Identification and Quantitative Assessment of Different Components of Intracranial Atherosclerotic Plaque by Ex Vivo 3T High-Resolution Multicontrast MRI


ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: High-resolution 3T MR imaging can visualize intracranial atherosclerotic plaque. However, histologic validation is still lacking. This study aimed to evaluate the ability of 3T MR imaging to identify and quantitatively assess intracranial atherosclerotic plaque components ex vivo with histologic validation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Fifty-three intracranial arterial specimens with atherosclerotic plaques from 20 cadavers were imaged by 3T MR imaging with T1, T2, and proton-density-weighted FSE and STIR sequences. The signal characteristics and areas of fibrous cap, lipid core, calcification, fibrous tissue, and healthy vessel wall were recorded on MR images and compared with histology. Fibrous cap thickness and maximum wall thickness were also quantified. The percentage of areas of the main plaque components, the ratio of fibrous cap thickness to maximum wall thickness, and plaque burden were calculated and compared.

RESULTS: The signal intensity of the lipid core was significantly lower than that of the fibrous cap on T2-weighted, proton-density, and STIR sequences (P < .01) and was comparable on T1-weighted sequences (P = 1.00). Optimal contrast between the lipid core and fibrous cap was found on T2-weighted images. Plaque component mean percentages were comparable between MR imaging and histology: fibrous component (81.86% ± 10.59% versus 81.87% ± 11.59%, P = .999), lipid core (19.51% ± 10.76% versus 19.86% ± 11.56%, P = .863), and fibrous cap (31.10% ± 11.28% versus 30.83% ± 8.51%, P = .463). However, MR imaging overestimated mean calcification (9.68% ± 5.21% versus 8.83% ± 5.67%, P = .030) and plaque burden (65.18% ± 9.01% versus 52.71% ± 14.58%, P < .001).

CONCLUSIONS: Ex vivo 3T MR imaging can accurately identify and quantitatively assess intracranial atherosclerotic plaque components, providing a direct reference for in vivo intracranial plaque imaging.

ABBREVIATIONS: ICC = intraclass correlation coefficient; PD = proton-density
intracranial plaque is still lacking, except for 1 individual case study. Ex vivo MR imaging of intracranial atherosclerotic plaque specimens provides a way to understand intracranial plaque. A few studies have reported ex vivo MR imaging characteristics of intracranial plaque with 7T research scanners. However, these results cannot be transferred to 3T for the clinical evaluation of intracranial arterial disease because the MR relaxometry is different at 3T and 7T. Very few studies have reported intracranial plaque characteristics at 3T, the highest field strength that is clinically relevant. Thus, there is an urgent need to investigate whether clinical 3T scanners provide sufficient contrast for the evaluation of intracranial plaque composition. In addition, most of these 7T studies focused on the qualitative classification of plaque components and lacked quantitative assessment of high-risk plaque features, including the lipid core area, fibrous cap thickness, and plaque burden, all of which play an important role in atherosclerotic plaque progression and rupture.15,20

The purpose of this study was to report the signal characteristics of plaque components on multicontrast MR imaging at 3T and evaluate the ability of MR imaging to quantify plaque components with histologic validation.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study Population
The study was approved by our hospital ethics committee, and informed consent was obtained from the patients’ relatives. Patients 45 years of age or older who underwent a postmortem examination in our hospital (Changhai Hospital) from January 2013 to June 2015 were recruited for the study. Among 120 cadavers, 20 with possible atherosclerotic specimens of the circle of Willis were selected on the basis of macroscopic examination by a pathologist during the postmortem examination. The time between death and the postmortem examination was <1 month; however, the exact time window was unknown due to the limitations of our local ethics committee. The same specimens were evaluated in a previous study; however, the current study addresses distinctly different research questions, specifically performing a quantitative analysis of the identification of plaque components on multicontrast imaging. The demographics of the cadavers were reported in the previous publication. All specimens were rinsed carefully with saline to remove blood clots. The same pathologist excised possible atherosclerotic arteries according to gross morphologic changes. All excised arteries were then stored at 4°C. The time between the postmortem examination and imaging was <24 hours. Photographs of gross specimens of the circle of Willis are shown in On-line Fig 1.

