Assessment of Iron Deposition in the Brain in Frontotemporal Dementia and Its Correlation with Behavioral Traits
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This prospective study included 46 patients with frontotemporal dementia (34 with behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia and 12 with primary progressive aphasia) and 34 age-matched healthy controls. We performed behavioral and neuropsychological assessment in all the subjects. The quantitative iron load was determined on SWI in the superior frontal gyrus and temporal pole, precentral gyrus, basal ganglia, anterior cingulate, frontal white matter, head and body of the hippocampus, red nucleus, substantia nigra, insula, and dentate nucleus. A linear regression analysis was performed to correlate iron content and behavioral scores in patients.

RESULTS: The iron content of the bilateral superior frontal and temporal gyri, anterior cingulate, putamen, right hemispheric precentral gyrus, insula, hippocampus, and red nucleus was higher in patients with behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia than in controls. Patients with primary progressive aphasia had increased iron levels in the left superior temporal gyrus. In addition, right superior frontal gyrus iron deposition discriminated behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia from primary progressive aphasia. A strong positive association was found between apathy and iron content in the superior frontal gyrus and disinhibition and iron content in the putamen.

CONCLUSIONS: Quantitative assessment of iron deposition with SWI may serve as a new biomarker in the diagnostic work-up of frontotemporal dementia and help distinguish frontotemporal dementia subtypes.

ABBREVIATIONS: ACE = Addenbrooke’s Cognitive Examination; AD = Alzheimer disease; ALS = amyotrophic lateral sclerosis; bvFTD = behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia; FrSBe = Frontal System Behavioral Scale; FTD = frontotemporal dementia; PPA = primary progressive aphasia

FRONTOTEMPORAL DEMENTIA (FTD) is an early-onset dementia characterized by changes in behavior, personality, and language abilities. The major clinical presentations are frontal or behavioral variant FTD (bvFTD) with personality and behavioral changes, and the language variant known as primary progressive aphasia (PPA), with either prominent isolated expressive language deficits, progressive nonfluent aphasia, or prominent language comprehension and semantic deficits, semantic dementia. Because standard neuropsychological testing often fails to detect the disease in its early stages and the behavioral changes predate neuropsychological deficits, a major clinical challenge is to develop a biomarker for the early and accurate diagnosis of FTD.

Several neurodegenerative disorders such as Alzheimer disease (AD), Parkinson disease, multiple sclerosis, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), neuroferrinopathy, panthothenate-kinase-2–associated neurodegeneration, and aceruloplasminemia have been found to be associated with excess iron accumulation. Also, our previous study in ALS demonstrated abnormal brain...
iron deposition in the posterior bank of the motor cortex, and this could be a potential biomarker for ALS.9 Recently, there has been an increasing interest concerning in vivo quantitative estimation of nonheme iron in the pathophysiology of AD.10,11 Postmortem studies have indicated neurodegeneration with brain iron deposition in FTD-ALS12 and Pick disease.13 A recent study in postmortem brains suggested that iron-impaired homeostasis possibly plays a crucial role in the pathogenesis of frontotemporal lobar degeneration.14

The neuropathologic basis of neurodegeneration in frontotemporal lobar degeneration is highly linked to different proteinopathies such as TAR DNA-binding protein 43 and fused in sarcoma proteins,15,16 which have been found to be responsible for the focal atrophy in frontotemporal and subcortical areas.17 De Reuck et al14 found a significantly higher iron load in fused in sarcoma and TAR DNA–binding protein subgroups than in those with tau-frontotemporal lobar degeneration. Although it is widely accepted that the excessive iron accumulation contributes to neurodegeneration, it is not yet clear whether this is a primary or secondary event of the disease process. Iron is an important element for normal brain function due to its critical role in oxidative metabolism, DNA synthesis, and other enzymatic cellular processes. The metabolism of iron depends on the human hemochromatosis protein located on the cell membrane, which regulates uptake of iron by modulating the binding affinity of the transferin receptor for iron-loaded transferrin.18 Also the line of evidence indicates that the genetic variant of human hemochromatosis protein, namely H63D polymorphism in frontotemporal lobar degeneration, can foster the increased iron deposition in the basal ganglia regions.19 In light of these new findings, the search for a biomarker capable of detecting cell death in anatomically specific patterns could be useful, similar to FDG-PET imaging in dementia, but at a lower cost and without any ionizing radiation exposure.

