

Are your MRI contrast agents cost-effective?

Learn more about generic Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents.



**FRESENIUS
KABI**

caring for life

AJNR

**Assessing Postconcussive Reaction Time
Using Transport-Based Morphometry of
Diffusion Tensor Images**

S. Kundu, A. Ghodadra, S. Fakhran, L.M. Alhilali and G.K. Rohde

This information is current as
of April 10, 2024.

AJNR Am J Neuroradiol published online 13 June 2019
<http://www.ajnr.org/content/early/2019/06/13/ajnr.A6087>

Assessing Postconcussive Reaction Time Using Transport-Based Morphometry of Diffusion Tensor Images

 S. Kundu,  A. Ghodadra,  S. Fakhran,  L.M. Alhilali, and  G.K. Rohde



ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Cognitive deficits are among the most commonly reported post-concussive symptoms, yet the underlying microstructural injury is poorly understood. Our aim was to discover white matter injury underlying reaction time in mild traumatic brain injury DTI by applying transport-based morphometry.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: In this retrospective study, we performed DTI on 64 postconcussive patients (10–28 years of age; 69% male, 31% female) between January 2006 and March 2013. We measured the reaction time percentile by using Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing. Using the 3D transport-based morphometry technique we developed, we mined fractional anisotropy maps to extract the common microstructural injury associated with reaction time percentile in an automated manner. Permutation testing established statistical significance of the extracted injuries. We visualized the physical substrate responsible for reaction time through inverse transport-based morphometry transformation.

RESULTS: The direction in the transport space most correlated with reaction time was significant after correcting for covariates of age, sex, and time from injury (Pearson $r = 0.44$, $P < .01$). Inverting the computed direction using transport-based morphometry illustrates physical shifts in fractional anisotropy in the corpus callosum (increase) and within the optic radiations, corticospinal tracts, and anterior thalamic radiations (decrease) with declining reaction time. The observed shifts are consistent with biologic pathways underlying the visual-spatial interpretation and response-selection aspects of reaction time.

CONCLUSIONS: Transport-based morphometry discovers complex white matter injury underlying postconcussive reaction time in an automated manner. The potential influences of edema and axonal loss are visualized in the visual-spatial interpretation and response-selection pathways. Transport-based morphometry can bridge the gap between brain microstructure and function in diseases in which the structural basis is unknown.

ABBREVIATIONS: FA = fractional anisotropy; ImPACT = Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing; mTBI = mild traumatic brain injury; TBM = transport-based morphometry

Cognitive deficits are among the most commonly reported symptoms after mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI).^{1–6} Both transient and persistent deficits in processing speed, attention, and working memory are associated with mTBI.⁷ Furthermore, persistent cognitive deficits may accelerate aging-related cognitive decline.² Yet, despite its ubiquity, the microstructure under-

lying postconcussive cognitive deficit is difficult to assess. Routine CT and MR imaging findings are often negative. Although diffusion tensor imaging can capture diffuse white matter injury,⁸ mTBI is still diagnosed clinically because damage is subtle and spatially diffuse.^{7,9} The microstructural injury is challenging to


Received December 26, 2018; accepted after revision April 27, 2019.

From the Department of Biomedical Engineering, Electrical and Computer Engineering (G.K.R.), University of Virginia, Charlottesville, Virginia; Department of Biomedical Engineering at Carnegie Mellon University and Medical Scientist Training Program (S.K.), University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania; Department of Radiology (A.G.), Banner Health and Hospital Systems, Mesa, Arizona; and Department of Neuroradiology (S.F.), Barrow Neurological Institute, Phoenix, Arizona. Dr Alhilali is currently at the Department of Internal Medicine, University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania.


This work was supported, in part, by the National Science Foundation award CCF 1421502 and National Institutes of Health awards GM130825 and GM090033.

A subset of these results was presented at: Radiological Society of North America's Scientific Assembly and Annual Meeting: "Transport-Based Morphometry of Diffusion Tensor Images for Assessment of Postconcussive Reaction Time." November 27 to December 2, 2016; Chicago, Illinois.

Please address correspondence to Shinjini Kundu, MD, PhD, Medical Scientist Training Program, University of Pittsburgh/Carnegie-Mellon University, 526 Scaife Hall, 3550 Terrace St, Pittsburgh, PA 15261; e-mail: shk71@pitt.edu; @ShinjiniKundu

 Indicates open access to non-subscribers at www.ajnr.org

 Indicates article with supplemental on-line appendix.

