



## ASNR Career Center

The Go-To Job Site for Neuroradiology Employers and Job Seekers  
Start here: [careers.asnr.org](http://careers.asnr.org)

# AJNR

## Quantification of Carotid Stenosis on CT Angiography

E.S. Bartlett, T.D. Walters, S.P. Symons and A.J. Fox

*AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2006, 27 (1) 13-19  
<http://www.ajnr.org/content/27/1/13>

This information is current as of September 27, 2023.

E.S. Bartlett  
T.D. Walters  
S.P. Symons  
A.J. Fox

# Quantification of Carotid Stenosis on CT Angiography

**PURPOSE:** Carotid artery stenosis quantification uses percent diameter ratios from conventional angiography. Multidetector high-speed CT angiography (CTA) allows direct millimeter measurement of carotid stenosis. We hypothesize a linear relationship between millimeter stenosis measurements and derived percent, alleviating cumbersome ratio calculations.

**METHODS:** Two neuroradiologists separately reviewed CTAs of 268 carotid arteries, blinded to other information. The narrowest portion of each carotid stenosis was measured in millimeters from axial source images. Distal internal carotid arteries (ICAs) were measured beyond the bulb, where walls are parallel. North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET)-style ratios were calculated for each ICA, except for suspected near-occlusions. Interobserver agreement was calculated for all measurements. Correlation coefficients were calculated comparing millimeter and derived percent stenosis, followed by regression analysis. Sensitivity and specificity values tested validity.

**RESULTS:** Interobserver agreement correlations were excellent, from 0.78 to 0.89 (2-tailed  $P \leq .01$ ). Excellent reader correlation permitted averaging of millimeter stenosis and distal ICA measurements, which were then used to calculate mean percent stenosis. Stenosis assessment was confidently performed from source images even with calcification. Regression analysis of mean percent as a function of mean millimeter stenosis shows a linear relationship, correlating 1.3 mm to 70% and 2.2 mm to 50% NASCET-style stenosis (Pearson's correlation of  $-0.95$ ;  $n = 136$ ). Direct millimeter measurement defining severe stenosis (70% or greater) has sensitivity of 88.2%, specificity of 92.4%, and negative predicted value of 98.2%.

**CONCLUSION:** There is a linear relationship between millimeter carotid stenosis diameter and derived percent stenosis. This allows prediction of NASCET-type percent from millimeter stenosis.

Current high-speed, multidetector CTA techniques allow for direct evaluation and measurement of carotid lumen diameter and the surrounding soft tissues. We show advantages of quantifying carotid stenosis by direct millimeter measurements instead of by cumbersome ratio calculations. In addition, we add clinical meaning to these millimeter measurements by showing their relationship to the well-known North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET)-style ratio calculations. This relationship has important implications regarding guidelines for revascularization procedures, specifically that of carotid endarterectomy.

The two largest randomized trials of carotid endarterectomy in newly symptomatic carotid stenosis are NASCET<sup>1</sup> and the European Carotid Surgery Trial (ECST).<sup>2</sup> Both showed significant benefits from carotid endarterectomy for severe degrees of stenosis. The measurement techniques used to derive percent stenosis, however, initially differed between the trials, resulting in differing incidence of stroke and revascularization benefit due to a very different definition of 70% stenosis. Efforts to reconcile the methodologic differences between the 2 studies have been undertaken for years.<sup>3</sup> The NASCET data, combined with a re-evaluation of ECST cases by using the NASCET-style stenosis methods, showed that carotid endarterectomy is highly beneficial in symptomatic patients with 70% or greater stenosis, but without near-occlu-

sion.<sup>4,5</sup> Endarterectomy confers some benefit for those with symptomatic 50%–69% stenosis, moderately reducing the risk of ipsilateral stroke.<sup>4,6</sup>

Both trials imaged carotid arteries by using conventional angiography, first with conventional radiographic film and later with digital subtraction angiography (DSA). Methods of deriving percent stenosis ratios were adopted because standardized stenosis measurements were not consistent with film, and were impossible with DSA, because of differing degrees of magnification between imaging planes, film camera minification, and lack of millimeter calibration of DSA equipment.<sup>7</sup>

Current clinical practice in North America continues to rely upon the guidelines from NASCET; however, less-invasive carotid imaging techniques, such as Doppler sonography and MR angiography, are now favored, despite being indirect and less accurate than DSA.<sup>8–16</sup> Acceptance of these alternate modalities to quantify carotid artery stenosis is based upon trials with comparison to DSA by using variable methods of percentage stenosis calculation.<sup>5</sup> Nonetheless, clinical demands and advances in technology, including advances in CT angiography, have made a remarkable impact upon clinical practice and stroke research methods.<sup>17</sup>


CT angiography has evolved along with the technologic advances of CT hardware and software. Modern CT angiography, performed with multidetector high-speed CT hardware and evaluated with 3D reformatting software, accurately and reliably depicts carotid disease,<sup>12–16,18,19</sup> and allows for direct quantification of carotid stenosis in millimeters.

