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BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: To our knowledge, recurrent carotid blowout syndrome (rCBS) has not been well described. Our purpose was to review our institution’s recent experience with patients who presented with multiple episodes of carotid blowout syndrome (CBS), and who were referred for emergent diagnostic angiography and endovascular therapy.

METHODS: We retrospectively reviewed the last 46 consecutive patients who had a clinical diagnosis of CBS. All patients were examined and treated prospectively according to a standardized protocol. Most patients (43 of 46) had undergone extensive primary and salvage radical surgery with intraoperative brachytherapy or external beam radiation or both. The remaining three patients had either traumatic or iatrogenic CBS.

RESULTS: Twelve patients (26%) in our series had more than one episode of CBS in which a total of 32 (20 recurrent) events were observed (average 2.7, range 2–4). Intervals of rCBS ranged from 1 day to 6 years. Thirteen (65%) of 20 recurrent events were attributed to progressive disease (PD), and seven (35%) of 20 to treatment failures (TFs). In the PD group, seven (54%) of 13 had recurrent ipsilateral disease, and six (46%) of 13 had recurrent contralateral disease. Etiologies of rCBS were as follows: seven exposed carotids; seven carotid pseudoaneurysms; eight small-branch pseudoaneurysms; five tumor hemorrhages; three hyperemic/ulcerated wounds; and one aortic arch rupture. Twenty-seven of 32 events were treated with endovascular therapy, which included the following: nine carotid occlusions; 11 small-branch embolizations; three transarterial tumor embolizations; one carotid stent; and two direct-puncture embolizations. Four of six TFs were retreated successfully with endovascular therapy; the remaining two TFs were managed successfully by surgery. In the PD group, hemorrhagic complications of rCBS were managed successfully in all but one patient, who died. No permanent neurologic or ophthalmologic complications occurred.

CONCLUSION: Recurrent CBS is a frequently encountered problem in which most cases are caused by PD resulting from both multifocal iatrogenic arteriopathy and occasional wound complications that are characteristic of aggressively managed head and neck surgical patients. Initial TFs are encountered often as well. Despite the diagnostic and therapeutic challenges of rCBS, most cases can be retreated effectively.
With our increasing experience in the management of CBS, we have observed that several previously treated patients develop recurrent episodes of CBS (rCBS). These patients typically are considered for additional endovascular or surgical management or both, presenting further challenges in diagnosis and delivery of optimal care. To our knowledge, rCBS has not been well described; therefore, we review our institution’s cumulative experience over the last 4 years for the purpose of characterizing this subgroup of patients and evaluating therapeutic efficacy.

Methods

In 1993, our institution developed a standardized protocol for evaluating and treating CBS (1, 2). Carotid blowout syndrome is operationally defined as either an episode of acute hemorrhage (usually transoral or transcervical) or an exposure of a portion of the carotid arterial system (usually from wound dehiscence or devitalized musculocutaneous flap). These complications occur in the setting of either aggressive surgical management of cervical carcinoma (usually squamous cell carcinoma) or penetrating trauma to the neck.

In our experience, the clinical spectrum of CBS can be subclassified into three groups of affected patients, which are equivalent to many previously described variations of carotid blowout (1, 2, 7, 13, 14). These subtypes of CBS previously have been defined in detail (1, 2) as Group 1 (threatened carotid blowout), Group 2 (sentinel hemorrhage/impending carotid blowout), and Group 3 (acute carotid blowout). Briefly, the important features of each group are as follows. Group 1 patients have a visibly exposed segment of the carotid artery that invariably will rupture if not promptly covered with healthy, well-vascularized tissue. Group 2 patients present with a short-lived acute hemorrhage that resolves either spontaneously or with simple surgical packing. Group 3 patients present with an acute, profuse hemorrhage that is not self-limiting and is not well-controlled with surgical packing, invariably owing to complete rupture of the affected artery.

Between 1993–1997, 46 consecutive patients with a clinical diagnosis of CBS were referred for a total of 62 events to our interventional neuroradiology service for diagnosis and treatment. Forty-three of these patients had undergone extensive primary or salvage radical resections or both. Recurrent CBS was defined as either a repeat episode of self-limited or uncontrollable bleeding (Groups 2 and 3) that occurred within 12 hours of completed therapy for a previous episode of CBS, or a newly exposed portion of the carotid system (Group 1) that occurred any time after completed therapy for a previous episode of CBS.

