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Turbo Short $\tau$ Inversion Recovery Imaging for Metastatic Node Screening in Patients with Head and Neck Cancer

**BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE:** A rapid and sensitive MR imaging technique would be beneficial for screening of metastatic nodes in the neck. We preliminarily evaluated the coronal MR imaging with a turbo short $\tau$ inversion recovery (STIR) sequence for that purpose.

**METHODS:** The coronal turbo STIR imaging (repetition time [TR]/echo time [TE]/inversion time [TI] = 3850 ms/20 or 80 ms/180 ms) and axial fat-suppressed spectral presaturation with inversion recovery (SPIR) T2-weighted imaging (fsT2WI) (TR/TE = 3500 ms/80 ms) were performed on 29 patients with head and neck cancer. We obtained coronal turbo STIR images and axial fsT2WI of the necks. The section thickness, intersection gap, matrix size, and field of view were the same in both techniques. The diagnostic ability for metastatic nodes was assessed at each neck level by using various cutoff size criteria. The nodal involvement was confirmed by histologic examination.

**RESULTS:** The image acquisition time for the whole neck by coronal turbo STIR and axial fsT2WI techniques was approximately 2 minutes and 4 minutes, respectively. When the size criteria (cutoff sizes of short axis diameter were 8 mm at level I and 5 mm at levels II and III) were used, the STIR imaging yielded compromised diagnostic ability having 100% sensitivity and 100% negative predictive value (NPV). fsT2WI technique yielded 100 sensitivity and 100% NPV by using cutoff sizes of 6 mm at levels I and II and 5 mm at level III.

**CONCLUSION:** Coronal STIR imaging provided a rapid screening technique for cervical metastatic nodes and could be a diagnostic tool before detailed MR studies of the neck.

The presence of lymph node metastasis in the neck is an important prognostic determinant in staging cancers and planning radiation therapy for patients with head and neck cancer. Van den Brekel et al refined the previously reported size criteria for detecting metastatic cervical nodes by cross-sectional techniques. The performance of MR imaging in diagnosing metastatic nodes in the neck was not highly ranked. Thereafter, several attempts have been made to evaluate various MR imaging techniques for discriminating metastatic nodes from benign lesions.

A recent report described the first successful application of high-resolution MR imaging in discriminating metastatic nodes from benign nodes in the necks of patients with head and neck cancer. The high-resolution MR imaging techniques provided information about the changes in nodal architectures that were characteristic of metastatic nodes (eg, central nodal necrosis and obliteration of fat tissue in the hilum). The precise diagnosis of the metastatic nodes in the neck could be achieved by the high-resolution MR imaging by using a small-sized surface coil. However, the detailed imaging of the whole neck requires extended image acquisition time and, as a result, patient movement may hamper the image quality. Therefore, the rapid and sensitive imaging technique would be very beneficial for the diagnosis of metastatic nodes in the neck. Additional detailed MR examinations could be more efficient after such a screening of the neck.

Some investigators have discussed the utility of short $\tau$ inversion recovery (STIR) MR imaging for the detection of metastatic nodes in various cancers. A recent report showed that the STIR imaging for the detection of metastasis in mediastinal and hilar nodes in patients with non–small-cell lung cancer yielded 93% sensitivity and 87% specificity on a per-patient basis. Furthermore, the diffusion-weighted imaging with single-shot, STIR-echo-planar technology has been successfully applied to whole-body imaging. This high-contrast imaging technology is not degraded too much by motion artifact.

Therefore, the aim of this study was to evaluate the STIR MR imaging as a screening tool for the metastatic nodes in the necks of patients with head and neck cancer. In this study, we sought to determine whether the coronal turbo STIR technique is appropriate for the rapid and sensitive MR screening of metastatic nodes in the neck. We reasoned that a short image acquisition time (less than 3 minutes) without the need for whole-neck image reconstruction is mandatory for establishing an appropriate turbo STIR screening technique.

**Materials and Methods**

**Patients**

We performed a hypothesis-driven study on 29 consecutive patients (6 women and 23 men; average age, 60 ± 15 years; age range, 28–81 years) with head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, who had received STIR and fsT2WI MR imaging examinations and underwent surgical excision of the primary tumors and neck dissection at our hospital from 2002 to 2004. The primary sites of the carcinomas in these patients included the tongue (8 patients), oropharynx (8 patients), nasopharynx (3 patients), larynx (2 patients), buccal mucosa (2 patients), upper gingiva (1 patient), palate (1 patient), parotid gland (1 patient), and an unknown site (1 patient). We staged the...
the neck situated above the clavicle. The nodes at level III included those in the remaining part of the spinal accessory chain above the epiglottis were included in this level. All the nodes in the jugular and submental and submandibular region, including the area anterior to the posterior boundary of level I. All the nodes in the jugular and submandibular gland. The nodes at level II were those in the area whose anterior border coincided with the posterior margin of the submandibular gland. The nodes at level II were those in the area whose anterior border coincided with the posterior boundary of level I. All the nodes in the jugular and spinal accessory chain above the epiglottis were included in this group. The nodes at level III included those in the remaining part of the neck situated above the clavicle.

