

Are your MRI contrast agents cost-effective?

Learn more about generic Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents.



**FRESENIUS
KABI**

caring for life

AJNR

Multiple Sclerosis and Chronic Cerebrospinal Venous Insufficiency: The Neuroimaging Perspective

M. Filippi, M.A. Rocca, F. Barkhof, R. Bakshi, F. Fazekas, O. Khan, D. Pelletier, A. Rovira and J. Simon

This information is current as of April 10, 2024.

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol published online 3 February 2011
<http://www.ajnr.org/content/early/2011/02/03/ajnr.A2348.citation>

EDITORIAL

Multiple Sclerosis and Chronic Cerebrospinal Venous Insufficiency: The Neuroimaging Perspective

In patients with multiple sclerosis (MS), Zamboni et al¹ described anomalies of venous outflow at color Doppler high-resolution examination and multiple severe extracranial stenosis at venography, affecting the internal jugular, the vertebral, and the azygous veins. The authors focused their evaluation on 5 anomalous parameters of cerebral venous drainage and defined as abnormal the presence in a single subject of at least 2 of these parameters. This picture was termed “chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency” (CCSVI) and was found in all patients with MS studied and in none of the controls.

Starting from this first report,¹ several other articles²⁻⁵ from the same group were published that might support the theory of a role of cerebral venous circulatory abnormalities in the pathogenesis of MS. This stimulated a wide array of discussion in the scientific and patient communities, as well as a significant amount of publicity via lay media. Because the CCSVI theory, if confirmed, may open new therapeutic venues for MS, a significant effort has been and continues to be devoted to proving or disproving it, especially in that the proposed surgical intervention to restore normal venous outflow is not risk-free and can have serious consequences, which have already occurred in 2 patients.⁶

Several studies which are receiving substantial grants from national and international MS societies are currently being performed, to scrutinize the CCSVI theory. The technical limitations of the approach applied by Zamboni et al¹ and its conceptual shortcomings have been discussed previously by groups of experts in the field.^{7,8} Remarkably, a recent survey performed at the Department of Neurology of the University of Buffalo, in cooperation with Dr Zamboni's group, reported a narrowing of the extracranial veins in only 55% of the first 500 patients with MS enrolled, but also in 25.9% of the 161 healthy controls. Clearly, these findings⁹ are much less striking than the 100% separation initially reported. Independently, an extra- and transcranial color-coded sonography study of 56 patients with MS and 20 controls performed by a German group of investigators¹⁰ not only was unable to replicate Zamboni's original findings but found no significant difference in the cerebral and cervical venous drainage between patients and controls, with the exception of a higher blood volume flow in patients with MS in the upright position, but not in the supine position (a finding that might reflect vascular dysregulation likely due to MS affecting the autonomous nervous system).

This commentary focuses on the contribution provided, so far, by MR imaging and other neuroimaging studies in shedding light on the value of the CCSVI theory in MS.

Neuroimaging Studies Directly Assessing the CCSVI Theory

Phase-contrast MR images allow noninvasive evaluation of the flow direction, velocity, and volume of extra- and intracra-

nial blood and CSF. This technique has been recently combined with contrast-enhanced MR angiography at 3T to test the CCSVI theory in 21 patients with relapsing-remitting (RR) MS compared with 20 healthy volunteers.¹¹ This study found no difference between patients and controls regarding internal jugular venous outflow, aqueductal CSF flow, or the presence of internal jugular blood reflux, whereas internal jugular vein stenoses were documented in 3 patients with MS.

Abnormalities of blood flow patterns due to CCSVI have been proposed as causing increased iron deposition in the brain,¹² a finding that is indeed frequently observed in patients with MS. Iron deposition in the human brain occurs also with normal aging and in the course of many neurodegenerative diseases,¹³ which reportedly have not been associated with CCSVI. Although the mechanisms related to increased iron deposition in neurodegenerative conditions (including MS) are not fully elucidated, inflammation in MS has been thought to cause local accumulation of iron via a disruption of the blood-brain barrier,¹⁴ accumulation of iron-rich macrophages,¹⁴ and reduced axonal clearance of iron.¹⁵ Iron accumulation has been proposed as having a pathogenic role in MS, via secondary injury related to oxidative stress, lipid peroxidation, and free radicals.¹⁶