According to protocol, all patients of Groups 2 and 3 were studied emergently by carotid angiography after being resuscitated aggressively in the surgical intensive care unit with crystalloid, blood products, and vasopressors, as needed. All neuroangiographic and neurointerventional procedures were performed under intensive monitoring with the support of an anesthesiology team.

Standard neuroangiographic techniques were used in which high-resolution (1024 × 1024 matrix) digital subtraction imaging of the cervical and intracranial carotid circulation was obtained bilaterally. Meticulous attention to possible sites of bleeding, such as pseudoaneurysm formation, active extravasation, and endoluminal irregularity, was directed at the common carotid artery (CCA), external carotid artery (ECA) and its branches, and the cervical internal carotid artery (ICA). If bleeding occurred within the lower neck, bilateral selective arteriography of the subclavian, costocervical, and thyrocervical arteries also was performed. Angiographic evaluation of the circle of Willis also was performed routinely.

Endovascular therapeutic intervention was performed only on the basis of angiographic findings that would be consistent with hemorrhagic complications resulting in either Group 2 or 3 CBS (2). Most commonly, these findings were either pseudoaneurysms of the carotid arterial system or tumor neovascularity (2). Patients with Group 1 CBS were scheduled electively for diagnostic angiography and balloon test occlusion (BTO) of the affected carotid system. In both emergent and elective settings, if PBO of the ICA was anticipated, a BTO was attempted, if the patient was clinically stable, by using a previously described standardized protocol (1, 15).

All patients managed by endovascular techniques were monitored closely in the neurointensive care unit. If therapeutic occlusion of the ICA was performed, the patient was anticoagulated with intravenous heparin (target partial thromboplastin time, 55–60 seconds) for 48 hours, and hydrated under close hemodynamic and neurologic monitoring. After discontinuing heparin, the patient subsequently was placed on daily aspirin therapy (325 mg q.i.d.).

Results

Of the 46 consecutive patients with CBS referred for evaluation and treatment to our interventional neuroradiology service, 12 (26%) fulfilled the definition of rCBS. A total of 32 events prompting diagnostic or therapeutic neuroangiographic procedures or both were encountered in the rCBS group, of which 20 were recurrent. Therefore, the average number of episodes of CBS in this group was 2.7. Considerable variability in intervals between episodes of rCBS was observed, ranging from 1 day to 6 years. A summary of the pertinent clinical data is provided in Table 1. Patients who presented with rCBS (including the index event) were subclassified as follows: Group 1, eight events in five patients; Group 2, 15 events in nine patients; and Group 3, nine events in seven patients.

In reviewing the patterns of recurrence in our patient population, two readily discernible categories could be identified based upon the actual or presumptive pathogenetic mechanisms of rCBS. The first category could be classified broadly as progressive disease (PD). All patients within this group developed independent episodes of either potential or actual hemorrhagic recurrences. These could be attributed to one or more of the following putative etiologies: 1) surgical wound dehiscence; 2) free pedicle or mobilized musculocutaneous flap necrosis; 3) iatrogenic mechanical vascular injury; 4) radiation-induced arteriopathy; 5) tumor invasion of a major arterial segment; and 6) recurrent tumor growth and invasion into adjacent mucosal surfaces. Recurrent CBS attributable to PD could be classified further into bleeding from vasculature that was either ipsilateral or contralateral to the index event (ie, first episode of CBS). This subclassification was useful in assessing the potential longitudinal complications and risks that may be encountered with subsequent events of rCBS.

