Endovascular mechanical thrombectomy is the most effective treatment option for acute ischemic stroke caused by large-vessel occlusion. In past years, the focus of neurointerventional stroke research has shifted from establishing the method to finding the optimal treatment technique. Effective blood flow management during the procedure is key to safety and effectiveness. Chueh et al have shown that there are thousands of small clot fragments during thrombectomy that may occlude small arterioles and capillaries. Even though small infarctions may not be visible on MR imaging, they can have an important clinical impact. Hence, antegrade blood flow should be interrupted during mechanical thrombectomy to prevent embolization of clot fragments. For this goal, blood is usually aspirated with syringes or a dedicated aspiration pump proximally through the access catheter and/or distally through an intermediate catheter.

Techniques for flow reversal differ considerably, ranging from pump aspiration through small (5F) guide catheters in the internal carotid artery to syringe aspiration through balloon-guide catheters. Syringes have the advantage of cost-effectiveness and, according to some interventionalists, of subjective feedback of aspiration force when they draw the syringe. However, the actual vacuum pressure is not indicated, and comparably small volumes (usually ≤60 mL) restrict aspiration duration and may necessitate the use of multiple syringes, which can be impractical during an acute stroke intervention. Aspiration pumps on the other hand allow pressure-controlled and comparably comfortable aspiration. However, their comparably high cost restricts their availability in many hospitals. The choice between a syringe and aspiration
pump is usually up to the interventionalist’s discretion. However, there is not only no consensus about which method is best but also only a little knowledge about the specific characteristics of each method. For instance, the applied vacuum pressure of aspiration pumps is indicated, but the vacuum pressure of a syringe is not. Furthermore, although the flow through a bare catheter can be calculated using the Hagen-Poiseuille equation, in practice, it is considerably more challenging to determine the effective flow after accounting for resistors such as additional valves and connecting tubes between catheters and pumps. This issue is especially problematic because pump distributors do not indicate the resistance of their tubing.

Because mechanical thrombectomy is about to become more common, many interventionalists are going to deal with the conundrum of which technique to choose. Therefore, the purpose of our study was to provide a handy overview of the most common aspiration devices with a 3-way valve (Discofix; Braun, Melsungen, Germany) and a hemostatic Y-adapter (Gateway Plus; Boston Scientific, Fremont, California) using the tubing of the manufacturer. Syringes were connected directly to the 3-way valve. No additional resistors (tubing, air filters, and so forth) were added to achieve the highest possible flow.

To simulate the rheologic properties of blood at body temperature, we aspirated a solution of glycerol and water, which was kept at a constant temperature of $20^\circ C$ to ensure a viscosity of 3.7 mPa·s and a density of 1.11 g/mL. We measured aspiration flow with pumps as reported previously: We aspirated the solution, which was kept in a reservoir, and assessed the weight of the aspirated fluid in grams. The results of 7 measurements were averaged and converted to a volumetric dimension (milliliters). The aspirated fluid in grams. The results of 7 measurements were averaged and converted to a volumetric dimension (milliliters). The aspirated fluid in grams. The results of 7 measurements were averaged and converted to a volumetric dimension (milliliters).

Aspiration flow (milliliters/second) was obtained by dividing the collected volume by the aspiration time. Aspiration with a syringe was assessed as aspirated volume with time. For measurements through the sheath and balloon catheter, we used an ultrasonic flow meter (HT110 Bypass Flowmeter & Tubing Sensor; Transonic, Ithaca, New York), due to the high flow through these catheters. The Penumbra pump was set on the recommended vacuum pressure of $-25.5$ inches Hg ($\approx -86.4$ kPa). The Medela pump was set on a comparable pressure of $-86.0$ kPa. Measurements were repeated 7 times. The pressure generated with the syringe and the pressure loss from maximal pressure with time was recorded in 20 measurements using an analog manometer (class 1.6; Riegler Customer Solutions, Bad Urach, Germany).