We hypothesize that direct millimeter measurements of carotid bulb stenosis and derived percent ratios are related in a linear fashion. This relationship is expected, because stenosis diameter is part of the calculation of the percent stenosis ratio.

Received December 14, 2004; accepted after revision November 1.

From the Department of Neuroradiology (E.S.B.), Northwestern University, Chicago, IL; Department of Medical Imaging (S.P.S., A.J.F.), Sunnybrook and Women's College Health Sciences Centre, and the Department of Medicine (T.D.W.), Hospital for Sick Children, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada.

Address correspondence to Allan J. Fox, MD, Sunnybrook and Women's College Hospital, University of Toronto, 2075 Bayview Ave, Room AG31b, Toronto, Ontario M4N 3M5, Canada.



**Fig 1.** CTA showing right severe carotid bulb stenosis with deep plaque ulceration. *A*, Axial source image. *B*, Sagittal reformat. *C*, 3D rendered image (large arrow, stenotic ICA; dashed arrow, bulb plaque ulcer; small arrow, proximal ECA).

Regression analysis can predict percent stenosis from any given direct millimeter measurement of stenosis.

The use of millimeter stenosis measurements would obviate cumbersome ratio calculations while continuing to provide important implications regarding treatment guidelines as published in NASCET.<sup>1</sup> Such relationship to the accepted NASCET-style ratios is necessary if millimeter stenosis values are incorporated into a useful clinical algorithm to determine eligibility for carotid revascularization as concluded in NASCET and the meta-analysis with ECST.<sup>1,2,4</sup>

## Materials and Methods

### Inclusion Criteria and Imaging

Examinations were retrospectively collected from a single institution from August 2003 through March 2004, by using an AGFA Impax 4.5 (Mortsel, Belgium) PACS data base. Examinations from consecutive patients with the history of known or suspected carotid artery stenotic disease were entered into the study. Examinations were not included if the purpose of imaging was due to trauma, dissection, vascular anomaly/malformation, tumor evaluation, or primary evaluation of the posterior circulation. Examinations were excluded because of inadequate coverage and/or technical errors precluding full evaluation of the cervical carotid arteries. The study was approved by the Sunnybrook and Women's College Health Sciences Centre's Research Ethics Board (project identification number 411-2004). Informed consent was not required for inclusion into this retrospective study and its evaluation of records and images.

All CTA examinations were performed by using a GE Medical Systems (Waukesha, Wisc) Lightspeed Plus 4-section helical CT with a 6.3-MHU Performix tube. Images were obtained from the C6 level to the vertex by using the helical HS mode with 7.5 mm/rotation and  $1.25 \times 1.25$  mm collimation (120 kVp, 350 mA). Intravenous access was via an antecubital vein by using an 18- or 20-gauge angiocatheter. A total of 100–125 mL Omnipaque 300 were injected at a rate of 4.0 to

4.5 mL/s, with a 17-second delay or the use of Smart Prep at the pulmonary artery.

CT technologists performed all the postprocessing multiplanar reformats (MPRs) at the CT operator's console. Coronal and sagittal MPR images were created as 10.0 mm thick, spaced by 3 mm. Bilateral rotational MPRs were created at the carotid bifurcations with a thickness of 7 mm and spacing by 3 mm. 3D rendered images were created on a GE Advantage workstation. All images were viewed on AGFA Impax 4.5 PACS workstations (Fig 1).


### Evaluation of Cases

CTA axial source images provide the most reliable measurements for stenosis when comparing CTA with DSA.<sup>12-16,18,19</sup> Millimeter measurements were obtained by using the submillimeter measurement and magnification tools on the PACS workstation. Special attention was directed to some of the more densely calcified plaques, with extra windowing and verification of findings from endarterectomy.