The second subtype of cases could be designated as treatment failures (TFs). This group of patients was defined by the development of rCBS attribut-
TABLE: Summary of clinical data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pt</th>
<th>Age/sex</th>
<th>Clinical History</th>
<th>Event (no.)</th>
<th>Pathologic Lesions</th>
<th>CBS Group</th>
<th>rCBS Origin</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Compl</th>
<th>Outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DR</td>
<td>47/F</td>
<td>SCCA, RND, EBRT, Flap, WD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>exposed RICA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PAO composite</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>RCBS 7 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WD</td>
<td>47/F</td>
<td>SCCA, RND, EBRT, Flap, WD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>pseudoaneurysm of L. inferior thyro-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>PDc</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>AHA; rCBS 2 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WP</td>
<td>54/M</td>
<td>SCCA, RND, EBRT, JOBT, flap, CT Bxs</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>exposed RICA</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SBE, R. buccal a.</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>AHA; rCBS 23 mos</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JW</td>
<td>55/M</td>
<td>SCCA, RND, EBRT, IOBT, WD</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>exposed LICA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Free flap</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Flap failed; rCBS 43 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>65/F</td>
<td>EBRT failed RND</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>exposed RICA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PAO composite</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>Surg delayed; pulsatile mass</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR</td>
<td>13/M</td>
<td>GSW face; severe bleeding</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>pseudoaneurysm of LICA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>PAO, LICA</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>AHA; rCBS 2 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AM</td>
<td>63/M</td>
<td>FES, intractable epistaxis</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>pseudoaneurysm of RICA</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>PAO, RICA</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>AHA; rCBS 14 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CH</td>
<td>66/F</td>
<td>EBRT, IOBT, RND, mand reconstr</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>pseudoaneurysm of L. lingual a.</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>SBE, L. lingual a.</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>AHA; rCBS 21 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL</td>
<td>46/F</td>
<td>SCCA, TL, RND, IOBT</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>exposed RICA</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PDc</td>
<td>Flap revision</td>
<td>failed BTO</td>
<td>AHA; rCBS 1 day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WH</td>
<td>46/M</td>
<td>SCCA, RND, transoral hemorrhage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>tumor hemorrhage</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>TA tumor embo</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>AHA; rCBS 30 days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WF</td>
<td>60/M</td>
<td>SCCA, RND, IOBT, flap, TL, stomal bleed</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>pseudoaneurysm of R. superior thyro-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>SBE, R. superior thyro-</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>AHA; rCBS 7 mos, spontaneous RCCA occlusion</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note.—rCBS, recurrent carotid blowout syndrome; SCCA, squamous cell carcinoma; RND, radical neck dissection; IOBT, intraoperative brachytherapy; EBRT, external beam radiation therapy; WD, wound dehiscence; FES, functional endoscopic surgery; SR, salvage resection; TL, total laryngectomy; GSW, gunshot wound; CT Bxs, computer tomographic guided biopsy; TA, transarterial; DP, direct puncture; SBE, small branch embolization; PAO, parent artery occlusion; AHA, acute hemorrhage arrested; SCX, surgical complications; CVA, cerebrovascular accident; exsangn, exsanguination; mand reconstr, mandibular reconstruction; IMA, internal maxillary artery; LCCA, left common carotid artery; RCCA, right common carotid artery; LICA, left internal carotid artery; RICA, right internal carotid artery; PDi, ipsilateral progressive disease; PDc, contralateral progressive disease; TF, treatment failure.
able to repetitive bleeding occurring in the same arterial segment or territory that previously had been treated by either endovascular or open surgery. This included recurrent bleeding from either a previously treated arterial pseudoaneurysm or tumor neovascularature. The period between recurrent events attributable to TFs was relatively short, varying between 1–10 days.

Based on the previously outlined definitions, we found that 13 (65%) of 20 recurrent events were attributable to PD. In the PD group, seven (54%) of 13 had recurrent ipsilateral disease and six (46%) of 13 had recurrent contralateral disease. The remaining seven (32%) of 20 recurrent events could be attributable to TFs.

A variety of angiographic-anatomic etiologies of rCBS were observed. This included seven exposed carotids (ie, Group 1 patients with threatened CBS), seven large-vessel pseudoaneurysms of the carotid system (ie, ICA, CCA, or innominate artery), five small arterial branch pseudoaneurysms of the ECA, three small arterial branch pseudoaneurysms of the brachiocephalic arterial branches (eg, thyrocervical trunk, costocervical trunk, internal mammary), six tumor hemorrhages, and two unknown causes.

Twenty-seven of the 32 events occurring in the rCBS group were treated with endovascular therapy. The following categories of endovascular techniques were used: nine “composite” or internal carotid parent artery occlusions, 11 small-branch therapeutic occlusions, four tumor embolizations, one carotid stent, and two direct-puncture embolizations.

