Endovascular Treatment of Wide-Neck Anterior Communicating Artery Aneurysms Using WEB-DL and WEB-SL: Short-Term Results in a Multicenter Study


ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Endovascular treatment of wide-neck anterior communicating artery aneurysms can often be challenging. The Woven EndoBridge (WEB) device is a recently developed intrasaccular flow disrupter dedicated to endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms. The aim of this study was to investigate the feasibility, safety, and efficacy of the WEB Dual-Layer and WEB Single-Layer devices for the treatment of wide-neck anterior communicating artery aneurysms.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Patients with anterior communicating artery aneurysms treated with the WEB device between June 2013 and March 2014 in 5 French centers were analyzed. Procedural success, technical complications, clinical outcome at 1 month, and immediate and 3- to 6-month angiographic follow-up results were analyzed.

RESULTS: Ten patients with unruptured anterior communicating artery aneurysms with a mean neck diameter of 5.4 mm were treated with the WEB. Treatment failed in 3 of the 10 aneurysms without further clinical complications. One patient developed a procedural thromboembolic event, and the other 6 had normal neurologic examination findings at 1-month follow-up. Immediate anatomic outcome evaluation showed adequate occlusion (total occlusion or neck remnant) in 6 of 7 patients. Angiographic control was obtained in all patients, including 6 adequate aneurysm occlusions (3 complete occlusions and 3 neck remnants) at short-term follow-up.

CONCLUSIONS: In our small series, treatment of wide-neck anterior communicating artery aneurysms with the WEB device was feasible and safe. However, patient selection based on the aneurysm and initial angiographic findings in the parent artery is important due to the limitations of the WEB device navigation.

ABBREVIATIONS: WEB = Woven EndoBridge; WEB-DL = WEB Dual-Layer; WEB-SL = WEB Single-Layer
MATERIALS AND METHODS

Population
Between June 2013 and March 2014, patients with anterior communicating artery aneurysms in 5 French centers were treated using a WEB device. Patient selection was made by the interventional neuroradiologists of each center according to the aneurysm location, size, and neck diameter. Patients were selected for the WEB device when their wide-neck aneurysms necessitated an adjunctive technique such as Y-stent placement. In these situations, we chose to use an intrasaccular device rather than other techniques. Ethics committee approval from each center was obtained for this prospective study. All patients signed an informed consent.

Characteristics of the WEB Device
We used 2 types of WEB devices: the DL and SL. The WEB-DL is composed of inner and outer nitinol braids held together by proximal, middle, and distal radiopaque markers, thereby obtaining 2 separate compartments, 1 distal and 1 proximal (Fig 1A). The WEB-SL is composed of only 1 nitinol braid held together by 2 markers (Fig 1B). The WEB device is deployed in a manner similar to that of endovascular coils via the use of a ≥0.027-inch internal-diameter microcatheter. The detachment system is electrothermal and instantaneous. The WEB device is CE-approved for use in unruptured and ruptured intracranial aneurysms.

Endovascular Procedure
All procedures were performed with the patient under general anesthesia and systemic heparinization. Unilateral femoral access was performed, and the tip of the long sheath was placed in the left or right common carotid artery. A coaxial system was assembled, and the aneurysm was catheterized with a Rebar 27 (Covidien, Irvine, California), Marksman 27 (Covidien), or DAC 038 (Concentric Medical, Mountain View, California). The WEB device chosen according to the aneurysm diameters was then deployed into the sac. After deployment, a control angiogram was performed to check the position of the device in the aneurysm. If the position or the size was not satisfactory, the device was then resheathed and repositioned or resheathed and replaced by another, more suitable device.

Results
Ten patients (4 women and 6 men; mean age, 59.3 years) with unruptured anterior communicating artery aneurysms were included. The mean width size was 6.2 mm (range, 3.5–8.1 mm), the mean dome size was 5.8 mm (range, 3.8–8.2 mm), and mean neck size was 5.4 mm (range, 3.6–8 mm) (Table 1). Successful deployment of the WEB was obtained in 7 patients (with 2 WEB-DLs and 5 WEB-SLs). In all successful cases, the aneurysms were treated with a single device.

Treatment Failure
In 3 patients (patients 1, 4, and 5), WEB deployment failed. In case 1, the device could not be advanced beyond the carotid bifurcation due to unfavorable vascular anatomy conditions, which led to instability of the microcatheter; the aneurysm was then successfully coiled. In case 4, correct WEB deployment was achieved, but on control angiograms, suboptimal positioning of the device was seen with its base protruding through the neck of the aneurysm inside the parent artery. A second, 6 × 3 mm, WEB-DL device was then deployed, again with suboptimal positioning. The aneurysm was then treated with a balloon-assisted coiling technique. In case 5, WEB-deployment failure occurred due to difficult microcatheter navigation through the A1 segment of the anterior cerebral artery; the aneurysm was later coiled successfully.

