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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: When managing meningiomas, intraoperative tumor consistency and histologic subtype are indispensable factors influencing operative strategy. The purposes of this study were the following: 1) to investigate the correlation between stiffness assessed with MR elastography and perfusion metrics from perfusion CT, 2) to evaluate whether MR elastography and perfusion CT could predict intraoperative tumor consistency, and 3) to explore the predictive value of stiffness and perfusion metrics in distinguishing among histologic subtypes of meningioma.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Mean tumor stiffness and relative perfusion metrics (blood flow, blood volume, and MTT) were calculated (relative to normal brain tissue) for 14 patients with meningiomas who underwent MR elastography and perfusion CT before surgery (cohort 1). Intraoperative tumor consistency was graded by a neurosurgeon in 18 patients (cohort 2, comprising the 14 patients from cohort 1 plus 4 additional patients). The correlation between tumor stiffness and perfusion metrics was evaluated in cohort 1, as was the ability of perfusion metrics to predict intraoperative tumor consistency and discriminate histologic subtypes. Cohort 2 was analyzed for the ability of stiffness to determine intraoperative tumor consistency and histologic subtypes.

RESULTS: The relative MTT was inversely correlated with stiffness (P = .006). Tumor stiffness was positively correlated with intraoperative tumor consistency (P = .01), while perfusion metrics were not. Relative MTT significantly discriminated transitional meningioma from meningothelial meningioma (P = .04), while stiffness did not significantly differentiate any histologic subtypes.

CONCLUSIONS: In meningioma, tumor stiffness may be useful to predict intraoperative tumor consistency, while relative MTT may potentially correlate with tumor stiffness and differentiate transitional meningioma from meningothelial meningioma.

ABBREVIATIONS: BF = blood flow; BV = blood volume; CUSA = Clarity Ultrasonic Surgical Aspirator System; MRE = MR elastography; PCT = perfusion CT; rBF = relative BF; rBV = relative BV; rMTT = relative MTT

Meningioma is the most common primary intracranial tumor with an incidence of approximately 8 cases per 10,000 persons per year.1 Radiosurgery, chemotherapy, or arterial embolization play supplementary roles, though surgical resection is the primary treatment for meningiomas. Tumor consistency is recognized as a major indicator of complete resection for meningiomas.2 To date, various imaging modalities including T2-weighted images, diffusion MR imaging measurements, and magnetization transfer imaging have been investigated to predict meningioma consistency.3 However, there have been conflicting results, and no widely accepted method has been established.

MR elastography (MRE) is a dynamic MR imaging–based technique used for the noninvasive measurement of the mechanical properties of soft tissue in vivo.4 Recently, the mechanical properties of the brain have been studied in normal aging,5-9 Alzheimer disease,6,10,11 Parkinson disease,12 frontotemporal dementia,6 normal pressure hydrocephalus,6 and brain tumors,13 including meningiomas.14-17 More recently, slip interface imaging using specialized processing of MRE data was shown to provide a dynamic measure of adherence between the tumor and the adjacent brain tissue.18

The global shear modulus of soft biologic tissue can be influenced by the scale of perfusion,19 which relates to the topology.
and geometry of microvessels, indicating a potential effect of perfusion on the macroscopic viscoelastic response of brain tissue. Previous MRE studies have indicated a close correlation between tissue perfusion and mechanical properties in the brain and abdominal organs. Moreover, in investigations of the pathologic determinants underpinning MRE data, microvascular density, which is related to perfusion metrics, has been shown to contribute to the stiffness of soft brain tumor models in mice. Nevertheless, the perfusion conditions and mechanical properties of meningiomas have not been concurrently analyzed. Meningioma consistency and histologic subtype are indispensable factors influencing operative strategy and patient counseling. Recently, MRE has been increasingly recognized as a useful indicator of meningioma consistency, while perfusion metrics provide physiologic and functional information about the tumor microenvironment. Because stiffness and perfusion status are intricately related, MRE and perfusion metrics may serve to preoperatively characterize the viscoelastic properties of meningiomas and further develop clinically applicable predictors for intraoperative tumor consistency. Relatively few studies have reported the relationship between stiffness or perfusion metrics and histologic subtype, and no definite association has been established. Investigating the relationship of stiffness and perfusion metrics to intraoperative meningioma consistency and histologic subtypes may contribute to understanding and objective comparison of these techniques and provide valuable information affecting risk assessment, patient management, and workflow optimization.

