ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Brain temperature is critical for homeostasis, relating intimately to cerebral perfusion and metabolism. Cerebral thermometry is historically challenged by the cost and invasiveness of clinical and laboratory methodologies. We propose the use of noninvasive MR thermometry in patients with cerebrovascular disease, hypothesizing the presence of a measurable brain thermal response reflecting the tissue hemodynamic state.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Contemporaneous imaging and MR thermometry were performed in 10 patients (32–68 years of age) undergoing acetazolamide challenge for chronic, anterior circulation steno-occlusive disease. Cerebrovascular reactivity was calculated with blood oxygen level–dependent imaging and arterial spin-labeling methods. Brain temperature was calculated pre- and post-acetazolamide using previously established chemical shift thermometry. Mixed-effects models of the voxelwise relationships between the brain thermal response and cerebrovascular reactivity were computed, and the significance of model coefficients was determined with an F test (P < .05).

RESULTS: We observed significant, voxelwise quadratic relationships between cerebrovascular reactivity from blood oxygen level–dependent imaging and the brain thermal response (κ coefficient = 0.052, P < .001; κ2 coefficient = 0.0068, P < .001) and baseline brain temperatures (κ coefficient = 0.59, P = .008; κ2 coefficient = −0.13, P < .001). A significant linear relationship was observed for the brain thermal response with cerebrovascular reactivity from arterial spin-labeling (P = .001).

CONCLUSIONS: The findings support the presence of a brain thermal response exhibiting complex but significant interactions with tissue hemodynamics, which we posit to reflect a relative balance of heat-producing versus heat-dissipating tissue states. The brain thermal response is a potential noninvasive biomarker for cerebrovascular impairment.

ABBREVIATIONS: ACZ = acetazolamide; ASL = arterial spin-labeling; BOLD = blood oxygen level–dependent; BTR = brain thermal response; CMRO2 = cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen; CVR = cerebrovascular reactivity; MRSI = MR spectroscopic imaging; OEF = oxygen extraction fraction

Chronic cerebrovascular disease remains a common cause of death and disability. While treatment advances have reduced stroke rates in such individuals, there exists a subset of patients at heightened ongoing risk linked to a tenuous state of so-called misery perfusion and characterized by exhaustion of hemodynamic compensatory mechanisms.1-4 Although individual patients may deviate from the proposed paradigm of decompen- 

Historically, 15O-PET has been used to identify misery perfusion as defined by disturbances in CBF, CBV, oxygen extraction fraction (OEF), and the cerebral metabolic rate of oxygen (CMRO2).5-7 Challenges inherent to 15O-PET, including reliance on short half-life radioisotope tracers and radiation exposure, compel the development of pragmatic, ideally noninvasive and noncontrast, MR imaging biomarkers to identify and characterize misery perfusion. Such approaches would enable safe and repeat-
able imaging, allowing structural and functional characterization of the tissue state.\textsuperscript{9} Among MR imaging techniques, cerebrovascular reactivity (CVR) measured from blood oxygen level–dependent (BOLD) imaging or calculated from arterial spin-labeling (ASL) has been proposed using hemodynamic augmentation such as induced hypercapnia or acetazolamide (ACZ) administration.\textsuperscript{8,10} Impaired CVR is strongly associated with ischemic risk in patients with carotid steno-occlusive disease, suggesting that early identification combined with appropriate intervention may improve outcomes.\textsuperscript{11,12}

Under-represented in such studies is the role of brain temperature, a homeostatic variable inextricably linked to CBF and cerebral metabolism.\textsuperscript{13,14} Increased brain and systemic temperatures strongly potentiate ischemic injury due to the high sensitivity of neuronal substrate to even small temperature fluctuations.\textsuperscript{15-19} Cerebral thermoregulation remains poorly understood, owing to historically limited methodologies consisting of highly invasive and costly implantable probes. Past study has thus relied primarily on animal models or used systemic temperature as a surrogate for brain temperature, with limited empirical exploration of the effect of hemodynamic impairment on thermal dynamics.\textsuperscript{15,17,20-22}