Among other techniques, susceptibility-weighted imaging (SWI) has been applied to assess iron deposition and cerebral venous oxygen level changes in patients with MS. These studies have confirmed previous results based on different MR imaging modalities^{13,17-21} and have shown an increased iron concentration in the deep gray matter (GM) nuclei in patients with MS compared with healthy controls.^{22,23} In a pilot study of 16 patients with RRMS, such an increased iron concentration was related to the number of abnormal venous sonographic criteria fulfilled.²³ However, an SWI study at 3T demonstrated a significantly reduced visibility of the venous vasculature in the periventricular white matter (WM) of patients with RRMS.²⁴ In line with previous positron-emission tomography studies, which showed a reduction of oxygen use and extensive hypometabolism in the GM and normal-appearing (NA) WM of patients with MS,^{25,26} this reduced visibility and volume of the cerebral venous system, reflecting a decreased venous blood deoxyhemoglobin concentration, can be interpreted as a result of a decreased oxygen extraction in the diseased MS tissue. On the contrary, occlusion of the venous vasculature should lead to an intracranial venous engorgement (increased visibility and volume) and enhancement of susceptibility effects, due to increased oxygen extraction.

Overall, these findings do not support the CCSVI theory in MS, and most of all, they do not support endovascular procedures suggested as a potentially effective treatment.

MS and Brain Vasculature

An association between plaques and veins in the central nervous system (CNS) of patients with MS has been reported by seminal pathologic^{27,28} and MR imaging²⁹ investigations. Using susceptibility-weighted MR venography based on SWI, which is sensitive to deoxygenated blood, Tan et al²⁹ identified a central vein in 94/95 lesions from 17 patients with MS. The typical ovoid shape and orientation of the long axis of MS lesions correlated well with the course of the veins. The intro-

duction in the clinical arena of high- and ultra-high-field-strength scanners is further elucidating the relationship between plaque location and morphology and CNS vasculature in MS. A few preliminary studies performed at 7T^{20,30-32} showed the ability of MR imaging to define the morphologic characteristics of MS lesions in the WM and GM at a resolution that resembles that of the pathologic assessment. Remarkably, some of these studies^{20,30,31,33,34} also allowed a better definition of the relationship between demyelinating lesions and the deep venous system and confirmed that most MS plaques are centered around the microvasculature. While such a perivascular distribution of MS plaques fits with the notion of the inflammatory and immunologic nature of the disease, it does not support the CCSVI theory. Indeed, venous occlusion should result in venous hypertension, which, in turn, should cause abnormalities such as edematous swelling^{35,36} and hemorrhagic and ischemic infarctions,³⁶ findings that are not seen in demyelinating plaques of patients with MS.

Abnormalities of regional cerebral hemodynamics in MS have been investigated by using perfusion MR imaging. These studies have, for the most part, demonstrated widespread hypoperfusion in focal lesions, NAWM, and the cortical and deep GM of patients with MS with the main clinical phenotypes.³⁷⁻³⁹ This finding is consistent with earlier histopathologic studies reporting vascular occlusive changes in MS, characterized by thrombosis of small veins and capillaries, vein wall hyalinization, and intravascular fibrin deposits.⁴⁰ To assess whether NAWM hypoperfusion in MS may be related to a primary vascular etiology or rather may be secondary to hypometabolism, a recent study correlated diffusivity measures with perfusion findings in the corpus callosum of patients with RRMS. These authors reported a correlation between decreased perfusion and decreased mean diffusivity, a finding more consistent with what would be expected in primary ischemia than in secondary hypoperfusion.⁴¹ The notion that ischemia may play a role in the pathogenesis of a subset of MS lesions is also supported by the in vivo descriptions of reductions in the apparent diffusion coefficients in new focal lesions of patients with MS^{42,43} and by pathologic observations showing that in some patients with MS, lesions share similarities with tissue alterations seen in the early stages of ischemia.⁴⁴ Remarkably, a longitudinal study⁴⁵ showed that abnormalities of cerebral perfusion may precede overt change of blood-brain barrier permeability during the development of focal MS lesions; these abnormalities suggest the presence of inflammation-related vasodilation in the acute stage of lesion formation.