 Indicates article with supplemental on-line photos.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A6087>

distinguish from a background of normal anatomic variability and accumulated insults with time.¹⁰

Given its complexity, traditional analysis has permitted only a limited view of the microstructural perturbations. Conventional methods have sought to index injuries to individual tracts (ie, ROI analysis; Tract-Based Spatial Statistics, TBSS; <http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/TBSS>; and so forth) or individual voxels (ie, voxel-based analysis, potholes and molehills analysis, and so forth).^{7,10,11} However, techniques treating each voxel or ROI as an independent variable cannot provide adequate insight into the interconnectedness of injuries across multiple regions as a function of cognition.^{6,7} Furthermore, visual inspection is particularly insensitive to subtle- but-interconnected biophysical shifts in tissue architecture.¹²

In our prior work, we developed 3D transport-based morphometry (TBM) as a technique to assess complex physical changes in brain tissue that may be undetectable by visual inspection.¹³ By computing the effort needed to morph the brain tissue distribution in one image into another, TBM statistically mapped joint interaction across multiple brain regions.¹³ Unlike traditional approaches, TBM could explain the relevant microstructural shifts underlying the clinical assessment visually because it is a generative technique.¹³ Furthermore, TBM can discover the common substrate underlying reaction time in a fully automated manner.^{6,7}

This study investigated the brain microstructure associated with postconcussive reaction time, one of the most frequently reported cognitive deficits after mTBI.^{1,3,4,14,15} Given new interventions such as exercise rehabilitation,^{16,17} it is crucial to identify objective substrates underlying postconcussive cognition to assess progress in treatment and understand repair mechanisms. Particularly, studying the acute and postacute phases of recovery could reveal new targets for early treatment monitoring. TBM has never before been applied to investigate white matter injury associated with postconcussive cognition. Given the known limitations of traditional techniques, we hypothesize that if a common structural substrate underlying postconcussive reaction time exists, it can be uncovered using TBM.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subject Cohort

In this retrospective study, institutional review board approval was obtained, and informed consent was waived. The electronic medical record was searched for DTI studies performed for mTBI between January 1, 2006, and March 1, 2013, at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center. Studies were identified using keywords “concussion,” “mild traumatic brain injury,” and “diffusion tensor imaging.” Inclusion criteria were the following: 10–50 years of age, witnessed closed head trauma, no focal neurologic deficit, loss of consciousness of <1 minute, posttraumatic amnesia of <30 minutes, English language proficiency, and available neurocognitive testing with Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing (ImPACT; <https://scipol.duke.edu/track/immediate-post-concussion-assessment-and-cognitive-testing-impact>). Exclusion criteria were the following: prior neuropsychiatric illness (2 patients), abnormal CT or conventional brain MR imaging findings including large focal abnormalities such as encephalomalacia not attributable to current trauma (3 patients), history of substance abuse (3 patients), lack of DTI (4 patients), lack of neurocognitive assessment (6 patients), total symptom score of zero (3 patients), and

Table 1: Demographic information and causes of injury

Participant Characteristics	Number of Participants
Demographics	
Age, time of imaging (range) (mean) (yr)	10–28 (16.1 ± 2.9)
Male participants (%)	44 (68.8)
Time from injury to imaging (mean) (days)	55 ± 91
Causes of mTBI	
Sports injury (%)	42 (65.6%)
Motor vehicle collision (%)	6 (9.4%)
Bicycle accident (%)	2 (3.1%)
Fell down stairs (%)	2 (3.1%)
Fell off horse (%)	2 (3.1%)
Other ^a (%)	10 (15.6%)

^a Various civilian injuries.

inability to align fractional anisotropy (FA) images (2 patients). The cohort included patients in the immediate ($n = 13$), acute ($n = 30$), subacute ($n = 10$), and chronic ($n = 11$) phases of injury (acute, 1–6 weeks; subacute, 7–12 weeks; chronic, >12 weeks).¹⁸ The final cohort contained 64 subjects. A prior article¹¹ used this cohort to examine postconcussive headache. Demographic information and causes of injury are summarized in Table 1. Unlike voxel-based analysis or ROI techniques used in prior studies, we evaluated postconcussive reaction time using the TBM technique to enable both discovery and visualization of novel structural substrate.