**Classification of the Nodes**

On coronal turbo STIR images, some of the neck levels (for example, levels III and IV, as classified by Curtin et al., and IA and IB, IIA and IIB, and III to V, as classified by Som et al) were not readily differentiated. Therefore, we categorized cervical nodes into 3 levels (levels I, II, and III) (Fig 1). In brief, the nodes at level I were those located in the submental and submandibular region, including the area anterior to the posterior margin of the submandibular gland. The nodes at level II were those in the area whose anterior border coincided with the posterior boundary of level I. All the nodes in the jugular and spinal accessory chain above the epiglottis were included in this group. The nodes at level III included those in the remaining part of the neck situated above the clavicle.

**Surgical Correlation and Histologic Examination**

All patients underwent either unilateral or bilateral radical dissection of the neck. The surgeon mapped the excised nodes relative to the surrounding structures of the neck. The nodes were then processed for histologic examinations. A pathologist classified the nodes that were histologically positive or negative for metastasis according to the TMN clinical classification based on MR imaging findings.

**Interpretation of MR Images**

On the basis of sequential, coronal turbo STIR images and axial fsT2WI images obtained from each patient, we identified nodes as discrete, round, or oval areas of moderate to high signal intensity in the neck. Some of these nodes were palpable, but the others were nonpalpable. On coronal images, the nodes were steadily differentiated from other organs in the neck, such as the blood vessels and muscles. Two radiologists determined separately the short-axis diameter of the nodes without knowledge of histologic findings. The short-axis diameter is defined as a minimal axis diameter on the largest area of a node. Therefore, the short and long axes cross at right angles on the area of a node. We used “short axis diameter” of the nodes because this size criterion was shown to be better for metastatic nodes than maximum axial diameter.

On direct coronal turbo STIR images and axial fsT2WI images, we calculated separately the sensitivity (true-positive results/[true-positive results + false-negative results]) and specificity (true-negative results/[true-negative results + false-positive results]) by using various size criteria (cutoff sizes of short axis diameter).

Negative predictive values (NPVs) and positive predictive values were also used to assess the performance of the MR imaging criteria (various cutoff sizes of short axis diameter or the presence or absence of hyperintense areas in the nodes) in the detection of metastatic nodes. The negative predictive value is the percentage of neck levels interpreted by using the MR imaging criteria as neck levels negative for metastasis that were negative for metastasis on histologic examination. The positive predictive value is the percentage of neck levels interpreted as positive for metastasis that were histopathologically proved to be metastasized.

**Results**

We found that turbo STIR imaging with different TEs resulted in similar results (Fig 2). The coronal turbo STIR imaging required approximately 2 minutes and the fsT2WI (spectral presaturation with inversion recovery [SPIR]) required approximately 4 minutes for the whole-neck imaging. On turbo STIR images, a node was characterized as depicted as a discrete, round, or ovoid area of intermediate to high signal intensity (Figs 3A–5A). Extremely hyperintense areas in such
nodes were very suggestive of the presence of nodal necrosis existing in cancer nests. Therefore, the possible criteria for differentiating metastatic nodes from benign nodes might be their sizes and hyperintense signals suggestive of nodal necrosis. The muscles displayed signals with an intermediate intensity, and nodal necrosis displayed extremely low signal intensity (Figs 3B–5E). The subcutaneous tissues, fatty marrow, and cortical bone displayed low intensity signals. We also used reversed STIR images, in which the nodes displayed low to intermediate intensity. The muscles displayed signals with an intermediate intensity, and nodal necrosis displayed extremely low signal intensity. The muscles displayed signals with an intermediate. When the smaller size criteria (5 or 6 mm in short axis diameter) were used, the turbo STIR imaging yielded 100% sensitivity at the expense of specificity. On the other hand, using the larger size criteria resulted in low sensitivity and high specificity (Tables 1 and 2). We reasoned that intending the turbo STIR sequence as a screening technique, compromised criteria with a high sensitivity and intermediate specificity should be achieved. Therefore, we concluded that the best cutoff points were 8 mm for nodes located at level I and 5 mm for nodes at levels II and III (Tables 1 and 2). These size criteria yielded 100% sensitivity and 100% NPV at levels I to III. On the other hand, the best cutoff points were 6 mm at levels I and II, and 5 mm at level III by the fsT2WI technique; these criteria provided 100% sensitivity and 100% NPV (Tables 1 and 3).

When a node was diagnosed as metastatic because of area(s) of very high intensity suggestive of nodal necrosis, the STIR imaging yielded very low sensitivity (13%–36%) and 100% specificity. We found that necrosis occurred only in nodes having a short axis diameter ≥10 mm. Therefore, metastatic nodes smaller than 10 mm in short axis diameter were diagnosed as positive by tumor invasion per se.