Our goal was to explore the feasibility of a “brain thermal response” (BTR) as a potential MR neuroimaging biomarker for hemodynamic impairment by characterizing the relationship between BTR and CVR. We propose BTR as a measure of dynamic brain temperature fluctuations in response to a hemodynamic maneuver, hypothesizing the presence of detectable, coherent temperature response differences paralleling tissue-level hemodynamic impairment.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental Design**

Retrospective analysis was performed on data acquired in patients undergoing treatment for chronic, unilateral anterior circulation steno-occlusive disease and recurring neurovascular events (minor stroke or transient ischemic attack), referred by a vascular neurologist to undergo a standardized institutional protocol in our chronic neurovascular disease patient population. A waiver of Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act authorization and informed consent was approved by the institutional review board. Exclusion criteria included contraindications to MR imaging, renal impairment, sensitivity to sulfa derivatives, extreme head motion during the scan, or inability to comply with the study protocol for 2-day multiphasic MR imaging (3 subjects excluded). After exclusion, 10 subjects between 32 and 68 years of age (mean, 46 ± 13 years) were included in the study (8 women, 32–68 years of age; mean, 41 ± 12 years; 2 men, 56 and 61 years of age).

**MR Imaging and Spectroscopy**

Subjects completed a standardized, 2-day MR imaging protocol with ACZ challenge (Fig 1). Multivoxel MR spectroscopic imaging (MRSI), ASL, and BOLD data were acquired both pre- and post-ACZ. All scanning was performed on a Tim Trio 3T whole-body MR imaging scanner (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) with a 32-channel head-array coil. A 3D T1-weighted MPRAGE (TR/TE = 1900/3.5 ms, TI = 900 ms, flip angle = 9°, 1 mm isotropic resolution) anatomic image was used to guide MRSI grid placement and to plan the ASL and BOLD experiments. A 6-minute multi-T1 ASL sequence with background suppression was acquired with a multidelay single-shot 3D gradient- and spin-echo readout and flow-sensitive alternating inversion recovery tagging with the following parameters: TR/TE = 3600/19.3 ms, FOV = 320 × 160 mm, section thickness = 3.5 mm, 32 sections, 5 averages, and 10 postlabel delays: first TI = 300 ms, increment = 300 ms. A 20-minute continuous BOLD study was performed, beginning with 5 minutes of baseline acquisition, after which ACZ (Diamox, 1 g dissolved in 10 mL of normal saline) was administered without scan interruption or patient repositioning. ACZ was delivered via slow, manual IV infusion for 3–5 minutes in aliquots of approximately 2 mL per minute, followed by a normal saline flush, after which the remaining ~10 minutes of continuous and uninterrupted BOLD imaging was performed. BOLD data were acquired with a gradient-echo echo-planar imaging sequence (TR/TE = 2000/30 ms, FOV = 220 mm, matrix = 64 × 64, section thickness = 4 mm, 30 sections).

MRSI scans for thermometry were acquired pre- and post-ACZ injection with the semi-localized by adiabatic selective refocusing sequence\textsuperscript{23-25} with an 8 × 8 voxel ROI with the center of the grid aligned over the midline of the brain (TR/TE = 1700/35 ms, 3 averages, 10 × 10 × 15 mm\textsuperscript{3} nominal voxel size, 16 × 16 voxel acquisition matrix with lipid saturation outside the ROI, 50-Hz water-suppression bandwidth).

For each subject, the diseased hemisphere was identified on contemporaneous 3D time-of-flight brain MRA, as well as on conventional DSA or CTA when available. All imaging was evaluated by a dedicated neuroradiologist with subspecialty certification and 8 years of experience in advanced stroke imaging (S.D.), and a vascular neurologist with >10 years of experience in stroke and neurovascular imaging (F.N.).

