Sex and electroencephalographic synchronization after photic stimulation predict signal changes in the visual cortex on functional MR images.
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Sex and Electroencephalographic Synchronization after Photic Stimulation Predict Signal Changes in the Visual Cortex on Functional MR Images
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PURPOSE: We evaluated factors that influence MR signal changes during photic stimulation of the visual cortex. We also tested the hypothesis that functional MR imaging response corresponds to electroencephalographic (EEG) synchronization after photic stimulation.

METHODS: Thirty-eight healthy subjects, 20 men and 18 women, underwent photic stimulation of the visual cortex. They were studied with a 1.5-T MR unit, and photic stimulation was induced via 8-Hz LED goggles. Seven subjects with and seven without detectable functional MR imaging response to photic stimulation underwent further studies with 16-channel EEG after 2- to 30-Hz stroboscopic stimulation.

RESULTS: Thirteen men and 18 women had a significant increase in MR signal in the visual cortex; seven men showed no visual cortex activation during more than two repeated studies. Six of seven volunteers with increased functional MR imaging signal after photic stimulation also showed signs of EEG synchronization when an 8-Hz stroboscopic flash was used; six of seven subjects with no functional MR imaging lacked EEG synchronization at 8-Hz stimulation.

CONCLUSIONS: Men were more likely than women to have undetectable MR signal changes after photic stimulation. This finding should be considered when interpreting results of functional MR imaging studies. EEG with stroboscopic examination is a good predictor of functional MR imaging sensitivity to changes in regional cerebral blood flow induced by sensory stimulation.

Functional magnetic resonance (MR) imaging with its ability to show activated brain regions noninvasively has become widely accepted as an effective tool in neuroimaging. Photic stimulation causes marked increase of MR signal in the occipital cortex, an area of the brain that has been studied extensively with functional MR imaging (1–8). Our group has also focused on the study of visual cortex activation using 1.5-T gradient-echo MR imaging (6, 9), and we have noticed that MR signal changes cannot be detected in every healthy volunteer studied after photic stimulation.

Stroboscopic light has been widely used as an activation method during electroencephalographic (EEG) tracing (10). Although both EEG and regional cerebral blood flow (rCBF) have been linked to neuronal activity, their relationship remains uncertain; however, changes in the brain’s metabolic activity have shown close correlation with changes in electrophysiological activity (11).

Functional MR imaging has significant potential for routine clinical use, and an understanding of MR signal variability under physiological conditions has become increasingly important. The goal of this study was to evaluate factors that influence MR signal changes during photic stimulation of the visual cortex. We also tested the hypothesis that functional MR imaging response corresponds to EEG synchronization after photic stimulation.

METHODS

Thirty-eight healthy subjects who gave informed consent were included in the study. The group consisted of 20 men and...
Preliminary assessment of visual cortex activation was made by EEG recordings, which were interpreted by one of the investigators. Twenty subjects with positive signal increases were involved in at least one more study protocol that entailed photic stimulation. All subjects without detectable response to or harmonic with flash frequency were also stimulated with flashing light at the frequency of 15 Hz to determine whether a change in frequency influences detectability of MR response. The subjects were selected because they had synchronous EEG activity during photic stimulation with a 15-Hz stroboscopic light.

MR images were processed using a SunSparc station 2 (Sun Microsystems, Mountain View, Calif). Accepted images without gross head movements (see above) were corrected by a motion registration algorithm for possible rotational and translational motion within the imaging plane. A region of interest including the visual cortex and excluding the cerebral venous sinuses was drawn for each subject. Student’s t test was applied on a pixel-by-pixel basis on the original activated images. The standard deviation used for the t test was determined from variation of the baseline signal. Pixels with signal changes at the level of significance (α = .01 and higher) were considered activated by photic stimulation. Moreover, a minimum of seven contiguous pixels was required for confirmation of the visual cortex activation (18).

Results

Photic stimulation induced a significant MR signal increase in 31 of 38 subjects (13/20 men and 18/18 women; average age, 28 ± 6 years) (Fig 1). Of the 31 locked synchronous activity over parietooccipital regions was detected; we also required that EEG frequency be either equal to or harmonic with flash frequency.

Box and Raichle (13) reported maximum change of rCBF with 8-Hz photic stimulation; the second peak was seen with a 15-Hz stimulus. Three subjects from each group (nonresponders and responders) were also stimulated with flashing light at the frequency of 15 Hz to determine whether a change in frequency influences detectability of MR response. These subjects were selected because they had synchronous EEG activity during photic stimulation with a 15-Hz stroboscopic light.