In recent years, susceptibility-weighted imaging has been confirmed as a tool to quantify iron deposition in the brain, which exploits magnetic susceptibility differences among tissues.20,21 SWI is a 3D gradient technique that uses both magnitude and phase data, separately and together, to enhance the susceptibility differences among tissues. SWI has been shown to be more sensitive to nonheme iron (ferritin) than other conventional techniques.22 The present study was conducted to unravel the regional changes in the iron concentration in the brains of patients with FTD. This in vivo measurement could potentially offer a good diagnostic tool for identifying the disease and studying the underlying pathophysiologic mechanisms. The goal of the present study was to quantify iron deposition in bvFTD and PPA in comparison with age-matched controls using SWI and to correlate these findings with behavioral test measures.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Subjects**

A total of 46 patients with FTD (34 with bvFTD, 12 with PPA [7 with semantic dementia and 5 with progressive nonfluent aphasia]) and 34 controls were included in the study after we obtained signed informed consent from the participants and their caregivers. The study had approval from the institutional ethics committee and subjected to the same assessment as for FTD.

**Image Acquisition**

All images were acquired on a 1.5T whole-body scanner (Avanto; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) equipped with an 8-channel phased array head coil. In all subjects, sagittal T1-weighted images were acquired to locate the prescribed positions of the anterior/posterior commissures. Conventional T1-weighted and T2-weighted images were acquired with MR imaging sections aligned parallel to the anterior/posterior commissure line to screen the subjects for other cerebral anatomic abnormalities such as traumatic brain injury, old hemorrhagic infarcts, and so forth. SWI imaging was performed with a 3D spoiled gradient recalled-echo sequence with the following parameters: TR/TE, 49/40 ms; flip angle, 20°; section thickness, 2.1 mm; number of sections, 56; FOV, 250 × 203 mm; matrix size, 260 × 320. For the analysis, the images were high-pass-filtered with a low spatial frequency kernel and a central matrix size of 64 × 64. The resulting image is the SWI filtered phase image. The filtered phase image served as an indicator of phase variations and hence the concentration of iron. The details of the measurement of iron in the order of micrograms of iron/gram of tissue are described elsewhere.9,20,21 The 3D FLASH volumetric scans were also acquired for the anatomic localization based on surface landmarks.

**Image Analysis**

All the susceptibility-weighted images were examined by 2 certified and experienced neuroradiologists (C.K.) and (B.T.). The high-pass-filtered images were analyzed with signal processing in nuclear MR software (SPIN; MR Imaging Institute for Biomedical Research, Detroit, Michigan). Both the SWI and phase images...
were used for the analysis. Initially, the brightness and contrast of the images were adjusted and magnified 2 times to obtain the anatomic landmark of each structure. Second, ROIs were determined and drawn manually on respective SWI sections with extreme care to minimize partial volume effects. The regions were selected on the basis of known functions of different parts of the brain and the published structural MR imaging studies that had objectives comparable with those in our study. Many of these studies have described significant GM volume loss in the frontal, insular, anterior cingulate, caudate, putaminal, thalamic, and temporal polar regions in bvFTD, N10–12 and predominant temporal (temporal pole, anterior hippocampus) and extratemporal (ventromedial prefrontal cortex, insula, anterior cingulate, caudate) regions in PPA. Hence, the ROIs were drawn on both hemispheres with the help of e-anatomy of IMAIOS (https://www.imaios.com/en/e-Anatomy/Header-and-Neck/Brain-MRI-3D) and included the following: the GM at the precentral gyrus just anterior to the central sulcus, adjacent subcortical WM and CSF in the central sulcus, the superior frontal gyrus medial to superior frontal sulcus, temporal pole, insula, basal ganglia regions (caudate, putamen, globus pallidus, substantia nigra, red nucleus, frontal WM, anterior cingulate defined by the gray matter abutting and posterior to the cingulate sulcus along with adjacent medial frontal lobe, hippocampus including its head and body, and, finally, the dentate nucleus (On-line Figure). Inferior and middle frontotemporal regions were avoided in the analysis specifically to reduce the contribution of susceptibility artifacts of the skull base. Finally, each ROI was copied to phase images for measuring the mean phase values. To ensure the consistency in measurement, phase values were measured independently by 2 observers (R.S. and R.M.S.). To compare data across patients, we assumed that the CSF in each patient contained zero iron. Hence, the iron content in an ROI was directly proportional to the shift in phase between the CSF and the particular ROI.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 20.0 (IBM, Armonk, New York). The age and sex distribution among subjects was compared with the Student t test and χ2 test, respectively. The interrater agreement between the 2 observers on iron measurement was calculated with k statistics. The comparison among the mean values of neuropsychological scores, behavioral scores, and iron in each ROI between groups was performed by 1-way ANOVA analysis with a post hoc Bonferroni procedure.

