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ABSTRACT

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Intracranial atherosclerosis, a major risk factor for ischemic stroke, is thought to have different atherogenic mechanisms than extracranial atherosclerosis. Studies investigating their relationship in vivo are sparse and report inconsistent results. We studied the relationship between intracranial atherosclerosis and extracranial atherosclerosis in a cohort of patients with a history of vascular disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Within the Second Manifestations of ARTerial disease–Magnetic Resonance (SMART) study, cross-sectional analyses were performed in 130 patients (mean age, 68 ± 9 years) with a history of vascular disease and with assessable 7 T intracranial vessel wall MR imaging data. Intracranial atherosclerosis burden was defined as the number of intracranial vessel wall lesions in the circle of Willis and its major branches. Age- and sex-adjusted unstandardized regression coefficients (b-value) were calculated with intracranial atherosclerosis burden as the dependent variable and extracranial atherosclerosis markers as independent variables.

RESULTS: Ninety-six percent of patients had ≥1 vessel wall lesion, with a mean intracranial atherosclerosis burden of 8.5 ± 5.7 lesions. Significant associations were observed between higher intracranial atherosclerosis burden and carotid intima-media thickness (b = 0.53 lesions per +0.1 mm; 95% CI, 0.1–1.0 lesions), 50%-100% carotid stenosis versus no stenosis (b = 6.6 lesions; 95% CI, 2.3–10.9 lesions), ankle-brachial index ≤ 0.9 versus >0.9 (b = 4.9 lesions; 95% CI, 17.8 lesions), and estimated glomerular filtration rate (b = −0.77 lesions per +10 mL/min; 95% CI, −1.50 to −0.03 lesions). No significant differences in intracranial atherosclerosis burden were found among different categories of vascular disease.

CONCLUSIONS: Intracranial atherosclerosis was associated with various extracranial markers of atherosclerosis, not supporting a different etiology.

ABBREVIATIONS: ABI = ankle-brachial index; cIMT = carotid intima-media thickness; ECAS = extracranial atherosclerosis; eGFR = estimated glomerular filtration rate; ICAS = intracranial atherosclerosis

Intracranial atherosclerosis (ICAS) is a major cause of adverse cerebrovascular events such as ischemic stroke. Furthermore, it is associated with an increased risk of cognitive decline and dementia. A wide range of prevalence estimates for ICAS has been reported, ranging from 4%–51% in asymptomatic populations to 43%–70% in patients with ischemic stroke.

ICAS is currently seen as the intracranial phenotype of atherosclerosis, a generalized disease that can affect all large arteries. Nonetheless, correlations between intracranial and extracranial atherosclerotic disease in postmortem studies are modest. Furthermore, ICAS has a later time of onset, slower rate of progression, and different plaque morphology compared with other arterial territories. As a result, it has been suggested that ICAS might have a different etiology than extracranial disease. Studies investigating the relationship between intracranial and extracranial disease in vivo are sparse and often limited to one extracranial vessel bed. Furthermore, all of these studies have used lumenographic imaging methods, which can assess only luminal stenosis. Therefore, intracranial plaques without stenosis (ie,
due to arterial remodeling) will not be detected, leading to an underestimation of the actual ICAS burden.

Vessel wall lesions are a novel neuroimaging marker of ICAS, which can be assessed using intracranial vessel wall MR imaging. Vessel wall MR imaging enables visualization of the intracranial arterial walls, allowing a more direct evaluation of ICAS. Currently, 7T is the highest field strength at which vessel wall MR imaging has been performed in humans in vivo and has been shown to be superior to lower field strengths in the detection of vessel wall anomalies.

In the current study, we investigated, in patients with atherosclerotic disease, to what extent markers of extracranial atherosclerosis (ECAS) were associated with the burden of ICAS measured by 7T vessel wall MR imaging, thereby providing insight into the etiology of ICAS and its relationship with ECAS.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study Sample**

Data were used from the Second Manifestations of ARterial disease–Magnetic Resonance (SMART-MR) study, a prospective cohort study at our institution with the aim of investigating risk factors and clinical outcomes of MR neuroimaging markers in patients with arterial disease. In brief, from 2001 through 2005, thirteen hundred nine patients newly referred to our institution with cerebrovascular disease, peripheral arterial disease, coronary artery disease, or abdominal aortic aneurysm without MR imaging contraindications were enrolled in the SMART-MR study. On a 1-day visit to our institution’s hospital, the participants underwent 1.5T MR imaging of the brain, a physical examination, ankle-brachial index (ABI) assessment, ultrasonography of the carotid arteries, blood and urine sampling, and questionnaires to assess risk factors, medical history, and daily functioning. Follow-up examinations of the SMART-MR cohort were performed in 2006–2009 and 2013–2017.