MR Imaging Protocol
MR imaging was undertaken in a 3T whole-body system (Magnetom Skyra; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with a loop coil (4 cm in diameter). Before imaging, arteries were embedded in Fomblin (Solvey Solexis, Milan, Italy), which is a fluorinated fluid with no MR signal. Careful flushing of the lumen and outer surfaces of specimens was performed to ensure that gas bubbles were removed. The experimental setup is shown in On-line Fig 2. Multiple imaging sequences, including T1, T2, and proton-density (PD) weighted FSE and STIR were acquired perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the arterial segments. The scanning parameters were identical to those in a previous study.

Histology
The specimens were fixed for 24 hours in formal saline (10% formalin in 0.9% sodium chloride) following imaging. After decalcification, samples were cut into sections between 4 and 5 mm in length and numbered to assist the coregistration. Each section was then sliced at a 5-μm thickness with an interval of 0.5 mm. Specimens were stained with hematoxylin–eosin and Masson trichrome to visualize arterial architecture, including atherosclerotic components. The histology sections were digitized with a digital microscope at 20× magnification (BX53 microscope; Olympus, Tokyo, Japan).

Five experienced radiologists were involved in the image analysis: coregistration of MR imaging and histology (Y.J.), measurement of signal intensities (B.T.), classification of plaque components (W.P.), and measurement and quantification of plaque component area/thickness (Q.L. and X.W.).

Image Coregistration
An experienced radiologist (Y.J.) matched the MR imaging sections and histologic sections carefully according to location. The gross morphologic features, such as vessel size and shape, plaque configuration, and specific plaque components (eg, calcification), were considered during the coregistration.

Analysis of Signal Intensities of Plaque Components
One experienced pathologist identified and segmented the main components of the plaque in every matched histologic section, including intraplaque hemorrhage, fibrous cap, lipid core, calcification, fibrous tissue (ie, smooth-muscle cell and dense collagen not including fibrous cap or healthy vessel wall), and healthy vessel wall according to the American Heart Association classification, as well as judging the lesion types—types I–II: initial lesion with foam cells or fatty streaks with multiple foam cell layers; type III: atheroma with extracellular lipid pools; types IV–V: plaque with a fibrous cap and lipid core; type VI: complex plaque with a surface defect, hemorrhage, or thrombus; type VII: calcified plaque; and type VIII: fibrotic plaque without a lipid core. According to the histologic results, a radiologist (B.T.) segmented plaque components on corresponding T2-weighted images with CMRtools (Cardiovascular Imaging Solutions, London, UK), and the contours on T2-weighted images were copied to the same anatomic sections of other MR images. This process allowed quantification of the signal intensity of plaque components. Because only a single segment of the vessel was imaged in our study, the signal of the healthy vessel wall that did not include any atheroma (mostly media) was used as a reference. A second radiolo-
gist (W.P.), blinded to histology results, identified the main plaque components on the basis of a criterion developed in prior histology–MR imaging comparative analysis (Table 1).

Quantification of Plaque Component Area
Sections containing advanced lesion types (types IV–VII) were included in the area analysis. Histologic sections were segmented and measured with ImageJ software (Version 1.47; National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland) by a pathologist blinded to MR imaging results. The lumen, vessel wall, and each plaque component were contoured, and the areas were recorded. We calculated the following metrics: vessel wall area (Vessel Wall Area = Total Vessel Area – Lumen Area); fibrous component area (ie, smooth-muscle cells and dense collagen including the fibrous cap and healthy wall) (Fibrous Component Area = Vessel Wall Area – Other Component Area); the percentage of the area of each major plaque component (% Plaque Component = Specific Component Area / Vessel Wall Area × 100%); and plaque burden (Plaque Burden = Vessel Wall Area / Total Vessel Area × 100%).