A variety of embolic devices were used to treat patients with rCBS. The selection of a device depended upon the specific pathoetiologic lesion identified by angiography and the prevailing cerebrovascular hemodynamics as determined by cerebral angiography and BTO. Detachable latex balloons (Goldvalve, Ingenor, France) mounted on uncoated microcatheters (Tracker-18 HiFlo Unibody, Target Therapeutics/Boston Scientific, Freemont, CA) frequently were used for therapeutic PBO of the larger segments of the carotid system harboring pseudoaneurysms, as previously reported (2). Furthermore, the previously described “composite” therapeutic occlusion of the carotid system (2), which typically uses detachable balloons and nonretrievable platinum-fibered microcoils (Tornado, Cook, Indianapolis, IN), usually was performed when lesions were found near the origin of the ICA, the CCA, or in multiple sites, and in all Group 1 patients. In cases where therapeutic occlusion of the ICA was planned, a distal occluding balloon was positioned within the petrous portion of the ICA, whenever possible, to minimize risk of postocclusive thromboembolism (2).

For cases requiring smaller vessel occlusion, such as pseudoaneurysms or injuries to the ECA and brachiocephalic branches, both nonretrievable (Tornado; Vortex, Target Therapeutics/Boston Scientific, Freemont, CA) and retrievable (GDC, Target Therapeutics/Boston Scientific, Freemont, CA) platinum microcoils, polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) particles (Contour, ITC/Target Therapeutics/Boston Scientific), or cyanoacrylate embolic mixtures (Histoacryl, Braun, Germany) were used for embolic materials.

For patients with CBS from tumor hemorrhages, tumor devascularization was achieved with either conventional transarterial embolization using PVA, or direct-puncture tumor embolization with absolute alcohol or cyanoacrylate embolic mixtures. In one patient (CH), a novel method of large-artery pseudoaneurysm obliteration was performed using temporary balloon occlusion and direct-puncture acrylic embolization, which previously has been described (12).

The following shows the overall clinical outcomes. Within the group of patients with rCBS, 11 (92%) of 12 patients survived their multiple episodes of CBS through successful therapeutic intervention by either endovascular or open surgical approaches, or both. Ten (91%) of 11 patients had their rCBS ultimately successfully managed by endovascular therapy, and one patient required an additional surgical intervention after endovascular therapy (ie, craniotomy for distal trapping of the cavernous segment of the ICA). The one “attributable” fatality (ie, death directly the result of rCBS or a complication of its management) within this series was in a patient (DR) who developed multiple episodes of rCBS during a single hospitalization that ultimately led to septic mediastinitis and rupture of the aortic arch. Attempted surgical repair of this rupture was unsuccessful.

No fatalities were attributable to complications from therapeutic intervention. Also, no major neurologic morbidity was associated with endovascular therapy (0%), although two (17%) of 12 patients suffered minor neurologic morbidity attributable to therapy. This consisted of one patient (CH) who developed a completely reversible left hemiparesis within 24 hours after undergoing multiple periods of intermittent temporary balloon occlusion to control an acute carotid blowout (Group 3). This patient’s large CCA pseudoaneurysm eventually was treated definitively by a direct-puncture cyanoacrylate injection (12). The other patient (EV) developed a completely asymptomatic, small watershed infarct (documented on MR imaging) of the left frontal lobe after serially undergoing combined endovascular and surgical trapping of an iatrogenic ICA pseudoaneurysm. There also were no ophthalmic ischemic complications.

One technical complication occurred within the current series (case # PD2), consisting of an inadvertent migration of a detached latex balloon that originally was deployed within the innominate artery. This inflated balloon migrated into the right common iliac artery, but successfully was retrieved with an endovascular forceps device without sequelae.
Despite undergoing successful endovascular therapeutic management of rCBS, one patient (FH) died prior to discharge owing to septic complications and respiratory failure related to surgical repair of a pharyngotracheal fistula. Another patient (WF) developed a neurologic deficit (mild left upper extremity weakness) because of a thromboembolic stroke from spontaneous occlusion of the right CCA. This spontaneous occlusion was believed to be the result of radiation-induced arteriopathy. Therefore, the rates of fatality and neurologic morbidity for patients with rCBS that was “nonattributable” to endovascular therapy were 8% each.