Outcome Evaluation
Clinical outcomes were assessed at discharge and 1 month by using the modified Rankin Scale. Morbidity was defined as an mRS score of 2–5.

Angiographic images were obtained in anteroposterior, lateral, and working projections before, immediately after treatment, and at 3 or 6 months’ follow-up according to the center. A simplified 3-point Raymond scale (total occlusion, neck remnant, aneurysm remnant) was used to assess the results of the procedure.

Arysm neck, a balloon was used to push the WEB inside the aneurysm, and a distal thromboembolic event later occurred in branches of the ipsilateral anterior cerebral artery. At 1 month, the patient had an mRS score of 1.

Clinical Outcome

Clinical outcomes are summarized in Table 2. Six (85.7%) of 7 patients with successful WEB deployment were asymptomatic at 1-month follow-up (mRS 0). One patient presented with posttreatment right-sided hemiparesis (patient 2) due to a distal left A2 thromboembolism found later on follow-up MR imaging. At 1 month, the patient recovered most of the deficit with a mild brachial deficit remnant (mRS 1).

No treatment-related mortality was observed (0.0%); posttreatment permanent morbidity was 0.0%.

Anatomic Outcome

At the end of procedure, 6 of the 7 patients (85.7%) achieved satisfactory occlusion (complete occlusion or neck remnant). Two patients had complete occlusion, and 4 were found to have a neck remnant. All of the 7 patients with successful WEB deployment were assessed at short-term follow-up (3–6 months) by using either conventional angiography (6 cases) or CTA (1 case); satisfactory occlusion was seen in 6 patients (85.7%).

Illustrative Cases

Case 1. A 65-year-old man (patient 7) presented with a 8.2-mm wide-neck anterior communicating artery aneurysm seen at angiography (Fig 1A, -B). Endovascular treatment with a 7 × 6 mm WEB-SL device was performed (Fig 1C, -D). Good clinical outcome was recorded after treatment, and a 6-month follow-up angiogram showed complete occlusion of the aneurysm (Fig 1E, -F).

Case 2. A 54-year-old woman (patient 4) presented with an incidental finding of a 4.8-mm wide-neck anterior communicating artery aneurysm (Fig 2A). Endovascular treatment with a 7 × 3 mm WEB-DL device was performed (Fig 2C, -D). Good clinical outcome was recorded after treatment, and a 6-month follow-up angiogram showed complete occlusion of the aneurysm (Fig 2E, -F).

Table 1: Clinical presentation and aneurysm characteristics in 10 patients with 10 anterior communicating artery aneurysms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Aneurysm Status</th>
<th>Aneurysm Characteristics</th>
<th>WEB Size (mm), Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Unruptured</td>
<td>Neck (mm) 6.6, Width (mm) 6.3, Dome (mm) 6.9</td>
<td>7 × 5, DL (failure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Unruptured</td>
<td>Neck (mm) 8, Width (mm) 8.1, Dome (mm) 5.6</td>
<td>8 × 5, DL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Unruptured</td>
<td>Neck (mm) 5.6, Width (mm) 6.2, Dome (mm) 5.1</td>
<td>7 × 4, DL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Unruptured</td>
<td>Neck (mm) 4.8, Width (mm) 6, Dome (mm) 4</td>
<td>6 × 3, DL (failure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Unruptured</td>
<td>Neck (mm) 3.6, Width (mm) 3.5, Dome (mm) 3.8</td>
<td>4 × 3, SL (failure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Unruptured</td>
<td>Neck (mm) 3.9, Width (mm) 6.7, Dome (mm) 6.4</td>
<td>7 × 5, SL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Unruptured</td>
<td>Neck (mm) 5.3, Width (mm) 5.9, Dome (mm) 8.2</td>
<td>7 × 6, SL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Unruptured</td>
<td>Neck (mm) 5.4, Width (mm) 6.5, Dome (mm) 5.3</td>
<td>7 × 3, SL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Unruptured</td>
<td>Neck (mm) 5.4, Width (mm) 7.5, Dome (mm) 6.3</td>
<td>8 × 4, SL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Unruptured</td>
<td>Neck (mm) 5.4, Width (mm) 5.5, Dome (mm) 6.1</td>
<td>7 × 5, SL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Clinical and anatomic outcomes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Initial Symptoms</th>
<th>mRS at 1 Mo</th>
<th>Immediate</th>
<th>Time (mo)</th>
<th>Short-Term</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Headaches</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>WEB failure</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Neck remnant</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Aneurysm remnant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Complete occlusion</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Complete occlusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>WEB failure</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Headaches</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>WEB failure</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Aneurysm remnant</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Neck remnant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Headaches</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Neck remnant</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Complete occlusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Seizures</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Complete occlusion</td>
<td>Neck remnant</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Severe headaches</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Neck remnant</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Complete occlusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Vertigo</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Neck remnant</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Neck remnant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Case 3. A 46-year-old man (patient 10) presented with an incidental anterior communicating artery aneurysm. Angiography revealed a 5.4-mm wide-neck anterior communicating artery aneurysm (Fig 3A). Endovascular treatment was performed by using a WEB-SL device with final incomplete aneurysm occlusion (Fig 3B, C). Angiographic follow-up at 6 months revealed incomplete occlusion of the neck (Fig 3D, E).