The percentages of fibrous cap and lipid core areas were reported in the previous publication.26 All plaque components were included in the analysis. The details of specimens and plaque types were reported in the previous publication.18

**Table 1: The criteria for plaque component identification by multicontrast MRI**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lipid Core</th>
<th>Fibrous Cap</th>
<th>Calcification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Isointense/hyperintense</td>
<td>Isointense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Isointense/hypointense</td>
<td>Isointense/hyperintense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>Isointense/hypointense</td>
<td>Isointense/hyperintense</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STIR</td>
<td>Hypointense</td>
<td>Hyperintense</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Signal Intensity Characteristics**
Representative multicontrast MR images of the specimens and their corresponding histologic sections are shown in Figs 1 and 2. MR imaging characteristics of the main plaque components are shown in Table 1. Signal intensities of plaque components on multiple MR images are summarized in On-line Table 1. Overall, there were significant differences among signal intensities of different plaque components on multiple sequences (P < .05). The best contrast between lipid core and fibrous cap assessment was achieved with T2-weighted images. Post hoc pair-wise tests showed that the signal intensity of the lipid core was significantly lower than that of the fibrous cap on T2-weighted, PD, and STIR sequences (P < .01) and was not significantly different on T1-weighted imaging (P = 1.00). The signal intensities of the fibrous cap, fibrous tissue, and healthy wall were similar on all sequences (P > .05). Lipid core and healthy wall had similar signal intensities on all sequences. Calcification showed the lowest signal intensity on T2-weighted, PD, and T1-weighted sequences (P < .001). Pair-wise test results of the signal intensity of each 2 components are provided in On-line Table 2.

Utility of MR Imaging for Plaque Component Characterization
The sensitivity and specificity were 90.53% and 69.64% for identifying fibrous cap and lipid core and 83.33% and 100% for calcification on a combination of weightings. Cohen κ values were 0.59 for the fibrous cap and lipid core and 0.90 for calcification.

**Quantitative Analysis**
Only sections containing ≥2 components (types IV–V or VII) were selected for quantitative analysis. Fifty-seven sections were used for further analysis (Figs 1 and 2). The agreement between manual measurements performed on MR images and histology sections is shown in On-line Table 3. The absolute values were larger on MR imaging compared with histology, likely due to the shrinkage of the specimen during the histologic processing. Good-to-excellent correlation was observed (r = 0.72–0.93, ICC = 0.69–0.85), but fibrous cap thickness measurements had only a moderate correlation (r = 0.50, ICC = 0.46). Table 2 shows the comparison between MR imaging and histology for the percentages of areas and thicknesses. Generally, there was good-to-excellent agreement (r = 0.86–0.93, ICC = 0.77–0.93), except that the agreement of the percentage of the fibrous cap was moderate (r = 0.44, ICC = 0.42). The percentages of plaque component areas and thicknesses were comparable between MR imaging and histology, but MR imaging significantly overestimated calcification (9.68% ± 5.21% versus 8.83% ± 5.67%, P = .030) and plaque burden (65.18% ± 9.01% versus 52.71% ± 14.58%, P < .001).
Both intraobserver and interobserver reproducibility were good to excellent for the percentage of plaque component areas and thicknesses as well as plaque burden (ICC \(0.779 - 0.985\)). Detailed measurements and analysis are shown in On-line Tables 4 and 5.

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge, this is the first study to assess intracranial atherosclerotic plaque characteristics quantitatively with 3T MR imaging with histologic validation. Our results confirm that 3T high-resolution multicontrast MR imaging can provide sufficient contrast to discriminate and quantitatively assess intracranial atherosclerotic plaque components ex vivo; this discrimination provides a reference for in vivo intracranial plaque imaging.