Table 1: Demographic, clinical, and behavioral data comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>bvFTD</th>
<th>PPA</th>
<th>P Value (Group Effect)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>61.07 ± 6.15</td>
<td>61.18 ± 11.96</td>
<td>64.64 ± 3.98</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>18/16</td>
<td>6/11</td>
<td>8/4</td>
<td>.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FrSBE</td>
<td>19.36 ± 10.55</td>
<td>30.26 ± 11.72</td>
<td>27.58 ± 13.36</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apathy</td>
<td>15.86 ± 2.12</td>
<td>33.74 ± 9.27</td>
<td>24 ± 8.07</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disinhibition</td>
<td>20.41 ± 5.68</td>
<td>56.65 ± 16.93</td>
<td>34 ± 9.73</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neuropsychological test scores</td>
<td>MMSE</td>
<td>28.66 ± 1.11</td>
<td>18.9 ± 7.71</td>
<td>22.82 ± 5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ACE</td>
<td>92.45 ± 7.03</td>
<td>53.29 ± 24.9</td>
<td>55.9 ± 20.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Iron content (micrograms of iron/gram of tissue) of each region in bvFTD, PPA, and the control group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Controls</th>
<th>bvFTD</th>
<th>PPA</th>
<th>Bonferroni-Corrected P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LSGF</td>
<td>13.17 ± 5.78</td>
<td>24.35 ± 10.02</td>
<td>18.61 ± 4.23</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSFG</td>
<td>12.55 ± 9.51</td>
<td>25.36 ± 9.82</td>
<td>18.45 ± 5.11</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPCG</td>
<td>35.16 ± 10.03</td>
<td>40.17 ± 7.39</td>
<td>37.14 ± 8.28</td>
<td>.064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPCG</td>
<td>33.46 ± 14.29</td>
<td>41.74 ± 7.1</td>
<td>39.2 ± 12.11</td>
<td>.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAC</td>
<td>17.21 ± 7.71</td>
<td>21.31 ± 5.72</td>
<td>18.57 ± 4.21</td>
<td>.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAC</td>
<td>16.72 ± 5.7</td>
<td>22.15 ± 6.1</td>
<td>17.97 ± 3.79</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIN</td>
<td>12.44 ± 5.4</td>
<td>15.91 ± 7.09</td>
<td>15.33 ± 5.33</td>
<td>.074</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RIN</td>
<td>11.86 ± 5.59</td>
<td>16.55 ± 7.83</td>
<td>14.14 ± 2.78</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCAU</td>
<td>25.76 ± 12.05</td>
<td>29.00 ± 12.46</td>
<td>27.19 ± 4.88</td>
<td>.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RACU</td>
<td>24.96 ± 13.46</td>
<td>31.43 ± 13.79</td>
<td>25.87 ± 7.32</td>
<td>.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPUT</td>
<td>20.96 ± 11.23</td>
<td>26.98 ± 8.95</td>
<td>21.18 ± 5.33</td>
<td>.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPUT</td>
<td>20.61 ± 9.5</td>
<td>27.84 ± 8.12</td>
<td>21.33 ± 6.49</td>
<td>.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LGP</td>
<td>27.58 ± 10.25</td>
<td>30.66 ± 9.98</td>
<td>28.39 ± 7.58</td>
<td>.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGP</td>
<td>26.65 ± 7.27</td>
<td>32.3 ± 11.51</td>
<td>28.11 ± 8.86</td>
<td>.053</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LFWM</td>
<td>13.96 ± 6.61</td>
<td>14.67 ± 5.91</td>
<td>15.21 ± 3.17</td>
<td>.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RFWM</td>
<td>13.65 ± 5.98</td>
<td>17.08 ± 6.77</td>
<td>13.30 ± 4.64</td>
<td>.078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LHP</td>
<td>12.28 ± 7.6</td>
<td>15.64 ± 7.07</td>
<td>15.58 ± 4.09</td>
<td>.156</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHP</td>
<td>11.95 ± 6.6</td>
<td>17.01 ± 8.82</td>
<td>14.89 ± 4.21</td>
<td>.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LTP</td>
<td>13.72 ± 5.44</td>
<td>21.90 ± 8.69</td>
<td>19.67 ± 5.16</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RTP</td>
<td>13.25 ± 5.9</td>
<td>23.41 ± 8.71</td>
<td>18.77 ± 4.35</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LRN</td>
<td>27.29 ± 11.07</td>
<td>30.39 ± 10.99</td>
<td>27.90 ± 7.99</td>
<td>.709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RBN</td>
<td>24.21 ± 9.13</td>
<td>31.58 ± 12.66</td>
<td>24.69 ± 9.05</td>
<td>.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LSN</td>
<td>26.78 ± 11.63</td>
<td>30.90 ± 9.90</td>
<td>28.05 ± 10.64</td>
<td>.365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RSN</td>
<td>26.64 ± 12.49</td>
<td>31.0 ± 11.25</td>
<td>27.85 ± 11.09</td>
<td>.403</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDN</td>
<td>20.71 ± 8.84</td>
<td>23.26 ± 7.86</td>
<td>21.41 ± 7.47</td>
<td>.633</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDN</td>
<td>19.93 ± 6.5</td>
<td>24.03 ± 8.41</td>
<td>20.47 ± 3.96</td>
<td>.064</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: RTP indicates right temporal pole; LTP, left temporal pole; LIN, left insula; RIN, right insula; LCAU, left caudate; RACU, right caudate; LPUT, left putamen; LGP, left globus pallidus; RGP, right globus pallidus; LFWM, left frontal white matter; RFWM, right frontal white matter; LHP, left head and body of the hippocampus; RHP, right head and body of the hippocampus; LRN, left red nucleus; RBN, right red nucleus; LSN, right substantia nigra; RSN, left substantia nigra; LGSG, right superior frontal gyrus; LSGF, left superior frontal gyrus; LDN, left dentate nucleus; RDN, right dentate nucleus; RPCG, right precentral gyrus just anterior to central sulcus; LPCG, left precentral gyrus just anterior to central sulcus; LAC, left gray matter abutting and posterior to the cingulate sulcus along with adjacent medial frontal lobe; RAC, right gray matter abutting and posterior to the cingulate sulcus along with adjacent medial frontal lobe.

