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Background and Purpose: Ameloblastomas and keratocystic odontogenic tumors are major aggressive odontogenic tumors in the maxillomandibular regions, but the differentiation between these 2 tumors is frequently ineffective based on only conventional CT and MR imaging findings. Here, we evaluated diffusion-weighted MR imaging for the differentiation of these 2 odontogenic tumors.

Materials and Methods: We prospectively studied 9 patients with ameloblastoma and 7 patients with keratocystic odontogenic tumor using diffusion-weighted MR imaging. Apparent diffusion coefficients (ADCs) of the nonenhancing and solid lesions in these tumors were determined with use of 2 b factors (500 and 1000).

Results: Two types of nonenhancing lesions were identified; one with high signal intensity on fat-suppressed T2-weighted images (type A) and the other with low or intermediate intensity (type B). The type A nonenhancing lesions were observed in all the ameloblastomas, but they were evident in only 2 keratocystic odontogenic tumors. It is interesting to note that the ADCs of the nonenhancing lesions in the ameloblastomas were significantly higher than those of the nonenhancing lesions in the keratocystic odontogenic tumors (2.48 ± 0.20 × 10⁻³ mm²/s vs 1.13 ± 0.56 × 10⁻³ mm²/s; P < .001). The ADCs of the solid lesions in the ameloblastomas (1.39 ± 0.15 × 10⁻³ mm²/s) were significantly lower than those of the nonenhancing lesions in the ameloblastomas and were similar to those of the nonenhancing lesions in the keratocystic odontogenic tumors.

Conclusion: ADC determination may be used as an adjunct tool for differentiation between ameloblastomas and keratocystic odontogenic tumors.
the S coil, a 7-cm FOV for the microscopy coil, and a 0.3-mm inter-
section gap for the S coil and 0.2 mm for the microscopy coil.

For the contrast-enhanced study, gadolinium was injected at a rate
of 1.5 mL/s and at a dose of 0.1 mmol gadolinium/kg body weight.
Enhanced and nonenhanced areas were referred to as solid and non-
enhancing lesions, respectively.

On T1-weighted images, the signal intensity of a tumor was des-
ignated as high (signal intensity level similar to that of fat), low (sim-
nilar to that of muscle), or intermediate (signal intensity level between
that of fat and muscle); on fat-suppressed T2-weighted images, the
signal intensity of a tumor was designated as high (similar to that of
CSF), low (similar to that of muscle), or intermediate (intensity be-
tween that of CSF and muscle).4

**Diffusion-Weighted MR Imaging**

Diffusion-weighted axial images (TR, 4285 ms; TE, 87 ms; number of
signal intensity acquisitions, 4) were obtained by the single-shot spin-
echo, echo-planar imaging technique with an FOV of 200-mm and
matrix size of 112 × 1100 × 90. The section thickness and section gap were 3
mm and 0.3 mm, respectively. We used the sensitivity encoding
(SENSE) technique (SENSE factor, 2) to prevent susceptibility arti-
facts with a reduction in the echo-train length during the diffusion-
weighted imaging. In some tumors, diffusion-weighted axial images
(TR, 2973 ms; TE, 121 ms; number of signal intensity acquisitions, 6)
were obtained with use of the microscopy coil. The section thickness
was 2 mm. The diffusion-weighted MR imaging was performed with
a matrix of 80 × 56, an FOV of 70 mm, and an intersection gap of 0.2
mm.

We determined the ADCs using 2 b factors (500 and 1000 s/mm²)
and the following formula:

\[
ADC = \ln(SI_1/SI_2)/(b_2-b_1),
\]

where \(b_1 (= 500 \text{ s/mm}^2)\) and \(b_2 (= 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2)\) are the gradient
factors of sequences \(S_1\) and \(S_2\), respectively, and \(SI_1\) and \(SI_2\) are the

**Fig 1.** A 47-year-old man with ameloblastoma at the mandible. A, Axial T1-weighted image (TR, 500 ms; TE, 15 ms) shows the tumor (arrowhead) with low to intermediate signal intensity.
B, Axial fat-suppressed (SPIR) T2-weighted image (TR, 3741 ms; TE, 80 ms) shows large, multicystic cystic lesion with high signal intensity (arrowhead) indicative of cystic cavity. C, Axial color ADC map shows cystic tumor (arrowhead with high ADC [2.50 × 10⁻³ mm²/s]). Broken line indicates an irregular region of interest placed in the nonenhancing lesion. D, Photomicrograph shows solid tumor lesion associated with multiple small cysts, exhibiting a follicular pattern of tumor cell proliferation with peripheral palisading. Original magnification ×10.