From June 2016 to October 2017, we included 147 patients participating in the second follow-up examination of the SMART-MR study who had intracranial vessel wall MR imaging performed as part of a 7T MR imaging of the brain. A flowchart of the study sample is provided in the On-line Figure. Seventeen patients were excluded from the current study because of artifacts hampering vessel wall MR imaging assessment of ≥1 major segment of the circle of Willis (major segments included the distal internal carotid artery and primary branches [M1, A1, P1] of the anterior, middle, and posterior cerebral arteries), leaving 130 patients for the final analysis. For the current study, measurements of extracranial atherosclerosis and risk factor assessment, including questionnaire data and blood and urine sampling, were obtained (median, 2.3 years; range, 0.6–8.6 years) before the 7T MR imaging.

Comparison of ECAS markers between the excluded patients and the patients for final analysis showed a higher prevalence of 50%–100% carotid stenosis in the excluded patients (7.0% versus 23.5%; P = .04, χ² test). Also, the excluded patients were older, though this feature was not statistically significant (70 ± 7 versus 68 ± 9 years; P = .11, Student t test). Sex distribution did not differ between included and excluded patients (88% versus 88% men; P = .95, χ² test).

**Vascular Risk Factors**

Information on general vascular risk factors was obtained by questionnaires, physical examination, and blood sampling. Height and weight were used to calculate the body mass index (kilogram/square meter). Systolic blood pressure (millimeter of mercury) and diastolic blood pressure (millimeter of mercury) were measured by averaging 3 separate measurements with a sphygmomanometer. Hypertension was defined as a systolic blood pressure of >140 mm Hg, a mean diastolic blood pressure of >90 mm Hg, or self-reported use of antihypertensive drugs. Diabetes mellitus was defined as fasting serum glucose levels of ≥7.0 mmol/L and/or use of glucose-lowering medication and/or a known history of diabetes. Patients who did not meet these criteria, but with a fasting plasma glucose level ≥7.0 mmol/L at baseline, were considered to have diabetes at baseline if they received treatment with glucose-lowering medication within 1 year after baseline. Hyperlipidemia was defined as a total cholesterol of >5.0 mmol/L, a low-density lipoprotein cholesterol of >3.2 mmol/L, or use of lipid-lowering medication. Metabolic syndrome was determined by the National Cholesterol Education Program Expert Panel III criteria.

**Markers of Extracranial Atherosclerosis**

An experienced technician performed carotid ultrasonography with a 10-MHz linear-array transducer. Mean carotid intima-media thickness (cIMT) was calculated from 6 measurements (anterolateral, posterolateral, and mediolateral in both common carotid arteries). Extracranial carotid stenosis was ultrasonographically assessed and defined according to standard criteria based on the peak systolic velocity. ABI measurements were conducted by experienced technicians and were calculated from the highest systolic blood pressure measured at the posterior tibial and dorsal pedal arteries by Doppler sonography and at both brachial arteries by a semiautomatic oscillometric device with the patient in the supine position. Renal function was assessed using the estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) calculated by the Cockcroft-Gault equation adjusted for body weight and body mass index.

Coronary artery disease was defined as a history of myocardial infarction or a history of coronary artery bypass graft surgery or percutaneous transluminal coronary angioplasty at inclusion or in the past. Cerebrovascular disease was defined as transient ischemic attack or stroke at inclusion or in the past. Peripheral arterial disease was defined as intermittent claudication or rest pain at inclusion or a history of surgery or angioplasty of the arteries supplying the lower extremities. Abdominal aortic aneurysm was defined as the presence of an abdominal aortic aneurysm (distal aortic anteroposterior diameter of ≥3 cm) or a previous abdominal aortic aneurysm operation. Multivascular disease was defined as the presence of ≥2 of the above-defined vascular diseases.