The purposes of this study were the following: 1) to investigate the correlation between stiffness and perfusion metrics, 2) to evaluate whether preoperative MRE and perfusion metrics could predict intraoperative tumor consistency, and 3) to explore the predictive value of stiffness and perfusion metrics in distinguishing among histologic subtypes of meningiomas.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects

This retrospective study was approved by institutional review board of University of Yamanashi Hospital. Between May 2017 and September 2019, twenty-seven meningiomas of 27 patients who underwent MRE and received pathologic confirmation of meningioma with subsequent radical resection were included in this study. For the correlation analysis between stiffness measured by MRE and perfusion metrics measured by perfusion CT (PCT), as well as group analysis of pathologic subtype for perfusion metrics, patients were excluded under the following circumstances: 1) Preoperative endovascular embolization for tumors was performed, 2) tumors were clinically confirmed as locally recurring, 3) tumors were resected en bloc without the use of air aspiration or the CUSA setting was determined in 10 steps and ranged from 10% to 100%. These values were consistently chosen by 1 operating neurosurgeon (M.O.) for all cases. The intraoperative tumor consistency score was defined as a value of 1/10 of the CUSA amplitude (eg, a CUSA amplitude of 50% was defined as score 5). If the tumor was removed solely by using air aspiration without the use of CUSA, the intraoperative tumor consistency score was defined as score 0. If >1 CUSA setting was used for tumor removal, the mean value of the intraoperative tumor consistency scores was applied.

Surgical Assessment of Tumor Consistency

Intraoperative tumor consistency was defined on the basis of the CUSA amplitude applied for tumor removal. The CUSA amplitude setting was determined in 10 steps and ranged from 10% to 100%. These values were consistently chosen by 1 operating neurosurgeon (M.O.) for all cases. The intraoperative tumor consistency score was defined as a value of 1/10 of the CUSA amplitude (eg, a CUSA amplitude of 50% was defined as score 5). If the tumor was removed solely by using air aspiration without the use of CUSA, the intraoperative tumor consistency score was defined as score 0. If >1 CUSA setting was used for tumor removal, the mean value of the intraoperative tumor consistency scores was applied.

PCT Technique

The PCT examination was performed using a 320-section multidetector row CT scanner (Aquilion ONE; Toshiba). For the perfusion scan, 70 mL of nonionic iodinated contrast medium, iopamidol (370 mg I/mL, Imeron; Eisai) was injected at a rate of 5 mL/s through the right antecubital vein. A total of 20 volumes covering the whole brain was acquired; each volume comprised 320 images of 0.5 mm-thick sections that covered a total of 16 cm of the head in the superior-inferior direction. The first volume was acquired with an acquisition delay of 5 seconds after the injection of contrast media, allowing the acquisition of baseline images without contrast enhancement, which were used as a mask for obtaining bone subtraction. Next, 10 volumes of the brain were acquired starting at 12 seconds after the injection of contrast media at a sampling interval of 1 volume every 1 second. Then, 5 volumes were acquired starting at 22 seconds after the
injection at a sampling interval of 1 volume every 2 seconds. Subsequently, 3 volumes were acquired starting at 35 seconds after the injection at a sampling interval of 1 volume every 3 seconds. Finally, 1 volume was acquired at 47 seconds.

Block circulant singular value decomposition perfusion maps, including tumor blood flow (BF), blood volume (BV), and MTT, were calculated directly from the residue function using the PMA software. Nonparenchymal vascular voxels were automatically excluded by temporal intensity thresholding. Arterial voxels were identified automatically by identifying the vascular voxels with the earliest peak enhancement. The arterial and venous reference voxels were selected automatically under supervision. All perfusion maps were converted to 2-mm section thickness using nearest-neighbor interpolation.

MRE Technique

MRE data acquisition was conducted with a spin-echo EPI MRE sequence\(^1\) on a 3T MR imaging scanner (Discovery 750; GE Healthcare). Shear waves were introduced into the brain with a soft pillowlike passive driver designed for brain MRE that was placed under the subject’s head within a 32-channel phased array coil. A pneumatic actuator (Resoundant)\(^3\) that was placed outside the MR imaging examination room produced pneumatic pressure waves and vibrated the brain at a mechanical frequency of 60 Hz. 3D wavefield imaging was repeated to capture motion along the positive and negative x, y, and z directions through 6 phase offsets to observe wave propagation in time. The imaging parameters were as follows: axial slices, TR = 3600 ms, TE = 62 ms, no signal averaging, FOV = 24 × 24 cm\(^2\), acquisition matrix = 128 × 128, parallel imaging acceleration factor = 3, section thickness = 3 mm with no section gap, and acquisition time = about 5 minutes. Depending on the subject, 48–50 sections were acquired to cover the entire brain.