MR images were processed using a SunSparc station 2 (Sun Microsystems, Mountain View, Calif). Accepted images without gross head movements (see above) were corrected by a motion registration algorithm for possible rotational and translational motion within the imaging plane. A region of interest including the visual cortex and excluding the cerebral venous sinuses was drawn for each subject. Student’s t test was applied on a pixel-by-pixel basis on the original activated images. The standard deviation used for the t test was determined from variation of the baseline signal. Pixels with signal changes at the level of significance (α = .01 and higher) were considered activated by photic stimulation. Moreover, a minimum of seven contiguous pixels was required for confirmation of the visual cortex activation (18).

Activation of the visual cortex was first dichotomized as absent or present and in this way subjects were considered to be responders or nonresponders. The average percentage value of signal changes from the baseline was calculated from all activated pixels in responders; pixels corresponding to cerebral venous sinuses were excluded. Both groups were compared using Fisher’s Exact Test and Student’s t test. Subjects with EEG studies were also divided into two subgroups: those with and those without a driving response at different flashing frequencies. We also analyzed the relationship between EEG synchronization and MR activation by Fisher’s Exact Test.
responders, 20 underwent two more studies with visual cortex stimulation and 11 had three more studies; successful activation was detected during all repeated sessions. Visual cortex activation was absent in seven volunteers. Lack of activation remained consistent, as at least two repeated attempts failed to yield signal changes that met the criteria for a statistically significant response. Four subjects had a total of three studies and three underwent four unsuccessful attempts to detect activation of the visual cortex. Thus, every subject had a consistent (absent or present) response to repeated visual stimulation with the same protocol. All nonresponders were men (average age, 29 ± 3 years); all female volunteers displayed an MR signal increase. Both subgroups differed significantly in their gender composition ($P \leq .01$). The average percentage of signal change was $3.11 \pm 1.35\%$ in the group of male volunteers (we did not include seven nonresponders in this statistical analysis) and $4.64 \pm 1.86\%$ in female subjects ($P \leq .01$) (Fig 2).

All seven nonresponders together with seven randomly chosen responders (three men and four women; average age, 28 ± 3 years) underwent EEG examination with photic stimulation. The Table summarizes the EEG findings. All subjects had a normal wakeful EEG with posterior alpha rhythm; no focal slowing or epileptiform activity was detected. A driving response was detected at least at one frequency of stroboscopic light in every subject. There was no statistically significant difference in the average number of frequency ranges that induced a driving response between the two groups (2.43 ± 1.72 in the nonresponders and 3.43 ± 1.40 in the responders). Six volunteers with detectable visual cortex activation with an 8-Hz flashing light also had signs of synchronization of the posterior rhythm at the same frequency of the stroboscopic flash; only one subject from the subgroup of nonresponders had a driving response at 8-Hz photic stimulation ($P \leq .05$).

Most commonly, we detected a driving response during EEG recording with 12- and 15-Hz stroboscopic light stimulation. Both of these frequencies induced a driving response in 11 of 14 volunteers (five nonresponders and six responders for each frequency) (see Table). Three subjects from each subgroup also underwent one functional MR imaging study with 15-Hz photic stimulation; they were chosen after they had signs of EEG synchronization at 15 Hz. None of the nonresponders at 8 Hz had a detectable MR signal change after stimulation at 15 Hz. Stimulation at higher frequencies confirmed a consistency in reproducibility of visual cortex activation in the group of responders. Moreover, all three subjects had a similar trend in signal intensity changes after stimulation at both frequencies: the 15-Hz stroboscopic light always induced a lower response as compared with the 8-Hz light ($3.56 \pm 0.71\%$, $6.19 \pm 1.22\%$, and $4.43 \pm 2.10\%$ at 8-Hz stimulation, and $1.81 \pm 1.13\%$, $3.87 \pm 2.31\%$, and $2.89 \pm 1.67\%$ at 15 Hz).