**7T MR Imaging Protocol**

A 7T whole-body system (Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands) was used with a volume/transmit coil for transmission and a 32-channel receive head coil (Nova Medical, Wilmington, Massachusetts). Vessel wall MR imaging was performed using a T1-weighted magnetization-prepared inversion recovery TSE sequence, with the following parameters: FOV =
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For the assessment of vessel wall lesions, axial multiplanar reconstructions were calculated from the T1-weighted magnetization-prepared inversion recovery TSE sequence (section thickness = 0.8 mm; no section gap), angled to the nasion-foramen magnum line. One observer (M.H.T.Z., with over 5 years of experience in neuroradiology) assessed all images, blinded to patient characteristics. M.H.T.Z. was trained by a senior observer with 8 years of experience in interpreting vessel wall MR images (A.G.v.d.K.), using a practice set of 15 patients from the intracranial vessel wall imaging (IVI) study and a consensus set of 20 patients from the current study. An interobserver agreement of 0.75 (Dice similarity coefficient) was obtained, which was regarded as good.

Vessel wall lesions were rated according to the methodology previously published by Lindenholz et al. A lesion was defined as either a focal or more diffuse thickening of the arterial wall of >50%, assessed visually, using the normal contralateral or neighboring arterial wall as a reference. Uncertain lesions were verified in multiple planes. After a lesion was identified, it was subsequently classified as eccentric (≤50% wall circumference) or concentric (>50% wall circumference) and by arterial segment location: internal carotid arteries (C6, C7), middle cerebral arteries (M1, M2), anterior cerebral arteries (A1, A2), posterior communicating arteries, posterior cerebral arteries (P1, P2, and P1–P2 bifurcation), basilar artery, and vertebral arteries (V4).

One single segment could contain multiple lesions, making the total lesion count theoretically unlimited. Lesions that extended into multiple segments were counted as separate lesions for each involved segment. Furthermore, lesions with eccentric and concentric components were regarded as separate lesions.

A maximum intensity projection of the SWI was used to assess the course of smaller arteries (M2, A1, P2, posterior communicating artery). We did not assess luminal stenosis because the SWI quality in our study did not permit accurate measurement, especially of small lesions. Of note, we did not perform a refined MRA because it was logistically not feasible, and at the time of study design, the diagnostic accuracy of MRA in the detection and grading of intracranial stenosis was still relatively low.

Statistical Analysis

First, characteristics of the study sample were described. Next, the association of ECAS markers with ICAS burden was estimated using linear regression analyses, with the ECAS measure as the independent variable and ICAS burden as the dependent variable. ICAS burden was defined as the total number of intracranial vessel wall lesions. All analyses were adjusted for age and sex. ECAS measures were entered into the model as continuous and/or dichotomous variables. ABI was dichotomized by the clinical cutoff for peripheral artery disease (≤0.9). For eGFR, the clinical threshold for chronic kidney disease (<60 mL/min) was used. CIIMT was categorized into quartiles. Categorization of carotid stenosis was based on the most severe lesion in the bilateral extracranial common or internal carotid arteries. In the analyses of vascular disease, patients with ≥2 vascular diseases were categorized as having multivascular disease, making categories mutually exclusive. Patients with only coronary artery disease were used as the reference category. A sensitivity analysis was performed to control for the time interval (in days) between the date of ECAS measurements and date of the 7T MR imaging.

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS, Version 25.0 for Windows (IBM, Armonk, New York).

RESULTS

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the 130 patients. Eighty-eight percent were men, and the mean age was 68 ± 9 years. Twenty-five percent had multivascular disease. Although 19% of the population had cerebrovascular disease, in just 9% was it the only disease. A majority of 65% had a sole history of coronary artery disease. An overview of vascular risk factors can be found in Online Table 1. Of the 130 patients, 96% had ≥1 intracranial vessel wall lesion and a mean ICAS burden of 8.5 ± 5.7 lesions (median, 7 lesions; range, 0–32 lesions). Furthermore, in the anterior circulation, a mean ICAS burden of 5.3 ± 3.2 lesions (median, 4 lesions; range, 0–14 lesions) was found, which was 3.8 ± 3.0 lesions (median, 3 lesions; range, 0–18 lesions) for the posterior circulation. More details regarding arterial or segmental distribution can be found in our prior publication. Examples of vessel wall lesions in a 76-year-old male patient are shown in the Figure.
Age- and sex-adjusted linear regression analyses showed that cIMT was significantly associated with a higher ICAS burden \((b = 0.53 \text{ lesions per } +0.1 \text{ mm}; 95\% \text{ CI}, 0.06–0.98 \text{ lesions})\). Analysis of cIMT in quartiles indicated a threshold effect, with only quartile 4 suggesting an association with ICAS burden.