**Cerebrovascular Reactivity**

CVR pre- versus post-ACZ administration was calculated using both relative CBF from ASL data (CVR\textsubscript{ASL}) and BOLD signal augmentation (CVR\textsubscript{BOLD}). For CVR\textsubscript{ASL}, the CBF map from the post-ACZ ASL acquisition (CBF\textsubscript{post}) was coregistered to the pre-ACZ CBF (CBF\textsubscript{pre}) map to correct for motion effects and smoothed with a Gaussian kernel (full width at half maximum = 8 mm). The raw data were fit with a kinetic model reported elsewhere using the inline vendor-supplied processing output.\textsuperscript{26,27} CVR\textsubscript{ASL} was calculated from Equation 1.

\[
1) \quad \text{CVR}_{\text{ASL}} = \frac{\text{CBF}_{\text{post}} - \text{CBF}_{\text{pre}}}{\text{CBF}_{\text{pre}}} \times 100\%
\]

CVR\textsubscript{BOLD} was calculated from BOLD images following realignment to correct for motion and smoothed with a Gaussian kernel.
(full width at half maximum = 8 mm). The voxelwise mean intensity values from 30 BOLD volumes acquired before ACZ injection (BOLD_pre) and the mean intensity values from the final 30 BOLD volumes (BOLD_post) were calculated. CVR_BOLD was then computed with Equation 2.

\[
2) \quad \text{CVR}_{\text{BOLD}} = \frac{\text{BOLD}_{\text{post}} - \text{BOLD}_{\text{pre}}}{\text{BOLD}_{\text{pre}}} \times 100\%
\]

The final CVR maps were coregistered to the T1-weighted images in the patient coordinate system, to facilitate voxelwise analysis with the MRSI data, and were resampled to a nominal 1 mm isotropic resolution. For CVR_ASL values, augmentation of the MRSI data, and were resampled to a nominal 1 mm isotropic resolution in the patient coordinate system, to facilitate voxelwise analysis. The final CVR maps were coregistered to the T1-weighted images for display, a brain mask was generated using the T1-weighted image with the FSL Brain Extraction Tool ([fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/BET]), and masked CVR color maps were generated in Matlab (Version 2015a; MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts).

### Thermometry and Brain Thermal Response

MRSI data for thermometry were processed using LCModel ([http://www.lcmodel.com](http://www.lcmodel.com)) as reported previously. Temperature analysis and localization of the grid onto CVR maps were performed using in-house software written in Matlab. Specifically, absolute temperature was calculated using the difference between water and NAA proton resonance frequencies and the relationship \(-0.01 \text{ ppm}/^\circ\text{C}\). Voxelwise temperature changes were calculated as a difference between post- and pre-ACZ absolute temperatures \((T_{\text{post}} - T_{\text{pre}})\). The unitless parameter, BTR, was defined as the temperature change normalized to the pre-ACZ temperature (Equation 3). Temperature maps were calculated on a voxelwise basis and interpolated using bicubic interpolation for visualization purposes.

\[
3) \quad \text{BTR} = \frac{T_{\text{post}} - T_{\text{pre}}}{T_{\text{pre}}}
\]

To facilitate the voxelwise analysis between BTR and CVR, the MRSI grid was localized onto the CVR images. Because the MRSI grid was planned using the T1-weighted image in the patient coordinate system, the data for both MRSI and CVR use the same coordinate system. The MRSI grid was first rotated parallel to the axial plane of the patient coordinate system, followed by a linear translation of the center of the MRSI grid to the origin (0,0,0 mm). These same transformations were also applied to the CVR data, which were then resliced using the trilinear interpolation method while retaining the nominal 1-mm isotropic resolution. The same transformation and reslicing were also applied to the T1-weighted image to visually confirm the location of the MRSI grid onto the CVR map and to overlay the temperature grid onto the anatomic image. The final CVR data were interpolated to an 8 × 8 grid \((10 \times 10 \times 15 \text{ mm}^3 \text{ voxel resolution})\), identical to the MRSI grid, and an average CVR value was calculated for each MRSI voxel.

### Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was performed with SPSS (Version 22.0; IBM, Armonk, New York). Hemispheric comparisons (ipsilateral versus contralateral) of baseline brain temperature and BTR were performed for both random and fixed effects. However, most of the analysis was performed voxelwise to permit comparison of BTR, baseline brain temperature, and CVR and to explore localized impairment across the entire ROI. The voxelwise relationships among these parameters were determined with a mixed-effects model (Equation 4), in which \(\hat{y}\) is the vector of measured BTR or baseline temperature values; \(\hat{X}\) is a matrix of fixed effects (CVR, square of CVR, and intercept) and the corresponding vector of fixed-effect coefficients, \(\hat{b}\); \(\hat{Z}\) is a matrix of random between-subject variations and the corresponding vector of random-effect coefficients, \(\hat{\beta}\); and \(\hat{\epsilon}\) is a vector of random errors.

\[
4) \quad \hat{y} = \hat{X}\hat{b} + \hat{Z}\hat{\beta} + \hat{\epsilon}
\]

The restricted maximum likelihood estimation with 150 iterations was used to fit the model using either unstructured or first-order autoregressive covariance structures, along with a random intercept to account for multiple nonindependent measurements from voxels within each subject. The best fit models, comparing both linear and quadratic models along with different covariance structures for the random effects, were determined with the Schwarz Bayesian information criterion and a value of >10 to establish differences among models. In the case in which the Schwarz Bayesian information criterion was <10, parsimony was applied for the final model selection. df for the mixed-models were determined with the Satterthwaite approximation. Exponential models were also explored but did not converge. The significance of the regression was determined with the mixed-model F statistic and \(P < .05\).

### RESULTS

Patient characteristics are presented in Table 1. Representative CVR_BOLD and CVR_ASL augmentation maps, the corresponding BTR map, and a diffusion-weighted image for a patient with unilateral left middle cerebral artery stenosis are presented in Fig 2. The position of the MRSI grid is shown in white.

Best-fit models and respective parameters for the voxelwise relationships are summarized in Table 2. We observed a significant, positive quadratic relationship for all voxels between BTR and CVR_BOLD (Fig 3; CVR coefficient, \(P < .001\); CVR^2 coefficient, \(P < .001\)). A significant, negative quadratic relationship between baseline brain temperature and CVR_BOLD was also observed (CVR coefficient, \(P = .008\); CVR^2 coefficient, \(P < .001\)). No significant global relationships were observed with CVR_ASL.

In the analysis of CVR_ASL, thresholded and dichotomized into voxels exhibiting either <30% augmentation (impaired) or ≥30% augmentation (healthy), a significant linear relationship was observed for BTR with CVR_ASL of ≥30% augmentation (\(P = .001\)). No significant relationships were observed for the thresholded CVR_ASL values with baseline brain temperature. Similarly, we used the CVR_BOLD values thresholded subject-wise at <70% (impaired) or ≥70% (healthy) of the mean contralateral CVR augmentation. Significant relationships were observed between
BTR and CVR<sub>BOLD</sub> of <70% (positive quadratic: CVR coefficient, \( P < .001 \); CVR<sup>2</sup>, coefficient, \( P < .001 \)) and \( \geq 70\% \) (linear, \( P = .02 \)) of the mean contralateral hemisphere. Additionally, significant relationships for baseline brain temperature and CVR<sub>BOLD</sub> of <70% (negative quadratic: CVR, \( P < .001 \); CVR<sup>2</sup>, \( P < .001 \)) and \( \geq 70\% \) (linear, \( P = .001 \)) of the contralateral hemisphere were also observed.

We did not observe a significant difference in BTR (\( P = .58 \)) or baseline temperature (\( P = .38 \)) between the ipsilateral and contralateral hemispheres. However, within-subject variations (random-effects, Equation 4) were highly significant for both BTR (\( P < .001 \)) and baseline brain temperature (\( P < .001 \)).