Stiffness maps were automatically created on the MR imaging scanner using a previously described pipeline.\(^5\) In brief, stiffness maps were generated in 3 steps. In the first step, the temporal harmonic of the curl of the displacement images was calculated. In the second step, the results were smoothed with quartic smoothing kernels of the form \((1-x^2)^2 (1-y^2)^2 (1-z^2)\), where x, y, and z are linearly spaced from -1 to 1. In the third step, the first-harmonic curl wave information was calculated using a direct inversion of the Helmholtz wave equation. The complex shear modulus values were then median-filtered using a \(3 \times 3 \times 3\) median filter. Next, shear stiffness maps (elastograms), ie, the magnitude of the complex shear modulus, were created on the scanner, from which regional stiffness information could be measured.

Image Processing

ROIs of the tumor were manually drawn on the magnitude images for the MRE and on the delayed postcontrast images for the PCT by a board-certified neuroradiologist with 10 years of experience, blinded to the surgical findings (T.T.). For MRE, the ROI used for reporting tumor stiffness was eroded by 3 voxels from every edge to remove edge artifacts; this procedure was previously reported as a method to minimize partial volume effects and edge-related bias.\(^3\)

For perfusion metrics, we normalized the absolute quantified BF, BV, and MTT values using the brain mask created by manually contouring the brain parenchyma excluding the CSF on the delayed postcontrast images to increase the robustness of regional physiologic measures by removing variations due to the arterial input function\(^2\) and variations in cardiac output.\(^2\)

Maps of BF, BV, and MTT (defined as relative BF [rBF], relative BV [rBV], and relative MTT [rMTT], respectively) were calculated by dividing each voxel value by the mean value of the brain mask. The tumor volume was computed from the tumor ROI drawn on MRE magnitude images.

Statistical Analysis

Correlations between stiffness and the perfusion metrics rBF, rBV, and rMTT; stiffness and the intraoperative tumor consistency score; and the perfusion metrics rBF, rBV, and rMTT and the intraoperative tumor consistency score were evaluated using the Spearman rank correlation test.

On the basis of the results of correlation analyses, patients in cohort 1 were also grouped according to the median value of stiffness in our cohort into the “high-stiffness” group (≥2.9 kPa) or the “low-stiffness” group (<2.9 kPa). The receiver operating characteristic curve was used to investigate the predictive ability of perfusion metrics for tumor stiffness. Cutoff values of perfusion metrics were determined by maximizing the Youden index on the estimated curves. Tumor stiffness and perfusion metrics among the histologic subtypes were analyzed using a nonparametric analysis of variance (Kruskal-Wallis test) and the Steel-Dwass test for post hoc comparisons. \(P < .05\) was considered statistically significant (2-tailed). All statistical analyses were performed using commercial software (JMP, Version 13.0.0; SAS Institute).

RESULTS

Patient demographics, tumor location, tumor volume, intraoperative tumor consistency score, histologic subtype, and cohort are summarized in the Online Supplemental Data. In 7 of 18 meningiomas in cohort 2, two different CUSA settings were used; therefore, the mean intraoperative tumor consistency score was applied. The mean age of the 18 patients (14 women) was 62.8 (SD, 15.3) years, and the median intraoperative tumor consistency score was 3 (range, 1–8). All cases required the use of CUSA for tumor removal. MRE measured the mean stiffness as 3.12 (SD, 1.23) kPa, and the median mean stiffness was 2.89 kPa for 18 meningiomas. The mean values of rBF, rBV, and rMTT for cohort 1 were 3.37 (SD, 2.56), 3.95 (SD, 3.19), and 1.13 (SD, 0.17), respectively.

Correlations between perfusion metrics and stiffness are shown in Fig 1. rMTT was inversely correlated with stiffness \((r = -0.69, P = .006)\) (Fig 1C). However, rBF and rBV were not significantly correlated with tumor stiffness (Fig 1A–B).

A plot of mean tumor stiffness versus the intraoperative tumor consistency score is shown in Fig 2. Stiffness was significantly positively correlated with intraoperative tumor consistency \((r = 0.59, P = .01)\) (Fig 2). There was no correlation between all perfusion metrics (rBF, rBV, and rMTT) and the intraoperative tumor consistency score.
Receiver operating characteristic analysis revealed that rMTT was a good predictor of tumors in the high-stiffness group (stiffness ≥ 2.9 kPa) (area under the receiver operating characteristic curve = 0.81, \( P = .02 \)). By means of a cutoff value of <1.00, the sensitivity and specificity of rMTT for predicting tumors with high stiffness were 62.5% (5/8) and 100% (6/6), respectively.