However, because the 95% confidence interval contained 0.00, it was not statistically significant (Table 2).

Carotid stenosis of 1%–49% was found to be associated with a higher ICAS burden compared with no carotid stenosis \((b = 2.5 \text{ lesions for presence of 1%–49% carotid stenosis; } 95\% \text{ CI}, 0.08–4.89 \text{ lesions})\). Carotid stenosis of 50%–100% was also associated with a higher ICAS burden \((b = 6.6 \text{ lesions for the presence of 50%–100% carotid stenosis; } 95\% \text{ CI}, 2.34–10.93 \text{ lesions})\) (Table 2).

ABI did not show a significant association with ICAS burden when analyzed as a continuous variable. However, when dichotomized by 0.9, the clinical threshold for peripheral artery disease, a significant association with a higher ICAS burden was found, compared with ABI > 0.9 \((b = 4.9 \text{ lesions for the presence of ABI } < 0.9; 95\% \text{ CI}, 1.74–7.99 \text{ lesions})\) (Table 2).

eGFR was significantly associated with ICAS burden when analyzed as a continuous variable \((b = -0.77 \text{ lesions per } +10 \text{ mL/min}; 95\% \text{ CI}, -1.50 \text{ to } -0.03 \text{ lesions})\). Furthermore, renal dysfunction (eGFR of <60 mL/min) was also associated with a higher ICAS burden, compared with eGFR of ≥60 mL/min \((b = 3.2 \text{ lesions; } 95\% \text{ CI}, 0.45–5.91 \text{ lesions})\) (Table 4).

No significant differences in ICAS burden were observed among cerebrovascular, peripheral artery, or multivascular disease groups compared with only coronary heart disease (Table 5).

As a sensitivity analysis, all models were additionally adjusted for the time interval between the date of ECAS measurement and date of 7T MR imaging. On-line Tables 2–5 show the results of these analyses. Although the estimates slightly differed compared with the estimates without time interval adjustment, this did not lead to a change in statistical significance.

**DISCUSSION**

This study examined the association between the ICAS measured with intracranial vessel wall MR imaging at 7T and several markers of ECAS, in a cohort of patients with a history of vascular disease. Our results show that increasing cIMT, the presence of extracranial carotid stenosis, ABI ≤ 0.9, and decreasing eGFR were all associated with a higher ICAS burden, defined as the number of intracranial vessel wall lesions. No differences in ICAS burden were observed between the presence of peripheral, cerebrovascular, or multivascular disease compared with coronary heart disease, the main disease in our population.
Cerebral arteries are thought to have a different response to vascular risk factors than extracranial arteries, and the relationship between ECAS and ICAS may therefore be relatively weak or absent. However, studies investigating this hypothesis in vivo have been based on the detection of arterial calcification or hemodynamically significant stenosis, both related to a more advanced stage of atherosclerotic disease. Furthermore, the heterogeneous etiology of arterial calcification and localization restricted to the proximal cerebral arteries might obscure detection of an association. Vessel wall MR imaging directly visualizes the pathologic vessel wall, enabling more accurate measurement of the ICAS burden.

A very high frequency of ICAS was found in the current population, especially compared with other neuroimaging studies. This is in line with early postmortem studies that reported frequencies approaching 100% in older age. Furthermore, the distribution of vessel wall lesions, which we recently reported, was also in line with the distribution of plaques in those postmortem studies, particularly the increased involvement of the larger cerebral arteries compared with the smaller ones. These findings suggest that vessel wall MR imaging at 7T allows a more accurate approximation of the ICAS burden, compared with other neuroimaging methods. However, more studies in different populations regarding age, sex, and disease status are needed to confirm this finding.

cIMT is an established marker of generalized atherosclerosis, though studies on its association with ICAS are limited. Our results are in line with a postmortem study, which reported an association of cIMT with the intima-media ratio of the cerebral vasculature. Furthermore, a longitudinal study in patients with intracranial stenosis found that patients with progressive stenosis on MRA had a larger cIMT compared with those without progression, though this difference was not statistically significant.

Extracranial carotid disease and ICAS are often assessed separately, likely because their prevalence varies among different ethnic populations. Notably, ICAS is thought to be most prevalent in populations of African and Asian descent, where it is a major cause of ischemic stroke, whereas in whites, it was thought to be less prevalent and a minor cause of ischemic stroke. However, recent studies have shown that the prevalence and importance of ICAS in whites may have been underestimated. Our results show a strong association between extracranial carotid stenosis and ICAS, a finding that is in concordance with a recent Asian study. Hence, our findings question the current segregation and suggest that they should not be assessed separately.

