ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: In patients with premanifest (nonsymptomatic) and advanced Huntington disease, changes in brain iron levels in the basal ganglia have been previously reported, especially in the striatum. Quantitative susceptibility mapping by using MR phase imaging allows in vivo measurements of tissue magnetic susceptibility, which has been shown to correlate well with iron levels in brain gray matter and is believed to be more specific than other imaging-based iron measures. The purpose of this study was to investigate the use of magnetic susceptibility as a biomarker of disease progression.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Fifteen subjects with premanifest Huntington disease and 16 age-matched healthy controls were scanned at 7T. Magnetic susceptibility, effective relaxation, and tissue volume in deep gray matter structures were quantified and compared with genetic and clinical measures.

RESULTS: Subjects with premanifest Huntington disease showed significantly higher susceptibility values in the caudate nucleus, putamen, and globus pallidus, indicating increased iron levels in these structures. Significant decreases in magnetic susceptibility were found in the substantia nigra and hippocampus. In addition, significant volume loss (atrophy) and an increase effective relaxation were observed in the caudate nucleus and putamen. Susceptibility values in the caudate nucleus and putamen were found to be inversely correlated with structure volumes and directly correlated with the genetic burdens, represented by cytosine-adenine-guanine repeat age-product-scaled scores.

CONCLUSIONS: The significant magnetic susceptibility differences between subjects with premanifest Huntington disease and controls and their correlation with genetic burden scores indicate the potential use of magnetic susceptibility as a biomarker of disease progression in premanifest Huntington disease.

ABBREVIATIONS: CAG = cytosine-adenine-guanine; CAPs = CAG-age product scaled; CN = caudate nucleus; GP = globus pallidus; GRE = gradient recalled-echo; HD = Huntington disease; QSM = quantitative susceptibility mapping; PT = putamen; R2*, R2 = transverse relaxation rates

Huntington disease (HD) is known to be caused by a cytosine-adenine-guanine-(CAG)-repeat expansion in the HTT gene,1,2 resulting in a protein with a long polyglutamine tract that has a toxic effect on neuronal populations.3,4 Signs and symptoms include motor, cognitive, and emotional dysfunction.5,6 Genetic testing can identify subjects at risk of HD many years before the onset of the disease when subjects are still in the prodromal or premanifest phase. In addition, CAG expansion length is inversely related to the age of disease onset, thereby allowing approximate prediction of the time to onset of motor dysfunction. Sensitive and robust imaging-based surrogate biomarkers are needed to monitor disease progression and response to possible treatment.
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mainly in the striatum, but other subcortical structures such as the globus pallidus (GP), thalamus, hippocampus, and amygdala were also found to be affected to a certain extent in HD. Volumetric changes have been systematically reported in all stages of the disease, with atrophy starting around 12 years before predicted onset of motor dysfunction. In addition to structural changes, iron level change has also been suggested to play an important role in HD pathophysiology, likely preceding structure changes, and may serve as a potential biomarker for early diagnosis and monitoring of a response to a therapeutic approach. Previous studies have indicated that the mutated huntingtin protein increases intracellular calcium levels, which activate the Rho protein, leading to an influx of intercellular iron through the divalent metal transporter 1, which further leads to increased oxidative damage. Such huntingtin-induced increased uptake of iron into the cells damages the neurons, inducing inflammation and neuronal loss, leading to degradation of striatopallidal projections.

Another relevant pathologic model proposes that increased vulnerability of GABAergic medium spiny neurons in the early stages of HD could lead to the striatal iron accumulation and progressive volume loss. In fact, animal studies of advanced HD have shown significantly higher levels of iron in the basal ganglia structures. In addition, a postmortem study of advanced HD showed an increase in crude iron concentration of almost 150% in the putamen (PT) and GP. Rosas et al measured increased iron of 25%–30% in the same structures. These studies in advanced HD have sparked interest in investigating potentially abnormal iron accumulation during the earlier stages of the disease. Several recent studies by using transverse relaxation rate (R2*) measurements or magnetic susceptibility–related measures, such as phase, magnetic field correlation, and field shift, have indeed suggested that brain iron levels are increased in premanifest or patients with early-stage HD.