The ability of multicontrast MR imaging to ascertain the composition of extracranial carotid plaque has been extensively studied and validated against histology, with several of these plaque features correlated to increased risk of stroke.\(^9,26\) Histologic validation of intracranial plaque imaging, however, is still very limited, mostly due to the challenge of collecting postmortem specimens. Only a few histology validation studies have been undertaken at 7T.\(^15-17\) The scan parameters of multicontrast MR imaging in this study were close to those in standard protocols used in clinical in vivo studies at 3T, except that this study used higher resolution settings.\(^9,26\) Therefore, the criteria established in this study (Table 1) could be used when assessing images obtained in vivo.

Prior studies have demonstrated that intracranial plaque burden, lipid core area, and fibrous cap thickness are closely related to plaque vulnerability.\(^9,26,27\) Teng et al.\(^9\) found that culprit lesions (ie, lesions in patients with clinical symptoms arising on the side referable to the location of an ischemic stroke on neuroimaging) had greater plaque burden compared with nonculprit lesions in the MCA. In a postmortem study of MCA atherosclerosis, plaques containing >40% lipid area were more often associated with cerebral infarct.\(^27\)

We found that our ex vivo high-resolution protocol can reliably quantify lipid core area but overestimated overall plaque burden. This finding may be attributed to tissue shrinkage and distortion during histologic processing, which are difficult to account for in our analysis.\(^24\) Moreover, the accuracy in characterizing fibrous cap thickness was marginal. This finding may be attributed to the thin fibrous cap seen in most intracranial atherosclerotic plaques, which differs from that in carotid atherosclerotic disease. Therefore, it would be more challenging for in vivo imaging protocols (typically with a resolution of \(0.4 \times 0.4 \times 2\) mm\(^3\) for 2D imaging)\(^28\) to visualize a thin fibrous cap.

Calcification area was slightly overestimated by MR imaging; this overestimation was similar to previous findings from an in vivo MR imaging study of carotid plaque.\(^23\) This is because due to partial volume averaging, voxels that only partially contain calcification can appear hypointense on MR imaging. In general, due to the short T2 and T2* of calcium, it is challenging for MR imaging to depict calcifications. Also, histology could possibly underestimate the amount of calcification because specimen processing included decalcification before tissue sectioning.

It has been shown that intraplaque hemorrhage is a high-risk feature in the carotid plaque.\(^29\) However, intraplaque hemorrhage was not present in any of the lesions in this study. The reason could be that plaques obtained in this study were mostly asymptomatic and stable. However, it is also possible that the...
mechanism of stroke caused by intracranial arterial plaque is different from that in carotid plaques because it has been reported that there is a lower incidence of intraplaque hemorrhage in intracranial plaques.8

Multicontrast MR imaging has been used for plaque composition characterization due to the limitation of 1 single sequence to distinguish all plaque components.30-33 Our results showed that the fibrous cap yielded higher signal intensities than the lipid core on T2-weighted, PD, and STIR sequences, and calcification showed the lowest signal intensity on T2-weighted, PD, and T1-weighted sequences; these findings are similar to previous observations in carotid imaging.30,31 The best contrast and image quality were observed on T2-weighted imaging; thus, the segmentation of the fibrous cap and lipid core was performed on T2-weighted images. Lipid core and fibrous cap were difficult to distinguish on T1-weighted images; this finding agrees with those in previous carotid studies.31,33 Although the T1 values of the lipid core and fibrous cap might be different,17 the clinical T1-weighted FSE sequence is known to have reduced T1 contrast because of the long echo train.

