

nial aneurysms. When GDC coils were introduced in 1991, very few prospective multicentric studies were performed to precisely evaluate the safety and efficacy of the devices.<sup>4</sup> However, a precise knowledge and understanding of the outcome of the devices we used is necessary to improve the results of the endovascular treatment. The design of our study does include some weak methodologic points: Patients were not consecutively included, whereas patients not treated with Matrix coils were not recorded separately. Therefore, it is not possible to know whether the patient population of our series is different from the global population. However, patients were included prospectively and on a multicentric basis, complications were analyzed independently, and anatomic results were blindly evaluated by a core laboratory. How many series in the literature provide such important methodologic features? In our article, the results of the core laboratory were quite different from the results of the physician treating the patient. Most series dealing with endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms are monocentric and retrospective, and their clinical and angiographic results are generally reported and analyzed by the physician treating the patients. All methodologic aspects of our series are not perfect, and we do not pretend that they are. Still, it represents an important improvement compared with most series published in the literature. Continuous effort to improve the quality of the studies analyzing the results of the endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms is necessary, and our study is only a first step on the long way.

## References

1. Pierot L, Bonafé A, Bracard S, et al, for the French Matrix Registry Investigators. **Endovascular treatment of intracranial aneurysms with Matrix detachable**

**coils: immediate post-treatment results from a prospective multicenter registry.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2006;27:1693–99

2. Kassel NF, Torner JC, Haley EC Jr, et al. **The International Cooperative Study on the Timing of Aneurysm Surgery. Part I. Overall management results.** *J Neurosurg* 1990;73:18–36
3. Gallas S, Pasco A, Cottier JP, et al. **A multicenter study of 705 ruptured intracranial aneurysms treated with Guglielmi detachable coils.** *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 2005;26:1723–31
4. Vinuela F, Duckwiler G, Mawad M. **Guglielmi detachable coil embolization of acute intracranial aneurysm: perioperative anatomical and clinical outcome in 403 patients.** *J Neurosurg* 1997;86:475–82

Laurent Pierot

*Department of Radiology*

*Hôpital Maison-Blanche*

*Reims, France*

Xavier Leclerc

*Department of Neuroradiology*

*CHRU de LILLE*

*Lille, France*

Alain Bonafé

*Montpellier, France*

Serge Bracard

*Nancy, France*

## Erratum

The authors of “Stenting and Angioplasty of the Stenotic Chronically Occluded Carotid Artery” (*AJNR Am J Neuroradiol* 28:168–71) regret the omission of Amin B. Kassam’s name from the list of authors. Dr. Kassam, from the Department of Neurological Surgery at the University of Pittsburgh Medical Center, contributed to the manuscript and should have been listed as the fourth author, ie, A.J. Thomas, R. Gupta, A.H. Tayal, A.B. Kassam, M.B. Horowitz, and T.G. Jovin.