Additional mechanisms have been considered to explain NAWM hypoperfusion in MS, including the following: 1) a diffuse astrocyte dysfunction, possibly related to an abnormal release of K⁺ in the perivascular space and, thereby, a reduced degree of vasodilation⁴⁶; and 2) mitochondrial injury,⁴⁷ secondary to toxic inflammatory mediators, reactive oxygen, and nitric oxide species. Moreover, given the tight coupling between arterial flow, tissue metabolism, and venous flow, the reduced intracranial venous volume and structural changes in extracranial veins draining the CNS in patients with MS may simply represent an adaptive physiologic response to low intracranial vascular (arterial) input and low brain metabolism. Given the elasticity and collapsibility of veins, in some patients

with MS, narrowing and stenosis may occur as a result of the disease process, but it would follow along these lines that opening these collapsed veins would not benefit patients.

In short, the present understanding of MS as an immune-mediated inflammatory-demyelinating disease suffices to explain these findings.

Conclusions

CCSVI is a sonographic construct that is poorly reproducible and questionable in terms of known pathophysiologic factors established in MS. The neuroimaging findings reviewed here do not support the CCSVI theory in MS, but rather point to a concomitant disturbance of the brain microcirculation in patients with MS, which deserves further investigation but can be well explained by secondary vascular inflammatory changes known to occur with this disease.^{44,48,49} As a consequence, endovascular treatment of presumed vascular abnormalities in MS should be discouraged vigorously.

References

1. Zamboni P, Galeotti R, Menegatti E, et al. **Chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency in patients with multiple sclerosis.** *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2009;80:392–99
2. Zamboni P, Menegatti E, Galeotti R, et al. **The value of cerebral Doppler venous haemodynamics in the assessment of multiple sclerosis.** *J Neurol Sci* 2009;282: 21–27. Epub 2009 Jan 13
3. Zamboni P, Menegatti E, Weinstock-Guttman B, et al. **The severity of chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency in patients with multiple sclerosis is related to altered cerebrospinal fluid dynamics.** *Funct Neurol* 2009;24:1333–38
4. Zamboni P, Menegatti E, Weinstock-Guttman B, et al. **CSF dynamics and brain volume in multiple sclerosis are associated with extracranial venous flow anomalies: a pilot study.** *Int Angiol* 2010;29:140–48
5. Zamboni P, Galeotti R, Menegatti E, et al. **A prospective open-label study of endovascular treatment of chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency.** *J Vasc Surg* 2009;50:1348–58.e1–3
6. **Experimental multiple sclerosis vascular shunting procedure halted at Stanford.** *Ann Neurol* 2010;67:A13–15
7. Khan O, Filippi M, Freedman MS, et al. **Chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency and multiple sclerosis.** *Ann Neurol* 2010;67:286–90
8. Cortes Nino Mdel P, Tampieri D, Melançon D. **Endovascular venous procedures for multiple sclerosis?** *Mult Scler* 2010;16:771–72
9. **First Blinded Study of Venous Insufficiency Prevalence in MS Shows Promising Results.** February 10, 2010. Available at: <http://www.buffalo.edu/news/10937>. Accessed October 26, 2010.
10. Doepp F, Paul F, Valdueza JM, et al. **No cerebrocervical venous congestion in patients with multiple sclerosis.** *Ann Neurol* 2010;68:173–83
11. Sundstrom P, Wahlin A, Ambarki K, et al. **Venous and cerebrospinal fluid flow in multiple sclerosis: a case-control study.** *Ann Neurol* 2010;68:255–59
12. Singh AV, Zamboni P. **Anomalous venous blood flow and iron deposition in multiple sclerosis.** *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2009;29:1867–78
13. Brass SD, Chen NK, Mulkern RV, et al. **Magnetic resonance imaging of iron deposition in neurological disorders.** *Top Magn Reson Imaging* 2006;17:31–40
14. Craelius W, Migdal MW, Luessenhop CP, et al. **Iron deposits surrounding multiple sclerosis plaques.** *Arch Pathol Lab Med* 1982;106:397–99
15. Lassmann H, Bruck W, Lucchinetti CF. **The immunopathology of multiple sclerosis: an overview.** *Brain Pathol* 2007;17:210–18
16. Stankiewicz J, Panter SS, Neema M, et al. **Iron in chronic brain disorders: imaging and neurotherapeutic implications.** *Neurotherapeutics* 2007;4:371–86
17. Bakshi R, Benedict RH, Bermel RA, et al. **T2 hypointensity in the deep gray matter of patients with multiple sclerosis: a quantitative magnetic resonance imaging study.** *Arch Neurol* 2002;59:62–68
18. Neema M, Stankiewicz J, Arora A, et al. **T1- and T2-based MRI measures of diffuse gray matter and white matter damage in patients with multiple sclerosis.** *J Neuroimaging* 2007;17(suppl 1):16S–21S
19. Ge Y, Jensen JH, Lu H, et al. **Quantitative assessment of iron accumulation in the deep gray matter of multiple sclerosis by magnetic field correlation imaging.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2007;28:1639–44
20. Hammond KE, Metcalf M, Carvajal L, et al. **Quantitative in vivo magnetic resonance imaging of multiple sclerosis at 7 Tesla with sensitivity to iron.** *Ann Neurol* 2008;64:707–13
21. Khalil M, Enzinger C, Langkammer C, et al. **Quantitative assessment of brain iron by R(2)* relaxometry in patients with clinically isolated syndrome and relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis.** *Mult Scler* 2009;15:1048–54