A neuropsychological examination was performed by a single neuropsychologist with >15 years of experience in treating patients with mTBI. Reaction time was determined as part of the ImPACT.¹⁹ Although ImPACT included other cognitive measures, this study focused on reaction time, one of the most common postconcussive cognitive sequelae²⁰ and a sensitive marker of recovery following concussion.^{20,21} ImPACT reaction time for each subject is a percentile based on normative data from baseline testing of >17,000 athletes as part of presport participation. Percentile information accounts for both sex and age.

MR Imaging Acquisition and Preprocessing

All subjects underwent an identical imaging protocol on the same system during the imaging time period. DTI was performed with a 1.5T unit (Signa; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) and a standard head coil. A single-shot echo-planar sequence was used (TR = 4000 ms, TE = 80 ms, NEX = 2, section thickness = 5 mm, matrix = 128 × 128, FOV = 260 mm). Diffusion gradients were set in 25 noncollinear directions using 2 b-values ($b=0$ and 1000/mm²).

FA maps were generated to characterize white matter integrity using the Brain Diffusion Toolbox,^{22,23} which is part of the DTI pipeline of FSL.^{24,25} The FA maps included both gray and white matter and were registered to the Montreal Neurological Institute atlas by a 12-parameter affine transformation. A common reference image was computed through the Euclidean average of all images across the study population.

Analyzing FA Spatial Distribution Using Transport-Based Morphometry

The microstructure differentiating high and low reaction time was not perceptible visually as demonstrated in Fig 1, motivating the use of TBM^{13,26–28} to discover the complex pattern. Our 3D-TBM technique¹³ is an image-transformation framework that fa-




FIG 1. FA maps of 10 different postconcussive patients. The same axial slice is shown for patients with the best reaction time percentiles (*upper row*) and worst reaction time percentiles (*lower row*). These images illustrate the challenge that FA maps corresponding to the best and worst reaction times are not easily differentiated by visual inspection because the abnormal variations related to reaction time cannot be discerned from the other heterogeneous variations. Intelligent computer-aided techniques are needed to differentiate these images.

cilitates the discovery of this pattern through analysis in a transform domain.²⁶⁻²⁸ On-line Fig 1 illustrates the system diagram in the On-line Appendix. The interested reader is referred to Kundu et al¹³ for the mathematic derivation of 3D-TBM. We provide only a brief description here.

The similarity in the FA spatial distribution with respect to a reference is quantified in a transport map for each subject: a vector field morphing an individual FA image into the common reference. The optimal transport map minimizes FA transport to match the common reference. On-line Fig 2 shows FA maps and corresponding transport maps for 4 sample subjects.

The optimal transport map is unique for a pair of images,¹³ ensuring a bijective transformation. Equations A1 and A2 describe analysis and synthesis equations for forward and inverse transforms, respectively, in the On-line Appendix. Transformation is nonlinear; thus, complex patterns are more sparsely characterized in the transport domain and correspond to distances on the Riemannian manifold. Computing Riemannian distances with respect to a common template allows linearization of the optimal mass transport metric and reduces the number of operations from $N(N-1)/2$ to N . Prior work shows that pattern discrimination using the linear optimal transport distance is enabled when the template lies sufficiently close to the Riemannian manifold.^{27,28} Because the TBM transformation is bijective, we can generate de novo images along the regression direction in transport space through inverse transformation. The latter is enabled because TBM is generative. The ability to ultimately visualize the complex shift in microstructural profile initially imperceptible to visual inspection (Fig 1) is a unique contribution of TBM. We implemented all 3D-TBM codes in Matlab (MathWorks, Natick, Massachusetts) as described in Kundu et al.¹³

Assessing the Microstructural Relationship with Reaction Time

Statistical learning is performed in the transport domain to extract relevant image features. First, transport maps generated by the transformation are vectorized and concatenated into a standard data matrix. Then, the principal components analysis technique (Equation A3) is used to eliminate data dimensions con-

tributing little to the variance in the dataset. The data matrix is projected onto the topmost d eigenvectors associated with 90% of the variance in transport maps to create the reduced-dimension data matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ ($d \ll p$). Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ be the reaction time percentile mapped to squared values (to increase separation of lower from higher percentiles). The direction in transport space that results in the strongest linear correlation is computed with the reaction time percentile v (Equation 1)²⁶:

$$1) \quad w_{\text{corr}} = \arg \max_w \frac{w^T X v}{\sqrt{w^T w}} = \frac{X v}{\sqrt{v^T X^T X v}}.$$