**Statistical Analysis**

We compared experimental results with each other using the ANOVA test, and we compared experimental results with ideal values using a Student $t$ test. $P$ values of an $\alpha$ level $\leq 0.05$ were defined as significant. All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS 23 software (IBM, Armonk, New York).

**RESULTS**

The results of our flow measurements are summarized in the Online Table and Fig 1. Aspiration with the syringe and the Medela pump achieved the highest flows, whereas aspiration flow with the Penumbra pump was significantly lower. Subtotal vacuum and, first and foremost, the resistors in the aspiration system (tubing, connectors, and so forth) restricted flows, in particular when the resistance of the catheter was small (due to its large diameter) and the connected resistors became the predominant resistance (Online Table and Fig 1). The experimentally determined resistance of the Y-adapter and 3-way valve was approximately $212 \times 10^6$ Pa·s/m² and $1406 \times 10^6$ Pa·s/m², respectively.

**Syringe**

A fully drawn 60-mL syringe achieved a relatively high maximum average vacuum pressure of $-90$ kPa. Drawing approximately 7 and 20 mL achieved approximately $-50$ kPa and $-75$ kPa, respectively. Flow was constant during almost the entire procedure.
of filling the syringe (Fig 2). Consequently, the syringe achieved comparably high flows. As anticipated, aspiration duration was limited due to high flow on the one hand and restricted syringe volume on the other. The resistance of the narrow tip of the syringe, as well as the connected Y-adaptor and 3-way valve, reduced flows significantly ($P < .001$). When the syringe was drawn but no aspiration was performed, the pressure decreased (from $-90$ kPa to $-60$ kPa) by $10$ kPa every $91$ seconds or $6.6$ kPa per minute on average, due to air leakage through the valves and connections.

**Aspiration Pumps**

The Medela pump achieved high flow, mostly due to the low resistance of the connecting tubing ($3251 \times 10^6$ Pa · s/m$^3$). Despite a similarly high vacuum pressure, the Penumbra pump achieved significantly lower flow in all experiments ($P < .001$), mostly due to the high resistance of the connecting tubing, which was $18,776 \times 10^6$ Pa · s/m$^3$ and thus almost 6 times higher than the resistance of the Medela tubing. Notably, the resistance of the old model of the Penumbra tubing ($23,756 \times 10^6$ Pa · s/m$^3$), which was distributed until 2016, was approximately 20% higher compared with the new tubing and resulted in significantly lower flows ($P < .001$).

**DISCUSSION**

Syringes and pumps have been established as basic tools used during mechanical thrombectomy. Aspiration with syringes or pumps can be used to generate a pressure gradient that results in a force at the catheter tip, thereby establishing contact between the catheter and the clot (direct aspiration first-pass technique [ADAPT], Stent-retriever Associated Vacuum-held Extraction [SAVE], and Aspiration-Retriever Technique for Stroke [ARTS] technique, and so forth) and generating backward flow to prevent clot embolization. $^{3,6,11}$ The force at the catheter tip depends on only the catheter diameter and the applied pressure and is therefore not altered by resistors. $^{12}$ The flow, however, is highly influenced by additional resistors; thus, any additional tubing and valves should be avoided whenever a backward flow is desired. Minimizing the resistance in the aspiration system is crucial because the necessary flow rate of aspirated blood is rather high: When the aim is to reverse blood flow, antegrade flow in the respective artery should be surpassed. In an average patient and without a balloon-guide catheter that restricts antegrade flow, aspirated blood flow must surpass 240 mL/min in the ICA and 120 mL in the middle cerebral artery. $^{13,14}$ Given that the flow through a 6F catheter even under ideal conditions (perfect vacuum, no external resistors, no stent retriever in its lumen) barely reaches 240 mL/min, we discourage using small guiding catheters for flow control in the ICA.

