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Cryptic Vascular Malformations: Controversies in Terminology, Diagnosis, Pathophysiology, and Treatment

William P. Dillon, University of California, San Francisco

The classification of vascular lesions of the brain is a very controversial topic. In the literature, the same type of tumor may be listed by various authors under different names.

R. C. Schneider and L. Liss, 1958 (1)

Cryptic vascular malformations have intrigued clinicians since the first report of “calcifying epileptogenic hemangiomas” by Penfield and Ward appeared in 1948 (2). Despite many detailed radiologic and pathologic studies on the subject, controversy still exists regarding their underlying pathophysiology, terminology, and treatment. Are cryptic vascular malformations a heterogeneous group of malformations that appear identical on magnetic resonance (MR) images, or are they the manifestation of a common pathophysiologic process resulting in a spectrum of pathologic findings? How do cryptic vascular malformations develop and enlarge over time? What is the proper treatment of symptomatic and asymptomatic lesions: surgery, radiation therapy, or observation? In this report, I will address these controversies, relying on the literature as well as on my own observations of these fascinating lesions.

Terminology

In 1951, Margolis et al (3) brought attention to small vascular angiomias that resulted in acute fatal hematoma. In 1956, Crawford and Russell (4) first coined the term “cryptic” vascular malformation in reference to small, clinically “latent” vascular lesions, some of which were angiographically occult, that resulted in either apoplectic cerebral hemorrhage or signs of a growing mass lesion. These lesions were pathologically diverse, consisting of arteriovenous malformations (AVMs), venous hamartoma, and what would now be called cavernous angiomas. Subsequently, many investigators have reported series of angiographically occult vascular malformations (5–10). The terms occult and cryptic are now more or less synonymous, and generally refer to vascular malformations that have in common angiographic invisibility and a distinct appearance on MR images (11). Additionally, these lesions are more chronic in nature, unlike the small AVMs that are occasionally seen with acute hematoma. There is not wide agreement on terminology, as there is no consensus on the precise pathology or pathophysiology of these lesions. Wilson (11) prefers the term cryptic vascular malformation, which seems appropriate until a more precise descriptive term is defined and agreed upon.

Pathologic Classification of Vascular Malformations

In 1963, Russell and Rubinstein (12) classified vascular malformations of the central nervous system into four types: AVMs, cavernous angiomas, capillary telangiectases, and venous angiomas. Clinically, radiologically, and pathologically, these malformations form distinct categories; however, the coexistence of two or more of them within the same patient or even within the same lesion has been well documented (6, 13–17) (D. Rigamonti, P. C. Johnson, B. P. Drayer, R. F. Spetzler, “Cavernous Malformation and Capillary Telangiectases: Two Facets of the same Pathological Entity” (abstract), J Neuropathol Exp Neurol 1987;46:401). Several studies of resected cryptic vascular malformations have described combinations of telangiectasis, thrombosed AVM, cavernous malformation, and venous hamartoma (18, 19), suggesting that cryptic vascular malformations actually encompass a group of heterogenous malformations. Others have suggested that cryptic vascular malformations are entirely venous in origin with a histologic appearance that reflects a spectrum within the same pathologic process. Therefore, it is useful to discuss the radiologic and pathologic criteria by which malformations are classified.

AVMs are composed of collections of arteries and veins that lack an intervening capillary bed, resulting in arteriovenous shunting. Typically, foci of brain tissue are present among the abnormal vessels. While the involved arteries may show alterations in the elastica, fibrosis of the media and thinning of their walls, the venous abnormalities, which are probably related to high pressure from arterial shunting, are most striking. These include deposits of elastic tissue and fibroblastic proliferation in the venous wall. Pseudoaneurysms may occur in approximately 10% of cases, a feature that increases the risk of hemorrhage. Thrombosis is a rare finding in AVMs, in contrast to cavernous angiomas (20). At angiography, AVMs are characterized by arteriovenous shunting at the nidus level. Typically, the AVM is fed by enlarged arteries and drained by early-filling enlarged veins, which have a high prevalence...
Venous malformations (also referred to as venous angiomas or developmental venous anomalies) provide anomalous venous drainage of normal brain (33) and are thought to arise from a maldevelopment of fetal cortical venous drainage. They have a characteristic angiographic and MR appearance, which permits easy recognition (34, 35). They are quite common, seen in up to 3% of autopsies, and are typically benign, asymptomatic malformations (5, 36–38). Histologically, venous malformations usually appear as a cluster of normal veins with slightly thickened and hyalinized walls, separated by normal brain parenchyma (39, 40). The small venous radicles empty into a larger central vein, which in turn usually empties into a cortical vein or dural sinus. Venous malformations range from holohemispheric to microscopic in size. Radiologically, they are best appreciated on contrast-enhanced MR images (34, 35, 41–43) on which they have a typical “Medusa head” appearance. Calcification and ischemic changes are rare, but recognized (Fig 1). Senegor et al (40) emphasized the importance of venous malformations to normal venous drainage of the brain and the danger of resecting a large venous malformation, a point also emphasized by Rigamonti and Spetzler (16).

