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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Cognitive deficits are among the most commonly reported post-concussive symptoms, yet the underlying microstructural injury is poorly understood. Our aim was to discover white matter injury underlying reaction time in mild traumatic brain injury DTI by applying transport-based morphometry.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: In this retrospective study, we performed DTI on 64 postconcussive patients (10–28 years of age; 69% male, 31% female) between January 2006 and March 2013. We measured the reaction time percentile by using Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing. Using the 3D transport-based morphometry technique we developed, we mined fractional anisotropy maps to extract the common microstructural injury associated with reaction time percentile in an automated manner. Permutation testing established statistical significance of the extracted injuries. We visualized the physical substrate responsible for reaction time through inverse transport-based morphometry transformation.

RESULTS: The direction in the transport space most correlated with reaction time was significant after correcting for covariates of age, sex, and time from injury (Pearson $r = 0.44$, $P < .01$). Inverting the computed direction using transport-based morphometry illustrates physical shifts in fractional anisotropy in the corpus callosum (increase) and within the optic radiations, corticospinal tracts, and anterior thalamic radiations (decrease) with declining reaction time. The observed shifts are consistent with biologic pathways underlying the visual-spatial interpretation and response-selection aspects of reaction time.

CONCLUSIONS: Transport-based morphometry discovers complex white matter injury underlying postconcussive reaction time in an automated manner. The potential influences of edema and axonal loss are visualized in the visual-spatial interpretation and response-selection pathways. Transport-based morphometry can bridge the gap between brain microstructure and function in diseases in which the structural basis is unknown.

ABBREVIATIONS: FA = fractional anisotropy; ImPACT = Immediate Post-Concussion Assessment and Cognitive Testing; mTBI = mild traumatic brain injury; TBM = transport-based morphometry

Cognitive deficits are among the most commonly reported symptoms after mild traumatic brain injury (mTBI). Both transient and persistent deficits in processing speed, attention, and working memory are associated with mTBI. Furthermore, persistent cognitive deficits may accelerate aging-related cognitive decline. Yet, despite its ubiquity, the microstructure underlying postconcussive cognitive deficit is difficult to assess. Routine CT and MR imaging findings are often negative. Although diffusion tensor imaging can capture diffuse white matter injury, mTBI is still diagnosed clinically because damage is subtle and spatially diffuse. The microstructural injury is challenging to...
distinguish from a background of normal anatomic variability and accumulated insults with time.\textsuperscript{10}

Given its complexity, traditional analysis has permitted only a limited view of the microstructural perturbations. Conventional methods have sought to index injuries to individual tracts (ie, ROI analysis; Tract-Based Spatial Statistics, TBSS; http://fsl.fmrib.ox.ac.uk/fsl/fslwiki/TBSS; and so forth) or individual voxels (ie, voxel-based analysis, potholes and molehills analysis, and so forth).\textsuperscript{7,10,11} However, techniques treating each voxel or ROI as an independent variable cannot provide adequate insight into the interconnectedness of injuries across multiple regions as a function of cognition.\textsuperscript{6,7} Furthermore, visual inspection is particularly insensitive to subtle-but-interconnected biophysical shifts in tissue architecture.\textsuperscript{12}

In our prior work, we developed 3D transport-based morphometry (TBM) as a technique to assess complex physical changes in brain tissue that may be undetectable by visual inspection.\textsuperscript{13} By computing the effort needed to morph the brain tissue distribution in one image into another, TBM statistically mapped joint interaction putting the effort needed to morph the brain tissue distribution in one image into another. TBM statistically mapped joint interaction putting the effort needed to morph the brain tissue distribution in one image into another.\textsuperscript{13}

In this study, we investigated the brain microstructure associated with postconcussive reaction time, one of the most frequently reported cognitive deficits after mTBI.\textsuperscript{1,3,4,14,15} Given new interventions such as exercise rehabilitation,\textsuperscript{16,17} it is crucial to identify objective substrates underlying postconcussive cognition to assess progress in treatment and understand repair mechanisms. Particularly, studying the acute and postacute phases of recovery could reveal new targets for early treatment monitoring. TBM has never before been applied to investigate white matter injury associated with postconcussive cognition. Given the known limitations of traditional techniques, we hypothesize that if a common structural substrate underlying postconcussive reaction time exists, it can be uncovered using TBM.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Subject Cohort

In this retrospective study, institutional review board approval was obtained, and informed consent was waived. The electronic medical record was searched for DTI studies performed for mTBI between January 1, 2006, and March 1, 2013, at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center. Studies were identified using keywords “concussion,” “mild traumatic brain injury,” and “diffusion tensor imaging.” Inclusion criteria were the following: 10–50 years of age, witnessed concussive reaction time exists, it can be uncovered using TBM.

### MR Imaging Acquisition and Preprocessing

All subjects underwent an identical imaging protocol on the same system during the imaging time period. DTI was performed with a 1.5T unit (Signa; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wisconsin) and a standard head coil. A single-shot echo-planar sequence was used (TR = 4000 ms, TE = 80 ms, NEX = 2, section thickness = 5 mm, matrix = 128 × 128, FOV = 260 mm). Diffusion gradients were set in 25 noncollinear directions using 2 b-values (b = 0 and 1000/mm\(^2\)).

