# Are your MRI contrast agents cost-effective? Learn more about generic Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents.





This information is current as of April 19, 2024.

# Reply:

J.M. Chi, M. Mackay, A. Hoang, K. Cheng, C. Aranow, J. Ivanidze, B. Volpe, B. Diamond and P.C. Sanelli

*AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* published online 25 July 2019 http://www.ajnr.org/content/early/2019/07/25/ajnr.A6166

## Published July 25, 2019 as 10.3174/ajnr.A6166

#### **REPLY**:

We appreciate the comments from Drs Lecler, Sadik, and Savatovsky on our article, "Alterations in Blood-Brain Barrier Permeability in Patients with Systemic Lupus Erythematosus." The comments are mainly focused on the permeability model used in dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE)-MR imaging postprocessing. In our study, we used a commercially available software, Olea Sphere (Olea Medical, La Ciotat, France), using the Tofts and Kermode (TK) permeability model, to postprocess the acquired DCE-MR imaging data into blood-brain barrier permeability (BBBP) parameters of the volume transfer constant ( $K^{trans}$ ) and  $V_e$ . The authors suggest the use of a more complex pharmacokinetic model, the 2-compartment exchange model (2CX), which they state may overcome important limitations of our current model, to detect subtle changes in BBBP.

Different theoretic models have been proposed for DCE-MR imaging data analysis, including the TK and extended TK models, the adiabatic tissue homogeneity model, the 2CX model, the distributed capillary adiabatic tissue homogeneity model, and the  $\gamma$  capillary transit time model.<sup>1</sup> The TK model used in our study, while less robust as indicated by the authors, is readily integrated into the clinical setting and is thus more practical from a clinical standpoint compared with the other aforementioned models. The TK model has previously been shown to overestimate  $K^{\text{trans1}}$ ; however, marked variability in  $K^{\text{trans}}$  values across different models is a known issue affecting all models.<sup>2</sup> Most important, even if absolute  $K^{\text{trans}}$  values may have been overestimated in our study, all patients and controls were analyzed with the same model conditions; therefore, our conclusions regarding relative region-based and disease-based changes in  $K^{\text{trans}}$  remain valid.

The 2CX model is a complex and robust pharmacokinetic model, as discussed by the authors, and provides 4 distinct parameters including 2 perfusion-related parameters and 2 permeability-related parameters. The authors have recently published a study on the application of the 2CX model in differentiating benign from malignant orbital tumors.<sup>3</sup> This is a valuable contribution to the large body of literature comparing different DCE-MR imaging models, in which the authors state that regardless of the permeability model used, there were no differences in their conclusions.

The TK model used in our study has previously been applied in the detection of subtle permeability changes.<sup>4</sup> While there are certain inherent disadvantages to the TK model, our study, nevertheless, revealed statistically significant BBBP differences in patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) compared with healthy controls. We would, in fact, expect similar results with any of the aforementioned models, including the 2CX. If anything, the 2CX model may augment the differences observed between the SLE and healthy control groups.

Furthermore, the purpose of our study was not to compare permeability models or parameters. We used a commonly used commercial software and found statistically significant results comparing patients with SLE with healthy controls. One of the points of this exercise was to expand the usefulness of these complex models so that their clinical efficacy could be tested.

http://dx.doi.org/10.3174/ajnr.A6166

E42 Letters Aug 2019 www.ajnr.org

Therefore, we are in substantial agreement with the authors' recently published study.<sup>3</sup> However, we acknowledge that the application of the 2CX model to assess BBBP across different disease or stress states of patients with SLE remains to be fully evaluated.

Although we described 80 cine phases being performed in our imaging protocol, we take this opportunity to add that the total acquisition time for the DCE-MR imaging sequence was 11 minutes 14 seconds. While we agree with the authors that a minimal duration of 10 minutes for DCE acquisitions will increase the detectability of BBBP changes, these benefits to the physics of the protocol must be weighed against the biology we are attempting to understand and the risk to that biology (for example, patient tolerability and renal clearance of gadolinium).<sup>5</sup>

As in almost all past work with brain imaging, larger studies are needed to validate the findings in our study. We stated in our Conclusion: "These *initial data are proof-of-concept* which support our hypothesis that the BBB is selectively compromised, particularly in the hippocampus region in SLE subjects with little to no disease activity and no history of CNS insult who demonstrate impaired performance on cognitive testing. The significance of these findings may advance our understanding of the underlying pathophysiologic mechanisms affecting the brain in autoimmune diseases. Importantly, larger studies are necessary to validate these results and confirm the value of DCE-MR imaging methodology as a potential biomarker for blood-brain barrier permeability imaging."

We appreciate the authors' interest in our study. We hope our reply clarifies that the purpose of our study was not to determine the optimal pharmacokinetic model, BBBP parameters, or imaging protocol to use in assessing BBBP in patients with SLE. While our study used a debatably less sensitive permeability model, its clinical effectiveness and usefulness have detected BBBP alterations in patients with SLE, a clinically important addition to the literature in this disease. We would like to emphasize, and think the authors would agree, that more robust permeability models would not necessarily alter this conclusion. We acknowledge that our study reveals initial proof-of-concept findings that warrant further investigations.

#### REFERENCES

- Sourbron SP, Buckley DL. On the scope and interpretation of the Tofts models for DCE-MRI. Magn Reson Med 2011;66:735–45 CrossRef Medline
- Bergamino M, Saitta L, Barletta L, et al. Measurement of bloodbrain barrier permeability with T1-weighted dynamic contrastenhanced MRI in brain tumors: a comparative study with two different algorithms. ISRN Neurosci 2013;2013:905279 CrossRef Medline
- Lecler A, Balvay D, Cuenod CA, et al. Quality-based pharmacokinetic model selection on DCE-MRI for characterizing orbital lesions. J Magn Reson Imaging. 2019 Apr 15. [Epub ahead of print] CrossRef Medline
- Heye AK, Thrippleton MJ, Armitage PA, et al. Tracer kinetic modelling for DCE-MRI quantification of subtle blood-brain barrier permeability. *Neuroimage* 2016;125:446–55 CrossRef Medline
- Thrippleton MJ, Backes WH, Sourbron S, et al. Quantifying blood-brain barrier leakage in small vessel disease: review and consensus recommendations. *Alzheimers Dement* 2019;15:840–58 CrossRef Medline

### 🕞 J. Ivanidze

Department of Radiology Weill Cornell Medical College New York, New York 🕞 B. Volpe Feinstein Institute for Medical Research The Center for Biomedical Science B. Diamond Feinstein Institute for Medical Research The Center for Health Innovations and Outcomes Research P.C. Sanelli Feinstein Institute for Medical Research The Center for Health Innovations and Outcomes Research Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell Hempstead, New York Department of Radiology Imaging Clinical Effectiveness and Outcomes Research Program

Northwell Health New York, New York

### 🕞 J.M. Chi

Department of Radiology

## 💿 M. Mackay

Feinstein Institute for Medical Research

The Center for Autoimmune, Musculoskeletal and Hematopoietic Diseases Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell Hempstead, New York

#### 🕒 A. Hoang

Department of Radiology

Northwell Health New York, York

#### ◎K. Cheng

Department of Radiology

#### C. Aranow

Feinstein Institute for Medical Research

The Center for Autoimmune, Musculoskeletal and Hematopoietic Diseases Donald and Barbara Zucker School of Medicine at Hofstra/Northwell Hempstead, New York