Joubert Syndrome and Related Disorders: Spectrum of Neuroimaging Findings in 75 Patients

SUMMARY: VH and MTS are the neuroimaging hallmarks of JSRD. We aimed to look at the full spectrum of neuroimaging findings in JSRD and reviewed the MR imaging of 75 patients with JSRD, including 13 siblings and 4 patients with OFD VI. All patients had VH and enlargement of the fourth ventricle. The degree of VH and the form of the MTS were variable. In most patients, the cerebellar hemispheres were normal and the PF was enlarged. Brain stem morphology was abnormal in 30% of the patients. Supratentorial findings included hippocampal malrotation, callosal dysgenesis, migration disorders, cephaloceles, and ventriculomegaly. All patients with OFD VI had a similar pattern, including HH in 2 patients. No neuroimaging-genotype correlation could be found. The wide neuroimaging spectrum in our patients supports the heterogeneity of JSRD. Neuroimaging differences in siblings represent intrafamilial heterogeneity. Due to the absence of a correlation with genotype, neuroimaging findings are of limited value in classifying patients with JSRD.

ABBREVIATIONS: CC = corpus callosum; HH = hypothalamic hamartoma; IF = interpeduncular fossa; JS = Joubert syndrome; JSRD = Joubert syndrome and related disorders; MTS = molar tooth sign; OFD VI = oral-facial-digital syndrome type VI; PF = posterior fossa; PMG = polymicrogyria; SCP = superior cerebellar peduncles; VH = vermian hypoplasia

Materials and Methods
Neuroimaging data were collected by the senior author from the following sources: 1) his personal cohort of patients with JSRD diagnosed during a period of >30 years, 2) patients referred for a second opinion, and 3) requests to evaluate clinical and neuroimaging data of patients with a definite or probable diagnosis of JSRD. The inclusion criteria were the following: 1) availability of 1 MR imaging study of diagnostic quality including at least axial and sagittal T1- and T2-weighted MR images, 2) neuroimaging findings consistent with JSRD (MTS and VH), and 3) clinical findings compatible with JSRD. Seventy-five patients (52 males, 69%) fulfilled the inclusion criteria, including 13 siblings from 6 families and 4 patients with OFD VI and Meckel syndrome, respectively. In some of these patients, the genotype was known and included mutations in AHI1, CEP290, RPGRIP1L, TMEM67, INPP5E, and TMEM216 genes.

The diagnosis of OFD VI was indicated by the additional findings reported above. The diagnosis of Meckel syndrome was indicated by additional findings, including enlarged (pollycystic) kidneys and a cephalocele.

All available images were retrospectively evaluated by 2 pediatric neuroradiologists and 2 experienced pediatric neuroradiologists (T.A.G.M.H, I.S.) in consensus.

Infratentorial Image Analysis
The infratentorial anatomy was evaluated for qualitative assessment of the size (degree of hypoplasia) and morphology (normal/folial disorganization) of the vermis and hemispheres (normal or reduced or enlarged by visual evaluation). The extent of VH was graded according to Qusling et al.4 On sagittal images, the size and shape of the fourth ventricle were evaluated. On axial images, the shape of the interhemispheric cleft (linear, like an inverted Y) was assessed and its width was graded (“slit” if ≤1, 1–2, or ≥2 mm).

The PF was qualitatively evaluated on sagittal images, including size (normal or enlarged), the presence of increased retrocerebellar CSF collection (present or marked, best assessed on axial images), and the size of the preponsite cistern (normal, enlarged if equal to or greater than twice the cross-sectional diameter of the basilar artery).

The SCP were evaluated on axial images for width (minimally or...
obviously thickened), length (normal, very elongated if almost equally as long as the PF), and orientation (parallel, A-like, V-like, or curved). The depth of the IF was graded (minimally or obviously deepened). On axial images, the cerebral peduncles were evaluated for asymmetry.

The brain stem was assessed on sagittal images. The mesencephalon was evaluated regarding morphology (normal or dysmorphic), length (normal, shortened, or elongated), and width (normal, thin, or thickened). The presence of interpeduncular heterotopia lying anterior to or within the IF was ascertained. The morphology of the tectum, pons, and medulla (normal or dysmorphic), the width of the pons and medulla (normal, reduced, or enlarged), and the presence of a posterior medullary protuberance were studied.

