On-line Table 1: Demographic and clinical characteristics of the HPV-positive and HPVnegative groups

| Variable | HPV+ $(n=68)$ |  | HPV- $(n=68)$ |  | $P$ Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $N$ | \% | $N$ | \% |  |
| Age category |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |
| $<55$ years | 34 | 50.0 | 34 | 50.0 |  |
| $\geq 55$ years | 34 | 50.0 | 34 | 50.0 |  |
| Mean (range) | 52 (39-74) |  | 64 (40-73) |  |  |
| Sex |  |  |  |  | . 015 |
| Male | 61 | 89.7 | 50 | 73.5 |  |
| Female | 7 | 10.3 | 18 | 26.5 |  |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  | . 771 |
| Non-Hispanic white | 62 | 91.2 | 61 | 89.7 |  |
| Other | 6 | 8.8 | 7 | 10.3 |  |
| Smoking status ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |
| Current smoker | 20 | 29.4 | 20 | 29.4 |  |
| Former smoker | 22 | 32.4 | 22 | 32.4 |  |
| Never-smoker | 26 | 38.2 | 26 | 38.2 |  |
| Alcohol drinking status |  |  |  |  | . 377 |
| Current drinker | 33 | 48.5 | 41 | 60.3 |  |
| Former drinker | 14 | 20.6 | 10 | 14.7 |  |
| Never-drinker | 21 | 30.9 | 17 | 25.0 |  |
| Tumor subsite ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |
| Tonsil | 40 | 58.8 | 40 | 58.8 |  |
| Base of tongue | 28 | 41.2 | 28 | 41.2 |  |
| Tumor category ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |
| T1 | 22 | 32.4 | 22 | 32.4 |  |
| T2 | 29 | 42.6 | 29 | 42.6 |  |
| T3 | 9 | 13.2 | 9 | 13.2 |  |
| T4 | 8 | 11.8 | 8 | 11.8 |  |
| Nodal category |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |
| N0 | 7 | 10.3 | 7 | 10.3 |  |
| N1-2 | 61 | 89.7 | 61 | 89.7 |  |
| N3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |  |
| Stage |  |  |  |  | 1.0 |
| I-II | 5 | 7.4 | 4 | 5.9 |  |
| III-IV | 63 | 92.6 | 64 | 94.1 |  |
| Grade ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  | . 683 |
| Well differentiated | 1 | 1.6 | 2 | 3.2 |  |
| Moderately differentiated | 26 | 41.3 | 22 | 35.5 |  |
| Poorly differentiated | 36 | 57.1 | 38 | 61.3 |  |

[^0]On-line Table 2: Matched-pair analysis of imaging characteristics associated with HPV status

| Matched Pairs |  |  | Imaging Finding Associated With HPV+ (95\% Confidence Interval) | $P$ Value ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HPV+ | HPV- |  |  |  |
|  | Imaging Finding Present | Imaging Finding Absent |  |  |
| Primary visible (61 pairs) |  |  |  |  |
| No | 10 | 2 |  |  |
| Yes | 43 | 6 | 0.6 (0.18-1.82) | . 317 |
| Primary enhancing <br> (43 pairs) |  |  |  |  |
| No | 6 | 2 |  |  |
| Yes | 25 | 9 | 1.5 (0.48-5.12) | . 439 |
| Primary well defined (43 pairs) |  |  |  |  |
| No | 6 | 4 |  |  |
| Yes | 19 | 13 | 2.2 (0.77-6.95) | . 108 |
| Primary is exophytic (43 pairs) |  |  |  |  |
| No | 6 | 23 |  |  |
| Yes | 1 | 12 | 2.0 (0.69-6.49) | . 157 |
| Primary with submucosal spread (43 pairs) |  |  |  |  |
| No | 5 | 12 |  |  |
| Yes | 17 | 8 | 1.6 (0.46-6.22) | . 405 |
| Primary invades adjacent muscle (43 pairs) |  |  |  |  |
| No | 10 | 30 |  |  |
| Yes | 2 | 0 | 0.0 (0-0.45) | . 002 |
| Metastatic lymph nodes visible (59 pairs) |  |  |  |  |
| No | 5 | 2 |  |  |
| Yes | 45 | 7 | 1.4 (0.38-5.59) | . 564 |
| Metastatic lymph nodes cystic (45 pairs) |  |  |  |  |
| No | 2 | 29 |  |  |
| Yes | 3 | 11 | 5.5 (1.2-51.07) | . 013 |
| Metastatic lymph nodes necrotic (45 pairs) |  |  |  |  |
| No | 6 | 7 |  |  |
| Yes | 30 | 2 | 0.3 (0.03-1.86) | . 157 |
| Metastatic lymph nodes with ECS (45 pairs) |  |  |  |  |
| No | 8 | 22 |  |  |
| Yes | 6 | 9 | 1.1 (0.39-3.35) | . 808 |
| Node of Rouvier involved (45 pairs) |  |  |  |  |
| No | 5 | 32 |  |  |
| Yes | 2 | 6 | 1.2 (0.31-4.97) | . 763 |

${ }^{a}$ McNemar $\chi{ }^{2}$ test.


[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Matched variables (smoking status, subsite, and T-category).
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Grade was not recorded in $5 \mathrm{HPV}+$ and 6 HPV -. For this analysis, moderately well-differentiated tumors ( $n=0$ HPV + and $1 \mathrm{HPV}-$ ) were included in the well-differentiated group and moderately-poorly-differentiated ( $n=3$ HPV+ and $5 \mathrm{HPV}-$ ) were included in the poorly differentiated group.