Here, w_{corr} is a vector field synthesized in the transport domain that quantifies the direction and amount of FA redistribution most correlated with the reaction time percentile. Covariates are removed according to Equation 2.

$$2) \quad v = y - Z(Z^T Z)^{-1} Z^T y.$$

Here, v describes the residual from the reaction time percentile ($y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$) that is decorrelated and orthogonal to the c , confounding variables in $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times c}$. This study corrects for age, sex, and time from injury as covariates. Statistical significance of the computed direction was assessed using permutation testing with $T = 1000$ tests. The reported P value is the fraction of times over T tests that the Pearson correlation coefficient was higher when labels v are randomly assigned to subject data than when computed based on the original assignment. We implemented all statistical analysis code in Matlab.

RESULTS

Assessing the Microstructural Relationship with Reaction Time

As illustrated in Fig 1, visual inspection does not elucidate the changes characteristic of poor postconcussive reaction time. The computed tissue distribution from the transport space was significantly associated with the reaction time percentile after correcting for covariates according to Equation 2 (Pearson $r = 0.44$, $P < .01$). The strength of the association is moderate. Furthermore, the pattern of injury identified by TBM is specific to cognitive

symptoms following mTBI because it is not significantly correlated with any other ImPACT measures except processing-speed percentile (Table 2).

On-line Fig 3 shows the scatterplot of subjects when their images are projected onto the most correlated direction computed in the transport space. The mean image $I_0(x)$ maps to a projection score of zero. Each point in the scatterplot represents a brain image in the dataset. According to the linear regression model constructed in the transport space, increasing reaction time percentile (faster reaction times) is associated with increasing projection scores, and decreasing reaction time percentile (slower reaction times) is associated with decreasing projection scores.

Understanding Complex Correlations Visually

In addition to confirming a correlation between the reaction time percentile and microstructure, projection scores can be interpreted clinically. Reverse TBM transformation can map any given projection score to visualize a computer-generated image. Figure 2 shows

Table 2: Correlation between TBM direction and other ImPACT measures

ImPACT Measure	Pearson Correlation	P
Anxiety	−0.06	.68
Cervicalgia	−0.06	.70
Headaches	−0.18	.91
Total ImPACT score	−0.29	.98
Processing speed percentile	0.31	<.01 ^a
Sleep-wake disturbance	−0.24	.97
Time to recovery	−0.05	.67
Tinnitus	−0.05	.61
Verbal memory	0.20	.06
Visual memory	0.20	.05

^a The discovered pattern of injury does not correlate with any other ImPACT measures except processing-speed percentile.

visualizations of dynamic brain morphology corresponding to varying projection scores. The images are synthesized on the basis of the study population. Note that simple linear regression in transport space can characterize the nonlinear, spatially diffuse, white matter injury pattern in the image domain.

The projection scores across the horizontal axis in Fig 2 correspond to those in the horizontal axis of On-line Fig 3. The images shown are computer-generated by TBM from points sampled along the line of best fit where the projection score spans -2 to $+2$ SDs from the mean. The FA maps are colored to aid in visualization. The values represent relative density, a measure of FA concentration. Two axial slices are shown that best summarize the morphologic differences from a low-reaction-time percentile to a high-reaction-time percentile. The population mean image $I_0(x)$ is indicated by the projection score zero in Fig 2. The images at the negative projection scores correlate most strongly with the low-reaction-time percentile, while the images at the positive projection scores correlate most strongly with high-reaction-time percentile (On-line Fig 3). Progressing from a low-to-high reaction time percentile, the statistical model illustrates that FA in the corpus callosum decreases while increasing in the optic radiations, corticospinal tract, and anterior thalamic tracts.