### DISCUSSION

The results support the presence of a BTR as a potential neuroimaging biomarker reflecting a hypothesized, convolved interaction of hemodynamic and metabolic tissue properties. The measurement of a BTR is feasible in routine clinical MR imaging implementations.

### Table 1: Patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No.</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Presentation and Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Left-sided-predominate suprachoroidal ICA stenosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Left cervical ICA stenosis; aphasia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Right-sided-predominate ICA stenosis; recurrent minor stroke</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Left MI stenosis; left monocular vision loss and recurrent right hemiparesis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Intracranial ICA stenosis; recurrent TIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Left ICA stenosis; recurrent left hemispheric TIA and borderzone ischemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Right-sided-predominate suprachoroidal ICA stenosis; Moyamoya disease following STA-MCA bypass and recurrent TIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Left MI stenosis; recurrent left deep borderzone ischemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Right-sided-predominate intracranial ICA stenosis; recurrent MCA infarctions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Left ICA stenosis; recurrent TIA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** STA indicates superficial temporal artery.

### Table 2: Parameter estimates calculated with a mixed-effects model of BTR and baseline brain temperature as a function of CVR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>df&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>df&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>P Value</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>df&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BTR</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVR&lt;sub&gt;BOLD&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.052</td>
<td>74.1</td>
<td>1.513</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.0068</td>
<td>57.5</td>
<td>1.619</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>-0.031</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVR&lt;sub&gt;ASL&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>.30</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.069</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVR&lt;sub&gt;BOLD&lt;/sub&gt; &lt; 70°</td>
<td>-0.096</td>
<td>59.7</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td>1.128</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVR&lt;sub&gt;BOLD&lt;/sub&gt; ≥ 70°</td>
<td>0.0070</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>1.200</td>
<td>.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.081</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVR&lt;sub&gt;ASL&lt;/sub&gt; &lt; 30°</td>
<td>0.0018</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>.33</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.068</td>
<td>183.2</td>
<td>1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVR&lt;sub&gt;ASL&lt;/sub&gt; ≥ 30°</td>
<td>0.0014</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
<td>33.5</td>
<td>1.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>T&lt;sub&gt;pre&lt;/sub&gt;</strong>&lt;sup&gt;°C&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVR&lt;sub&gt;BOLD&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>1.598</td>
<td>.008</td>
<td>-0.13</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>1.630</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>4871</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVR&lt;sub&gt;ASL&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>-0.039</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.73</td>
<td>.39</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38.4</td>
<td>8655</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVR&lt;sub&gt;BOLD&lt;/sub&gt; &lt; 70°</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>1.139</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>-0.65</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>1.150</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>37.1</td>
<td>1810</td>
<td>1.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVR&lt;sub&gt;BOLD&lt;/sub&gt; ≥ 70°</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>1.429</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>39.0</td>
<td>4575</td>
<td>1.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVR&lt;sub&gt;ASL&lt;/sub&gt; &lt; 30°</td>
<td>-0.049</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.68</td>
<td>.34</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>12953</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVR&lt;sub&gt;ASL&lt;/sub&gt; ≥ 30°</td>
<td>0.0026</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>1.198</td>
<td>.91</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>1094</td>
<td>1.27</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> df calculated with the Satterthwaite approximation are reported as numerator, denominator.

<sup>b</sup> P value represents the significance of a nonzero intercept.

<sup>c</sup> CVR<sub>BOLD</sub> values thresholded to 70% of the subject-wise mean contralateral value.

<sup>d</sup> CVR<sub>ASL</sub> values thresholded to 30% augmentation.

<sup>e</sup> T<sub>pre</sub> is the baseline brain temperature.