**Conventional MR imaging features of ameloblastomas and keratocystic odontogenic tumors**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MR Imaging Features</th>
<th>Ameloblastomas</th>
<th>Keratocystic Odontogenic Tumors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>n</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maxilla/mandible</td>
<td>1/8</td>
<td>0/7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (L × S × H, cm), mean (± SD)</td>
<td>3.6 (1.2) × 2.3 (0.6) × 3.8 (0.9)</td>
<td>2.8 (0.5) × 1.4 (0.7) × 3.3 (1.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor with solid wall</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:**—T1/fsT2WI indicates T1/fat-suppressed T2-weighted images; L × S × H, average long axes (on axial T1WI) × short axes (on axial T1WI) × heights (on coronal T1WI). Solid and nonenhancing lesions indicate tumor areas with and without contrast enhancement, respectively. Bold figures indicate type A nonenhancing lesion with high-intensity signals on fsT2WI.
signal intensities by sequences $S_1$ and $S_2$, respectively. In general, when $b$ factors greater than 300 are used, the resultant ADC contains negligible amounts, if any, of the perfusion factor. Therefore, we obtained ADC maps using the 2 abovementioned $b$ factors. We obtained isotropic diffusion images by applying the 2 $b$ factors along the 3 orthogonal directions. This procedure is more time-consuming; however, the simultaneous use of the SENSE technique can compensate for the excess time required. The total image acquisition time was 2 minutes 8 seconds per 25 sections with the SENSE technique. This rapid imaging allowed us to obtain ADC maps without significant motion artifacts.

In this study, we assessed ADCs of the nonenhancing and solid lesions within the tumors by averaging all of the ADCs determined on all the axial tumor images that contained the nonenhancing and solid areas. We calculated the ADCs by semiautomatically placing an irregular region of interest onto a nonenhancing or solid area; the region of interest was placed manually on a contrast-enhanced T1-weighted image so that it encompassed the entire area of the solid or nonenhancing lesions. Subsequently, the region of interest was automatically copied and pasted onto the corresponding ADC map.

Image Analysis
We converted DICOM gray-scale ADC map images to color ADC map images using Photoshop 7.0 software (Adobe, San Jose, Calif), after setting the window level of the gray-scale ADC map images at $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s and the window width at $3.0 \times 10^{-3}$ mm$^2$/s using OsiriX software (http://www.osirix-viewer.com). As a result, tumor areas having high ADCs are displayed as color areas of shorter wavelengths such as red and tumor areas having low ADCs, as color areas of longer wavelengths such as blue. The conventional MR images and ADC maps correlated with the histologic findings of the excised tumors.

Statistical Analysis
We assessed the significance of the differences in the ADCs between the 2 tumors by using Mann-Whitney $U$ test with software (Version 13 for Windows; SPSS, Chicago, Ill).

Results

Conventional MR Imaging
Contrast-enhanced MR imaging demonstrated that the ameloblastomas were composed of varying proportions of solid and nonenhancing lesions. However, the keratoctytic odontogenic tumors exhibited thin, enhanced rims corresponding to the cyst walls. All of the ameloblastomas contained solid enhancing tissue, and none of the keratoctytic odontogenic tumors exhibited such imaging features (Table). The nonenhancing lesions of the ameloblastomas and keratoctytic odontogenic tumors exhibited low to intermediate signal intensities on T1-weighted images (Figs 1A and 2A; Table). On the other hand, the nonenhancing lesions were of low to
high signal intensity on fat-suppressed T2-weighted images (Figs 1B and 2B).

On the basis of the findings of the fat-suppressed T2-weighted images, 2 types of nonenhancing lesions were identified in the tumors: one with high signal intensity (type A) and the other with low to intermediate signal intensity (type B) (Table). The type A nonenhancing lesions were observed in all the ameloblastomas, but they were evident in only 2 cases of keratocystic odontogenic tumors. The solid lesions in the ameloblastomas were of intermediate signal intensity on fat-suppressed T2-weighted images (Table).

**Diffusion-Weighted MR Imaging**

The color ADC maps clearly delineated the nonenhancing and solid lesions of the ameloblastomas and keratocystic odontogenic tumors (Figs 1C, 1D, 2C, 2D, 3C, and 3D). Therefore, we compared the ADCs of the nonenhancing lesions in the ameloblastomas and keratocystic odontogenic tumors. The ADCs of the nonenhancing lesions in the ameloblastomas (2.48 ± 0.20 × 10⁻³ mm²/s) were significantly higher (P < .001, Mann-Whitney U test) than those of the nonenhancing lesions in the keratocystic odontogenic tumors (1.13 ± 0.56 × 10⁻³ mm²/s) (Fig 4).