Capillary telangiectases are compact vascular malformations composed of small-diameter vessels, consistent with capillaries or small venules, separated by intervening brain parenchyma. They are most commonly found in the pons and are usually asymptomatic, although rare reports of acute hemorrhage exist. While occult at angiography, capillary telangiectases can now be identified on contrast-enhanced and gradient-echo MR images (44). Capillary telangiectases differ from venous malformations mainly in their compact nature and the size of the anomalous vessels.

A cavernous angioma (also referred to as a cavernous malformation) is a vascular lesion composed of a dense mass of thin, single-layered blood vessels of varying size that lack the microscopic features of arteries or veins (20). Thrombi of varying age are characteristic and are present within many of the vessels. Hemosiderin, calcification, and surrounding gliosis typify the margins of the lesions. While intervening brain tissue and arteries are not typical pathologic features described in cavernous angiomas, it is not uncommon to see areas of neuropil within the margin of the nidus as well as arterial-like structures at their margins or even within the nidus (13, 14, 16, 20) (A. Bollen, personal communication, 1997) (Rigamonti et al, “Cavernous...”).

An association between venous malformation and cavernous angioma has been recognized by many authors (16, 46–53) (W. Dillon, G. Hieshima, V. Halbach, C. Dowd, “A New Observation on the Association of Venous Angioma, Hemorrhage and ‘Cryptic Vascular Malformations,’” presented at the annual meeting of the American Association of Neuroradiology, Washington, DC, June 1991). Prior to MR imaging, this relationship was assumed to be simply an association of two separate vascular malformations, occurring in 8% of the cases of venous malformation reported by Rigamonti and Spetzler (16). However, contrast-enhanced MR images have clearly shown that cavernous angiomas frequently appear to arise at the distal radicles of venous malformations (Dillon et al, “A New Observation...”).
Pathology of Cryptic Vascular Malformations

The pathologic descriptions of cryptic vascular malformation have been confusing and, in my opinion, frankly misleading. Many of these reports have attempted to classify this entity without radiologic correlation, leading to a confusing array of terminology. Mixtures of two or more vascular malformations within the same histologic specimen, including telangiectasis and cavernous malformations, AVM and venous malformation, and AVM and telangiectasis, have been identified by several authors (13, 17, 59–61). Lobato et al (19) reviewed the literature in 1988 and found 44% of cryptic vascular malformations were pathologically confirmed AVMs, 31% were cavernous angiomas, 10% were venous angiomas, 4% were capillary telangiectases, and 11% were “mixed” or unclassified angiomas. Certain histologic features were common to all lesions, such as a preponderance of small-caliber, often thrombosed vessels, and microhemorrhages in surrounding brain parenchyma. Wilson (11) reported 73 cases of cryptic vascular malformation, which he operated on. He classified these lesions as cavernous angiomas (10%), “cryptic vascular malformation with arterial components or cryptic AVM” (47%), and “unspecified cryptic vascular malformation without arterial components” (40%). It is worthy to note that the pathologic interpretation occurred without the benefit of MR or angiographic correlation. Tomlinson et al (20), in a recent review of the MR appearance and histopathology of 25 cryptic vascular malformations, found that in 24 of the lesions the vascular channels were histologically cavernous in nature; three showed a purely compact or cavernous pattern, 20 a mixed cavernous and racemose pattern, and one a purely racemose pattern. These authors concluded that histologically “cavernous lesions were the commonest form of occult vascular malformation” and suggested that the clinical growth of cavernous angiomas may have its basis in intraluminal thrombosis and subsequent recanalization. They also emphasized that most of the cavernous angiomas contained thrombosis, a feature atypically seen in AVMs. How can we account for these conflicting reports and interpretations?

It seems clear to me that the classically accepted pathologic criteria differentiating cavernous angiomas from AVMs and telangiectases are neither as precise nor as

Radiologic Appearance of Cryptic Vascular Malformations

Before computed tomography (CT), the diagnosis of cryptic vascular malformation was usually made at surgery or autopsy. With the advent of CT it became apparent that cryptic vascular malformations were not simply small AVMs that had hemorrhaged acutely but instead often consisted of chronic, expanding vascular masses that progressively enlarged, were often calcified, and were commonly mistaken for low-grade cerebral neoplasms (54).