*b Bonferroni post hoc tests compare differences among groups.

**Statistical Analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences 20.0 (IBM, Armonk, New York). The age and sex distribution among subjects was compared with the Student t test and χ2 test, respectively. The interrater agreement between the 2 observers on iron measurement was calculated with k statistics. The comparison among the mean values of neuropsychological scores, behavioral scores, and iron in each ROI between groups was performed by 1-way ANOVA analysis with a post hoc Bonferroni procedure.
All statistical tests were set at a significance of \( P < .05 \). Finally, a linear regression analysis was performed to assess the correlation between iron content and behavioral scores.

**RESULTS**

**Subject Characteristics**

The demographic, neuropsychological, and behavioral data are summarized in Table 1. The patients and controls were comparable on age \( (P = .5) \), and sex \( (P = .33) \). The patients with bvFTD were significantly worse than those with PPA on FrSBe scores of apathy, disinhibition, and executive dysfunction. Furthermore, those with bvFTD and PPA demonstrated pathologic scores on the ACE and MMSE compared with controls. The direct comparison between patient groups revealed significantly greater behavioral scores of disinhibition and executive dysfunction in bvFTD compared with PPA.

**Quantitative Measurement of Brain Iron Content in a Patient with FTD**

We observed a very good interrater agreement \( (\kappa = 0.88) \) between the 2 raters in the quantitative measurement of iron values.

The quantitative assessment of brain iron deposition (micrograms of iron/gram of tissue) in the various regions in patients with FTD demonstrated significantly increased iron levels in bilateral superior frontal gyrus \( (P < .001) \), bilateral temporal pole \( (P < .001) \), bilateral anterior cingulate \( (P = .001 \) for the right and \( P = .034 \) for the left), bilateral putamen \( (P = .003 \) for the right and \( P = .037 \) for the left), right precentral gyrus just anterior to central sulcus \( (P = .012) \), right insula \( (P = .01) \), right hippocampus \( (P = .024) \), and right red nucleus \( (P = .02) \) in patients with bvFTD compared with controls (Table 2).

In patients with PPA, a significant iron level was noted in the left temporal pole \( (P = .041) \) and a trend toward significance in the right temporal pole \( (P = .073) \). A direct comparison between those with bvFTD and PPA showed an increased iron deposition in the right superior frontal gyrus \( (P = .03) \) in those with bvFTD (Fig 1).

**Relationship between Cortical Brain Iron Deposition and Behavioral Scores**

Linear regression analysis examining the relationship between cortical iron deposition and FrSBe subscores found a significant positive association between the iron content in the right superior frontal gyrus and apathy scores \( (r^2 = 0.36, P < .001) \) and the right putamen and disinhibition scores \( (r^2 = 0.25, P = .003) \) (Fig 2). No association was found between the iron content of any of the examined regions and executive dysfunction scores. The patients with PPA did not show any correlation with iron content and any of the behavioral scores.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, we quantified the extent of brain iron deposition in the cortical and subcortical regions of patients with FTD in comparison with controls and correlated this with behavioral measures. To our knowledge, no other previous studies have performed a quantitative in vivo assessment of brain iron deposition in patients with FTD.