Discussion

The continuing advances and refinements in endovascular therapy of the cerebrovascular system are resulting in an ever-expanding role for this therapeutic technique to manage patients with various intracranial and extracranial vascular diseases. Arterial injuries resulting from aggressive treatment of head and neck cancer, and occasionally from penetrating injuries, which manifest as CBS, appear well-suited to endovascular therapeutic intervention. The specific role of endovascular therapy, relating to indications and appropriate selection of techniques and technology, is beginning to be better defined, though it continues to evolve (2, 12).

In the past, emergent operative ligation of the CCA or ICA typically was used to treat CBS (4–10, 16–18). This approach, however, usually was associated with high rates of major morbidity and death (average mortality of 40%, and average major neurologic morbidity of 60%) (1, 2, 6–9, 16–18). A few anecdotal reports of the use of exclusively endovascular detachable balloon techniques for the treatment of hemorrhagic complications associated with iatrogenic injury to the carotid system have shown generally good results related to feasibility and short-term efficacy within a very small number of patients (13, 19, 20).

Recently, our group’s results of endovascularly managed CBS in a larger case series (1, 2) and those of Morrissey et al (3) have demonstrated excellent short-term efficacy in arresting or preventing hemorrhagic complications. These reports shared substantially reduced neurologic morbidity and mortality when compared with previously published surgical series. In these endovascular series, targeted therapeutic embolization techniques were used that were directed by specific pathologic-anatomic data obtained from meticulous angiography, and frequently combined with provocative testing in cases of planned large parent artery occlusion of the CCA or ICA.

Although endovascular therapeutic management of CBS has been shown to be largely successful, potential risks and limitations remain. A variety of technical and disease-related complications may occur, including intraoperative thromboembolic or foreign-body embolic stroke, reflex bradycardia and hypotension (from stimulation of the carotid body), catastrophic rehemorrhage (from rupture of a pseudoaneurysm or arterial perforation), and delayed cerebral ischemia after therapeutic ICA occlusion (which can occur from either hemodynamic insufficiency or thromboembotic phenomena) (2). Many strategies have been adopted to minimize these risks, which previously have been discussed in detail (2). Nonetheless, a formally unrecognized, additional limitation of endovascular therapeutic management of CBS would appear to include the risk of recurrent episodes of acute or threatened hemorrhages.

From our evolving cumulative experience with the management of CBS, we have encountered a surprisingly high proportion of patients (26%) who develop recurrent events that can be attributed to a variety of causes. To our knowledge, a detailed examination of the relevant clinical-pathologic parameters of this subpopulation of patients, which we have designated “rCBS,” has not been reported. Both our group (1, 2) and Morrissey et al (3) previously have reported very limited numbers of documented recurrent acute or threatened hemorrhages in patients presenting with CBS (2, 3, 12). Specific identification and analysis of these cases as a distinct clinical entity were not provided.

In reviewing the current clinical series, it appears that two distinct types of rCBS may be encountered that are related to presumptive etiologic mechanisms of this syndrome. The first category, which we have defined as recurrences that develop as a consequence of PD, was most frequently encountered (65% of cases). All patients within this group developed independent episodes of either potential or actual hemorrhagic recurrences that could be attributed to numerous putative etiologic mechanisms of CBS (2, 12). These mechanisms include the following: radiation injury resulting in medial necrosis (from both external beam and brachytherapy); inadvertent arterial wall injury and weakening (eg, adventitial and outer media) from multiple surgical dissections; carotid exposure owing to musculocutaneous flap necrosis; wound infections; chronic inflammatory infiltrate; pharyngocutaneous fistulae; lack of surrounding supporting tissues; and recurrent/persistent carcinoma (5–7, 10, 12, 16–18, 21–23). Despite our short-term ability to arrest or prevent hemorrhagic complications in patients presenting with rCBS, the various etiologic substrates that originally may have been responsible for the index event often persist chronically in this patient population. This persistence of etiologic factors, which frequently occur bilaterally, would explain the frequent occurrence of rCBS attributable to both ipsilateral and contralateral PD.