In summary, the ideal aspiration system generates a high and constant pressure gradient and has minimal resistance. The latter is particularly important because our results show that pumps achieve similar pressure gradients but significantly differing effective flows because of their differing tubing systems. The resistance is not an inherent constant, but a coefficient that depends on variables such as flow speed and flow direction as well as fluid density and viscosity. However, because pump distributors do not provide any information about their tubing system, it is impossible for clinicians to estimate effective aspiration flow. We have quantified flow characteristics of various means of flow control and found significant differences among the methods.

**Sixty-Milliliter Vac Lok Vacuum Pressure Syringe**

The 60-mL syringe achieved high flows that surpassed blood flow in the ICA with an 8F sheath and an 8F balloon-guide catheter. With the newest generation of distal access catheters (ACE 64), aspiration flow was high enough to surpass blood flow in the MCA. This would even be the case with a stent retriever in the catheter, which reduces flow by approximately 15%. $^5$ Syringes provide constant and high flow during an acceptable time (Fig 2), a finding that we did not expect because filling the syringe results in a loss of the pressure gradient. The nonlinear pressure loss, the resistors (syringe tip, 3-way valve, and hemostatic valve), and the inertia of the aspirated blood may be the main reasons for syringes achieving a comparably constant flow despite the unavoidable pressure loss during filling. Whether a syringe should be drawn gradually during the thrombectomy maneuver or drawn and locked before the maneuver has been a subject of discussion. Interventionalists, who draw syringes gradually during the thrombectomy maneuver, report that a tremble during manual aspiration (probably due to the Bernoulli effect) precedes collapse of the vessel and therefore helps anticipate it. However, insufficient flow remains an issue. In our experience, the average interventionalist easily generates approximately 50 kPa (56%) of the maximal 90 kPa vacuum pressure by drawing the first 7 mL of a 60-mL syringe. However, drawing a further 13 mL to achieve 75 kPa and drawing the whole 60-mL syringe for a maximal vacuum pressure of 90 kPa are disproportionally more difficult. Hence, manual feedback when drawing the syringe may give a disproportionate impression of effective flow. Also, maintaining a specific pressure gradient without a pressure gauge is challenging. Furthermore, switching from one syringe to another results in a temporary flow arrest during mechanical thrombectomy.
Aspiration with syringes or pumps can be used to generate a pressure gradient with the following results: 1) a force at the catheter tip, thereby establishing contact between the catheter and the clot (ADAPT, SAVE, and ARTS technique, and so forth), or 2) backward flow to prevent clot embolization. The force at the catheter tip depends only on the catheter diameter and the applied pressure and is therefore not altered by resistors. The flow, however, is highly influenced by additional resistors. The ideal aspiration system generates a high and constant pressure gradient and has minimal resistance. The 60-mL VacLok vacuum pressure syringe and the Medela pump achieved constant and high flows that are likely to be sufficient to reverse blood flow during thrombectomy with an 8F sheath or balloon-guide catheter in the ICA and modern distal aspiration catheters in the MCA. The Penumbra pump is dedicated for use with distal aspiration catheters and should not be used with its current tubing for flow control in the ICA and MCA without proximal balloon protection.

CONCLUSIONS

Aspiration with syringes or pumps can be used to generate a pressure gradient with the following results: 1) a force at the catheter tip, thereby establishing contact between the catheter and the clot (ADAPT, SAVE, and ARTS technique, and so forth), or 2) backward flow to prevent clot embolization. The force at the catheter tip depends only on the catheter diameter and the applied pressure and is therefore not altered by resistors. The flow, however, is highly influenced by additional resistors. The ideal aspiration system generates a high and constant pressure gradient and has minimal resistance. The 60-mL VacLok vacuum pressure syringe and the Medela pump achieved constant and high flows that are likely to be sufficient to reverse blood flow during thrombectomy with an 8F sheath or balloon-guide catheter in the ICA and modern distal aspiration catheters in the MCA. The Penumbra pump is dedicated for use with distal aspiration catheters and should not be used with its current tubing for flow control in the ICA and MCA without proximal balloon protection.
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