MR imaging ushered in a new era in the diagnosis of cryptic vascular malformations (55, 56). They are now easily recognized on MR images and no longer are “occult” to presurgical diagnostic techniques. On high-field MR studies, these malformations typically consist of heterogeneous signal intensity representing accumulations of blood products of varying age. Surrounding the mass is a rim of hemosiderin deposition of varying thickness, represented as reduced signal on T2-weighted sequences (Figs 2–4). This MR appearance, while occasionally simulated by hemorrhagic metastases, such as melanoma (57), is believed by most, myself included, to be diagnostic of cryptic vascular malformation and, specifically, of cavernous angioma (56, 58). Indeed, there are no reports of these lesions without associated hemorrhage, unlike the other categories of vascular malformations. Perhaps these lesions are not actually malformations but rather a response of the brain to an insult.

Pathology of Cryptic Vascular Malformations

A Hispanic patient with seizures. Contiguous axial T2-weighted MR images (2800/85/1) reveal multiple cavernous angiomas distributed throughout the white matter, most of which exhibit similar features to the lesion in A. Note, however, that there are also small foci of low-intensity hemosiderin that lack central areas of high signal intensity (arrows). These most likely represent the early developing lesions resulting from petechial hemorrhage. The syndrome of multiple cavernous angiomas has been associated with a mutation of chromosome 7q.

Fig 2. Classic MR appearance of solitary and familial cryptic vascular malformations.

A, Axial T2-weighted image (2800/85/1) in a 35-year-old woman with seizures reveals the typical features of a solitary cryptic vascular malformation in the left frontal lobe. The heterogeneous mass has a rim of low signal intensity, consistent with hemosiderin (arrows), that surrounds a central zone containing material of high and low signal intensity, consistent with thrombus and old blood products. Note that the lesion does not generate vasogenic edema, as it is a slowly progressive process.

B, Multiple cavernous malformations in
accurate as they should be. I suspect that many so-called thrombosed AVMs might in fact actually be cavernous angiomas of venous origin that have associated telangiectases or arteriovenous shunts, the presence of which has led to the classification of these lesions as AVMs. In their defense, pathologists are at somewhat of a disadvantage in attempting to differentiate among these lesions, as they are received as incomplete fragments of tissue and usually lack the benefit of radiologic correlative studies. This, I believe, is one of the primary reasons for the inconsistencies that have characterized pathologic reports of cryptic vascular malformations in the literature.

Pathogenesis of Cryptic Vascular Malformations

The pathogenesis of cryptic vascular malformation is still unknown. In some families, especially those of Hispanic origin, the occurrence of multiple cavernous angiomas in close relatives indicates a genetic component (62, 63) (Fig 2B). Many of these families appear to have a common ancestral autosomal dominant “founder” mutation on the 7q chromosome, while in others a different genetic defect may be present (64–67). Unfortunately, we do not know whether all cavernous angiomas arise as a result of similar genetic mutations. They may simply share a common initial pathologic event, such as the presence of microscopic venous malformation, venous hypertension, or petechial hemorrhage, which sets in motion a process culminating in a cavernous angioma.

Wilson (11) has proposed that petechial hemorrhage leads to the development of cryptic vascular malformation. While this theory has yet to be proved, it is an attractive one, as the initial MR appearance of the smallest visible cavernous angioma is that of a small hypointense focus of hemosiderin, without evidence of acute hemorrhage. In 1991, we presented a hypothesis suggesting that the development of cryptic vascular malformation was related to elevated venous pressure, occurring within a venous malformation, a telangiectasis, or a minute vascular malformation consequent to venous outflow obstruction (Dillon et al, “A New Observation...”). I believe this concept explains the pathologic diversity that has been reported, as well as the coexistence of multiple vascular malformations in a single specimen. Evidence to support this hypothesis is accumulating.

It has been pointed out by Rigamonti and coworkers (16) (Rigamonti et al, “Cavernous...”) that telangiectases as well as venous malformations often coexist with cavernous angiomas. In a review of a series of over 80 consecutive cryptic vascular malformations evaluated at our institution, contrast-enhanced MR imaging revealed that 30% of solitary cryptic vascular malformations were inti-
malformation developing at the site of the distal radicles of the preexisting venous malformation, thereby developing at the site of the distal radicles of the preexisting venous malformation. This was asymptomatic and was thought to be consistent with a cryptic vascular malformation, such as those characterized by arterial shunting into a draining vessel or a nearby venous malformation (D. Shibata and W. P. Dillon, unpublished data, 1997) (Fig 4). Most cryptic vascular malformations form at a distal radicle of a venous malformation. In one patient, a venous malformation became visible only after an overlying cavernous angioma was resected, perhaps explaining why some of the cavernous angiomas may not have had a venous malformation visible on preoperative MR studies (Fig 3). In one case, the development of a cryptic vascular malformation was documented at a distal radicle of a preexisting venous malformation at MR imaging or angiography? Small, microscopic venous vascular malformations have been reported by Courville (33). These lesions were of venous origin and showed a reduction of associated capillary networks and focal aneurysmal dilatation occurring at the end of vessels, which he hypothesized were caused by venous obstruction. Perhaps both visible and microscopic venous malformations are responsible for the development of cavernous angiomas.