Low ABI has been associated with hemodynamically significant intracranial stenosis in community-based and ischemic stroke cohorts. Our results are in line with these findings and also show that a low ABI is associated with the more continuous spectrum of disease measured by ICAS burden, not just hemodynamic stenosis.

Renal dysfunction is an independent risk factor for cardiovascular disease and has been linked to ischemic stroke, cerebral small vessel disease, and medial arterial calcification. A recent scientific abstract reported an association between renal dysfunction and intracranial arterial wall thickening, which is in concordance with our results.

No significant differences in ICAS burden were observed in patients with a history of cerebrovascular, peripheral artery, or multivascular disease compared with coronary heart disease. An association with cerebrovascular disease might have been expected because ICAS is a major cause of ischemic stroke. In our prior study, we did find an association between ICAS burden and the presence of ischemic infarcts. However, in the current analyses, the outcome was clinical stroke and/or TIA, which is an overlapping-but-different entity. Furthermore, small sample sizes of all categories, except for coronary heart disease, may also have prevented observation of significant relationships. Notably, earlier studies from our group in patients with acute ischemic stroke and controls also did not find an association between the number of vessel wall lesions and ischemic stroke.

A main strength of this study is the use of vessel wall MR imaging at 7T, one of the most accurate methods to assess intracranial atherosclerosis in vivo, which enabled visualization of atherosclerosis beyond stenosis. Furthermore, it provided a large coverage area, which allowed assessment of the circle of Willis’ branches over a great length. Moreover, the increased contrast-to-noise ratio facilitated a more reliable identification of lesions than is possible at lower field strengths. A last strength is the various measures of ECAS, which allowed an integrated assessment of multiple arterial beds in the same patient.

Several limitations also need to be addressed. First, there is a paucity of radiopathologic studies on vessel wall lesions, making it possible that not all lesions are atherosclerotic. Second, our sample size, though large compared with previous 7T studies, is still relatively small compared with other epidemiologic studies. Third, our cohort consisted of patients with a history of vascular disease with most being men, which may limit generalization of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3: Association between ABI and ICAS burden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABI, per +0.1 in ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABI &gt; 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABI ≤ 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* B-values are unstandardized linear regression coefficients adjusted for age and sex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 4: Association between renal function and ICAS burden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>eGFR, per +10 mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR ≥60 mL/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>eGFR &lt;60 mL/min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* B-values are unstandardized linear regression coefficients adjusted for age and sex.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 5: Association between history of vascular disease and ICAS burden</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peripheral artery disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivascular disease</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* B-values are unstandardized linear regression coefficients adjusted for age and sex. Categories are mutually exclusive.
our results to other populations. Fourth, we used a basic uniparametric score to quantify ICAS burden, which does not account for other quantitative features, such as wall thickness. However, accurate quantitative assessment of lesions, especially the very small lesions visible at 7T, is limited at current spatial resolutions. As a result, grading lesions is a qualitative process and inherently less objective than quantitative grading. Nonetheless, increased objectivity can be obtained by training, experience, and attaining good reproducibility compared with senior observers, as in the current study. Furthermore, we did not assess luminal stenosis because we did not include a refined MRA in the protocol. As advancements to current vessel wall sequences are starting to enable more accurate measurements, development of multi-parametric scoring systems (eg, the Gensini score for coronary artery disease), taking into account lesion location, stenosis grade, different geometric characteristics (eg, remodeling index), signal intensity on various weightings, and contrast-enhanced MR imaging, may enable a more versatile way to study the relation among ICAS, ECAS, and clinical outcomes. Last, due to logistic reasons, the ECAS assessment and the 7T MR imaging were not performed on the same day, and in a number of participants, the time interval was quite large. Because ECAS markers could have changed during this interval, this feature may have influenced the observed associations. However, most estimates differed only slightly and did not lead to different conclusions.

CONCLUSIONS

In patients with a history of various manifestations of vascular disease, ICAS burden, defined as the number of intracranial vessel wall lesions, was associated with atherosclerotic disease in all extracranial arterial beds, not supporting a different etiology. Our results may be used to further elucidate the etiology of ICAS and may be of interest to clinical studies looking for effective ways to select patients at risk of ICAS.
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