**Discussion**

The consistent absence of detectable MR signal in 35% of male subjects in contrast to 0% in the female subjects is one of the principal findings of this study. Studies with positron emission tomography (PET) have shown that exposure to bright light causes increased rCBF in all subjects with normal vision (19, 20). Moreover, photic stimulation appears to be independent of the subject’s motivational state. We detected signal changes even in subjects who had their eyes closed during photic stimulation (P. Hedera et al, unpublished observation, 1993). Furthermore, unmotivated subjects tend to produce significant head movements. We closely inspected the degree of overlap between baseline and stimulation images after subtraction, discarding all studies with a ring of
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**TABLE 1: Frequencies of Intermittent Light Stimulation that Induced Electroencephalographic Synchronization in Occipitoparietal Areas**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject</th>
<th>Sex/Age, y</th>
<th>MR Response</th>
<th>Alpha Rhythm, Hz</th>
<th>Driving Response, Hz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>M/31</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>M/29</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12, 15, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>M/30</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>M/24</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2, 5, 8, 10, 15, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>M/26</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>M/27</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>M/33</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>12, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>M/27</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8, 10, 12, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>M/29</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8, 12, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>M/30</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>F/33</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>8, 10, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>F/27</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12, 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>F/23</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2, 5, 8, 12, 15, 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>F/25</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8, 12, 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Fig 2. Percentage of signal change in the primary visual cortex in all studied subjects. Horizontal line indicates average signal change (3.11% in the group of men and 4.64% in the women).
signal changes at tissue boundaries, which were indicative of significant head movement (17). Therefore, it is unlikely that the absence of signal in the male volunteers was simply the result of technical artifacts due to head movements or poor motivation. More likely, the lack of signal increase after photic stimulation was caused by small rCBF increases that were below the detectability threshold of the 1.5-T field strength of functional MR imaging. The average percentage of signal increase to photic stimulation was also higher in women. Although women generally have higher values of resting rCBF, including occipital rCBF (21–23), functional MR imaging is relatively independent of the absolute values of rCBF. Functional MR imaging can mainly assess the difference in fractional blood oxygenation, which reflects the relative increase of deoxyhemoglobin, between active and resting states (1, 2). Even though gender differences are least prominent after activation of the primary cortices (21, 22), our results suggest that women may have slightly higher increases of occipital rCBF during processing of simple visual stimuli.

One study compared functional MR imaging response to photic stimulation in similar groups of eight men and women and found higher signal changes in male subjects (24). Average activation in men was 5.0 ± 1.4% (average for our group of male volunteers was 3.11 ± 1.35%); average activation in women was 3.1 ± 1.4% (average for our group of female volunteers was 4.64 ± 1.86%). Levin and coworkers used the same mode of photic stimulation; however, in contrast to our method, they used echo-planar imaging at a field strength of 1.5 T. The reason for the differences in results between that study and ours is unclear, although differences in imaging techniques may be partially responsible. Further studies are needed to confirm gender differences in activation of the primary visual cortex. Nevertheless, the existence of different thresholds in detectability of MR signal between men and women must be taken into consideration in any clinical interpretation of studies obtained with 1.5-T gradient-echo functional MR imaging.

The other principal finding of this study is the association between EEG synchronization of background activity with an 8-Hz flashing light and detectability of MR signal changes induced by the same frequency of stimulation. Although any relationship between scalp EEG (ie, a summation of excitatory and inhibitory postsynaptic potentials) and rCBF remains speculative, both parameters reflect brain metabolism, and several studies have reported a connection between them (25). Hyperventilation resulting in hypocapnia is known to cause generalized EEG slowing in healthy subjects, and lower values of rCBF have been demonstrated during decreased arterial carbon dioxide tension (26–28), suggesting that slowing of EEG frequencies may be a result of reduced rCBF. Coupling of EEG and rCBF has also been demonstrated in focal epilepsy during ictal and interictal periods (29–31), and changes of occipital rCBF positively correlate with occipitoparietal synchronization on EEG recordings (32). Our results showing a positive correlation between EEG synchronization and detectability of signal changes on functional MR images after photic stimulation at 8 Hz suggest that an increase of rCBF in the visual cortex is lower when the same frequency of stroboscopic light does not induce occipitoparietal synchronization on EEGs. However, studies of three nonresponders who had signs of a driving response on EEG with 15-Hz photic stimulation did not yield a positive MR signal after the same frequency was used for visual cortex activation. Fox and Raichle (13) have shown that changes of occipital rCBF varied with the stimulation frequency and that the maximum change was observed at 7.8 Hz, with the second highest increase present at 15 Hz of intermittent light stimulation. We also found a tendency toward lower MR signal increase with 15-Hz stimulation as compared with 8-Hz stimulation in our responders, consistent with previous studies using PET (13) and functional MR imaging (2). The absence of detectable MR signal in men with EEG synchronization at 15 Hz may be due to an insufficient increase of rCBF, which is below the detection threshold of the 1.5-T magnetic field. Use of a stronger magnetic field will be necessary to confirm whether stimulation with 15 Hz induces greater signal changes when it coincides with EEG synchronization.

Conclusion

Our results, despite two limitations (ie, qualitative estimation of rCBF changes only and inability to obtain EEG recordings during the MR study), suggest that intermittent light stimulation causes a greater increase of rCBF in the visual cortex when it is associated with occipitoparietal EEG synchronization. EEG with stroboscopic examination is a good predictor of functional MR imaging sensitivity to rCBF changes induced by sensory stimulation. We also found that detectability of MR response in men was significantly lower than that in women. The possibility of a higher threshold for detection of brain activation in men must be taken into account when interpreting functional MR images obtained at a field strength of 1.5 T.

References

23. Esposito G. Weinberger DR, Van Horn JD, Ostrem JL, Berman KF. Do women have more active brains than men? a PET study during cognitive activation. Presented at the annual meeting of the Society Neuroscience, Washington, DC, November 1993

Please see the Editorial on page 991 in this issue.