Measurement of carotid stenosis was at the narrowest portion of the carotid bulb on the axial source data (Fig 2). MPRs identified the carotid orientation to ensure true cross-sectional measurements. Internal carotid arteries (ICAs) identified as passing oblique to the axial plane were measured perpendicular to their own oblique carotid axis as seen on MPRs. These measurements were verified with measures from reformats to ensure accuracy in obtaining the narrowest diameter in a true cross-sectional plane (Fig 3).

The distal ICA was measured well beyond the bulb where the walls are parallel and no longer tapering from the carotid bulb as per NASCET.<sup>5,7,20,21</sup> Distal external carotid artery (ECA) diameter was measured on axial images at a similar level as the distal ICA measurement.

Suspected distal ICA near-occlusions (small or collapsed distal ICAs) were identified by comparing the distal ICA axial lumen measurement to the axial lumen measurements of the contralateral distal ICA and the ipsilateral distal ECA.<sup>5</sup> Distal ICAs with a diameter 80% or less than the contralateral distal ICA<sup>5</sup> were arbitrarily excluded



**Fig 2.** Carotid stenosis measurements were obtained from axial source data at the narrowest portion of the carotid bulb. *A*, Source image. *B*, Magnified right carotid portion. *C*, Submillimeter measuring tool determining 3.2 mm (0.32 cm).



**Fig 3.** Oblique artery measure. *A*, Axial source image with arrow toward ICA. *B*, Sagittal reformat showing oblique ICA axis of a slightly tortuous ICA. *C*, Axial measurement, perpendicular to oblique axis. This demonstrates a need for viewing reformats in addition to axial source images.

from percent stenosis calculations to prevent fallacious ratio calculations by potentially incorporating borderline cases of near-occlusion. If the contralateral ICA was also narrowed or occluded, an ICA was excluded from percent stenosis calculation if both reviewers' measurement averages met all our arbitrary "near-occlusion" criteria: notable bulb stenosis, distal ICA diameter of 3 mm or less, and distal ICA/distal ECA ratio of 1.25 or less. These criteria were adapted from criteria to recognize subtle near-occlusions recognized from standard conventional angiography.<sup>5</sup>

The aim of this study was to establish a relationship between directly measured millimeter stenosis with well-known NASCET-style percent stenosis ratios. Additional evaluation of stenosis outliers, such as near-occlusion, is currently taking place.

### Statistical Analysis

Each carotid artery was considered unique for statistical purposes, except in the evaluation of suspected near-occlusions.

Pearson product-moment correlation coefficients were calculated to evaluate interobserver agreement of all millimeter measurements, before evaluation of potential near-occlusions. All distal ICAs were evaluated for potential near-occlusion as described in the previous section. Percent stenosis ratios were calculated for each carotid, except for the suspected near-occlusions. A Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient was calculated between the millimeter stenosis (independent variable) and derived percent stenosis (dependent variable), followed by regression analysis.

Sensitivity and specificity calculations were performed to validate


the use of millimeter stenosis measurements as a test to detect carotid disease. Specifically for these calculations, a severely diseased carotid artery was defined if its NASCET-style percent stenosis ratio was  $\geq 70\%$ , as calculated from CTA measurements.<sup>1,4,6,7</sup> Regression analysis identified the millimeter measurement of the stenotic lumen corresponding to the 70% carotid stenosis ratio.

True-positive cases are those with a carotid stenosis measurement at the defined millimeter threshold or smaller and with a derived NASCET-style percent stenosis ratio of 70% or greater. False-positive cases are those with a carotid stenosis measurement at the millimeter threshold or smaller, and with NASCET-style percent stenosis ratios of  $< 70\%$ . Likewise, true-negative and false-negative cases are those with carotid stenosis measurement greater than the millimeter threshold with NASCET-style percent stenosis ratios of  $< 70\%$  and  $\geq 70\%$ , respectively. Additional calculations were performed to evaluate the validity of millimeter measurements as a test to detect moderate carotid disease (50%–69% stenosis).

All raw data were analyzed by using the statistical software package, SPSS for Windows (version 12.0.0; Chicago, Ill). A *P* value  $< .01$  was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference. All missing data were excluded from calculations in a pairwise fashion. All correlation values were calculated with 2-tailed significance.