Intracranial atherosclerosis is increasingly recognized as an important cause of stroke worldwide. As with carotid atherosclerosis, there is increasing recognition that aggressive (dual) antiplatelet therapy may be warranted and may mitigate the risk of major events such as stroke. However, aggressive medical management is not without risk; therefore, aggressive medical management for intracranial atherosclerosis should be targeted to the patient population in whom the benefit exceeds the risks (eg, bleeding) associated with antiplatelet therapy. Imaging of intracranial atherosclerosis may serve a role in stratifying patients into groups with differing levels of risk based on the nature and extent of atherosclerotic plaque.34

There are some limitations to this study. First, the properties of postmortem intracranial arteries might be different from those in arteries in vivo because of changes in the chemical nature and structure of the arterial wall and plaque components related to processing such as dehydration and protein degradation, which could potentially affect the accuracy of results.24 Second, the sample size was small (n = 20). Specifically, only 57 sections could be used for quantitative assessment, and only 10 sections contained calcification, which may bias the results. Third, MR image thickness (1 mm) and histologic section thickness (5 μm) did not match, especially for complex plaques in which plaque components may vary over a short distance. Fourth, the various inflammatory components of intracranial atherosclerotic

![FIG 2. Vertebral artery plaque with fibrous tissue and calcification was identified on multicontrast MR imaging and matched histology (20× magnification). Contours have been drawn for lumen (red), outer vessel wall (green), and calcification (black) on T2-weighted imaging and a hematoxylin-eosin-stained section. The lumen, total vessel, and calcification areas were 4.99, 12.97, and 0.53 mm² on MR imaging and 4.86, 9.02, and 0.24 mm² on histology. The vessel wall areas calculated by total vessel area minus lumen area were 7.98 and 4.16 mm². The fibrous component area calculated by vessel wall area minus the calcification area was 7.45 and 3.93 mm². The percentages of components (Specific Component Area / Vessel Wall Area × 100%) were 6.64% versus 5.73% for calcification and 93.36% versus 94.27% for fibrous tissue. Plaque burden (Vessel Wall Area / Total Vessel Area × 100%) was 61.52% versus 46.09%.

Table 2: Comparison of the percentages of areas and thicknesses and overall plaque burden between MRI and histologya

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MRI</th>
<th>Histology</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>r</th>
<th>ICC (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fibrous component area (%)</td>
<td>81.86 ± 10.59</td>
<td>.997</td>
<td>0.901</td>
<td>0.898 (0.832–0.938)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid core area (%)</td>
<td>19.51 ± 10.76</td>
<td>.660</td>
<td>0.888</td>
<td>0.885 (0.804–0.934)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcification area (%)</td>
<td>9.68 ± 5.21</td>
<td>.030</td>
<td>0.933</td>
<td>0.930 (0.745–0.982)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrous cap thickness (%)</td>
<td>31.10 ± 11.28</td>
<td>.890</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>0.421 (0.155–0.630)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plaque burden area (%)</td>
<td>65.18 ± 9.01</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.862</td>
<td>0.771 (0.640–0.858)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: —r indicates the Pearson correlation coefficient.

a Results are expressed as mean ± SD with P values derived from a linear mixed-effects model.

plaque were not included in the analysis. Future in vivo studies could evaluate the inflammatory components by including contrast-enhanced 3D T1 black-blood imaging.³⁵ Fifth, sequences with lower resolution as typically used in clinical MR imaging were not evaluated in this study, and future investigation is needed. Also, in this study, no intraplaque hemorrhage was found as previously discussed. Another limitation related to the ex vivo nature of this study is the effect of specimen processing and temperature on plaque size and signal properties. Prior work with carotid atherosclerotic plaque observed small but significant increases in plaque size (4%-7%) and T2 (8 ms) in imaging samples at room temperature compared with body temperature.³⁴ While many recent ex vivo imaging studies were performed at room temperature,¹⁵⁻¹⁷⁻³⁶ scanning specimens at room temperature may result in small increases in plaque volume and can nominally prolong the T2 of plaque tissue. These potential small errors in measurement would be expected to occur equally across specimens.

**CONCLUSIONS**

In the evaluation of intracranial atherosclerosis on ex vivo specimens, 3T high-resolution multicontrast MR imaging can accurately identify different plaque components and quantitatively measure the relative volumes of those components, providing a direct reference for in vivo intracranial plaque imaging.
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