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BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Some investigators have proposed that either calculated diffusion trace images or apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) maps, which require imaging with multiple diffusion sensitivities and/or postacquisition image processing, are essential for the accurate interpretation of diffusion-weighted images in acute stroke because of the possible pitfalls of regional diffusion anisotropy, magnetic susceptibility artifacts, and confounding T2 effects, all of which alter signal on diffusion-weighted MR images. The purpose of our study was to compare the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of simple, orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted imaging for the diagnosis of early cerebral infarction with three other sets of postacquisition-processed images: isotropic diffusion-weighted, diffusion trace-weighted, and diffusion trace images.

METHODS: Twenty-six consecutive adult patients with signs and symptoms consistent with a clinical diagnosis of early cortical and/or subcortical cerebral infarction and 17 control subjects were studied with multisection, single-shot, spin-echo echo-planar diffusion-weighted imaging at 1.5 T to generate a set of three orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images. Isotropic diffusion-weighted, diffusion trace-weighted, and diffusion trace (mean ADC) images were then generated off-line and all four sets of images were interpreted blindly by two neuroradiologists.

RESULTS: The average sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for the orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images were 98.1%, 97.1%, and 97.7%, respectively. The average sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for isotropic diffusion-weighted images were 88.5%, 100%, and 93% respectively. The average sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for diffusion trace-weighted images were 82.7%, 73.6%, and 79.1%, respectively. The average sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for diffusion trace images were 50.0%, 85.3%, and 64.0%, respectively.

CONCLUSION: Orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images have the highest sensitivity and accuracy and very high specificity for early cerebral infarction. Our data contradict the contention that quantitative diffusion maps, requiring imaging with multiple diffusion sensitivities and/or subsequent image processing, are necessary for clinical stroke imaging.
Because of these possible pitfalls, many studies that use diffusion MR imaging have relied on images that have been generated by processing simple diffusion-weighted images. Some investigators have further proposed that either calculated diffusion trace images or ADC maps, which require imaging with multiple diffusion sensitivities and/or postacquisition image processing, are “absolutely essential for the accurate interpretation of diffusion-weighted imaging in stroke” (8).

The purpose of our study was to compare the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of diffusion-weighted imaging for the delineation of early cerebral infarction using a set of orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images with that of three other sets of postacquisition processed images; namely, isotropic diffusion-weighted, diffusion trace-weighted, and diffusion trace images.

Methods

Subjects

Forty-three adult subjects constituted the study group, including 26 consecutive adult patients (12 men and 14 women) with signs and symptoms consistent with a clinical diagnosis of acute cortical and/or subcortical cerebral infarction. The time interval between onset of ictus and imaging ranged from 7 hours to 4 days (mean, 1.9 days). Of these 26 patients, five were imaged 12 hours or less after onset of clinical symptoms, 13 were imaged between 24 and 48 hours, and eight were imaged between 48 and 96 hours. Time of onset was based on the clinical history of when the patient was last noticed to be symptom free. If the patient awoke with symptoms, time of onset was considered to be the time he or she went to sleep. Control subjects included 17 adult patients in whom cerebral infarction was not suspected but who had nonfocal neurologic symptoms and who underwent the identical imaging protocol.

Image Acquisition

All MR studies were performed on one of two 1.5-T MR systems modified with hardware for echo-planar imaging. Both conventional fast spin-echo (FSE) and diffusion-weighted MR images were obtained for all patients, including the control subjects, during the same imaging session and at the same time of day. Conventional imaging consisted of sagittal T1-weighted (TR/TE eff = 3600/95) FSE sequences; and axial density–weighted (TR/TE eff = 1000/95) FSE sequences. The diffusion gradient sensitivities that, neglecting the contribution of imaging gradients, was 1000 s/mm².

The diffusion echo-planar images were transferred to a Sun-based workstation (GE, Milwaukee, WI) for off-line postprocessing using software developed by IDL Research Systems (Boulder, CO). Isotropic diffusion-weighted, diffusion trace-weighted, and diffusion trace images were generated from the three orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images, defined as follows:

The isotropic diffusion-weighted image (Iiso) was defined as

\[ I_{iso} = \frac{1}{3} I_x \cdot I_y \cdot I_z \]

where \( I_x, I_y, \) and \( I_z \) are the diffusion trace images.