### Results

CTAs were studied for 8 consecutive months (August 2003–March 2004), during which a total of 466 extracranial carotid arteries were imaged. A total of 268 carotid arteries



A

Neuroradiologist 1



B

Neuroradiologist 1

C

Neuroradiologist 1

**Fig 4.** Correlation scatter plots. Interobserver agreement between reader measurements. A, Maximum stenosis (mm). B, Distal ICA (mm). C, Derived NASCET-style percent stenosis. (Correlations: 2-tailed significance = 0.01. *n* values reflect exclusion of pairwise missing data; excludes "near-occlusion" cases).


were imaged to evaluate known or suspected ICA disease, meeting the inclusion criteria of the study. Of these, 192 carotid arteries were known to have atherosclerotic disease. The remaining 76 were imaged to evaluate cases of stroke with a suspected carotid cause.

A total of 198 carotid arteries did not meet inclusion criteria. Of them, 88 were imaged to evaluate cases of trauma/dissection. Thirty-two carotid arteries were imaged in cases evaluating vascular anomaly/malformation. Forty-six were imaged during evaluation of the posterior circulation. Twenty-four carotid arteries were imaged during evaluation of the neck for tumor. Six were excluded because of inadequate coverage of the cervical carotid arteries and/or technical errors, including motion artifact from swallowing. Two carotid arteries had very attenuated calcification surrounding their severely stenosed vessel lumens. In both of these cases, the narrowing between calcifications measured small enough to be severe stenosis (>70%). Because of beam-hardening artifact, however, the actual residual arterial lumen could not be confidently detected apart from potential plaque that may have further restricted the luminal diameter. The uncertainty of these 2 cases is not a question of severe stenosis; instead, it is the degree of severity that could not be accurately determined. This difference would not change any clinical decisions or management for these severe stenosis cases. Nonetheless, the need for accuracy within the confines of this study precluded the inclusion of these 2 carotid arteries. Densely calcified carotid arteries included in the study as severe stenosis following endarterectomy were verified as severe with surgical and pathology correlation.

The 268 carotid arteries included in the study were independently analyzed and measured by each of the 2 neuroradiology reviewers. Interobserver agreement correlation values for all carotid measures were excellent: (1) maximum ICA bulb stenosis correlation coefficient = 0.85 (*n* = 178); (2) distal ICA diameter = 0.78 (*n* = 242; Fig 4A, -B).

From the population of 268 carotid arteries, 42 were excluded from percent stenosis calculations because of diagnosis or suspicion of near-occlusion, because such calculations would produce fallacious results.<sup>3,5-7,21-24</sup> NASCET-style percent ratios were calculated for each carotid artery. The interobserver agreement correlation value for the percent stenosis ratio was excellent, as expected on the basis of the excellent correlation of the millimeter stenosis and distal ICA measurements (percent stenosis ratio interobserver correlation = 0.89; *n* = 207 [Fig 4C]).

The relationship between millimeter stenosis and percent stenosis was calculated for each reader by using the Pearson product-moment test. Data from both neuroradiology reviewers showed a linear relationship with excellent correlation between the millimeter stenosis test statistic and the NASCET-



**Fig 5.** Linear regression. Mean percent stenosis to mean millimeter maximum carotid bulb stenosis. (Pearson correlation = -0.95; *n* = 136; 2-tailed significance = 0.01;  $R^2$  linear = 0.895; SE of estimate = 7.63; *B* value = -21.539).

**Table 1: Estimate of NASCET-style derived percent stenosis from the millimeter carotid bulb stenosis measurements**

mm Stenosis	% Stenosis (95% PI)
<b>2.2</b>	<b>50–55</b>
2.1	52–57
2.0	54–59
1.9	57–62
1.8	59–64
1.7	61–66
1.6	64–68
1.5	66–70
1.4	68–72
<b>1.3</b>	<b>70–74</b>
1.2	73–76
1.1	75–78
1.0	77–80
0.9	80–82
0.8	82–84
0.7	84–86
0.6	86–88
0.5	89–90
0.4	91–92
0.3	93–94
0.2	95–96

**Note:**—Percent stenosis is expressed as a range with 95% prediction intervals (PI).

style reference statistic. Reviewer 1 had a Pearson correlation of  $-.923$  ( $n = 162$ ). Reviewer 2 had a Pearson correlation of  $-.933$  ( $n = 141$ ).

In light of the excellent correlation of the readers, millimeter stenosis and distal ICA measurements were averaged, respectively, per carotid artery and used to calculate “mean” percent stenosis. Data pairs of “mean” millimeter stenosis and associated “mean” percent stenosis also showed a linear relationship between the variables, with excellent correlation (Pearson product-moment correlation coefficient of  $-.95$ ;  $n = 136$  [Fig 5]).