Within the PD group of patients, nearly half had an episode of rCBS attributable to disease occurring contralaterally to the index event. This surprisingly high rate of contralateral events potentially can create significant longitudinal complications.
when rCBS develops from a pseudoaneurysm of either the CCA or ICA in a patient who previously was treated with endovascular therapeutic occlusion of the contralateral ICA. In such cases, therapeutic occlusion of the remaining ICA is likely to result in catastrophic cerebral ischemia.

The second category of rCBS encountered in our series comprised cases of recurrent hemorrhagic complication that could be directly attributable to the failure of prior therapy (ie, TF). This group of patients developed recurrent events that were directly attributable to repetitive bleeding occurring in the same previously treated arterial segment or territory. Unlike the PD group, the intervals between events for rCBS attributable to TF were usually fairly short (range 1–10 days). Several specific limitations of management of patients with CBS may explain the associated high incidence of rCBS attributable to TF observed in our patient population. As observed in our series, occasional technical failures (eg, inability to cross the ostium of a pseudoaneurysm for endovascular trapping) or device failures (eg, premature balloon deflation) may occur. More commonly, endovascular TF may be the result of recurrent tumor hemorrhages that previously were treated by partial transarterial embolization with particulates. This technical approach to tumor devascularization has a higher probability of short-term failure owing to the frequent inability to achieve ideal superselective microcatheterization of all arterial pedicles supplying the tumor (24). As illustrated in one of our cases, this limitation can be overcome by the use of direct-puncture embolization techniques, using either cyanoacrylate or ethanol (24). Finally, the surgical TF in our series were most commonly the result of wound dehiscence and flap failures, resulting in Group 1 CBS (threatened). These types of surgical complications were not unexpected considering the extensive, often multiple, prior surgeries and frequent use of some form of radiation therapy that these patients receive. Consequently, the ability of the resection margins to accept an interposed graft often is impaired severely because of poor perfusion, oxygenation, and other cellular and molecular derangements (25, 26).

The apparent high incidence of rCBS observed in our patient population raises some important issues regarding assumptions of durability and optimization of endovascular therapeutic management. Based upon our original experience, we had recommended that pseudoaneurysms involving the ICA or CCA were approached best by parent artery occlusion techniques (typically using detachable balloons and embolic coils). Although “composite” therapeutic occlusion in such situations theoretically will protect against ipsilateral rCBS involving the major segments of the carotid system (ie, CCA, ICA, and proximal ECA), no protection from contralateral PD is provided by such an intervention. Furthermore, prior therapeutic occlusion of the ICA may create significant difficulties in the future management of rCBS because of a pseudoaneurysm of the contralateral CCA or ICA. In such cases, therapeutic occlusion of the remaining ICA generally would not be possible without significant risk of devastating neurologic morbidity.

Because it is not infrequent that parent artery sacrifice of either the ICA or CCA is a primary consideration for the management of CBS (1, 2), we continue to make every attempt to perform a conventional BTO of the ICA (ie, without blood flow measurements) in patients presenting with rCBS who may require therapeutic occlusion of the carotid system for effective short- and long-term management. Although not perfect, this provocative test has proved useful in detecting most patients who will not tolerate permanent occlusion of the ICA (24, 27, 28). It is fully acknowledged that this provocative test will not enable the interventional surgeon to recognize a small subset of patients who may develop delayed hemodynamic ischemia after therapeutic occlusion of the ICA because of collateral reserve failure.

Nonetheless, it is highly questionable that additional provocative adjuncts (ie, hypotensive challenge and various methods of measuring regional CBF) are of any significant benefit in this patient population (29), because many of our patients present emergently for control of life-threatening hemorrhage, often are relatively unstable for additional provocative testing, and usually are not candidates for extracranial to intracranial bypass (1, 2).