Individual Assessment of White Matter Injury Associated with Reaction Time

In addition to identifying common structural correlates of reaction time, TBM also identifies white matter injury in individual patients. The direction computed in transport space can clinically assess whether the patterns of white matter injury in Fig 2 are present in an individual patient. The transport map for an individual patient can be projected onto this direction to yield a projection score for the patient. The projection scores can be interpreted on the basis of the relationship with reaction time performance illustrated in On-line Fig 3 to evaluate whether cognitive deficits may be present. Figure 3

illustrates the FA map of a patient with a fast reaction time in the 94th percentile that maps to a high positive projection score and that of a patient with slow reaction time in the 21st percentile that maps to a low negative projection score, a potential metric by which to differentiate these patients. A key strength of TBM is its ability to assess spatially diffuse, complex white matter patterns that are not easily identified visually or by an ROI-based perspective.

Furthermore, Fig 3 shows that the transport map may reveal new numeric assessment tools. The determinant of the Jacobian computed from the transport maps measures the joint changes in FA concentration across multiple white matter tracts. An increase in FA concentration is indicated by a value of >1 , and decreases are indicated by a value of <1 . We see that the direction of FA change in multiple white matter tracts with respect




FIG 2. Most correlated direction. Images corresponding to the most correlated direction in transport space show decreasing FA in the corticospinal tracts, anterior thalamic radiations, and optic radiations with the low reaction time percentile corresponding to the scatterplot in On-line Fig 3. The FA in the corpus callosum increases as reaction time decreases.




FIG 3. TBM can help assess cognitive deficits in individual patients using the computed direction to assess whether the complex and spatially distributed patterns of white matter injury identified by Fig 2 are present. When transport maps are projected onto this direction, a projection score is generated that can be used to differentiate patients according to the relationship in On-line Fig 3. The subjects shown correspond to $i = 3$ and 4 in Fig 1. The direction of FA change with respect to the reference in individual patients corroborates the patterns identified in Fig 2.

to the common reference corroborates those identified by Fig 2 for a patient with high and low reaction times.

DISCUSSION

This article investigates the microstructure underlying postconcussive reaction time. Our prior work developing 3D-TBM demonstrated its ability to uncover microstructural circuits not adequately assessed through visual inspection or conventional methods.¹³ This article builds on prior work to propose a new framework to bridge microstructure and postconcussive cognitive assessment. We discovered an FA spatial pattern that is significantly associated with reaction time and robust to covariates of age, sex, and time from injury. The discovered pattern is specific to cognitive symptoms and does not correlate with ImpACT measures outside of processing speed and reaction time. This article is the first to elucidate the influence of multiple regions jointly on postconcussive reaction time through visualizable FA images.

This work delves deeper in elucidating the link between postconcussive reaction time and brain microstructure than prior studies.^{29–32} Previous studies investigated individual ROIs.

Womack et al²⁹ reported that the posterior corpus callosum is related to peripheral visual reaction time, and Arenth et al³⁰ reported that DTI parameters in the corpus callosum relate to impaired reaction time post-TBI. The prior findings, however, lack specificity to cognitive performance because the corpus callosum is commonly involved across mTBI populations.³¹ Our work uncovers microstructural circuitry that is specific to cognitive processing (ie, reaction time and processing speed). Other studies examining frontal ROIs^{12,32} found no consistent pattern between DTI variables on various ROIs and symptom scale scores. Most important, a prior study suggested that cognitive deficit is a diffuse process: the number of DTI lesions positively correlated with poorer cognitive measures.⁷ Our work offers a more complete map of the multiple microstructural lesions that jointly influence reaction time. This study uniquely visualizes the complex, dynamic microstructure opaque to visual interpretation and ROI-based approaches because TBM is generative.

Our work demonstrates a new approach for assessing latent microstructural patterns, especially those that are not discernible

visually. TBM addresses many shortcomings of traditional methods. Histogram analysis does not provide regionally specific answers,^{12,33} ROI-based techniques do not characterize spatially diffuse processes, and voxel-based analysis is sensitive to a priori structural/functional alignment,^{12,33,34} which produces false-positives in mTBI cohorts.¹⁰ Tractography visualizes in vivo pathways, but poorly understood interconnections are precisely the ones most difficult to characterize using tractography.³⁵ In contrast, TBM uncovers structure-function circuitry¹³ in an automated manner. Unlike traditional methods, TBM is generative and inverse transformation visualizes latent microstructure opaque to these methodologies. We see multiple white matter tracts dynamically interacting, providing novel insight into biophysical substrates. Our approach is widely applicable to future mTBI studies investigating the link between brain microstructure and clinical symptoms.