The diffusion trace image (Itrace) was calculated as

\[ I_{trace} = -[\log(I_x) + \log(I_y) + \log(I_z) - 3 \cdot \log(I_0)] \]

where \( \log \) is the natural logarithm.

The diffusion trace-weighted image (Itrace) was

\[ I_{trace} = \frac{I_x \cdot I_y \cdot I_z}{I_0^2} \]

where \( I_0 \) is the signal intensity of the reference image.

From these equations, one notes that the image intensity of the isotropic diffusion-weighted image, \( I_{iso} \), has both T2 weighting and diffusion trace weighting, where the diffusion trace is the average of the ADCs in the three orthogonal axes. The image intensity of the diffusion trace-weighted image, \( I_{trace} \), also has T2 weighting and diffusion trace weighting. The difference between \( I_{iso} \) and \( I_{trace} \) is that \( I_{trace} \) has three times as much diffusion weighting as \( I_{iso} \). On the other hand, \( I_{trace} \) is determined only by diffusion trace weighting and is not affected by T2 weighting, representing a trace of the diffusion tensor (7). All equations assume that the diffusion process can be modeled as a single exponential decay.

Image Interpretation

Four separate sets of images were presented for interpretation to the two readers: orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images, isotropic diffusion-weighted images, diffusion trace-weighted images, and diffusion trace maps. Each MR image set was interpreted separately and independently in different sessions by each of two experienced neuroradiologists blinded to the interpretation of all other images and to clinical findings except for the notation “rule out acute infarction.” We inverted the contrast on the diffusion trace images so that CSF and other areas with high diffusion appeared as low signal intensity and areas of abnormally low diffusion appeared as high signal intensity. This gray scale inversion was performed to ensure that all image sets were consistent in their depiction of tissues with high diffusion as hypointense and those with restricted diffusion as hyperintense. In this way, we tried to eliminate any confusing effect of difference in conspicuity between black lesions on white background versus white lesions on black background, since we wanted to test the contrast characteristics of diffusion-related quantities rather than issues related to visual physiology.

Criteria for the diagnosis of acute cerebral infarction on diffusion-weighted MR images included the following: focal
high intensity, based on prior literature (1–4); location in the basal ganglia, deep and/or subcortical white matter, brain stem, or cortical arterial distribution; location or configuration not thought by the reader to represent normal anisotropy of diffusion (using features like asymmetry and knowledge of normal white matter tract location); and location or configuration not thought to represent a magnetic susceptibility artifact (ie, typically near interfaces between brain and air-filled paranasal sinuses). On the orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images, the lesion did not necessarily have to be present on more than one of the three single-axis diffusion-weighted images, but it is not as easy to identify on the image in which diffusion sensitivity is in the anteroposterior direction (top right), owing to high intensity from normal diffusion anisotropy in internal capsule. While the lesion is also seen on isotropic diffusion-weighted image (bottom left) and trace-weighted image (bottom center), the diffusion trace image was interpreted as normal by blinded readers. Arrow in bottom right image indicates focal infarction.

Results

Twenty-six patients were found to have early cerebral infarction: 14 infarctions were subcortical, five cortical, and seven mixed with subcortical and cortical components. The results are summarized in Table 1, and $P$ values for the comparisons are given in Table 2. The average sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of the two interpreters for the three orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted sequences were 98.1%, 97.1%, and 97.7%, respectively. The average sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for isotropic diffusion-weighted imaging were 88.5%, 100%, and 93.0%, respectively. The average sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for diffusion trace-weighted imaging were 82.7%, 73.6%, and
were found in comparisons of the orthogonal-axis differences with the highest statistical significance compared with all the alternative images. However, the orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images again showed the trend of highest accuracy for the than the trace-weighted images (0.0271). Although orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images were more sensitive than either isotropic diffusion-weighted or trace-weighted images, these differences were not statistically significant. Differences in specificities of the different images were generally not statistically significant, with the exception of the orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted and isotropic diffusion-weighted images, which had higher specificity than the trace-weighted images (P values ranging from .0001 to .0271). Although orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images were more sensitive than either isotropic diffusion-weighted or trace-weighted images, these differences were not statistically significant. Differences in specificities of the different images were generally not statistically significant, with the exception of the orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted and isotropic diffusion-weighted images, which had higher specificity than the trace-weighted images (P < .0016). Less significant was the superior accuracy of the orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images as compared with the trace-weighted images.