Cephaloceles in 2 locations were evaluated (occipitally, at the level of the foramen magnum).

Supratentorial Image Analysis
The supratentorial evaluation included a search for migrational disorders, midline defects (agenesis or dysgenesis of the CC, absence of the septum pellucidum), hippocampal malrotation, ventriculomegaly (as an enlargement of the lateral ventricles without signs of increased intracranial pressure), and HH.

Results

MR Imaging
All patients underwent MR imaging at their local hospitals as part of their routine diagnostic work-up. At MR imaging, the median age of the patients was 1.3 years (range, 2 days to 27.6 years). Because the patients with OFD VI appeared to have a similar neuroimaging pattern, we decided to study this group separately and formed 2 groups. The first group included all patients with JSRD without OFD VI (n = 71); the second group encompassed the patients with OFD VI (n = 4).

Infratentorial Findings (n = 71)
Most infratentorial findings are summarized in the On-line Table. Additionally, VH was marked and the vermian remnants appeared dysplastic (Fig 2). The brain stem was abnormal in all patients.

In 1 patient, an occipital cephalocele was present and was associated with bilateral occipital PMG. In another patient, a cephalocele was found at the level of the foramen magnum. PMG was detected in 3 patients; in 1, it was associated with periventricular heterotopias. HH (Fig 2), ventriculomegaly, and hippocampal malrotation were identified in 2 patients.

Supratentorial Findings (n = 71)
Eleven patients had hippocampal malrotation (bilateral in 3 patients and unilateral in 8; in 1 patient, it was associated with callosal agenesis). The CC was dysgenetic in 6 patients (agenetic in 1). Absence of the septum pellucidum was associated with agenesis—severe hypoplasia of the CC in 2 patients. Occipital cephaloceles were detected in 8 patients, including all 4 with a Meckel-like phenotype. In 1 patient, the cephalocele was associated with PMG. Venticulomegaly was found in 4 patients. Observed migrational disorders included periventricular nodular heterotopias in 2 patients, PMG in 1, and focal cortical dysplasia in 1.

Neuroimaging Findings in Patients with OFD VI (n = 4)
Infratentorial findings are summarized in the On-line Table. Additionally, in all patients, VH was marked and the vermian remnants appeared dysplastic (Fig 2). The brain stem was abnormal in all patients.

In 1 patient, an occipital cephalocele was present and was associated with bilateral occipital PMG. In another patient, a cephalocele was found at the level of the foramen magnum. PMG was detected in 3 patients; in 1, it was associated with periventricular heterotopias. HH (Fig 2), ventriculomegaly, and hippocampal malrotation were identified in 2 patients.

Intrafamilial Comparison
Comparing the neuroimaging findings among siblings, we found some intrafamilial similarities. None of the siblings showed supratentorial abnormalities, increased retrocerebellar collection of CSF, or anomalies of the pons or medulla. In all siblings, the cerebellar hemispheres were of equal size. However, there were differences regarding the size of the vermis and PF, morphology of the cerebellar hemispheres and tectum, orientation of the SCP, and depth of the IF. The presence of interpeduncular heterotopias also differed in 2 siblings.

Discussion
JS was originally described in 4 siblings with vermian agenesis presenting with episodic hyperpnoea, oculomotor apraxia,
10. It is unclear whether the "crown" (Fig 5). The deepening of the IF results from the mesencephalon and tectal plate were more frequently affected than the pons and medulla (Fig 5B).

Of special interest is the occurrence of nodular brain-isointense tissue between and in direct contact with the cerebral peduncles. On the basis of the isointensity to normal parenchyma and the location, Harting et al11 called these “interpeduncular heterotopia.” We detected these in 3 patients with pure JS (no genetic data are available for these patients; 2 patients are included in Harting et al11). Due to their location, the interpeduncular heterotopias should be distinguished from HH.

