3D Time-Resolved Contrast-Enhanced Cerebrovascular MR Angiography with Subsecond Frame Update Times Using Radial $k$-Space Trajectories and Highly Constrained Projection Reconstruction
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For several decades, time-resolved serial imaging using x-ray digital subtraction angiography has played a major role in the diagnosis of vascular disease. A new group of time-resolved contrast-enhanced MR angiographic techniques is emerging that generates a series of datasets with adequate temporal resolution to capture arterial, mixed, and venous-phase images during the passage of a contrast agent through the cerebrovascular system. Initial investigations used 2D techniques. This was later extended to 3D serial acquisitions. Time-resolved contrast-enhanced MR angiography is essential for the characterization of high-flow lesions such as arteriovenous malformations (AVMs) and dural fistulas.

Recently an innovative reconstruction method that uses highly constrained backprojection reconstruction (HYPR) was introduced to reduce the undersampling artifacts from radial acquisition and maintain good signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) even at high levels of acceleration. Fig 1 shows a series of highly undersampled radial trajectories, in which the orientations of the trajectories in each set is different from the orientations of the trajectories in all the other datasets. If each image is reconstructed by using conventional filtered backprojection, streak artifacts degrade the image as demonstrated in Fig 1 (top row). The data in each image are unique, and multiple images can be combined to form a more fully sampled dataset called the composite image. Because the composite image is produced by using data acquired during a longer duration than a single image, it contains temporal information from a longer time interval than a single frame. The more frames of data combined to produce the composite image, the fewer the artifacts and the higher the SNR but the poorer the temporal characteristics.

A temporal weighting image is produced by using the data from each timeframe to restore the temporal characteristics. The weighting images are produced by backprojecting the normalized data acquired for each timeframe. Because data spanning only a single timeframe are used to produce the weighting image, the temporal characteristics of the weighting image are well maintained.

The weighting image (which contains good temporal characteristics but poor spatial resolution) is multiplied by the composite image (which contains few artifacts, good SNR, and good spatial resolution, but poor temporal characteristics) to produce the final HYPR image. The resulting HYPR timeframes have good spatial resolution and good temporal characteristics.

In this article, HYPR was used in conjunction with the hybrid radial/Cartesian acquisition to simultaneously achieve high temporal and spatial resolution. The components of HYPR time-resolved imaging of contrast kinetics (TRICKS) are the following: 1) sampling $k_x$- and $k_y$-space along radial rather than traditional rectilinear trajectories, 2) sampling the lower spatial frequencies more often than the higher spatial frequencies, and 3) using HYPR to reduce artifacts and SNR loss typically associated with undersampling methods. Combining HYPR reconstruction with these 2 elements enables the use of even greater undersampling factors, permitting generation of a series of 3D datasets with subsecond frame update times and submillimeter in-plane resolution.

Methods and Subjects

For this study, the HYPR TRICKS method was used to acquire 3D time-resolved contrast-enhanced MR angiograms of the cerebral vessels in 14 healthy subjects and 2 patients with AVMs, by using a protocol that was approved by the institutional review board. For 4 of the 14 healthy subjects and the 2 patients with AVMs, HYPR TRICKS and Cartesian TRICKS examinations were performed during separate injections.
For the HYPR TRICKS method, a 3D gradient-echo sequence was modified to sample data along radial trajectories in the $k_x$–$k_y$ plane, and multiple planes of data were sampled in the $k_z$ direction by using traditional partition encoding. Angular undersampling was applied to the radial trajectories that formed each timeframe such that the number of radial lines per frame, $N_r$, was much smaller than that which is traditionally understood to be the minimum necessary to accurately reconstruct the object being imaged (the Nyquist sampling criterion). These $N_r$ radial lines were evenly distributed over a full circle in the $k_x$–$k_y$ plane for each frame. The orientation of the group of radial lines was rotated from one frame to the next. The rotation angle was chosen so that data for the current frame best filled in the gaps left after combining data from all the previous frames. When all the gaps were filled in such that a fully-sampled dataset could be formed by combining all of the acquired data, then the sampling pattern was repeated.

Data in the $k_z$ direction were sampled by using the TRICKS acquisition method. For this implementation, $k_z$ was divided into 3 regions; a central region A was sampled more frequently than the peripheral B and C regions.

HYPR TRICKS studies were performed on 1.5T ($n = 5$) and 3T ($n = 11$) MR imaging scanners (Signa HD; GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wis). On 4 healthy subjects and 2 patients with AVMs, 2 acquisition methods, HYPR TRICKS and Cartesian TRICKS, were performed on the 3T scanner during a 1-hour scanning session with approximately a 20-minute interval between the 2 injections. The ordering of the scans was such that the HYPR TRICKS method was performed first in 2 of the 4 healthy subjects and 1 patient and the Cartesian TRICKS method was performed first in the other 2 healthy subjects and the other patient. Typical imaging parameters for the HYPR TRICKS and Cartesian TRICKS were the following: TE/TR, 1.1/6.6 ms for HYPR TRICKS and 1.6/4.4 ms for Cartesian TRICKS; readout points per TR, 512 for HYPR TRICKS and 256 for Cartesian TRICKS; 10–14 projections per section for HYPR TRICKS and 120 phase-encoding lines per section for Cartesian TRICKS. FOVs were $240 \times 240 \times 48$ mm$^3$ for both methods. The dose of contrast material (gadodiamide [Omniscan; GE Bioscience, Princeton NJ]) was 0.1 mg/kg of body weight. It was injected at a rate of 2–3 mL/s by using an automated power injector (Spectris; Medrad, Indianola, Pa). Administration of the contrast material was followed immediately by a 25-mL saline flush injected at the same rate as that of the contrast material.