Of the 136 carotid arteries in the final analysis, the average millimeter stenosis was 2.3 mm (SD = 1.0), the average distal ICA diameter was 4.4 mm (SD = 0.9), and the average percent stenosis was 47.7% (SD = 23.5).

### Validity Calculations

In our population, severe carotid stenosis was defined as  $\geq 70\%$  stenosis, as in NASCET.<sup>1</sup> On the basis of NASCET-style mean percent stenosis ratios, the prevalence of severe stenosis in our final carotid population was 12.5% ( $n = 136$ ). By regression analysis, a 1.3-mm stenotic carotid lumen corresponds to 70% stenosis (Table 1). Using the millimeter stenosis threshold of  $\leq 1.3$  mm to indicate severe stenosis, CTA millimeter stenosis screening for severe carotid stenosis has a sensitivity of 88.2% and a specificity of 92.4%. The positive predicted value (PPV) is 62.5%, and the negative predicted value (NPV) is 98.2%.

In 1998, the NASCET Group published its final results.<sup>6</sup> These results showed a lower risk of stroke and a lower value of stroke prevention with revascularization in the group of symptomatic patients with moderate stenosis compared with patients with severe stenosis. These patients had derived percent stenosis ratios of 50%–69%. By use of regression analysis,

**Table 2: Contingency table with the NASCET-style ratios defining the presence of disease in the final carotid stenosis group analyzed ( $n = 136$ ) and the millimeter stenosis measurements as the test variable**

Stenosis Measurements	<50%	50–69%	70%+	
>2.2 mm	60	5	0	65
1.4 to 2.2 mm	3	42	2	47
1.3 mm or less	0	9	15	24
	63	56	17	$n = 136$

this moderate group has a corresponding measurement of 2.2 mm (50%) and 1.4 mm (69%).

Forty-one percent (56 carotid arteries;  $n = 136$ ) of our final carotid population had moderate disease by NASCET-style ratio calculations (50%–69% stenosis; Table 2). CTA millimeter stenosis screening for moderate disease (1.4–2.2 mm, inclusive) correctly identified 42 of the 56 carotid arteries. Nine of the misidentified carotid arteries had stenosis measurements of  $\leq 1.3$  mm, corresponding to severe disease based on regression analysis. The remaining 5 had millimeter stenosis measurements  $> 2.2$  mm, corresponding to milder disease based upon regression analysis. The resultant sensitivity of CTA millimeter stenosis screening for moderate stenosis is 75.0% with a specificity of 93.8%. The PPV is 89.4%, and the NPV is 84.3%.


On the basis of the NASCET-style ratio calculations, 46% of our final carotid population (63 carotid arteries;  $n = 136$ ) had carotid stenosis of  $< 50\%$ . CTA screening with a threshold stenosis value of  $> 2.2$  mm identified this group with a sensitivity of 95.2% and a specificity of 93.2%. The PPV is 92.3%, and the NPV is 95.8%.

### Discussion

In any study of unproven technique, it is necessary to compare the test statistic with a recognized standard. We define our standard as the NASCET method of ratio calculation, which is proven effective when applied to CTA.<sup>12–13,15–16,18–19</sup> Our test statistic is the millimeter stenosis measurement. In this study, we compared the millimeter stenosis test statistic with the NASCET standard in each carotid artery, providing each with its own control.

Similar to other investigators, we found that carotid wall calcifications can complicate evaluation of stenosis on 2D MPR and 3D rendered images.<sup>19</sup> As have other investigators, we found that the axial source images are key to CTA carotid stenosis evaluation.<sup>13,19</sup> Axial source images allow good visualization of the patent lumen apart from vessel wall plaque, even with calcium on the sides (Fig 6).

In our experience, carotid bulb calcifications rarely limit stenosis evaluation on the axial source images. In fact, we were unable to confidently separate residual carotid lumen from potential calcified plaque in the narrow space between thick calcifications in only 2 carotids, precluding measurement of the stenotic lumen. In these cases, however, the measurement of the available space between highly attenuated calcification was  $< 1$  mm, surpassing our threshold value of 1.3 mm (70% stenosis) and confidently indicating severe disease. A more precise millimeter measurement indicating the degree of severe stenosis (such as 85% versus 95%) would not change any clinical or management decisions. With calcifications further apart, beam-



**Fig 6.** Axial source images of left severe ICA stenosis; small residual lumen with vessel wall plaque and thick calcification on the sides. *A*, Source axial image with “standard” W : L settings for CTA evaluation (W 750 : L 200). *B*, Magnified left ICA (W 750 : L 200). *C*, Magnified with wide window for appropriate visualization and measurement of residual carotid lumen (W 900 : L 275). A wider W : L setting allows conspicuity between the different attenuations of contrast in lumen and calcification along the sides.

hardening artifact does not obscure the lumen in the same way, allowing for confident measurements of less-severe stenoses, even with associated noncalcified plaque (Fig 6).