From the data establishing that calculated diffusion trace imaging, the only images based on diffusion differences without contributions from T2 differences, were less accurate than diffusion-weighted images (Fig 1), we inferred that hyperintensity on T2-weighted images actually contributed to lesion visibility on diffusion-weighted images. Therefore, we retrospectively evaluated the appearance of diffusion-weighted imaging–documented and clinically proved acute infarctions on the corresponding T2-weighted images. This side-by-side analysis was performed in an attempt to determine how many of the infarctions were also hyperintense on T2-weighted images, which would then clarify the implications from our blinded reader data as described above. Of the 26 diffusion-weighted MR–delineated acute infarctions, 22 (85%) showed at least some hyperintensity within the same lesion on T2-weighted images, while four (15%) were normal, even in retrospect (many of these lesions were extremely subtle on T2-weighted MR images, so it is possible that they were not detected in a blinded reader setting). In addition, 15 (58%) of 26 diffusion-weighted MR-delineated acute infarctions showed additional focal infarctions on T2-weighted images that also met standard criteria for acute infarctions (ie, were not distinguishable on T2-weighted MR images from the single proved acute infarction, even though they were not acute by either clinical or diffusion-weighted MR criteria).

### Table 2: Statistical significance of comparisons by Fisher’s exact test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Reader 1</th>
<th>Reader 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogonal vs isotropic</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogonal vs trace-weighted</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogonal vs trace</td>
<td>.0001</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotropic vs trace-weighted</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotropic vs trace</td>
<td>.0039</td>
<td>.0078</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace-weighted vs trace</td>
<td>.0128</td>
<td>.0271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogonal vs isotropic</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogonal vs trace-weighted</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>.0445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogonal vs trace</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotropic vs trace-weighted</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>.0445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotropic vs trace</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace-weighted vs trace</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogonal vs isotropic</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogonal vs trace-weighted</td>
<td>.0316</td>
<td>.0034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orthogonal vs trace</td>
<td>.0002</td>
<td>.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotropic vs trace-weighted</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotropic vs trace</td>
<td>.0016</td>
<td>.0012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace-weighted vs trace</td>
<td>NS</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—NS indicates not significant.

### Table 1: Comparison of sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy for the diagnosis of acute cerebral infarction (percentages)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image Type</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted</td>
<td>(100/96.2) 98.1</td>
<td>(94.1/100) 97.1</td>
<td>(97.7/97.7) 97.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isotropic diffusion-weighted</td>
<td>(92.3/84.6) 88.5</td>
<td>(100/100) 100</td>
<td>(95.3/90.7) 93.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace-weighted</td>
<td>(88.5/76.9) 82.7</td>
<td>(76.5/70.6) 73.5</td>
<td>(83.7/74.4) 79.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trace</td>
<td>(53.8/46.2) 50.0</td>
<td>(88.2/82.4) 85.3</td>
<td>(67.4/60.5) 64.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In proton MR imaging, the signal intensity depends on T1 and T2 relaxation times, proton density, pulsatile flow, and the random molecular motion of water. With conventional MR imaging, the signal contributed by the random diffusion of water molecules (Brownian motion) is extremely small. By incorporating strong magnetic field gradient pulses within the imaging pulse sequence, diffusion-weighted imaging can be performed. A pair of diffusion-sensitizing gradient pulses is applied to dephase and then rephase the protons in water molecules. Any net translational movement of the water molecules results in a loss of signal; the greater the net diffusion, the lower the signal.