The microstructure we discovered is consistent with reaction time neurobiology. First, optic radiations interpret the initial stimulus and contribute to interindividual variability in reaction time.³⁶ Next, frontally mediated circuits help process the observed stimulus. In prior studies, damage to the anterior thalamic radiations led to impaired cognitive function³⁷ and was associated with reaction time deficits.³⁸ Following executive processing, interhemispheric transmission translates attention into a physical response. The corpus callosum mediates interhemispheric transmission and correlates with reaction time in both healthy and cognitively impaired individuals.^{33,39} Finally, the corticospinal tract generates a motor response.⁴⁰

In addition, this study illuminates interaction across multiple white matter tracts. The direction of FA varies among the corpus callosum and visual tracts, corticospinal tracts, and anterior thalamic radiations. Our corpus callosum findings are consistent with prior reports⁶ of increased FA with injury that suggest cytotoxic edema in the semiacute phase. However, other studies describe diffusely decreased FA with persistent cognitive symptoms, including in the corpus callosum.^{7,41} The acute/postacute imaging time period may be responsible for this finding. White matter injury in mTBI is dynamic, demonstrating increased FA early due to cytotoxic edema and decreased FA late, reflecting decreased white matter integrity and axonal loss.⁴² Our finding of mixed FA likely reflects a transitional time period. Some regions may have progressed to axonal loss, while others remain in earlier stages. This mixed response is seen during the semiacute interval.^{43,44} The corpus callosum is the largest fiber tract in the human brain⁴⁵; increased FA may represent residual edema from the immediate into the subacute phase of injury relative to smaller tracts.

There are several limitations of this study. We conducted a retrospective, single-center study. Future prospective, multi-center studies are needed to build on our results. Additionally, our patients ranged from pediatric to adult. White matter myelination may vary in the pediatric population. However, our model remained significant even after accounting for age covariation.⁴⁶ Furthermore, focusing on FA spatial distribution may be advantageous in mixed-age patient populations in which differences in head size may confound traditional voxel-based techniques. Our study included subjects imaged at heterogeneous intervals since traumatic injury, but the statistical model remained robust after

correcting for time from injury as a covariate. Furthermore, many patients with mTBI do not seek medical attention, and there is a possible selection bias toward more seriously injured or symptomatic patients. However, the bias may help direct toward patients who would benefit most from objective assessment. Finally, lack of universally accepted normative data limits quantitative use of DTI metrics in the clinical setting. Future studies will need to establish normative references for quantitative TBM in healthy patients and those with mTBI. This study motivates future investigation into causality between brain microstructure and mTBI symptoms.

CONCLUSIONS

In the future, 3D TBM may help provide prognostic markers to assess either recovery or progression to chronic stages in prospective longitudinal DTI studies.^{12,33} There is immense potential for 3D-TBM to be a novel diagnostic technology capable of investigating structure-function relationships in many diseases like mTBI that are still considered mysteries.

Disclosures: Gustavo K. Rohde—UNRELATED: Grants/Grants Pending: National Institutes of Health/National Science Foundation, Comments: I currently have 1 National Institutes of Health and National Science Foundation pending grant on developing the underlying technology that will support future studies using transport-based morphometry; Patents (Planned, Pending or Issued): United States Patent Office, Comments: I have 1 patent related to methods for measuring optimal transport between images.