Another potential concern is the limitation of the measuring tools on the current AGFA Impax workstation as well as on any other PACS systems. We need measurement tools that are not only accurate to a submillimeter scale, but also can be applied easily and with accuracy and reproducibility between users. The available PACS measuring tool has arithmetic accuracy to submillimeters and must be placed manually with the mouse. If an electronic caliper was available that could be moved a pixel at a time, rather than freehand with the mouse, the process would be easier and maybe even more accurate. In a sense, this current system has similar problems as hand-held rulers and the jeweler’s eyepiece used in NASCET.<sup>1,7</sup> There is a certain skill or “art,” however, to deploying such devices.

Anyone familiar with measuring vessels from CTA or from other digitized systems (including DSA and MR angiography [MRA]) is aware of the “fuzzy” edge created when images are significantly magnified. Most of our measurements were obtained with minimal—if any—magnification. Magnification was used in this study if the residual vessel lumen was diminutive, usually <2 millimeters, and difficult to measure on original images. In these cases, measurements were obtained with the cursor at the midpoint of the outer “fuzzy” border, as in the middle of the perceived bell-shaped curve of the artery edge. The appropriateness of all cursor placements was verified on the unmagnified source images. Despite these issues, we were able to measure values independently with low interobserver variability (high interobserver correlation; range, 0.78–0.89).

#### Use of CTA to Quantify Stenosis

CTA is an anatomic study of arteries, allowing for direct evaluation of carotid stenosis. CTA is fast, with images of the head and neck acquired over approximately 15 seconds during contrast injection. With a  $512 \times 512$  memory matrix (equivalent to 262,144 pixels of information), multidetector CT scan-

ners allow acquisitions with near-isotropic spatial resolution and an effective section thickness as small as 0.75 mm.<sup>25</sup> For evaluation of carotid arteries and the cerebral vasculature, it is possible to narrow the nominal section thickness to obtain a submillimetric dataset. This ability, combined with 3D image rendering, provides an “unprecedented volumetric spatial resolution for any imaging technique.”<sup>25</sup> In light of the relative benefits of CTA in reference to safety, time, and related lower cost than DSA, it is compelling to use CTA when the indication for angiography is not to make the diagnosis, but to accurately characterize the degree of stenosis. By necessity of available equipment, we used 4-channel CT; CTA is very convenient and useful at this level. Improvements are expected with 16-, 64-, and more channels, especially to minimize venous filling. The latter is not an artifact for neck carotid imaging, because arteries are easily recognized as distinct from veins.

Other techniques such as commonly used MRA and duplex ultrasonography are also standard tools in current clinical practice, though both techniques are more dependent upon flow and are thus indirect tools for evaluating anatomic carotid stenosis.<sup>7,9-11</sup> Contrast-enhanced MRA (CE MRA) has added further value to MR angiography. Remonda et al studied time-resolved CE MRA in comparison to DSA in a large study population, showing that CE MRA could become an alternative to DSA in the evaluation of patients with carotid disease.<sup>26</sup>

Current CE MRA, however, has imaging differences with CTA. An advantage of CTA is that images can be acquired in a few seconds from aortic arch to vertex with a narrow axial field of view with high resolution. CE MRA for neck and head is acquired in a coronal direction with a wide field of view, yielding a lower resolution. It is important to recognize that both CT and MR modalities continue to show important advances. Thus, such relative comparisons may change with further advancements.

With CTA, DSA, and CE MRA, the vessel lumen is evaluated via a contrast-filled luminogram. CTA allows for direct and accurate submillimetric measurements of the vessels, which are not possible with DSA. Additional advantages of CTA include its 3D abilities, which provided the goals for some imaging scientists pivotal in the development of 3D DSA.<sup>27,28</sup>

CTA also allows for direct evaluation of the vessel wall and the surrounding soft tissues with high spatial resolution and anatomic detail that cannot be obtained as easily with other methods. Despite these advantages, MR is unique in its ability to demonstrate methemoglobin within wall plaque.<sup>29</sup> The ability to evaluate the nature of a plaque has obvious implications regarding the stability of a stenosis, and may ultimately be more predictive of impending stroke than stenosis measurements.