DISCUSSION
This small series shows that endovascular treatment with WEB-DL and WEB-SL devices is feasible in wide-neck anterior communicating artery aneurysms, with very low morbidity and mortality and a high adequate occlusion rate at short-term follow-up.

Feasibility and Patient Selection
We show that treatment of anterior communicating artery aneurysms with a WEB device is feasible; however, selection of patients should be taken into account before deciding on a WEB treatment. In this series, the rate of failure was not negligible (30%). WEB treatment of anterior communicating artery aneurysms requires a favorable angle between the long axis of the aneurysm and that of the parent vessel (A1 segment of the anterior cerebral artery) as reported by Lubicz et al. An unfavorable anatomy may lead to prolonged interventional timing and/or impossible WEB deployment. We observed 1 deployment failure (patient 4) because the long axis of the aneurysm was not in line with that of the parent vessel, and after several failed attempts to place the microcatheter, it was decided to coil the aneurysm.

In addition, a favorable angle between the centerline of the A1 segment of the anterior cerebral artery and the terminal internal carotid artery is also important (patient 5). The use of a much larger and stiffer microcatheter for the WEB device than usually used for coiling is currently mandatory.

Safety of the WEB Device
The safety of this device seems very good. In our small series, there was neither device-related mortality nor permanent morbidity, despite the specific anatomy (wide-neck anterior communicating artery aneurysms). Our results compare well with other studies on the WEB device. In the series of Pierot et al dealing with 34 middle cerebral artery aneurysms with unfavorable anatomy, the rate of mortality was 0.0% and morbidity was 3.1% (1 intraoperative aneurysm rupture with mRS 3 at 1 month follow-up). In the recent large multicenter series of 85 patients, morbidity and mortality at 1 month were 1.3% and 0.0%, respectively.

Wide-neck intracranial aneurysms can be treated with stent-assisted coiling; however, in case of bifurcation aneurysms such as anterior communicating artery aneurysms, the stent placement procedure requires a Y- or X-configuration, which has a high incidence of adverse events and outcomes. Compared with intracranial stent placement, the major advantage of using a WEB device is the absence of antiplatelet therapy. In our series, no antiplatelet premedication was performed except in 1 patient with an iliac artery stent placement. The use of antiplatelet therapy is known to increase the risk of hemorrhagic complications. In a survey that included 1517 patients treated with stents, Shapiro et al reported an overall procedure complication rate of 19% and a periprocedural mortality of 2.1%.

Due to the particular anatomy of anterior communicating artery aneurysms, the use of flow diverters should be limited; moreover, the safety of flow diversion should to be assessed in larger series.

Efficacy of the WEB Device
Satisfactory aneurysm occlusion was achieved in most cases (85.7%). Our results are close to those of other series; however, to date, few results of the treatment with the WEB-SL device have been published. In Pierot et al, adequate occlusion (total occlusion or neck remnant) was observed in 83.3% of 33 treated aneurysms. In Lubicz et al, in a series of 19 treated wide-neck bifurcations aneurysms, 2 complete occlusions, 15 near-complete occlusions (89.5% adequate occlusion), and 2 incomplete occlusions were reported at 6-month angiographic follow-up. Similar findings were also reported in the large prospective French series of 85 patients (92.3%).

However, the rate of neck remnants is not negligible, and the concave base of the WEB device could explain these findings. This
high rate of neck remnants could also be explained by the compaction of the device toward the dome. Further technical improvements may be needed to ameliorate the occlusion caused by the device. Long-term follow-up of these patients is mandatory to verify its efficacy precisely. In addition, the efficacy of the WEB-device treatment in terms of aneurysm recanalization according to the type used (WEB-DL or WEB-SL) is not well-known; therefore, mid- and long-term follow-up are needed.

The limitations of our study were the small number of patients and a follow-up period that was too short to evaluate the efficacy of the WEB device. However, it is important to have a preliminary evaluation for this new endovascular treatment device for anterior communicating artery aneurysms.

CONCLUSIONS

In this small series, we found that the WEB device may be a new treatment option for wide-neck bifurcation anterior communicating artery aneurysms. Angiographic findings of the aneurysms and the parent artery are crucial to improve the feasibility of the WEB device. Nevertheless, the rate of neck remnants is not negligible and necessitated improvements of the device technology.
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