Of the 18 meningiomas in cohort 2, nine meningothelial meningiomas, 4 fibrous meningiomas, 4 transitional meningiomas, and 1 angiomatous meningioma were pathologically confirmed, while 6 meningothelial meningiomas, 4 fibrous meningiomas, and 4 transitional meningiomas were pathologically confirmed for 14 meningiomas in cohort 1. Results from the Kruskal-Wallis test revealed significant differences in stiffness (\( P = .04 \)) (Fig 3A) and rMTT (\( P = .01 \)) (Fig 3B) among meningioma subtypes. However, there were no significant differences in rBF and rBV among meningioma subtypes. The intraoperative tumor consistency score also showed no significant differences with respect to meningioma subtypes for both cohort 1 and cohort 2. Post hoc analysis revealed that the rMTT of the transitional meningiomas was significantly lower than that of the meningothelial meningiomas (\( P = .04 \)) (Fig 3B).

Three representative meningioma cases are shown in the Online Supplemental Data. The stiffness maps (second row) and rMTT maps (bottom row) demonstrate a trend whereby rMTT decreased as stiffness increased. The rBF and rBV of a 42-year-old woman with a transitional meningioma, with a stiffness of 5.34 kPa (right column), were lower than those of a 72-year-old woman with a fibrous meningioma and stiffness of 2.65 kPa (left column). However, the rBF and rBV of the transitional meningioma of the 42-year-old woman (right column) were higher than those of a fibrous meningioma of a 62-year-old man (middle column), indicating that rBF and rBV were not necessarily correlated with the stiffness value.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we demonstrated that rMTT measured by PCT was negatively correlated with tumor stiffness, but rBF and rBV were not. We also found that the meningioma stiffness and the intraoperative tumor consistency score were positively correlated.

An increased pathologic grading of fibrosis has been demonstrated to cause an increase in intraoperative tumor consistency in meningiomas.\(^{34}\) Conversely, perfusion conditions, including BF or BV, are also important factors that influence stiffness. For example, increased viscoelastic parameters are correlated with increased BF in the brain\(^{21,22}\) and increased BV in the liver.\(^{23}\) In addition, perfusion metrics, including BV\(^{35}\) or BF,\(^{36}\) are positively correlated with microvascular density in meningiomas. Collectively, perfusion metrics including BF and BV and fibrosis may both intrinsically increase meningioma stiffness. Results from a previous animal experiment that used sonographic elastography indicated that vessel density and
stiffness were negatively correlated in a stiff tumor model with increasing amounts of collagen; however, vessel density and stiffness were positively correlated in a soft-tumor model with perfused cores and less collagen.25 These results suggest that the degree of contribution of vascular density or fibrosis to tumor stiffness may vary according to the balance of pathologic contents. The complex relationship between vascular and fibrosis content may explain the lack of a positive correlation between BF and BV and stiffness in this study.

A marked correlation between rMTT and stiffness was observed in this study. Despite the widespread application in stroke imaging, the concept of MTT has not been as fully studied as BV in the context of oncologic imaging. MTT can be defined as the average time taken for blood to transfer between arterial inflow and venous outflow; therefore, increased MTT indicates a slow flow rate and a delayed exit of contrast agent into the venous system among tumors. MTT depends on the pathway taken by the blood traveling from arteries to veins, which depends on local tissue hemodynamics due to vascular network patterns. One possible explanation for the significant negative correlation between stiffness and rMTT in our study is that the difference in contrast agent excretion conditions due to the complexity of the intratumoral vascular pathway derived from architectural distortions caused by fibrosis within the tumor may be related to stiffness changes.

It is useful for neurosurgeons to know the stiffness of a meningioma before the operation because strategies and equipment are not the same for patients with stiff and soft tumors. Consistent with our results, previous studies have reported that MRE-measured meningioma stiffness is positively correlated with the tumor consistency assessed by a similar 5-point scale determined by surgeons.14–16 In our criteria, we applied the mean value of the CUSA setting for tumors with 2 distinct consistency components (7 cases) whose value was considered to be derived from both components of the tumor. However, because the tumor margins were removed to create the final ROI, peripheral regions, such as the site of attachment of the meningioma to the dura, were not included in the stiffness measurements. Thus, the reported stiffness was likely biased toward the central areas. Therefore, the reported stiffness of internally heterogeneous tumors may have been underestimated or overestimated when the stiffer components were peripherally or centrally biased, respectively. The CUSA setting is probably not always a proper indicator of the tumor consistency. Nevertheless, our grading system highlights the possibility of estimating the CUSA settings required for tumor removal, which may be useful for the preoperative planning of meningiomas.