REFERENCES

1. Vincent AS, Roebuck-Spencer TM, Cernich A, et al. **Cognitive changes and dementia risk after traumatic brain injury: implications for aging military personnel.** *Alzheimers Dement* 2014;10(3 Suppl):S174–87 CrossRef Medline
2. Himanen L, Portin R, Isoniemi H, et al. **Cognitive functions in relation to MRI findings 30 years after traumatic brain injury.** *Brain Inj* 2005;19:93–100 Medline
3. Grandhi R, Tavakoli S, Ortega C, et al. **A review of chronic pain and cognitive, mood, and motor dysfunction following mild traumatic brain injury: complex, comorbid, and/or overlapping conditions?** *Brain Sci* 2017;7 CrossRef Medline
4. Levin HS, Li X, McCauley SR, et al. **Neuropsychological outcome of mTBI: a principal component analysis approach.** *J Neurotrauma* 2013;30:625–32 CrossRef Medline
5. Hellowell DJ, Taylor RT, Pentland B. **Cognitive and psychosocial outcome following moderate or severe traumatic brain injury.** *Brain Inj* 1999;13:489–504 Medline
6. Wozniak JR, Krach L, Ward E, et al. **Neurocognitive and neuroimaging correlates of pediatric traumatic brain injury: a diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) study.** *Arch Clin Neuropsychol* 2007;22:555–68 Medline
7. Niogi SN, Mukherjee P, Ghajar J, et al. **Extent of microstructural white matter injury in postconcussive syndrome correlates with impaired cognitive reaction time: a 3T diffusion tensor imaging study of mild traumatic brain injury.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2008;29:967–73 Medline
8. Mac Donald CL, Dikranian K, Bayly P, et al. **Diffusion tensor imaging reliably detects experimental traumatic axonal injury and indicates approximate time of injury.** *J Neurosci* 2007;27:11869–76 Medline
9. Davenport ND, Lim KO, Armstrong MT, et al. **Diffuse and spatially variable white matter disruptions are associated with blast-related mild traumatic brain injury.** *Neuroimage* 2012;59:2017–24 CrossRef Medline
10. Watts R, Thomas A, Filippi CG, et al. **Potholes and molehills: bias in**