FA maps were generated to characterize white matter integrity using the Brain Diffusion Toolbox,\textsuperscript{22,23} which is part of the DTI pipeline of FSL.\textsuperscript{24,25} The FA maps included both gray and white matter and were registered to the Montreal Neurological Institute atlas by a 12-parameter affine transformation. A common reference image was computed through the Euclidean average of all images across the study population.

### Analyzing FA Spatial Distribution Using Transport-Based Morphometry

The microstructure differentiating high and low reaction time was not perceptible visually as demonstrated in Fig 1, motivating the use of TBM\textsuperscript{13,26–28} to discover the complex pattern. Our 3D-TBM technique\textsuperscript{13} is an image-transformation framework that fa-
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Statistical learning is performed in the transport domain to extract relevant image features. First, transport maps generated by the transformation are vectorized and concatenated into a standard data matrix. Then, the principal components analysis technique (Equation A3) is used to eliminate data dimensions contributing little to the variance in the dataset. The data matrix is projected onto the topmost $d$ eigenvectors associated with 90% of the variance in transport maps to create the reduced-dimension data matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times n}$ ($d \ll p$). Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$ be the reaction time percentile mapped to squared values (to increase separation of lower from higher percentiles). The direction in transport space that results in the strongest linear correlation is computed with the reaction time percentile $v$ (Equation 1) \cite{Kundu2013}:

\[ w_{\text{corr}} = \arg \max_w \frac{w^T X v}{\sqrt{w^T w}} = \frac{X v}{\sqrt{v^T X^T X v}} \]

Here, $w_{\text{corr}}$ is a vector field synthesized in the transport domain that quantifies the direction and amount of FA redistribution most correlated with the reaction time percentile. Covariates are removed according to Equation 2.

\[ v = y - Z(Z^T Z)^{-1}Z^T y. \]

Here, $v$ describes the residual from the reaction time percentile ($y \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$) that is decorrelated and orthogonal to the $\epsilon$ confounding variables in $Z \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times t}$. This study corrects for age, sex, and time from injury as covariates. Statistical significance of the computed direction was assessed using permutation testing with $T = 1000$ tests. The reported $P$ value is the fraction of times over $T$ tests that the Pearson correlation coefficient was higher when labels $v$ are randomly assigned to subject data than when computed based on the original assignment. We implemented all statistical analysis code in Matlab.

RESULTS
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As illustrated in Fig 1, visual inspection does not elucidate the changes characteristic of poor postconcussive reaction time. The computed tissue distribution from the transport space was significantly associated with the reaction time percentile after correcting for covariates according to Equation 2 ($\text{Pearson } r = 0.44, P < .01$). The strength of the association is moderate. Furthermore, the pattern of injury identified by TBM is specific to cognitive...
symptoms following mTBI because it is not significantly correlated with any other ImPACT measures except processing-speed percentile (Table 2).

On-line Fig 3 shows the scatterplot of subjects when their images are projected onto the most correlated direction computed in the transport space. The mean image \(I_0(x)\) maps to a projection score of zero. Each point in the scatterplot represents a brain image in the dataset. According to the linear regression model constructed in the transport space, increasing reaction time percentile (faster reaction times) is associated with increasing projection scores, and decreasing reaction time percentile (slower reaction times) is associated with decreasing projection scores.

Understanding Complex Correlations Visually

In addition to confirming a correlation between the reaction time percentile and microstructure, projection scores can be interpreted clinically. Reverse TBM transformation can map any given projection score to visualize a computer-generated image. Figure 2 shows the discovered pattern of injury does not correlate with any other ImPACT measures except processing-speed percentile (Table 2).

Table 2: Correlation between TBM direction and other ImPACT measures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ImPACT Measure</th>
<th>Pearson Correlation</th>
<th>(P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anxiety</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cervicalgia</td>
<td>-0.06</td>
<td>.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Headaches</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total ImPACT score</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Processing speed percentile</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>&lt;.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep-wake disturbance</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to recovery</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tinnitus</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Verbal memory</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Visual memory</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The discovered pattern of injury does not correlate with any other ImPACT measures except processing-speed percentile.

Individual Assessment of White Matter Injury Associated with Reaction Time

In addition to identifying common structural correlates of reaction time, TBM also identifies white matter injury in individual patients. The direction computed in transport space can clinically assess whether the patterns of white matter injury in Fig 2 are present in an individual patient. The transport map for an individual patient can be projected onto this direction to yield a projection score for the patient. The projection scores can be interpreted on the basis of the relationship with reaction time performance illustrated in On-line Fig 3 to evaluate whether cognitive deficits may be present. Figure 3 illustrates the FA map of a patient with a fast reaction time in the 94th percentile that maps to a high positive projection score and that of a patient with slow reaction time in the 21st percentile that maps to a low negative projection score, a potential metric by which to differentiate these patients. A key strength of TBM is its ability to assess spatially diffuse, complex white matter patterns that are not easily identified visually or by an ROI-based perspective.