Previous MR imaging techniques for measuring tissue iron have been based on either relaxation or other indirect measures of tissue magnetic susceptibility, which could be masked by multiple confounding effects. For example, a major confounding effect in relaxation-based measures, including R2 and R2*, is the change of water content. Contributions from the macroscopic background field, which have no relationship to local tissue iron, may also contaminate R2*–based iron measures. Previous magnetic susceptibility–based measures such as phase or field shift are known to be nonlocal (ie, affected by the susceptibility values of surrounding tissue and the position of the head); thus, this feature makes them hard to interpret and less specific for measuring tissue iron. Recent developments in quantitative susceptibility mapping (QSM) techniques have made it possible to directly map brain tissue magnetic susceptibility. Compared with previous techniques, QSM is believed to give a more accurate and specific measure of tissue magnetic susceptibility, which has been shown to correlate well with tissue iron concentration in most brain gray matter regions.

In the present study, QSM was used to assess and expand on previous findings of differences in magnetic susceptibility between healthy controls and patients with premanifest HD in the basal ganglia, focusing on regions that have shown altered iron content in advanced HD (ie, the caudate nucleus [CN], PT, GP, and other deep gray matter structures that have shown certain atrophy and may possibly be affected in HD [ie, amygdala, hippocampus, and thalamus]). Some deep iron-rich nuclei related to movement and cognition, including the substantia nigra and red nucleus, were also included in our analysis. To compare with previous studies, we also calculated R2* as another susceptibility–related measure for the 2 groups. In addition, we tested possible correlations between magnetic susceptibility and structure volume and the genetic burden of the CAG repeats. It is hypothesized that brain iron concentration changes in subjects with premanifest HD will affect the local tissue magnetic susceptibility, which may, therefore, serve as a potential biomarker for HD progression.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

Fifteen subjects with premanifest HD (5 men, 10 women; mean age, 42.4 ± 8.7 years) were recruited through the Baltimore Huntington’s Disease Center at the Johns Hopkins University School of Medicine. Inclusion criteria for the premanifest HD group were a CAG repeat length in the HTT gene higher than 40 and a Unified Huntington’s Disease Rating Scale motor score below 15. Of the subjects with premanifest HD, 7 were scanned in 2010, and 8, in 2013. Additionally, 16 age-matched healthy controls (8 men, 8 women; mean age, 43.3 ± 11.7 years) were recruited through Johns Hopkins University. Consent was obtained according to the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Johns Hopkins University Institutional Review Board.

The age of onset of HD correlates strongly with CAG-repeat length. To quantify the genetic burden at the time of the scan, we calculated the CAG-age product scaled (CAPs) score as CAPs = Age × (CAG – 33.66) / 432.3326. This indicates a probability of disease onset within 5 years of <.5, 0, and >.5 for CAPs scores <1, 1, and >1, respectively.

Clinical personnel, trained in neuropsychological subject evaluation, performed the following interviews and tests on the day of scanning for all gene-positive subjects: the Unified Huntington’s Disease Rating Scale to determine the total motor score, the Hamilton Depression Rating Scale, the Montreal Cognitive Assessment to screen for mild cognitive dysfunction, and the National Adult Reading Test as an estimate of premorbid intelligence. Subjects with premanifest HD with a known acute neuropsychiatric disease, severe cognitive impairment, affective disorders, or other acute medical disorders were excluded from the study. Because the mean age of the subjects with premanifest HD is below prevalence rates of sporadic neurodegenerative disease and vascular dementia, we did not expect subjects with these disorders. Healthy controls were recruited from a different study in which their status as healthy was determined by interviews and scores on the Montreal Cognitive Assessment, Mini-Mental State Examination, and memory tests (immediate and delayed recall).

**MR Imaging Protocol**

Subjects were imaged by using a 7T Achieva scanner (Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands) equipped with a 1TX-32RX quadrature transmit head coil (Nova Medical, Wilmington, Mas-
sachusetts) and a 32-channel phased array receive coil. A T1-weighted MPRAGE image (TR/TE = 4.8/2.1 ms, resolution = 0.6 × 0.6 × 0.6 mm³, scan time = 6 minutes 32 seconds) was acquired for anatomic referencing and automated image segmentation. MR phase measurements used for QSM calculation were acquired by using a multiecho 3D gradient recalled-echo (GRE) sequence. Two types of scan parameters were used. For 8 subjects with premanifest HD and all healthy controls, multiecho 3D GRE scans with 8 echoes (TR/TE/ΔTE = 68/4/2 ms, flip angle = 9°, voxel size = 1 × 1 × 1 mm³, FOV = 220 × 220 × 100 mm³, bandwidth = 1529 Hz/voxel, scan time = 15 minutes 13 seconds) were acquired. For the other 7 patients, multiecho 3D GRE scans with 22 echoes (TR/TE/ΔTE = 61/2/2 ms, flip angle = 19°, voxel size = 1 × 1 × 1 mm³, FOV = 212 × 212 × 80 mm³, bandwidth = 1213 Hz/voxel, scan time = 6 minutes 12 seconds) were acquired. Images were inspected (by P.G.U.) for any imaging artifacts or small white matter hyperintensities or vascular disease.