In contrast to our previous reports (1, 2), we are encountering more frequently situations in which patients may not be candidates for conventional therapeutic ICA occlusion because of the following reasons: 1) failure to tolerate BTO; 2) spontaneous occlusion of the contralateral ICA/CCA secondary to concurrent occlusive arteriopathy; and 3) prior contralateral therapeutic ICA occlusion in rCBS. As previously noted, the usual option of extracranial to intracranial bypass in such individuals usually is not possible because of the combination of technical limitations in performing this surgery in patients with prior radical neck surgery and irradiation, and the frequent urgency of presentation (2). We encountered one such patient in our series of rCBS (CH), in whom we initially attempted to treat a large pseudoaneurysm by endoluminal exclusion by using overlapping self-expanding stents. Although this initially was successful in arresting an episode of acute CBS (Group 3), the patient rebled 24 hours later. This prompted us to attempt an unconventional heroic intervention (ie, direct-puncture acrylic embolization), which was successful. Such a technical approach, however, has potential limitations and risks that make it unsuitable for a routine primary therapeutic approach (12).

In lieu of the potential limitations of therapeutic ICA occlusion in this patient population, there is an impetus to consider alternative parent-artery preservation techniques. As illustrated in one of our patients, a promising possibility is the use of either
“uncovered” or “covered” intravascular stents, which increasingly are being used for a variety of diseases that affect the extracranial carotid system (12, 30–34). The rationale for endovascular stenting techniques specifically applied to the treatment of aneurysms originally derived from animal studies of experimentally constructed side-wall aneurysms (35–39). These studies showed that the alterations in flow dynamics within aneurysms after stent placement could result in eventual thrombosis of an aneurysm with neointimalization of the aneurysm ostium. Nevertheless, the time required for aneurysm obliteration can be substantial when using uncovered stents. This prompted some investigators to advocate the conjoint use of thrombogenic coils placed within the aneurysm by navigating a microcatheter through the stent mesh to promote rapid thrombotic occlusion (38, 39). This strategy has been used successfully in a limited number of clinical cases (40–42), although its application in the treatment of large pseudoaneurysms is questionable (12).

Covered stents, using either native venous grafts or synthetic materials (eg, PTFE) sewn into the inner portion of the metallic frame, have the advantage of being more likely to produce immediate exclusion of an aneurysm from the parent arterial blood flow. This effectively results in an endoluminal bypass of the aneurysm. The major disadvantages of these devices, however, currently are their relatively larger size (and therefore, requirements for larger delivery systems), requirements for surgical harvesting of a vein graft, and overall restricted availability. Despite these limitations, Parodi et al (34) successfully treated a large traumatic pseudoaneurysm of the CCA with placement of an endoluminal stent wrapped with a basilic vein graft.

Although it is now technically possible to approach many pseudoaneurysms of the ICA and CCA by endoluminal bypass by using a covered stent, our approach to CBS patients with this disease is only to consider those who are not good candidates for conventional ICA occlusion (ie,
those patients who either fail BTO or have an existing contralateral ICA/CCA occlusion). We believe that a more liberal application of this parent-artery preservation technique may be premature, because results from extensive case series and from long-term follow-up of the use of covered stents placed within the carotid circulation are not available. With increasing clinical experience and improved technologic development of endoluminal bypass techniques, it is possible this approach will become more widely used and advocated (12).

Despite the diagnostic and therapeutic challenges encountered in our series of rCBS managed primarily by endovascular therapy, the observed clinical outcomes compare very favorably with our original series (2) and that of Morrissey et al (3). The multidisciplinary management of rCBS centered on endovascular therapy achieved a 92% success rate in ultimately controlling these recurrent hemorrhagic complications. This primary outcome endpoint was accomplished with an attributable mortality rate of 8% (due to a TF), and major neurologic morbidity rate of 0%, which continues to be better than most previously reported surgical series. An additional 17% incidence of minor or transient neurologic morbidity was encountered; in both cases, there was an excellent functional neurologic outcome (Glasgow Outcome Score = 5).

We conclude that rCBS is a frequently encountered problem for patients who have been previously managed by endovascular or surgical intervention or both. Most cases appear to be due to disease progression related to multifocal iatrogenic arteriopathy and occasional wound complications that are characteristic of aggressively managed head and neck surgical patients. Nevertheless, short-term TFs frequently are encountered as well. Despite the diagnostic and therapeutic challenges...
of rCBS, most cases can be retreated effectively by endovascular techniques. As was the case in our original case series, a well-organized multidisciplinary protocol is an excellent management approach to this problem, with a high rate of both technical and clinical success and an acceptable rate of complication.
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