22. Haacke EM, Garbern J, Miao Y, et al. **Iron stores and cerebral veins in MS studied by susceptibility weighted imaging.** *Int Angiol* 2010;29:149–57
23. Zivadinov R, Schirda C, Dwyer MG, et al. **Chronic cerebrospinal venous insufficiency and iron deposition on susceptibility-weighted imaging in patients with multiple sclerosis: a pilot case-control study.** *Int Angiol* 2010;29:158–75
24. Ge Y, Zohrabian VM, Osa EO, et al. **Diminished visibility of cerebral venous vasculature in multiple sclerosis by susceptibility-weighted imaging at 3.0 Tesla.** *J Magn Reson Imaging* 2009;29:1190–94
25. Brooks DJ, Leenders KL, Head G, et al. **Studies on regional cerebral oxygen utilisation and cognitive function in multiple sclerosis.** *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 1984;47:1182–91
26. Bakshi R, Miletich RS, Kinkel PR, et al. **High-resolution fluorodeoxyglucose positron emission tomography shows both global and regional cerebral hypometabolism in multiple sclerosis.** *J Neuroimaging* 1998;8:228–34
27. Dawson KT. **The histology of multiple sclerosis.** *Trans R Soc Edinburgh* 1916;50:517–740
28. Fog T. **The topography of plaques in multiple sclerosis with special reference to cerebral plaques.** *Acta Neurol Scand Suppl* 1965;15:1–161
29. Tan IL, van Schijndel RA, Pouwels PJ, et al. **MR venography of multiple sclerosis.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2000;21:1039–42
30. Mainiero C, Benner T, Radding A, et al. **In vivo imaging of cortical pathology in multiple sclerosis using ultra-high field MRI.** *Neurology* 2009;73:941–48. Epub 2009 Jul 29
31. Tallantyre EC, Brookes MJ, Dixon JE, et al. **Demonstrating the perivascular distribution of MS lesions in vivo with 7-Tesla MRI.** *Neurology* 2008;70:2076–78
32. Tallantyre EC, Morgan PS, Dixon JE, et al. **A comparison of 3T and 7T in the detection of small parenchymal veins within MS lesions.** *Invest Radiol* 2009;44:491–94
33. Kangarlu A, Bourekas EC, Ray-Chaudhury A, et al. **Cerebral cortical lesions in multiple sclerosis detected by MR imaging at 8 Tesla.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2007;28:262–66
34. Kollia K, Maderwald S, Putzki N, et al. **First clinical study on ultra-high-field MR imaging in patients with multiple sclerosis: comparison of 1.5T and 7T.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2009;30:699–702
35. Gilbertson JR, Miller GM, Goldman MS, et al. **Spinal dural arteriovenous fistulas: MR and myelographic findings.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1995;16:2049–57
36. Ferrer I, Kaste M, Kalimo H. **Vascular diseases.** In: Love S, Louis DN, Ellison DW, eds. *Greenfield's Neuropathology*. Vol 1. London, UK: Hodder Arnold; 2008;121–240
37. Law M, Saindane AM, Ge Y, et al. **Microvascular abnormality in relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis: perfusion MR imaging findings in normal-appearing white matter.** *Radiology* 2004;231:645–52
38. Inglese M, Park SJ, Johnson G, et al. **Deep gray matter perfusion in multiple sclerosis: dynamic susceptibility contrast perfusion magnetic resonance imaging at 3 T.** *Arch Neurol* 2007;64:196–202