Elevated venous pressure within the territory of a venous malformation may provoke a cascade of events, including ischemia, petechial hemorrhage, and the release of angiogenic factors that may be responsible for the recruitment of new vessels (68). These new vessels may be subjected to additional unknown factors, which ultimately transform them into the arterial vessels noted by pathologists in resected cryptic vascular malformations. Arteriovenous shunting may further increase venous pressure and lead to recurrent small hemorrhages characteristic of cavernous angiomas.

Venous hypertension may also explain the rare occurrences of “mixed” vascular malformations of the brain, such as those characterized by arterial shunting into a venous malformation visible at angiography (13). While it is possible that two distinct vascular malformations intimately coexist at the same site in the same patient, I believe that elevation of venous pressure within a preexisting venous malformation or capillary telangiectasis promotes arteriovenous shunting at the precapillary level, leading to the apparent angiographic appearance of an AVM. I have encountered three of these cases, and, in each, careful examination of the angiogram and/or MR angiogram has not demonstrated a discrete nidus but rather arteriovenous shunting at the level of several distal radicles of the venous malformation. All venous malformations also had severe venous stenosis of their main draining efferent vessel(s) (Fig 7) and stasis of venous blood within the malformation, consistent with severe outflow restrictive disease. A similar process may also occur in dural sinus fistulas, in which elevated venous pressure within a sinus may stimulate angiogenic factors, allowing arteriovenous shunts to proliferate within the wall of the sinus (69–71).

Why is it that many of the solitary cryptic vascular malformations are not associated with a visible venous malformation at MR imaging or angiography? Small, microscopic venous vascular malformations have been reported by Courville (33). These lesions were of venous origin and showed a reduction of associated capillary networks and focal aneurysmal dilatation occurring at the end of vessels, which he hypothesized were caused by venous obstruction. Perhaps both visible and microscopic venous malformations are responsible for the development of cavernous angiomas.

Treatment Options for Cavernous Angioma

The options for treatment of cavernous angiomas depend in large part on the natural course of the lesion, as well as its location and surgical accessibility. The latter is influenced by the skill of the surgeon and the position of the lesion relative to eloquent areas of the brain. Improvements in stereotactic techniques have made many previ-
ously unresectable lesions accessible to the surgeon. The natural course of untreated cavernous malformations has been reviewed by several authors. The risk of hemorrhage from a cryptic vascular malformation is between 0.25% and 0.7% per year (58, 72). This appears to be more common in lesions located within the posterior fossa. Kondziolka et al (73) found that in patients with a prior clinical hemorrhage, the annual rate of rehemorrhage rose to 4.5%.

Therapeutic strategies include observation of patients with asymptomatic or inaccessible lesions, surgical excision of symptomatic and accessible lesions, and radiosurgery for progressively symptomatic but surgically inaccessible lesions. Surgical resection is generally recommended for cryptic vascular malformations presenting with symptomatic hemorrhage and located in an accessible and non-eloquent area of the brain (11, 16, 74). If the lesion is surgically inaccessible or is asymptomatic, the treatment options are less clear. Conservative observation is one option (74). Others have documented a reduction in the risk of recurrent hemorrhage from cavernous angiomas after stereotactic radiation (75–77). However, these results have been contradicted by Seo et al (78). Therefore, until sufficient follow-up of patients is available, primary treatment by radiation therapy for cryptic vascular malformations may not gain widespread acceptance.

**Conclusions**

Most, if not all, cryptic vascular malformations are probably cavernous angiomas. Venous hypertension may play a key role in the development of sporadic cavernous angiomas, which probably arise from an acquired disease process of venous origin rather than a true developmental malformation of blood vessels (Dillon et al, “A New Observation...”) (48, 79). Observations supporting this theory include their close association with venous malformations, the development of cavernous angiomas from preexisting venous malformation, and the evidence of increased pressure within venous malformations. I believe there is ample evidence to suggest that these factors are important in the development of many cryptic vascular malformations.

The controversial questions posed at the outset of this discussion remain unanswered. Genetic clues revealed by examination of familial cavernous malformations give hope that the basic defect leading to at least some cavernous angomas will soon be uncovered. The role of petechial hemorrhage and elevation of venous pressure within large and small venous malformations should be explored. Treatment options that address the initial process of venous stenosis, and subsequent angiogenesis, could in the future yield therapeutic successes.
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