In this study, rMTT showed no significant correlation with intraoperative tumor consistency. A plausible explanation for the discrepancy in diagnostic ability between stiffness and rMTT might be the potentially superior diagnostic ability of MRE for intraoperative tumor consistency, in addition to reproducibility differences among the techniques. The within-subject coefficient of variation for brain shear stiffness of normal brain tissue was reportedly 1.8%–3.5% at the same mechanical frequency (60 Hz) as in our study;27 however, MTT measured by PCT for normal brain tissue was reported as 8.8%.38 Therefore, the potentially higher variability expected in the reported rMTT value might lead to a lower correlation with intraoperative tumor consistency, especially with our small sample size and our scoring system, which was highly subdivided into the 11-point scale. This scoring system may have been sensitive to intraoperator variability in CUSA setting determination.

Although stiffness was highly correlated with rMTT, stiffness of the transitional meningioma and meningothelial meningioma was not significantly different, contrary to rMTT. One possible explanation for this discrepancy might be related to our postprocessing method for MRE. Because we eroded the tumor margins to create the final ROI for MRE, the reported stiffness would be biased toward the central tumor area and might not be adequately reflecting the mechanical properties of the whole tumor. In the case with considerably higher stiffness (5.17 kPa) than other cases of meningothelial meningiomas (Fig 3A), the 18th case in the Online Supplemental Data, the CUSA setting at the central area was 90%, while it was 20% in the periphery. This finding suggests that the reported stiffness was overestimated relative to the entire tumor. Another factor might be the limited statistical power due to the small sample size included in each histologic subtype and the relatively large number of subgroups. However, Sakai et al16 suggested that MRE may be able to distinguish relatively firm meningiomas, such as fibrous and transitional meningiomas, from relatively soft meningiomas, such as meningothelial meningiomas; however, statistical analyses were not performed in that study. Moreover, although there was only 1 case, the stiffness of an angiomatous meningioma, typically a soft tumor,39 was the lowest in all cases in our study (Fig 3A). These findings may suggest the potential relationship between stiffness and histologic subtype in meningioma. Further research with a larger sample size and a more devised postprocessing method reducing edge-related artifacts31 is required to elucidate whether MRE has a potential ability to predict pathologic meningioma subtypes.

To characterize the relationship between perfusion metrics and subtypes, Zhang et al26 used dynamic susceptibility contrast perfusion MR imaging and reported that the tumoral BV of angiomatous meningiomas was significantly higher than that of meningothelial, fibrous, or anaplastic meningiomas. Additionally, Kimura et al28 used spin-labeling perfusion MR imaging and reported that the BF was significantly increased in angiomatous meningiomas compared with that in fibrous and meningothelial meningiomas. Nevertheless, these reports focused on the differentiation between angiomatous meningioma, which is typically a soft and vascular-rich tumor,39,40 and other subtypes that are not as vascular. However, as discussed above, the relationship between fibrosis and vascular density may confound each other in a complex manner; therefore, we cannot apply their results to our comparison between consistency and perfusion metrics.

This study had several limitations. First, the number of subjects was small, particularly the number of subjects with high intraoperative tumor consistency. Therefore, the current results might be too susceptible to outlier data to be clinically meaningful. Future prospective studies with larger sample sizes and more uniformly distributed data are necessary to confirm our preliminary results. Second, although we used an intraoperative tumor
consistency score based on the CUSA setting that was applied for tumor removal in an effort to establish a quantitative method, the use of this analysis with the erosion of edge pixels from the ROIs to manage edge artifacts may have resulted in a disagreement between intraoperative assessment and imaging parameters based on the location of stiffer/softer components in internally heterogeneous tumors. Therefore, applying a method that accounts for spatial variability in the stiffness or perfusion metrics and allows a more detailed comparison based on each distinct component of the tumor is preferable. Third, our study lacked an examination of the histopathologic components corresponding to tumor stiffness and perfusion metrics. An investigation of the histopathologic determinants underpinning stiffness or perfusion metrics, including collagenous content, cellular architecture (including cellular arrangement, size, or density), and vascular density/network conditions is required in future work.

CONCLUSIONS
This study demonstrated the relationship between stiffness and perfusion metrics, the correlation between stiffness and intraoperative tumor consistency, and the differences in perfusion metrics among common histologic subtypes of meningiomas. The results show that tumor stiffness may be useful to predict intraoperative tumor consistency, rMTT may correlate with tumor stiffness, and rMTT may be potentially useful to differentiate histologic subtypes in meningioma.
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