In our series, we found 2 forms and locations of cephaloceles. Occipital and atretic cephaloceles were detectable in 8 patients, including all with a Meckel-like phenotype. Both JSRD and Meckel syndrome are ciliopathies, and a significant overlap has been reported.12 Of interest are small cephaloceles in the occipital bone at the level of the foramen magnum (Fig 6). These cephaloceles present as a diverticular meningeal protrusion filled with CSF. Only 1 case was previously illustrated by Doherty.1 In accordance with the literature, our study showed that agenesis of the CC and hydrocephalus are exceptional findings in JSRD.13,14

Both the clinical and prognostic significance and the pathogenesis of the neuroimaging heterogeneity in JSRD remain unclear. Because follow-up data are only available in a small proportion of patients, this study cannot resolve the question of the clinical and prognostic significance. The long-term clinical experience with patients with JSRD of the senior author (E.B.), however, does not support a prognostic role for neuroimaging in JSRD. Like the clinical heterogeneity, the neuroimaging variety in JSRD could be explained by the different genes involved. The involvement of the AHI1 gene in 2 families with PMG could suggest a neuroimaging-genotype correlation.15 Our study shows several differences when comparing MR images of siblings (intrafamilial variability). Additionally, in the patients with a known genotype, no distinct neuroimaging findings corresponding to the genetic background could be detected. Both observations do not support a neuroimaging-genotype correlation. The classification of patients with JSRD should be based on the organ involvement and the established correlation between genotype and clinical

---

**Fig 2.** T2-weighted MR image of a 2-day-old patient with OFD VI. A, Midsagittal T2-weighted MR image shows a significant vermian hypoplasia-dysplasia of the vermis (long black arrow), an enlarged fourth ventricle (white asterisk), a PF with marked retrocerebellar CSF collection (black asterisk), and an HH (white arrow). In addition, the mesencephalon is elongated (long white arrow), the size of the pons is reduced (short black arrow), the CC is thin, and the interthalamic adhesion is enlarged (short white arrow). B, Axial T2-weighted MR image demonstrates hypoplasia of the vermis and both cerebellar hemispheres (black arrow), the characteristic MTS with thickened and elongated superior cerebellar peduncles, an abnormally deepened IF, an enlarged PF with marked retrocerebellar CSF collection (black asterisk), and an HH (white arrow). Modified with Permission from Poretti et al15.

**Fig 3.** Axial T2-weighted MR image demonstrates a diffusely abnormal foliation and fissuration of both cerebellar hemispheres.
The neuroimaging spectrum associated with mutations in the same gene (allelic heterogeneity) could be related to the presence of genetic-epigenetic modifiers of the phenotype that are still unknown.1,2 Only the 4 patients with OFD VI showed similar (more severe) neuroimaging findings, including severe VH, enlargement of the PF, hypoplastic and dysplastic cerebellar hemispheres, increased retrocerebellar CSF collection, and an abnormal brain stem. Supratentorial abnormalities appeared more frequently in patients with OFD VI. HH is not pathology.
The association of MTS, HH, and pronounced neuroimaging findings suggests the diagnosis of OFD VI. This represents the only pattern suggesting the classification of patients with JSRD based on neuroimaging that has clinical and prognostic significance. Patients with OFD VI have, overall, a significantly more severe neurologic and cognitive impairment than most patients with JSRD. Two of our patients died in infancy, and the 2 survivors have very severe neurologic and cognitive impairments (no ambulation, no expressive language).

We are aware of the limitations in our study: The evaluation includes only qualitative, not quantitative measures; the MR imaging examinations were performed in different hospitals with different protocols; data about clinical follow-up and genetic background are unavailable for a considerable proportion of patients; and the group of patients with OFD VI is too small to define a consistently pathognomonic neuroimaging pattern. However, we believe that presenting the largest cohort of patients with JSRD that could be studied strengthens the data validity.

Conclusions
This study reveals a wide spectrum of neuroimaging findings in JSRD. Although further studies in large cohorts of patients with JSRD with known mutations are needed to search for a neuroimaging-genotype correlation, this study confirms the heterogeneity in JSRD, not only from the clinical and neuro-genetic but also from the neuroimaging point of view. Variable findings in affected siblings support the intrafamilial heterogeneity in JSRD. Patients with OFD VI share a similar more severe neuroimaging pattern.
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