**FIG 2:** Representative CVR percentage augmentation maps calculated with BOLD and ASL, along with a BTR map overlaid on a T1-weighted image. Images are all from the same subject (a 32-year-old woman) with unilateral left MCA stenosis without infarction. The white grid overlay represents the MR thermometry grid derived from multivoxel spectroscopy analysis using the water-NAA chemical shift difference. Diffusion-weighted imaging demonstrates no evidence for acute infarction. Images are displayed in the radiologic convention. Impaired CVR in the left hemisphere is present in both BOLD and ASL, with a greater severity of impairment in ASL likely related to delay sensitivity and tag decay (see text). The BTR map demonstrates an asymmetric thermal response, with less brain cooling following vasodilatory stimulus in the diseased left hemisphere, indicated by lower and more positive BTR values and corresponding primarily to the areas of greatest impairment in the anterior and posterior MCA borderzone territories.
with significant relationships identified between both BTR and baseline brain temperature relative to CVR, supporting further exploration of BTR as a fully noninvasive and dynamic biomarker. The use of voxelwise measurements facilitated a nuanced description of local hemodynamics and temperature, allowing contemporaneous, multiparametric classification of the diseased hemisphere, all potentially achievable in a single imaging session. MR chemical shift thermometry, exploiting the temperature-dependent difference between water and the methyl proton resonance of NAA, was chosen to approximate temperature using our previously reported protocol for phantom as well as human and nonhuman primate in vivo thermometry. The present study takes advantage of the unique pathophysiologic attributes of chronic cerebrovascular ischemia, in a cohort allowing multiphasic interrogation of a flow-temperature relationship, which may not be pragmatic in the clinical acute stroke setting. Ishigaki et al previously reported the use of PET combined with localized, single-voxel MR spectroscopy-based thermometry to explore the relationship of brain temperature in steno-occlusive disease, observing positive correlations between the brain temperature difference (ipsilateral-contralateral hemisphere) with both CBV and OEF. A limited number of additional studies using single-voxel MR spectroscopy thermometry have observed higher temperatures in ischemic brain tissues, further supporting the investigation of brain temperature in the development of a pathophysiologic framework defining cerebral energetics.

Our analysis of the relationship between BTR and baseline brain temperature with CVR was performed voxelwise to examine whole-brain effects in patients with steno-occlusive disease. CVR and flow augmentation are generally hypothesized to reduce brain temperature and might, therefore, have been expected to produce a negative BTR. Our results were, however, more complex, and the observed flow-temperature relationship may not be immediately intuitive but, on deeper examination, can be reconciled with prevailing theories regarding cerebral blood flow, temperature, oxygen metabolism, and BOLD signal evolution. Existing models of cerebral temperature homeostasis propose a dynamic modulation of heat-producing mechanisms (oxygen cleavage from hemoglobin, glycolysis, and generation of metabolic by-products) and heat dissipation through the inflow of cooler systemic blood with lesser contributions from conduction to the brain surface. This is predicated on the notion of heat sink/radiator effects of inflowing blood, cooling tissues through the removal of metabolic waste.

We would also anticipate relative heating among those tissues with insufficient blood flow to meet metabolic demands. Conceptually, a linear or at least monotonically changing thermal response as a function of flow might be expected. However, several factors could interfere with this conceptual framework: 1) the temperature-flow relationship may vary radially within the brain, whereby superficial structures closer to the surface CSF are cooler than arterial inflow, thus warming with increasing flow; and 2) oxygen extraction, a primary contributor to the production of thermal waste, may be variably upregulated depending on the depth of misery perfusion, ostensibly at its highest among tissues with the most impaired flow. However, as demonstrated in several past studies, the capacity for flow augmentation may remain even among tissues with OEF elevation. The downregulation of OEF among such tissues during hemodynamic augmentation may occur on a time scale shorter than the duration of the BOLD scan and therefore shorter than the separation in time between CBF or thermometry imaging. This may, in part, drive a component of time shifts observed in BOLD signal augmentation if, for instance, a very high OEF must be overcome before BOLD augmentation can be detectable (ie, for deoxyhemoglobin dilution to occur sufficiently). Accordingly, CVR augmentation following ACZ was initially anticipated to produce negative BTR values as a result of the increased inflow of cooler arterial blood, acting as a heat sink for warmer cerebral temperatures and removing metabolic byproducts, including thermal waste.