When ADC of 2.0 × 10⁻³ mm²/s was used as a threshold for the differentiation between ameloblastomas and keratocystic odontogenic tumors, we obtained 100% sensitivity, 86% specificity, 94% accuracy, and 90% positive and 100% negative predictive values.

The ADCs of the solid lesions in the ameloblastomas (1.39 ± 0.16 × 10⁻³ mm²/s) were significantly lower (P < .001, Mann-Whitney U test) than those of the nonenhancing lesions in the ameloblastomas and were similar to those of the nonenhancing lesions in the keratocystic odontogenic tumors (Fig 4). The solid lesions of the keratocystic odontogenic tumors were so thin that precise ADC measurements were not achieved.

**Discussion**

Our study showed that ameloblastomas and keratocystic odontogenic tumors could be effectively differentiated on the basis of the ADC measurements of the nonenhancing lesions. Diffusion seems to be influenced by “physical” parameters such as temperature, pressure, and viscosity. Furthermore, physiologic parameters can also influence diffusion; these are most significant because extracellular matrices and fluids are of great importance in the clinical context. The physiologic parameters include cellularity, amount and ratio of intracellular and extracellular water, nucleus-to-cytoplasm ratio, and vascularity. Significant changes in any of these parameters may result in changes in the pixel intensity of the diffusion-weighted sequence. If we use adequately high b values to eliminate signals from the vascular space (eg, b = 500 and 1000), then the signal intensity would originate solely from intracellular and extracellular water.

Our finding that ADCs of the nonenhancing lesions differ significantly between the ameloblastomas and keratocystic odontogenic tumors indicates that the nonenhancing components vary between these 2 odontogenic tumors. Cystic spaces in the ameloblastomas usually contain slightly proteinaceous fluids, occasionally associated with colloidal materials.¹,² The
cyst lumen of keratocystic odontogenic tumors often contains desquamated keratin. This desquamated keratin accumulates in such large quantities that it influences the attenuations on CT images. Therefore, it is plausible that such desquamated keratin increased the viscosity of fluids in the lumen, thereby decreasing the ADC levels of the nonenhancing lesions in keratocystic odontogenic tumors compared with those of ameloblastomas, in which increases in viscosity may be minimal.

Conventional MR imaging could also provide useful information about the differentiation of ameloblastomas and keratocystic odontogenic tumors. In our study, we found that nonenhancing lesions were of high signal intensity on fat-suppressed T2-weighted images in all the ameloblastomas but in only 2 of the 7 keratocystic odontogenic tumors (Table). Therefore, the differentiation on the basis of T2-weighted images or T2 map would be useful. A previous study has reported that the cyst walls of the ameloblastomas were thicker than those of the keratocystic odontogenic tumors and were occasionally projecting into the cyst lumen; it is more interesting to note that the T2 relaxation time of the nonenhancing components was significantly shorter in the keratocystic odontogenic tumors and further demonstrated that the enhancement ratios of the ameloblastomas were significantly higher than those of the keratocystic odontogenic tumors. In the collective sense, these findings suggest that the differentiation of ameloblastomas from keratocystic odontogenic tumors on the basis of the ADC of nonenhancing lesions may be as effective as the differentiation between these tumors on the basis of contrast-enhanced study of solid lesions.

In addition to the ADCs of the ameloblastomas and keratocystic odontogenic tumors, we determined ADCs of the nonenhancing components of 6 dentigerous cysts; the ADCs of the dentigerous cysts were lower (1.56 ± 0.78 × 10^{-3} \text{mm}^2/\text{s}) than those of the ameloblastomas, though the difference was not significant because of broad SDs of the ADCs of the dentigerous cysts. The wide range of ADCs of the dentigerous cysts suggests that their nonenhancing components were considerably more heterogeneous than those of the ameloblastomas and keratocystic odontogenic tumors.

ADC measurement after placing volumetric regions of interest is time consuming. However, we found that ADCs of nonenhancing lesions in the 2 tumors were fairly uniform. Therefore, ADC measurement can be simplified by measuring ADC of a single representative nonenhancing lesion from each tumor.

**Conclusion**

We demonstrated that ADCs of nonenhancing lesions in ameloblastomas were significantly higher than those of nonenhancing lesions in keratocystic odontogenic tumors. Although conventional MR imaging findings regarding the solid lesions in ameloblastomas were distinct from those in the case of keratocystic odontogenic tumors, the ADC measurement of the nonenhancing lesions may be useful as an adjunct tool for differentiation between these 2 locally aggressive tumors in the maxillomandibular region. The effective preoperative differential diagnosis between these 2 tumors would help surgeons plan treatment (resection or enucleation).
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