Although MR phase measurements depend on the B0 field and echo time, the tissue magnetic susceptibility calculated by using QSM is expected to be affected by scanning parameters to a small extent as long as the same TE range is used. To evaluate possible differences and variations in the calculated susceptibility values caused by the 2 scanning parameters used in this study, we scanned 4 healthy subjects (2 men, 2 women; age range, 27–36 years) with both scan settings and compared the corresponding susceptibility quantities. For all the GRE scans, the 5 echoes with a TE in the range of 10–18 ms were used to calculate magnetic susceptibility to obtain a good signal-to-noise ratio and minimize the effect of nonlinear phase evolution at short TEs (ie, with TE < 10 ms).37

Quantitative Susceptibility and R2* Mapping
Multiple processing steps were performed to calculate the quantitative susceptibility maps from acquired MR phase images. First, phase unwrapping was performed by using Laplacian-based phase unwrapping.27 A brain mask was then obtained by skullstripping the GRE magnitude image acquired at a TE of 10 ms. The unwrapped phase images were then divided by 2π*TE to obtain an image of the frequency shift in hertz for each echo. Subsequently, background fields were eliminated with the variable spherical kernel size sophisticated harmonic artifact reduction for the phase-data (V-SHARP)29 approach with a maximum radius of 4 mm and a regularization parameter of 0.05.38 After removal of background fields, we averaged the resulting images of all 5 echoes to obtain a higher signal-to-noise ratio compared with single-echo reconstruction.39 Inverse dipole calculations to obtain the susceptibility maps were performed by using a Least Squares with QR factorization-based minimization.27 The central CSF region in the lateral ventricles of each subject was selected as a reference region for the final susceptibility quantification. All susceptibility values have been reported relative to the mean susceptibility value of this reference region for each subject. R2* maps were calculated by nonlinear fitting of a monoeponential curve to the square of the magnitude data at all available echoes in each voxel by using the power method.23

| Table 1: Demographic data and clinical assessment scores for controls and subjects with premanifest HD* |
|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Healthy Controls | Premanifest HD |
| No. | 16 | 15 |
| Sex (male/female) | 8:8 | 5:10 |
| Age (yr) | 43.3 ± 11.7 | 42.4 ± 8.7 |
| Education (yr) | 181 ± 2.7 | 155.5 ± 2.6 |
| CAG length | – | 43.5 ± 2.6 |
| CAPs score | – | 0.9 ± 0.2 |
| Unified Huntington’s Disease | – | 7.2 ± 5.4 |
| Rating Scale motor score | – | 4.7 ± 3.9 |
| Hamilton Depression Rating Scale | 291 ± 0.7 | 26 ± 4.1 |
| Montreal Cognitive Assessment | – | 111.0 ± 8.2 |
| Full-scale intelligence quotient | – | 109.8 ± 9.2 |

Note: ‒ ‒ indicates results were not available for all subjects. *Data are presented as means.

Image Segmentation
The T1-weighted MPRAGE image was coregistered to the GRE magnitude image at a TE of 10 ms. The coregistered T1 image was then segmented by using a multiatlas matching approach developed as part of the Johns Hopkins University brain atlas.40 After this atlas-based segmentation, ROIs in the CN, PT, GP, amygdala, hippocampus, and thalamus were extracted. Manual corrections on ROIs in the substantia nigra and red nucleus were performed on the basis of magnetic susceptibility contrast, because they show little or no contrast on T1-weighted images and the ROIs generated from the automated segmentation process based on T1 contrast generally deviate from the true anatomic locations.24 From each ROI, the volume of the structure was determined in an automated way by multiplying the amount of voxels in that ROI and the known voxel size. To account for different brain sizes across subjects, we corrected individual structural volume with the following approach: Corrected Structure Volume = Original Structure Volume × (Group Mean Intracranial Volume/Subject Intracranial Volume). Each of the ROIs was eroded by 2 pixels in 3D to eliminate partial volume effects and then was used as a mask to calculate average susceptibility and R2* values per region.

Statistics
To examine the differences between the controls and the premanifest HD group, we performed 1-way MANCOVA with the mean magnetic susceptibility, R2*, or tissue volume of each brain structure as the outcome variable, while controlling for age and sex. The P value after post hoc Bonferroni correction was considered significant at P ≤ .05.