- the diagnostic performance of diffusion-tensor imaging in concussion. *Radiology* 2014;272:217–23 CrossRef Medline
11. Ghodadra A, Alhilali L, Fakhra S. Principal component analysis of diffusion tensor images to determine white matter injury patterns underlying postconcussive headache. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2016; 37:274–78 CrossRef Medline
 12. Niogi SN, Mukherjee P. Diffusion tensor imaging of mild traumatic brain injury. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2010;25:241–55 CrossRef Medline
 13. Kundu S, Kolouri S, Erickson KI, et al. Discovery and visualization of structural biomarkers from MRI using transport-based morphometry. *Neuroimage* 2018;167:256–75 CrossRef Medline
 14. Erlanger D, Saliba E, Barth J, et al. Monitoring resolution of post-concussion symptoms in athletes: preliminary results of a web-based neuropsychological test protocol. *J Athl Trai* 2001;36:280–87 Medline
 15. Collie A, Maruff P, Makdissi M, et al. CogSport: reliability and correlation with conventional cognitive tests used in postconcussion medical evaluations. *Clin J Sport Med* 2003;13:28–32 Medline
 16. Leddy JJ, Kozlowski K, Fung M, et al. Regulatory and autoregulatory physiological dysfunction as a primary characteristic of post concussion syndrome: implications for treatment. *NeuroRehabilitation* 2007;22:199–205 Medline
 17. Leddy JJ, Kozlowski K, Donnelly JP, et al. A preliminary study of subsymptom threshold exercise training for refractory post-concussion syndrome. *Clin J Sport Med* 2010;20:21–27 CrossRef Medline
 18. Department of Defense, Department of Veterans Affairs. VA/DoD Clinical Practice Guideline for Management of Concussion/Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. 2009. <https://www.rehab.research.va.gov/jour/09/46/6/pdf/cpg.pdf>. Accessed May 17, 2019
 19. Mayers LB, Redick TS. Clinical utility of ImPACT assessment for postconcussion return-to-play counseling: psychometric issues. *J Clin Exp Neuropsychol* 2012;34:235–42 CrossRef Medline
 20. Eckner JT, Kutcher JS, Richardson JK. Effect of concussion on clinically measured reaction time in 9 NCAA division I collegiate athletes: a preliminary study. *PMR* 2011;3:212–18 CrossRef Medline
 21. Lau B, Lovell MR, Collins MW, et al. Neurocognitive and symptom predictors of recovery in high school athletes. *Clin J Sport Med* 2009; 19:216–21 Medline
 22. Behrens TE, Woolrich MW, Jenkinson M, et al. Characterization and propagation of uncertainty in diffusion-weighted MR imaging. *Magn Reson Med* 2003;50:1077–88 Medline
 23. FDT. FMRIB's Diffusion Toolbox. <http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fsl-wiki/FDT>. Updated May 12, 2016. Accessed May 17, 2019
 24. Jenkinson M, Beckmann CF, Behrens TE, et al. FSL. *Neuroimage* 2012;62:782–90 CrossRef Medline
 25. FMRIB Software Library, Version 6.0. Analysis Group, FMRIB, Oxford, UK. <http://www.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl>. Updated April 16, 2019. Accessed March 26, 2016
 26. Basu S, Kolouri S, Rohde GK. Detecting and visualizing cell phenotype differences from microscopy images using transport-based morphometry. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2014;111:3448–53 CrossRef Medline
 27. Wang W, Slepčev D, Basu S, et al. A linear optimal transportation framework for quantifying and visualizing variations in sets of images. *Int J Comput Vis* 2013;101:254–69 CrossRef Medline
 28. Kolouri S, Tosun AB, Ozolek JA, et al. A continuous linear optimal transport approach for pattern analysis in image datasets. *Pattern Recognit* 2016;51:453–62 CrossRef Medline
 29. Womack KB, Paliotta C, Strain JF, et al. Measurement of peripheral vision reaction time identifies white matter disruption in patients with mild traumatic brain injury. *J Neurotrauma* 2017;34:1539–45 CrossRef Medline
 30. Arenth PM, Russell KC, Scanlon JM, et al. Corpus callosum integrity and neuropsychological performance after traumatic brain injury: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *J Head Trauma Rehabil* 2014;29: E1–10 CrossRef Medline
 31. Aoki Y, Inokuchi R, Gunshin M, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging studies of mild traumatic brain injury: a meta-analysis. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2012;83:870–76
 32. Levin H, Wilde EA, Troyanskaya M, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging of mild to moderate blast related TBI and its sequelae. *J Neurotrauma* 2010;27:683–94 CrossRef Medline
 33. Grossman EJ, Inglese M, Bammer R. Mild traumatic brain injury: is diffusion imaging ready for primetime in forensic medicine? *Top Magn Reson Imaging* 2010;21:379–86 CrossRef Medline
 34. Bookstein FL. “Voxel-based morphometry” should not be used with imperfectly registered images. *Neuroimage* 2001;14:1454–62 Medline
 35. Jbabdi S, Johansen-Berg H. Tractography: where do we go from here? *Brain Connect* 2011;1:169–83 CrossRef Medline
 36. Tuch DS, Salat DH, Wisco JJ, et al. Choice reaction time performance correlates with diffusion anisotropy in white matter pathways supporting visuospatial attention. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2005;102:12212–17 Medline
 37. Booth T, Bastin ME, Penke L, et al. Brain white matter tract integrity and cognitive abilities in community-dwelling older people: the Lothian Birth Cohort, 1936. *Neuropsychology* 2013;27:595–607 CrossRef Medline
 38. Righart RI, Duering M, Gonik M, et al. Impact of regional cortical and subcortical changes on processing speed in cerebral small vessel disease. *Neuroimage Clin* 2013;2:854–61 CrossRef Medline
 39. Anstey KJ, Mack HA, Christensen H, et al. Corpus callosum size, reaction time speed and variability in mild cognitive disorders and in a normative sample. *Neuropsychologia* 2007;45:1911–20 Medline
 40. Leocani L, Cohen LG, Wassermann EM, et al. Human corticospinal excitability evaluated with transcranial magnetic stimulation during different reaction time paradigms. *Brain* 2000;123(Pt 6): 1161–73 Medline
 41. Salmond CH, Menon DK, Chatfield DA, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging in chronic head injury survivors: correlations with learning and memory indices. *Neuroimage* 2006;29:117–24 Medline
 42. Eierud C, Craddock RC, Fletcher S, et al. Neuroimaging after mild traumatic brain injury: review and meta-analysis. *Neuroimage Clin* 2014;4:283–94 CrossRef Medline
 43. Mayer AR, Ling J, Mannel MV, et al. A prospective diffusion tensor imaging study in mild traumatic brain injury. *Neurology* 2010;74: 643–50 CrossRef Medline
 44. Bazarian JJ, Zhong J, Blyth B, et al. Diffusion tensor imaging detects clinically important axonal damage after mild traumatic brain injury: a pilot study. *J Neurotrauma* 2007;24:1447–59 Medline
 45. Aboitiz F. Brain connections: interhemispheric fiber systems and anatomical brain asymmetries in humans. *Biol Res* 1992;25:51–61 Medline
 46. Eckert MA, Keren NI, Roberts DR, et al. Age-related changes in processing speed: unique contributions of cerebellar and prefrontal cortex. *Front Hum Neurosci* 2010;4:10 CrossRef Medline