Pathologic accumulation of brain iron is shown in various neurodegenerative diseases including AD.33 A previous postmortem study with 7T MR imaging on the detection of microbleeds in FTD demonstrated an iron overload in the basal ganglia.34 The investigators also found a large number of microbleeds in the frontal cerebral cortex with gradient-echo T2*-weighted MR imaging sections. Also, a recent postmortem study confirmed the presence of iron in the deep gray nuclei in FTD brains.14 Activated microglial cells and iron are known to accumulate at the neuro-
degenerative sites in AD and Parkinson disease. These microglial cells have been implicated in maintaining the iron homeostasis in the brain by scavenging excess iron. It has been reported that chronic microglial activation in FTD can cause the expression of progranulin and the production of proinflammatory mediators by phosphor-τ-positive neurons, which may contribute to neuronal death and disease progression.37

Prior studies have proved the efficacy of SWI in the quantitative assessment of cerebral iron content in subjects with dementia.3,4,10 Wang et al10 identified increased iron content in the hippocampus, head of the caudate, lenticular nucleus, and thalamus in those with amnestic mild cognitive impairment and AD compared with controls. Further, Zhou et al3 demonstrated that SWI phase values of the bilateral hippocampus, globus pallidus, caudate, substantia nigra, and putamen were significantly different between those with AD and controls, which had a higher correlation coefficient with MMSE scores. Recently, Wu et al38 suggested that a quantitative assessment of iron in the substantia nigra and globus pallidus with SWI may be useful for the early diagnosis and evaluation of the degree of disease in Parkinson disease.

The strength of the present study is the quantitative assessment of brain iron deposition in the frontal, temporal, and basal ganglia regions in FTD subtypes in comparison with controls without dementia using SWI. We found significantly increased levels of iron in the bilateral superior frontal gyrus, temporal pole, anterior cingulate, and putamen along with the right hemispheric insula, precentral gyrus, hippocampus, and red nucleus in patients with bvFTD compared with controls. The patients with PPA only showed significant iron levels in the left temporal pole in comparison with controls. A direct comparison revealed significant iron deposition in the right superior frontal gyrus in patients with bvFTD.

Earlier studies proved significant GM and WM degeneration in the frontoinsular-striatial-temporal regions in bvFTD and more severe temporal atrophy in PPA.39,40 Recently, De Reuck et al41 analyzed the postmortem brains of patients with neurodegenerative and cerebrovascular disease and observed the most significant iron deposition in the caustratum, caudate, and putamen and comparatively lesser significant deposition in the globus pallidus, thalamus, and subthalamic nucleus in those with FTD compared with controls. These findings support our observation of increased iron deposition in the basal ganglia regions. Moreover, our volumetric results in the same patient group showed characteristic atrophy patterns in the basal ganglia (R.S. et al, unpublished data, 2016), which corroborate previous findings.41 Notably, a prior tractography analysis in the human brain demonstrated well-established connections within the frontostriatal networks.42 The significant iron deposition in the red nucleus of those with bvFTD may be due to its strong functional coherence with prefrontal, insular, temporal, parietal, thalamic, and hypothalamic regions.43 In fact, the amount of iron deposition in the superior frontal gyrus and putamen correlated with the behavioral manifestations, as measured by the FrSBe, which has been implicated in the behavioral studies in FTD.44,45 The role of the putamen in disinhibition may be due to its afferent connections to the medial, orbital, and dorsolateral prefrontal regions as well as its link with the prefrontal and motor circuits.46 Therefore the regional iron deposition, as measured by SWI, may be used as a novel biomarker in the diagnosis of FTD subtypes.

This study has some limitations. Our sample size in PPA was relatively small. Also, some of the subjects were unable to complete the neuropsychological tests due to a more advanced stage of the disease. Although our patient group had characteristic symptomatology and conformed to the diagnostic criteria, pathologic confirmation of FTD has not been established for all subjects. Hence, future longitudinal studies on larger samples with neuropathologic data and higher resolution scanners (3T or 7T) could help verify and consolidate our conclusions. Nevertheless, this study provides insight into an angle for pursuing the search for biomarkers in FTD.

CONCLUSIONS

The results of the study showed that SWI could be a potential biomarker for measuring iron deposition in the FTD brain and iron increases in the frontal and temporal regions of patients. The study also demonstrated a significant correlation between regional iron content and behavioral profiles.
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