When the purpose of carotid imaging is to quantify the degree of stenosis, the safest, most-effective, and cost-efficient study should be performed. The serious stroke complication rates of conventional angiography are low,<sup>30-32</sup> having been reported as high as 1.2% by the Asymptomatic Carotid Atherosclerosis Study.<sup>30</sup> This risk has affected the practice by many surgeons of accepting a less-than-accurate percent stenosis determination by duplex sonography without additional imaging.

Because of inherent risk, patient discomfort, cost, limited views, and variability of percent stenosis calculation methods, DSA could now be considered less desirable than CTA for mere measurement of stenosis. In other angiographic situations, such as evaluation of the early venous drainage in a vascular malformation or directional filling of collateral vessels, DSA continues to have an advantage over current technology CTA for sequential studies.

Further CTA development may make such temporal CTA studies practical. With advancements in detector systems at 64-section and greater, the possibility of repeated high-resolution exposures over wide fields of view may eventually blur the distinctions between DSA and CTA. With such capabilities, sequential CTA series may be a reality in the near future.

## Conclusion

There is a linear relationship between millimeter carotid bulb stenosis diameter and derived percent stenosis as used in NASCET, allowing prediction of NASCET-type percent stenosis from directly measured millimeter stenosis on CTA.

Threshold values of 1.4–2.2 mm can be used to evaluate for moderate stenosis (50%–69% as calculated in NASCET) with a sensitivity of 75.0% and a specificity of 93.8%.

A carotid bulb diameter measurement of 1.3 mm corresponds to 70% stenosis (as calculated in NASCET) and can be used as a threshold value to test for severe carotid artery stenosis ( $\geq 70\%$ ) with a sensitivity of 88.2% and a specificity of 92.4%. With a negative predicted value of 98.2%, a direct stenosis measurement is an excellent tool to verify or rule out severe carotid bulb disease, by using a threshold value of  $\leq 1.3$ .

CTA allows accuracy in the determination of the degree of carotid stenosis without the stroke risk and high costs of DSA. Direct measurement of carotid stenosis by CTA is quick, safe, and easy and has excellent correlation to NASCET-style ratio calculations. Direct stenosis millimeter measurements avoid potentially fallacious ratio calculations due to improperly measured distal ICAs, such as within the zone of carotid bulb tapering or for narrowed ICAs from near-occlusion. Use of millimeter measurements as an indication of disease when considering revascularization still needs to consider the possibility of ipsilateral near-occlusion or contralateral carotid disease, which may influence decisions.

## References

1. North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial Collaborators. **Beneficial effect of carotid endarterectomy in symptomatic patients with high-grade carotid stenosis.** *N Engl J Med* 1991;325:445–53
2. European Carotid Surgery Trialists' Collaborative Group. **MRC European Carotid Surgery Trial: interim results for symptomatic patients with severe (70–99%) or with mild (0–29%) carotid stenosis.** *Lancet* 1991;337:1235–43
3. Eliasziw M, Smith RF, Singh N, et al. **Further comments on the measurement of carotid stenosis from angiograms.** North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) Group. *Stroke* 1994;25:2445–49
4. Rothwell PM, Eliasziw M, Gutnikov SA, et al, for the Carotid Endarterectomy