Such interactions could underlie the observed quadratic relationship between BTR with CVR_BOLD wherein a negative BTR-CVR relationship was present at a low CVR but a positive trend was observed for CVR_BOLD augmentation upward of approximately 4%. The far left of the curve follows the expected relationship, with decreasing (ie, more negative) BTR accompanied by increasing CVR augmentation and, indirectly, CBF. The source of the observed positive correlation between BTR and CVR above 4% remains inconclusive within the limitations of this exploratory analysis, in the absence of concurrent OEF and CMRO₂ interrogation (see below).

An opposing relationship (positive-versus-negative quadratic curve) was observed between baseline brain temperature and CVR_BOLD, suggesting that resting brain temperature alone may not fully explain the dynamics of the brain temperature response to a hemodynamic maneuver. This difference may, in part, reflect that baseline brain temperature is inherently a known indicator of diseased tissue energetics, with higher temperature associated positively with hypoperfusion and ischemia. The benefit of BTR is the ability to quantify a maneuver response, thus producing a form of physiologic contrast with the potential for characterizing not only static tissue impairment, as with baseline brain temperatures, but also the dynamics of the hemodynamic re-
response in a manner similar to that of CVR. The overall quadratic relationships suggest the presence of multiple regimes of temperature regulation or dysregulation across the brain, determined by additional hemodynamic parameters such as OEF and CMRO₂.

In addition to the relationships observed among BTR, baseline temperature, and CVR in our study, significant associations were also observed for the CVR values thresholded to 70% of the contralateral hemispheres, demonstrating a robust interaction between BTR and CVR in our study. The use of thresholding to explore voxelwise relationships enabled discrimination between impaired and healthy voxels, given the absence of a healthy control cohort undergoing the MR imaging ACZ protocol for comparison in our study.

Using CVR to study the same relationships resulted in a single significant correlation for BTR with CVR of 0.35. Inherent challenges to ASL perfusion in highly delayed or low-flow environments, such as expected even in compensated territories downstream from steno-occlusive disease, may impact its use in this context, even when using multi-TI approaches to the extent governed by tag decay. We suspect that several, potentially divergent errors in ASL quantitation in such patients may have confounded the BTR relationship with CVR in our study; among them: 1) exaggerated hypoperfusion if tagged spins are undelivered to the vascular network at the selected TI; 2) exaggerated hyperperfusion if tagged spins are delivered but linger in the arterial network overlying the tissue of interest (particularly when crusher gradients are not used, or when partial volume effects preclude reliable segmentation of the cortical tissues from such overlying vessels, both of which exist in our protocol); or 3) exaggerated hypoperfusion due to excessive tag decay, which may affect our measurements, particularly at the longest TI of 3000 ms. An additional circumstance of negative CVR, which we have observed to occur despite preserved BOLD and dynamic susceptibility contrast augmentation, exists in tissues with large delays. Arterial transit artifacts at baseline that spuriously elevate perfusion are somewhat cleared following ACZ but appear not to increase the apparent tissue perfusion to the same extent that the arterial transit artifacts previously had, therefore leading to apparently negative CVR. While exploratory, we suspect that temperature dynamics, together with flow, blood volume, and oxygen use, may be better subsumed by CVR, albeit in an entangled manner requiring deeper, multiparametric study. Taken together, the observed trends imply the presence of at least 2 distinct regimes for BTR as a function of CVR augmentation: 1) decreasing BTR in response to increasing CVR (negative relationship) as predicted from existing models of brain temperature regulation, which we hypothesize may be dominated by heat-dissipating CBF augmentation over metabolic heat production, with decreasing temperatures in response to ACZ, and 2) a positive BTR-CVR regime in which increasing CVR augmentation is accompanied by increasing, or more positive, BTR values.