**Results**

The combination of radial $k$-space trajectories and HYPR image reconstruction resulted in radial undersampling factors up
to 80 relative to Nyquist requirements (10 versus 804 radial
lines), which, when combined with TRICKS temporal und-
sampling factor of 3, provided an overall undersampling (or
acceleration) factor of 240 relative to a conventional fully sam-
pled radial acquisition. When compared with the current
commercially available Cartesian TRICKS examination,
HYPR TRICKS achieved the temporal acceleration factor of
approximately 10 (0.26-seconds frame update time versus 2.4
seconds) with the improved spatial resolution by a factor up to
3 (0.47 × 0.47 × 4 = 0.88 mm³ versus 0.94 × 1.5 × 2 = 2.8
mm³).

Fig 2 demonstrates that even with a 10-fold increase in
temporal update rate, HYPR TRICKS allows a factor of 3 de-
crease in voxel volume relative to that provided by the com-
mercially available Cartesian TRICKS method. Although the
loss in SNR expected with the achieved acceleration should be
a factor of approximately 9.5 (square root of 10 × 3 = 9.5)
based on the reduced voxel volume (SNR reduction factor =
3) and the reduced temporal acquisition window (SNR reduc-
tion factor = square root of 10), the SNR measured in the
HYPR TRICKS images was reduced by only a factor of 2 rela-
tive to the SNR measured from the Cartesian TRICKS images.
This reduced SNR interferes, to some extent, with the ability to
fully appreciate the smaller voxels provided by HYPR
TRICKS. However, despite this, there is a perceived increase in
vessel definition.

Fig 2 also demonstrates artifacts in the Cartesian TRICKS
image (arrows). When data are acquired during the arrival and
passage of contrast material, k-space data are modulated by a
time-dependent intensity variation, which leads to ghosts
originating from the vessels (Fig 2A) or the appearance of a
dark band running along the middle of the vessels (Fig 2B) in
the reconstructed images. In Cartesian imaging, these ghosts
are coherent and appear as “ringing” or replication of the ves-
sels. With HYPR TRICKS, the reconstruction window is 10
times shorter in duration than in Cartesian TRICKS, leading
to far less modulation and, therefore, far fewer artifacts in the
HYPR TRICKS images. In addition, any artifacts that would
result from modulation of the signal intensity during acquisi-
tion of the HYPR TRICKS data would be incoherently distrib-
uted throughout the image due to the radial acquisition
process.

Results obtained from a patient with an AVM are shown in
Fig 3. In Fig 3, a series of HYPR TRICKS images demonstrate
the contrast material passing from the feeding arteries,
through the nidus, and into the draining veins of the AVM.
Acquired over a similar period of time during a separate injec-
tion of contrast material, 3 Cartesian TRICKS images (also
shown in Fig 3) do not capture the early and intermediate
phases of contrast material passage.

Discussion
Achieving high spatial and temporal resolution simulta-
neously is extremely difficult with the currently predominant
methods that use Cartesian k-space acquisitions. In this inves-
tigation, radial k-space was vastly undersampled, but streak
artifacts were suppressed by using HYPR reconstruction. For the HYPR work described here, 10 projections were acquired per time frame, giving a temporal acceleration factor of approximately 51 relative to a conventional Cartesian MR imaging with equivalent in-plane resolution (10 projections versus 512 phase encodings, assuming no additional acceleration methods such as parallel imaging, partial Fourier acquisition, or rectangular FOV) or an undersampling factor of 80 relative to a fully sampled radial acquisition. Acceleration factors for HYPR TRICKS are summarized in Table 1. Furthermore, the SNR was well maintained in the HYPR images, despite the large undersampling factors. Because the signal intensity in the timeframe ( weighting image) projections came from summing all of the signals along the projection direction, the SNR in the weighting image is very high. Therefore, it is not the weighting image but the composite image that determines the SNR in the HYPR images. This is a significant departure from conventional SNR behavior, in which the SNR is directly proportional to the square root of the number of samples acquired. The consequence is high SNR even in timeframes having very high temporal and spatial resolution.

HYPR is optimal when the signal intensity–producing sources are sparse and spaced far apart (ie, vascular structure). The vast undersampling of the weighting images may become problematic when vessels that enhance at different times are both present in the composite image and they are very near each other. In this case, when the composite image is multiplied by the undersampled weighting image, the weighting from 1 vessel may overlap onto a very close vessel, causing it to have the improper intensity in the final HYPR image. Therefore, when vessels are very proximal to each other, it may be advantageous to limit the amount of data to a window of acquisition centered on the frame of interest. This reduces the temporal window of the composite image, and limits the inclusion of vessels that enhance at different times.

## Conclusions

The results in 14 healthy volunteers and 2 patients with AVMs confirm that HYPR TRICKS is able to generate subsecond 3D time-resolved contrast-enhanced cerebrovascular MR angiograms with submillimeter in-plane spatial resolution that permits separation of arterial and venous structures in images with minimal artifacts.
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