39. Adhya S, Johnson G, Herbert J, et al. **Pattern of hemodynamic impairment in multiple sclerosis: dynamic susceptibility contrast perfusion MR imaging at 3.0 T.** *Neuroimage* 2006;33:1029–35
40. Wakefield AJ, More LJ, Difford J, et al. **Immunohistochemical study of vascular injury in acute multiple sclerosis.** *J Clin Pathol* 1994;47:129–33
41. Saindane AM, Law M, Ge Y, et al. **Correlation of diffusion tensor and dynamic perfusion MR imaging metrics in normal-appearing corpus callosum: support for primary hypoperfusion in multiple sclerosis.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2007;28:767–72
42. Rosso C, Remy P, Creange A, et al. **Diffusion-weighted MR imaging characteristics of an acute stroke-like form of multiple sclerosis.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2006;27:1006–08
43. Rovira A, Pericot I, Alonso J, et al. **Serial diffusion-weighted MR imaging and proton MR spectroscopy of acute large demyelinating brain lesions: case report.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2002;23:989–94
44. Lassmann H. **Hypoxia-like tissue injury as a component of multiple sclerosis lesions.** *J Neurol Sci* 2003;206:187–91
45. Wuerfel J, Bellmann-Strobl J, Brunecker P, et al. **Changes in cerebral perfusion precede plaque formation in multiple sclerosis: a longitudinal perfusion MRI study.** *Brain* 2004;127:111–19
46. De Keyser J, Steen C, Mostert JP, et al. **Hypoperfusion of the cerebral white matter in multiple sclerosis: possible mechanisms and pathophysiological significance.** *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2008;28:1645–51
47. Kalman B, Leist TP. **A mitochondrial component of neurodegeneration in multiple sclerosis.** *Neuromolecular Med* 2003;3:147–58
48. Frischer JM, Bramow S, Dal-Bianco A, et al. **The relation between inflammation and neurodegeneration in multiple sclerosis brains.** *Brain* 2009;132:1175–89
49. Lassmann H. **Multiple sclerosis: is there neurodegeneration independent from inflammation?** *J Neurol Sci* 2007;259:3–6

M. Filippi

M.A. Rocca

Neuroimaging Research Unit

Institute of Experimental Neurology

Division of Neuroscience

Scientific Institute and University Hospital San Raffaele

Milan, Italy

F. Barkhof

Department of Radiology

VU University Medical Centre

Amsterdam, the Netherlands

R. Bakshi

Laboratory for Neuroimaging Research

Partners Multiple Sclerosis Center

Department of Neurology

Brigham and Women's Hospital

Harvard Medical School

Boston, Massachusetts

F. Fazekas

Department of Neurology

Medical University of Graz

Graz, Austria

O. Khan

Multiple Sclerosis Center

Department of Neurology

Wayne State University School of Medicine

Detroit, Michigan

D. Pelletier

Departments of Neurology and Radiology

University of California

San Francisco, California

A. Rovira

Department of Radiology

Hospital Vall d'Hebron

Barcelona, Spain

J. Simon

Department of Radiology

Oregon Health & Science University

and the Portland Veterans Affairs Medical Center

Portland, Oregon

DOI 10.3174/ajnr.A2348