In line with previous work examining hemispheric differences in the study of hemodynamics and brain temperature, we compared BTR and baseline brain temperature across hemispheres. Our analysis, however, did not reveal differences in baseline brain temperature and BTR between the ipsilateral and contralateral hemispheres as those previously observed following ischemic injury. However, the lack of hemispheric temperature differences is not surprising because even in cases of unilateral disease, consequential hemodynamic impairment may not be limited to the affected hemisphere and compromised function and tissue damage may exist bilaterally and heterogeneously. Consequently, temperature differences resulting from impaired cerebral perfusion and impaired autoregulation may be averaged out in coarse hemispheric comparisons. Nevertheless, the presence of significant random-effects differences in BTR and baseline brain temperature between hemispheres could suggest that in a larger sample size, a difference may exist.

We acknowledge several study limitations. While it was designed to test our hypothesis regarding the association between brain temperature changes and hemodynamic augmentation, the lengthy, multiparametric protocol necessitated a 2-day study, which limited the sample size. The findings nevertheless emphasize the feasibility of dynamic brain thermometry in this setting and compel more rigorous study of BTR as a prognostic biomarker. A challenge in the analysis was the observation of negative CVR values, suggesting the possibility of vascular steal and limitations in the measurement of CBF. As discussed above, several possible mechanisms may contribute to negative CVR values, particularly arterial transit artifacts, collateral rather than antegrade flow after ACZ, and the time scale of ASL-based measures of CVR. These may also contribute to spuriously high CVR values. As a result, continuous BOLD measurements may be a more reliable surrogate for the hemodynamic response to ACZ. The temporal dynamics of the ACZ response are worth considering. To mitigate potential errors related to a rapidly changing baseline, the initial BOLD signal used for CVR in our study was derived from a pre-ACZ phase of the examination spanning the initial 5 minutes of BOLD acquisition. A uniform protocol was prescribed in which a slow infusion of ACZ was then administered for 3–5 minutes without patient repositioning or scan interruption, followed by ~10 more minutes of uninterrupted BOLD signal acquisition. It was recently reported that CVR increases continuously following ACZ administration, reaching a plateau at approximately 8.5 minutes, which continues through the remainder of the acquisition. While CVR delays beyond 20 minutes are difficult to exclude, we expect that the window of maximal augmentation is likely captured within this study design.

While we cannot conclusively parcellate the competing hemodynamic effects from the technical challenges, further studies with MR-based methods to characterize functional activity, CBF, and metabolism in cerebrovascular disease would complement our results. While direct estimation of OEF and CMRO₂ with MR-based techniques remains challenging, ongoing efforts to optimize MR oximetry measurements will enable further studies into the relationship of BTR with these metabolic parameters. A more thorough description could be facilitated through study of a healthy control population; however, administration of intravenous vasodilatory stimuli is not common practice in our experience. Other approaches such as induced hypercapnia or breath-hold may be valuable in this regard, though the mechanism, depth, and magnitude of flow augmentation may differ. Last, the relatively small sample size and limited longitudinal follow-up information in this cohort of patients limit our characterization of
BTR as a prognostic tool to predict future stroke risk in such patients. Further study in a larger and, ideally, prospectively collected cohort is warranted to better assess the prognostic power of BTR in a clinical population.

CONCLUSIONS
These findings suggest the feasibility and potential utility of noninvasive brain thermometry during the dynamic modulation of CBF and CVR, supporting further exploration of BTR as a potential biomarker in patients with steno-occlusive cerebrovascular disease. These results compel further study into the mechanistic nature of cerebral temperature regulation and the potential use of noninvasive MR thermometry in the diagnosis, prognostication, and treatment selection in chronic steno-occlusive disease.


REFERENCES
32. Provencer SW. Automatic quantification of localized in vivo 1H
spectra with LCModel. *NMR Biomed* 2001;14:260–64 CrossRef Medline