Discussion

In proton MR imaging, the signal intensity depends on T1 and T2 relaxation times, proton density, pulsatile flow, and the random molecular motion of water. With conventional MR imaging, the signal contributed by the random diffusion of water molecules (Brownian motion) is extremely small. By incorporating strong magnetic field gradient pulses within the imaging pulse sequence, diffusion-weighted imaging can be performed. A pair of diffusion-sensitizing gradient pulses is applied to dephase and then rephase the protons in water molecules. Any net translational movement of the water molecules results in a loss of signal; the greater the net diffusion, the lower the signal.
Preliminary studies using diffusion-weighted MR imaging have indicated that early infarction is seen as regional high signal intensity relative to background tissue (3, 4), which allows high sensitivity for early infarction as well as clear distinction of acute from nonacute infarctions, even when all lesions are visible on conventional MR images (9). This relative hyperintensity at least partially reflects restriction of tissue water movement in the area of infarction, although the precise pathophysiological events underlying the change in water diffusion are unclear. It is thought that the loss of normal homeostasis and cell membrane function within ischemic cells leads to increased cell membrane permeability. The secondary shift of water from the extracellular space to the intracellular compartment, so that there would be a more restricted environment for water movement in the new extracellular environment, is postulated by many investigators as the cellular event corresponding to the change on MR images (10–13).

In normal tissues, diffusion may also be more restricted in one direction than another owing to the organization of cellular structures, cell membranes, or pattern of myelination. The diffusion coefficient is lower across barriers, with resultant higher signal on diffusion-weighted images. This is termed diffusion anisotropy, a property of certain tissues or media in which the translational movements of water depend on the orientation of the medium in which the water resides (7). This diffusion anisotropy is seen in normal brain parenchyma, especially in the white matter tracts and the corpus callosum (5, 14, 15), and could theoretically lead to inaccurate delineation or masking of early cerebral infarcts. In the subcortical white matter, for example, the ADC along the direction of the white matter tract can be as high as 10 times that in the direction perpendicular to it (16–19).

One potential solution to the “problem” of diffusion anisotropy is to derive an image of the diffusion trace, which equals the average of diffusion coefficients of three orthogonal directions; hence, the diffusion trace image is rotationally invariant. Another potential way of compensating for diffusion anisotropy would be to generate an isotropic diffusion-weighted image. Indeed, previous studies have shown that trace-weighted or isotropic diffusion-weighted images can eliminate the directional dependence of diffusion images in the deep white matter and splenium of the corpus callosum in stroke patients (2), suggesting that isotropic diffusion-weighted images may be necessary in acute stroke diagnosis.

In our study, we processed data from the set of three orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images to compensate for diffusion anisotropy and potentially confounding T2 differences and compared blinded reader interpretations of postprocessed images to simple orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images in the diagnosis of acute cerebral infarction. Our data indicate that the sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of diffusion-weighted imaging for early cerebral infarction are greatly influenced by the choice of images generated for interpretation. The set of orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images had the highest sensitivity and highest accuracy, with very high specificity. Data from isotropic diffusion-weighted images were nearly equivalent. Calculated diffusion trace (mean ADC) images were significantly less sensitive and significantly less accurate for delineating these lesions (Figs 1 and 2).

Since T2 effects are known to contribute to the signal differences on orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted, isotropic diffusion-weighted, and trace-weighted images, it could be inferred from our data that T2 effects are of some benefit in the diagnosis of acute infarctions on diffusion-weighted MR images. Our retrospective analysis supported that hypothesis, in that 85% of lesions also showed at least some hyperintensity in the infarction on T2-weighted images. That does not mean, however, that T2-weighted MR imaging would have been adequate to make the diagnosis of acute infarction, because in the majority of our cases, the T2-weighted images showed additional lesions consistent with acute infarctions, indistinguishable from the proved acute infarction. These data underscore the value of diffusion-weighted MR imaging in the search for acute cerebral infarction, even when the lesion may actually be visible (at least in retrospect) on conventional T2-weighted images.

In addition to the likelihood that T2 effects were helpful in depicting acute infarctions on diffusion-weighted MR images (which would explain the inferiority of diffusion trace images), there are several possible contributing factors to help explain the result that trace-weighted images, isotropic diffusion-weighted images, and diffusion trace maps were all less sensitive than the set of three simple orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images in our blinded-reader study. This discrepancy may be partly explained by the fact that the deep white matter tracts are most hypointense on the diffusion-weighted image in which the diffusion gradient is parallel with the tracts. On this diffusion-weighted image, the contrast of a hyperintense lesion in the deep white matter might conceivably be higher than that on the single calculated isotropic diffusion-weighted or trace-weighted diffusion image, which represents the averaged diffusion weighting. This hypothesis is supported by the fact that all the infarctions undetectable on the postprocessed images were in fact subcortical.