5. Trialists' Collaboration. **Analysis of pooled data from the randomized controlled trials of endarterectomy for symptomatic carotid stenosis.** *Lancet* 2003;361:107–16
6. Fox AJ, Eliasziw M, Rothwell PM, et al, for the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial (NASCET) and European Carotid Surgery Trial (ECST) Groups. **Identification, prognosis, and management of patients with carotid artery near occlusion.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2005;26:2086–94
7. North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trialists' Collaborative Group. **Benefit of carotid endarterectomy in patients with symptomatic moderate or severe stenosis.** *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:1415–25
8. Fox AJ. **How to measure carotid stenosis.** *Radiology* 1993;186:316–18
9. Carpenter JP, Lexa FJ, Davis JT. **Determination of duplex doppler ultrasound criteria appropriate to the North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial.** *Stroke* 1996;27:695–99
10. Lee VS, Hertzberg BS, Workman MJ, et al. **Variability of Doppler US measurements along the common carotid artery: effects on estimates of internal carotid arterial stenosis in patients with angiographically proved disease.** *Radiology* 2000;214:387–92
11. Qureshi AI, Suri MFK, Ali Z, et al. **Role of conventional angiography in evaluation of patients with carotid artery stenosis demonstrated by Doppler ultrasound in general practice.** *Stroke* 2001;32:2287–91
12. Young GR, Humphrey PRD, Nixon TE, et al. **Variability in measurement of extracranial internal carotid artery stenosis as displayed by both digital subtraction angiography and magnetic resonance angiography: an assessment of three caliber techniques and visual impression of stenosis.** *Stroke* 1996;27:467–73
13. Anderson GB, Ashforth R, Steinke DE, et al. **CT angiography for the detection and characterization of carotid artery bifurcation disease.** *Stroke* 2000;31:2168–74
14. Leclerc X, Godefroy O, Pruvo J, et al. **Computed tomographic angiography for the evaluation of carotid artery stenosis.** *Stroke* 1995;26:1577–82
15. Randoux B, Marro B, Koskas F, et al. **Carotid artery stenosis: prospective comparison of CT, three-dimensional gadolinium-enhanced MR, and conventional angiography.** *Radiology* 2001;220:179–85
16. Chen CJ, Lee TH, Hsu HL, et al. **Multi-slice CT angiography in diagnosing total versus near occlusions of the internal carotid artery: comparison with catheter angiography.** *Stroke* 2004;35:83–85
17. Koelemay MJW, Nederkoorn PJ, Reitsma JB, et al. **Systematic review of computed tomographic angiography for assessment of carotid artery disease.** *Stroke* 2004;35:2306–12
18. Caplan LR. **Treatment of acute stroke: still struggling.** *JAMA* 2004;292:1883–85
19. Porsche C, Walker L, Mendelow D, et al. **Evaluation of cross-sectional luminal morphology in carotid atherosclerotic disease by use of spiral CT angiography.** *Stroke* 2001;32:2511–15
20. Dix J, Evans A, Kallmes D, et al. **Accuracy and precision of CT angiography in a model of carotid artery bifurcation stenosis.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1997;18:409–15
21. Gabrielsen TO, Seeger JF, Knake JE, et al. **The nearly occluded internal carotid artery: a diagnostic trap.** *Radiology* 1981;138:611–18
22. Dix JE, McNulty BJ, Kalimes DF. **Frequency and significance of a small distal ICA in carotid stenosis.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1998;19:1215–18
23. Rothwell PM, Warlow CP. **Low risk of ischemic stroke in patients with reduced internal carotid artery lumen diameter distal to severe symptomatic carotid stenosis: cerebral protection due to low poststenotic flow?** *Stroke* 2000;31:622–30
24. Morgenstern LB, Fox AJ, Sharpe B, et al. **The risks and benefits of carotid endarterectomy in patients with near-occlusion of the carotid artery.** *Neurology* 1997;48:911–15
25. North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trialists' Collaborative Group. **Clinical alert: benefit of carotid endarterectomy for patients with high-grade stenosis of the internal carotid artery.** *Stroke* 1991;22:816–17
26. Napoli A, Fleischmann D, Chan FP, et al. **Computed tomography angiography: state-of-the-art imaging using multidetector-row technology.** *J Comput Assist Tomogr* 2004;28(suppl 1):S32–45
27. Remonda L, Senn P, Barth A, et al. **Contrast enhanced 3D MR angiography of the carotid artery: comparison with conventional digital subtraction angiography.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2002;23:213–19
28. Fahrig R, Fox AJ, Holdsworth DW. **Characterization of a C-arm mounted XR II for 3-D image reconstruction during interventional neuroradiology.** *Proc SPIE* 1996;2708:351–60
29. Fahrig R, Holdsworth DW, Fox AJ, et al. **Use of a C-arm system to generate true 3-D computed rotational angiograms: preliminary in vitro and in vivo results.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 1997;18:1507–14
30. Moody AR, Murphy RE, Morgan PS, et al. **Characterization of complicated carotid plaque with magnetic resonance direct thrombus imaging in patients with cerebral ischemia.** *Circulation*. 2003;107:3047–52
31. Executive Committee for the Asymptomatic Carotid Atherosclerosis Study. **Endarterectomy for asymptomatic carotid artery stenosis.** *JAMA* 1995;273:1421–28
32. Dion JE, Gates PC, Fox AJ, et al. **Clinical events following neuroangiography: a prospective study.** *Stroke* 1987;18:997–1004
33. Willinsky RA, Taylor SM, terBrugge K, et al. **Neurologic complications of cerebral angiography: prospective analysis of 2,899 procedures and review of the literature.** *Radiology* 2003;227:522–28