Furthermore, Fig 3 shows that the transport map may reveal new numeric assessment tools. The determinant of the Jacobian computed from the transport maps measures the joint changes in FA concentration across multiple white matter tracts. An increase in FA concentration is indicated by a value of >1, and decreases are indicated by a value of <1. We see that the direction of FA change in multiple white matter tracts with respect to visualizations of dynamic brain morphology corresponding to varying projection scores. The images are synthesized on the basis of the study population. Note that simple linear regression in transport space can characterize the nonlinear, spatially diffuse, white matter injury pattern in the image domain.

The projection scores across the horizontal axis in Fig 2 correspond to those in the horizontal axis of On-line Fig 3. The images shown are computer-generated by TBM from points sampled along the line of best fit where the projection score spans \(\pm 2\) SDs from the mean. The FA maps are colored to aid in visualization. The values represent relative density, a measure of FA concentration. Two axial slices are shown that best summarize the morphologic differences from a low-reaction-time percentile to a high-reaction-time percentile. The population mean image \(I_0(x)\) is indicated by the projection score zero in Fig 2. The images at the negative projection scores correlate most strongly with the low-reaction-time percentile, while the images at the positive projection scores correlate most strongly with high-reaction-time percentile (On-line Fig 3). Progressing from a low-to-high reaction time percentile, the statistical model illustrates that FA in the corpus callosum decreases while increasing in the optic radiations, corticospinal tract, and anterior thalamic tracts.

**Fig 2.** Most correlated direction. Images corresponding to the most correlated direction in transport space show decreasing FA in the corticospinal tracts, anterior thalamic radiations, and optic radiations with the low reaction time percentile corresponding to the scatterplot in On-line Fig 3. The FA in the corpus callosum increases as reaction time decreases.
to the common reference corroborates those identified by Fig 2 for a patient with high and low reaction times.

**DISCUSSION**

This article investigates the microstructure underlying postconcussive reaction time. Our prior work developing 3D-TBM demonstrated its ability to uncover microstructural circuits not adequately assessed through visual inspection or conventional methods.\(^1\)\(^3\) This article builds on prior work to propose a new framework to bridge microstructure and postconcussive cognitive assessment. We discovered an FA spatial pattern that is significantly associated with reaction time and robust to covariates of age, sex, and time from injury. The discovered pattern is specific to cognitive symptoms and does not correlate with ImPACT measures outside of processing speed and reaction time. This article is the first to elucidate the influence of multiple regions jointly on postconcussive reaction time through visualizable FA images.

Womack et al\(^{29}\) reported that the posterior corpus callosum is related to peripheral visual reaction time, and Arenth et al\(^{30}\) reported that DTI parameters in the corpus callosum relate to impaired reaction time post-TBI. The prior findings, however, lack specificity to cognitive performance because the corpus callosum is commonly involved across mTBI populations.\(^{31}\) Our work uncovers microstructural circuitry that is specific to cognitive processing (ie, reaction time and processing speed). Other studies examining frontal ROIs\(^{12,32}\) found no consistent pattern between DTI variables on various ROIs and symptom scale scores. Most important, a prior study suggested that cognitive deficit is a diffuse process: the number of DTI lesions positively correlated with poorer cognitive measures.\(^7\) Our work offers a more complete map of the multiple microstructural lesions that jointly influence reaction time. This study uniquely visualizes the complex, dynamic microstructure opaque to visual interpretation and ROI-based approaches because TBM is generative.

Our work demonstrates a new approach for assessing latent microstructural patterns, especially those that are not discernible...
positives in mTBI cohorts.\textsuperscript{10} Tractography visualizes in vivo path-
cognitively impaired individuals.\textsuperscript{33,39} Finally, the corticospinal
mission and correlates with reaction time in both healthy and
response. The corpus callosum mediates interhemispheric trans-
mediate into the subacute phase of injury relative to smaller tracts.
may vary in the pediatric population. However, our model re-
patients ranged from pediatric to adult. White matter myelination
retrospective, single-center study. Future prospective, multi-
study included subjects imaged at heterogeneous intervals since
possible selection bias toward more seriously injured or symp-
tomatic patients. However, the bias may help direct toward pa-
tients who would benefit most from objective assessment. Finally,
lack of universally accepted normative data limits quantitative use
of DTI metrics in the clinical setting. Future studies will need to
establish normative references for quantitative TBM in healthy
patients and those with mTBI. This study motivates future inves-
tigation into causality between brain microstructure and mTBI
symptoms.

CONCLUSIONS
In the future, 3D TBM may help provide prognostic markers to
assess either recovery or progression to chronic stages in prospective
longitudinal DTI studies.\textsuperscript{12,33} There is immense potential for
3D-TBM to be a novel diagnostic technology capable of investi-
gating structure-function relationships in many diseases like
mTBI that are still considered mysteries.
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