Other factors could also have contributed to our results. The main difference between the isotropic and diffusion trace-weighted images is that the trace-weighted image has three times as much diffusion weighting (b value) as the isotropic diffusion image. The diffusion weighting of the isotropic diffusion image is equivalent to that of the diffusion-weighted image at b = 1000 s/mm², which is optimal for the maximum contrast-to-noise ratio in acute stroke diagnosis (20). The high diffusion weighting of the trace-weighted image could reduce the contrast-to-noise ratio of the lesion, and is probably the main reason for the lower accuracy of the trace-weighted image in acute stroke diagnosis.
In addition to the difference in diffusion weighting, a secondary difference between the trace-weighted and isotropic diffusion images may result from the partial volume averaging of CSF (21). Because CSF has a significantly higher diffusion coefficient than does brain tissue, the diffusion process of a voxel that has CSF partial volume is not a single exponential decay as described in Equations 1 through 4 (22). In such a voxel, both the brain tissue and CSF, which has a long T2, contribute to the T2-weighted image, but only the brain tissue contributes to the three diffusion-weighted images because of the high diffusion coefficient of CSF. As a result, the trace-weighted image may underestimate the signal of brain tissue diffusion because it uses the T2-weighted image as a denominator in its calculation. On the other hand, the isotropic diffusion image is calculated with only the diffusion-weighted images and will reflect the true brain tissue diffusion.

We recognize certain limitations of our study. Although our patients had acute infarction by conventional definition, we recognize that few of our patients were imaged within the putative “therapeutic window” time frame of 6 hours. This might have skewed our data to include lesions that were already hyperintense on T2-weighted images, which would have theoretically added to the sensitivity of diffusion-weighted images and not to diffusion trace images. However, prior studies have documented that diffusion remains restricted for many days after the acute infarction, so the diffusion trace images still should have been abnormal on the basis of restricted diffusion. Technically, we did not use eddy current compensation (it was unavailable at the time of this study), so that postacquisition image processing might theoretically be suboptimal when combining imaging data from different diffusion axes. We also recognize that we may have increased the yield of the set of orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images by presenting the reader with all three images with diffusion sensitivity at each section location as a set, thereby giving the interpreter three chances to detect a given lesion.

Furthermore, our study design did not lend itself to answer potentially important questions about diffusion-weighted MR interpretation. For instance, because our blinded readers viewed all three single axis diffusion-weighted images as a set, we cannot test the hypothesis that only a single direction of diffusion sensitivity may be adequate to detect these lesions. Similarly, we cannot determine how many or what percentage of infarctions were detectable by using only one or only two directions of diffusion sensitivity. Moreover, we cannot answer the question about
which single diffusion direction is the most sensitive for producing the highest yield for this type of imaging. These limitations and questions represent interesting studies that should be performed in the future. Regardless of these limitations and additional questions, it seems reasonable to conclude that data showing 98.1% sensitivity, 97.1% specificity, and 97.7% accuracy for simple orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images for early cerebral infarction would be difficult to improve upon with any image-processing scheme.

### Conclusion

The sensitivity, specificity, and accuracy of diffusion-weighted imaging for early cerebral infarction are greatly influenced by the choice of images generated for interpretation. The set of orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted images had the highest sensitivity and highest accuracy, with very high specificity. Data from isotropic diffusion-weighted images were nearly equivalent, while to some extent diffusion trace-weighted images and in particular diffusion trace, or mean ADC, images were not as effective in delineating these lesions. Although we concur with the idea expressed by Ulug et al (23) that quantitative ADC maps may be useful in research protocols in which quantitation may be necessary, our data contradict the contention (8) that calculated or quantitative diffusion maps, requiring imaging with multiple diffusion sensitivities and subsequent image processing, are necessary for clinical stroke imaging. These data indicate that the theoretical concerns about the pitfalls of orthogonal-axis diffusion-weighted imaging may be unfounded in the clinical setting of diagnosing early stroke.
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