To test how magnetic susceptibility, R2*, and tissue volume in the selected gray matter structures were correlated with clinical measures in the premanifest HD group, we performed a Pearson partial correlation analysis, controlling for age and sex. The outcome variable was set as the susceptibility value, R2*, or volume of each brain structure, and the predictor was set as the CAPs, Unified Huntington’s Disease Rating Scale motor score, or Montreal Cognitive Assessment score. The CAPs score is a function of age; therefore, the correlation analysis of CAPs with susceptibility, R2*, and ROI volume was not corrected for age.
The demographic information for all the subjects and the clinical test results on all patients with premanifest HD are summarized in Table 1. Sample susceptibility maps of a healthy control and a subject with premanifest HD are shown in Fig 1. Compared with the healthy control (Fig 1A), susceptibility increases in the PT, part of the GP, and CN can be seen in the subject with premanifest HD (Fig 1B). The On-line Figure shows selected ROIs generated by using the atlas-based segmentation overlaid on the QSM images of a healthy control subject. The comparison between the 2 GRE scan settings showed no significant differences in the calculated mean susceptibility values and SDs in any selected ROI; thus, combining the 2 datasets for susceptibility analysis is valid and should not give significant bias to our conclusion.

Controlling for age, group comparison between controls, and premanifest HD shows a significant susceptibility increase (Fig 2A and Table 2) in the CN, PT (P < .001), and GP (P < .01). The substantia nigra (P < .05) and hippocampus (P < .01) show a significant susceptibility decrease, with a similar trend also observed in the red nucleus and amygdala. Splitting the analysis by sex did not alter the results, with both male and female groups showing statistically significant differences in similar regions (data not shown). R2* values were found to be increased in the CN and PT (P < .01) (Table 2). In addition to susceptibility changes, a significant volume decrease was also observed in the CN and PT (P < .001) (Fig 2B and Table 2).

Correlation analysis with the predictor as the Unified Huntington’s Disease Rating Scale motor score or Montreal Cognitive Assessment score did not result in significant correlations between those metrics and magnetic susceptibility or R2* in any selected ROI. In the CN and PT, strong inverse correlations were found between susceptibility and ROI volume (Fig 3A). The CAPs directly correlated with the susceptibility and inversely correlated with ROI volume in the CN and PT (Fig 3B, C and Table 2). Using R2*, we observed a correlation with CAPs in the PT (P < .05, r = 0.52).

DISCUSSION

This cross-sectional study found significantly increased magnetic susceptibility values in the CN, PT, and GP of subjects with premanifest HD compared with control subjects. Due to the previously demonstrated strong direct correlation of susceptibility values with tissue iron levels in brain gray matter,24,30 such increase is attributed predominantly to an HD-related increase of tissue iron content in these regions. Such increased iron levels in the CN, PT, and GP in patients with premanifest HD are, in general, con-

**FIG 1.** Sample axial and coronal QSM sections of a 41-year-old healthy subject (A). Similar sections in a 41-year-old subject with premanifest HD (B). Increased susceptibility values are visible in iron-rich deep gray matter structures, such as the caudate nucleus, putamen, and globus pallidus.

**FIG 2.** Average susceptibility values (A) and average volumes (B) in each ROI of the control and premanifest HD groups. Error bars represent standard error (SE). One asterisk indicates a significant difference with P < .05; double asterisks, a significant difference with P < .01; triple asterisks, a significant difference with P < .001; TH, thalamus; AMG, amygdala; HIPP, hippocampus; SN, substantia nigra; RN, red nucleus.
consistent with the findings of other in vivo MR imaging studies of these patients and those with symptomatic HD, indicating that altered iron homeostasis may be involved in HD pathophysiology and may happen at very early stages of the disease. Postmortem studies of the same regions in symptomatic HD also support these findings. In addition, the more significant changes in the CN and PT compared with the GP found in this study are consistent with the general understanding that HD-related neuronal damage begins in the striatum and then spreads to the GP and other regions. However, partly due to the use of different MR imaging–based iron measures, previous findings on iron level changes in HD have not always been consistent. For example, in comparison with the present study, Dumas et al, using magnetic field correlation, could detect only elevated iron levels in early symptomatic patients with HD but not in the premanifest HD group. Such discrepancy may come from the lower sensitivity of magnetic field correlation in detecting HD-induced tissue iron changes, lower spatial resolution, and more stringent patient inclusion criteria used in that study (ie, with a Unified Huntington’s Disease Rating Scale score cutoff point of 5 for the premanifest HD group).