Discussion

In this preliminary report, we have proposed turbo STIR imaging as a candidate for a rapid, sensitive MR imaging technique for the screening of metastatic nodes in the neck of patients with head and neck cancer. We found that, at each level of the neck, if the nodes were judged based only on the size determined by coronal turbo STIR images, high sensitivity (100%) and moderate specificity (64% to 88%) were achieved. The criteria about the presence or absence of nodal necrosis, which is considered pathognomonic of nodal metastasis from head and neck squamous cell carcinomas determined by CT, sonography, and MR imaging,15 provided high specificity (100%). On the other hand, the sensitivity was very low at any level of the neck (13% to 36%). We reasoned that the diagnos-
Table 2: Diagnostic ability of direct coronal turbo STIR imaging for detecting metastatic nodes in the neck

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria for Metastatic Node</th>
<th>Level of Neck*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size cutoff point (mm)</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>100/52/25/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥6</td>
<td>100/68/33/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥7</td>
<td>100/68/53/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥8</td>
<td>100/88/57/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥9</td>
<td>88/96/78/98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥10</td>
<td>63/98/83/94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:—STIR indicates short inversion recovery; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value.
*Values are expressed as percentages as follows: sensitivity/specificity/PPV/NPV. Bold data indicate compromised diagnostic ability at each level.

Table 3: Diagnostic ability of axial SPIR imaging for detecting metastatic nodes in the neck

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria for Metastatic Node</th>
<th>Level of Neck*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size cutoff point (mm)</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5</td>
<td>100/44/22/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥6</td>
<td>100/64/31/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥7</td>
<td>88/78/39/98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥8</td>
<td>88/88/54/98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥9</td>
<td>88/96/78/98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥10</td>
<td>63/100/100/94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:—SPIR indicates axial fat-suppressed T2-weighted; PPV, positive predictive value; NPV, negative predictive value.
*Values are expressed as percentages as follows: sensitivity/specificity/PPV/NPV. Bold data indicate compromised diagnostic ability at each level.

The present findings that the use of size criteria is essential in differentiating benign and malignant nodes in the neck were consistent with those in a preceding study using an STIR MR imaging sequence, where the authors appraised a short-axis diameter greater than 10 mm as a criterion for abnormal nodes in children.16 The higher cutoff point (10 mm) was used in the preceding study, perhaps because its cutoff point was for lymphomas but not for metastatic nodes. In this context, nodal lymphomas were reported to be relatively larger than metastatic nodes in the neck.21 We found that nodal necrosis occurred only in large nodes (≥10 mm), indicating that metastatic nodes smaller than 10 mm were positive by tumor invasion per se. These findings were consistent with the previous studies using sonography and CT, where the size criteria alone provided good diagnostic abilities in differentiating benign and metastatic nodes in the neck.19,20

The coronal turbo STIR imaging allows whole neck imaging within a short image acquisition time, is refractory to susceptible artifacts compared with axial fsT2WI, and can yield high sensitivity comparable with the fsT2WI technique. Therefore, this technique may be applicable to clinical practice as a screening tool for the detection of metastatic nodes in patients with head and neck cancer. However, an evaluation relative to nuclear medicine studies by using fluorine-18 fluoro-deoxyglucose PET needs additional extensive studies using large cohorts.

Takahara et al11 recently proposed a new MR technique designated as diffusion-weighted, whole-body imaging with background body signal intensity suppression (DWIBS), whereby the background body signals from the vessels, muscles, and fat were effectively suppressed by the diffusion-weighted and/or the STIR pulse. Indeed, we observed phase-ghosting artifacts on direct coronal STIR images of the necks (Figs 4 and 5). Therefore, the DWIBS technique may be a promising technology for diagnosing a wider range of nodal diseases, including lymphomas as well as metastatic nodes.

Compared with high-resolution MR imaging with a small-sized surface coil, the coronal turbo STIR imaging is not suitable for the assessment of internal architectures of the nodes. In particular, smaller nodes (<6 mm in short-axis diameter) may frequently be missed by the turbo STIR technique. A recent study using a microscopy coil showed that MR criteria on nodal architectures yielded 86% sensitivity and 94% specificity for discriminating metastatic nodes in the neck.6 Another shortcoming of the coronal turbo STIR imaging is that body background signals from the blood vessels were not suppressed; therefore, it may be difficult to distinguish the vessels and lymph nodes. One of the advantages of the proposed coronal turbo STIR imaging, however, is that the technique allows concomitant visualization of the primary lesions.
Conclusion
Coronal turbo STIR imaging is a rapid and sensitive MR technique for detecting metastatic nodes in the neck. We propose this technique as a screening tool before high-resolution MR imaging using a small-sized surface coil for the diagnosis of metastatic nodes in the necks of patients with head and neck cancer.
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