existing pharmacologic therapies in patients with idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss.** *PLoS One* 2019;14:e022171 [CrossRef Medline](#)
3. Chandrasekhar SS, Tsai Do BS, Schwartz SR, et al. **Clinical practice guideline: sudden hearing loss (update).** *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2019;161:S1–45 [CrossRef Medline](#)
4. Jalali MM, Nasimidoust Azgomi M. **Metabolic syndrome components and sudden sensorineural hearing loss: a case-control study.** *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2020;277:1023–29 [CrossRef Medline](#)
5. Ciorba A, Bianchini C, Crema L, et al. **White matter lesions and sudden sensorineural hearing loss.** *J Clin Neurosci* 2019;65:6–10 [CrossRef Medline](#)
6. Fusconi M, Attanasio G, Capitani F, et al. **Is there a relation between sudden sensorineural hearing loss and white matter lesions.** *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2019;276:3043–49 [CrossRef Medline](#)
7. Dicuonzo F, Purciariello S, De Marco A, et al. **MR evaluation of encephalic leukoaraiosis in sudden sensorineural hearing loss (SSNHL) patients.** *Neurol Sci* 2019;40:357–62 [CrossRef Medline](#)
8. Zhu K, He Y, Hou J, et al. **Diffusion tensor imaging study of central auditory pathway in patients with acquired sensorineural hearing loss.** *J of Audiol and Speech Pathol* 2015;23:143–46 [CrossRef](#)
9. Chang Y, Lee SH, Lee YJ, et al. **Auditory neural pathway evaluation on sensorineural hearing loss using diffusion tensor imaging.** *Neuroreport* 2004;15:1699–703 [CrossRef Medline](#)
10. Tarabichi O, Kozin ED, Kanumuri VV, et al. **Diffusion tensor imaging of central auditory pathways in patients with sensorineural hearing loss: a systematic review.** *Otolaryngol Head Neck Surg* 2018;158:432–42 [CrossRef Medline](#)
11. Yeh FC, Wedeen VJ, Tseng WY. **Generalized q-sampling imaging.** *IEEE Trans Med Imaging* 2010;29:1626–35 [CrossRef Medline](#)
12. Hodgson K, Adluru G, Richards LG, et al. **Predicting motor outcomes in stroke patients using diffusion spectrum MRI microstructural measures.** *Front Neurol* 2019;10:72 [CrossRef Medline](#)
13. Editorial Board of Chinese Journal of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery; Society of Otorhinolaryngology Head and Neck Surgery, Chinese Medical Association. **Guideline of diagnosis and treatment of sudden deafness (2015)** [in Chinese]. *Zhonghua Er Bi Yan Hou Tou Jing Wai Ke Za Zhi* 2015;50:443–47 [Medline](#)
14. Wedeen VJ, Hagmann P, Tseng WY, et al. **Mapping complex tissue architecture with diffusion spectrum magnetic resonance imaging.** *Magn Reson Med* 2005;54:1377–86 [CrossRef Medline](#)
15. Fernández-Miranda JC, Wang Y, Pathak S, et al. **Asymmetry, connectivity, and segmentation of the arcuate fascicle in the human brain.** *Brain Struct Funct* 2015;220:1665–80 [CrossRef Medline](#)
16. Fernandez-Miranda JC, Pathak S, Engh J, et al. **High-definition fiber tractography of the human brain: neuroanatomical validation and neurosurgical applications.** *Neurosurgery* 2012;71:430–53 [CrossRef Medline](#)
17. Lin Y, Wang J, Wu C, et al. **Diffusion tensor imaging of the auditory pathway in sensorineural hearing loss: changes in radial diffusivity and diffusion anisotropy.** *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2008;28:598–603 [CrossRef Medline](#)
18. Wu CM, Ng SH, Liu TC. **Diffusion tensor imaging of the subcortical auditory tract in subjects with long-term unilateral sensorineural hearing loss.** *Audiol Neurotol* 2009;14:248–53 [CrossRef Medline](#)
19. Glenn GR, Kuo LW, Chao YP, et al. **Mapping the orientation of white matter fiber bundles: a comparative study of diffusion tensor imaging, diffusional kurtosis imaging, and diffusion spectrum imaging.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2016;37:1216–22 [CrossRef Medline](#)
20. Yeh FC, Verstynen TD, Wang Y, et al. **Deterministic diffusion fiber tracking improved by quantitative anisotropy.** *PLoS One* 2013;8:e80713 [CrossRef Medline](#)

21. Zhang Z, Jia X, Guan X, et al. **White matter abnormalities of auditory neural pathway in sudden sensorineural hearing loss using diffusion spectrum imaging: different findings from tinnitus.** *Front Neurosci* 2020;14:200 [CrossRef Medline](#)
22. Bartlett EL. **The organization and physiology of the auditory thalamus and its role in processing acoustic features important for speech perception.** *Brain Lang* 2013;126:29–48 [CrossRef Medline](#)
23. Huang L, Zheng W, Wu C, et al. **Diffusion tensor imaging of the auditory neural pathway for clinical outcome of cochlear implantation in pediatric congenital sensorineural hearing loss patients.** *PLoS One* 2015;10:e0140643 [CrossRef Medline](#)
24. Langers DR, van Dijk P, Backes WH. **Lateralization, connectivity and plasticity in the human central auditory system.** *Neuroimage* 2005;28:490–99 [CrossRef Medline](#)
25. Huafeng Y, Hongqin W, Wenna Z, Yuan L, Peng X. **Clinical characteristics and prognosis of elderly patients with idiopathic sudden sensorineural hearing loss.** *Acta Otolaryngol* 2019;139:866–69 [CrossRef Medline](#)
26. Lee HY, Kim DK, Park YH, et al. **Prognostic factors for profound sudden idiopathic sensorineural hearing loss: a multicenter retrospective study.** *Eur Arch Otorhinolaryngol* 2017;274:143–49 [CrossRef Medline](#)
27. Lee SH, Chang Y, Lee JE, et al. **The values of diffusion tensor imaging and functional MRI in evaluating profound sensorineural hearing loss.** *Cochlear Implants Int* 2004;5(Suppl 1):149–52 [CrossRef Medline](#)
28. Husain FT, Medina RE, Davis CW, et al. **Neuroanatomical changes due to hearing loss and chronic tinnitus: a combined VBM and DTI study.** *Brain Res* 2011;1369:74–88 [CrossRef Medline](#)
29. Shang Y, Hinkley LB, Cai C, et al. **Functional and structural brain plasticity in adult onset single-sided deafness.** *Front Hum Neurosci* 2018;12:474 [CrossRef Medline](#)
30. Fan W, Zhang W, Li J, et al. **Altered contralateral auditory cortical morphology in unilateral sudden sensorineural hearing loss.** *Otol Neurotol* 2015;36:1622–27 [CrossRef Medline](#)
31. Yin B, Li DD, Huang H, et al. **Longitudinal changes in diffusion tensor imaging following mild traumatic brain injury and correlation with outcome.** *Front Neural Circuits* 2019;13:28 [CrossRef Medline](#)
32. Yoo HB, De Ridder D, Vanneste S. **White matter changes in tinnitus: is it all age and hearing loss.** *Brain Connect* 2016;6:84–93 [CrossRef Medline](#)