The increased iron level in the striatum in the premanifest HD group is further confirmed by the increased R2* in these regions. Given the strong correlation between R2* and tissue iron, the observation that susceptibility is more sensitive for detecting HD-related changes may hint at some other pathophysiologic features of the disease such as loss of diamagnetic myelin. Due to the microstructure of myelin and its diamag-

### Table 2: Summary of average susceptibility ($\chi$), average R2* values, and average volume for each selected ROI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>$\chi$ (ppm) ± SE</th>
<th>R2* (s−1) ± SE</th>
<th>Corrected Volume (mL) ± SE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Caudate nucleus</td>
<td>0.023 ± 0.002</td>
<td>43.69 ± 0.88</td>
<td>7.1 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td>0.029 ± 0.002</td>
<td>52.59 ± 1.39</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globus pallidus</td>
<td>0.091 ± 0.005</td>
<td>84.48 ± 2.64</td>
<td>2.8 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>−0.024 ± 0.002</td>
<td>40.22 ± 0.70</td>
<td>13.8 ± 0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td>−0.018 ± 0.003</td>
<td>29.82 ± 0.78</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>−0.007 ± 0.001</td>
<td>31.32 ± 0.54</td>
<td>6.1 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substantia nigra</td>
<td>0.080 ± 0.004</td>
<td>75.45 ± 2.68</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Red nucleus</td>
<td>0.076 ± 0.005</td>
<td>65.30 ± 2.71</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### FIG 3.

Correlation analysis of susceptibility and corrected structure volume while controlling for age (A), susceptibility with CAPs (B), and volume with CAPs (C).

---

a Significant difference with $P < .001$.  
b Significant correlation with CAPs score in subjects with premanifest HD.  
c Significant correlation with ROI volume in subjects with premanifest HD.  
d Significant correlation with ROI volume in subjects with premanifest HD.  
e Significant correlation with P < .01.  
f Significant correlation with P < .001.
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CONCLUSIONS

Together with previous iron studies in premanifest and symptomatic HD, the present study suggests that disease-related iron increase in the striatum and GP happens in the early stage of HD and tissue magnetic susceptibility as obtained by QSM has a good potential to serve as a sensitive in vivo surrogate measure to track tissue iron changes in HD. However, due to the limited sample size used in the present study, the lack of a symptomatic HD group, and lack of controls for possible vascular diseases, quantitative susceptibility as biomarker of HD progression cannot be fully confirmed yet. Further investigation with a larger cohort and longitudinal follow-up is required in the future. In addition, tissue iron measures combined with other biomarkers sensitive to either macrostructure or microstructure impairment in a multimodal setup would further help understand the pathophysiology of HD.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Dr James Pekar, Dr Andreae Faria, Mr Joseph Gillen, Ms Terri Brawner, Ms Kathleen Kahl, Ms Ivana Kusevic, and Dr Raj Stewart for their assistance with data acquisition.

As expected, significant volume decreases (atrophy) in the CN and PT were observed in patients with premanifest HD in the present study, but not in the GP, where atrophy often occurs later in the disease. At this early stage, the significant iron-level difference observed in the GP may suggest that iron changes precede or have a greater magnitude than volume changes in the GP. In addition, iron levels were strongly inversely correlated with volumes in the CN and PT. Similar correlations were observed between R2* and volume in the CN and PT in this study. Such correlations were observed in the PT and GP in a very recent study by using R2*, but not in the CN. No correlations with volume were observed by using magnetic field correlation or phase imaging as iron measures. Both volume and susceptibility in the CN and PT showed strong correlations with CAPs, suggesting that both measures are closely related to the disease process. Correlation with CAPs was only observed in the PT by using R2*. Similar correlations in subjects with premanifest HD were observed previously only in the CN by using phase imaging and in the PT by using R2* measurements, but no correlations were observed by using the magnetic field correlation.

In the present study, but not in the GP, where atrophy often occurs later in the disease. At this early stage, the significant iron-level difference observed in the GP may suggest that iron changes precede or have a greater magnitude than volume changes in the GP. In addition, iron levels were strongly inversely correlated with volumes in the CN and PT. Similar correlations were observed between R2* and volume in the CN and PT in this study. Such correlations were observed in the PT and GP in a very recent study by using R2*, but not in the CN. No correlations with volume were observed by using magnetic field correlation or phase imaging as iron measures. Both volume and susceptibility in the CN and PT showed strong correlations with CAPs, suggesting that both measures are closely related to the disease process. Correlation with CAPs was only observed in the PT by using R2*. Similar correlations in subjects with premanifest HD were observed previously only in the CN by using phase imaging and in the PT by using R2* measurements, but no correlations were observed by using the magnetic field correlation.


