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Diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) is a sensitive technique that reflects microscopic water diffusion with the use of a pair of strong diffusion gradients and has been used routinely for the early detection of cerebral ischemia with diffusion restriction. DWI and apparent diffusion coefficient (ADC) value have been studied in other clinical situations, including the differential diagnosis and grading of brain tumors.

Although it is known that higher cellularity in high-grade glioma results in greater diffusion restriction and a reduction in ADC values, whereas lower cellularity in low-grade glioma increases ADC values, DWI at a standard b-value (b = 1000 s/mm²) at 1.5T barely differentiates high-grade and low-grade gliomas in many cases because of overlapping signal intensities (SI) on DWI and ADC maps.

Theoretically, a higher b-value DWI provides better contrast with its reflection of more tissue diffusivity and less T2 shinethrough effect. However, at 1.5 or lower field strength, higher b-values are not usually used in clinical practice because of poor image quality secondary to an inferior signal-to-noise ratio (SNR). Recently, stronger gradients and faster slew rates have permitted higher b-value DWI, particularly in 3T units, because higher SNRs at 3T compensate for the inferior SNRs of high b-value DWI without increasing data acquisition time.

The purpose of our investigation was to assess whether DWI at high b-value (b = 3000 s/mm²), is better than DWI at a standard b-value (b = 1000 s/mm²) for the differential diagnosis of high-grade and low-grade cerebral gliomas in clinical practice at 3T.

**Methods**

**Patients**

The institutional review board at our institution approved this retrospective study and waived the requirement for informed consent. A total of 62 patients with 49 high-grade (WHO grade III and IV) and 13 low-grade (WHO grade II) cerebral gliomas (42 men, 20 women; mean age, 46.8 years; age range, 6–77 years) diagnosed between March 2003 and May 2005 were included in the study (Table 1). All 62 gliomas were confirmed on pathologic examination.

**Imaging Data Acquisition**

We performed all studies using a 3T MR system (Signa 3T; GE Medical Systems, Milwaukee, Wis) with a circularly polarized head coil. The system was equipped with high-performance gradients (40 mT/m with slew rate of 150 mT/m/s). All patients underwent MR imaging with 2 DWIs at b = 1000 and 3000 s/mm² in addition to unenhanced transverse and sagittal T1-weighted imaging, unenhanced transverse T2-weighted imaging, fluid-attenuated inversion-recovery (FLAIR) imaging, and contrast-enhanced T1-weighted imaging in 3 orthogonal planes.

We obtained both DWIs using a single-shot echo-planar spin-echo technique and the same following parameters: FOV, 24 × 24 cm;
matrix size, 128 × 128; section thickness, 5 mm; section gap, 1.5 mm, and NEX, 1. The TR and TE in DWI at b = 1000 and 3000 s/mm² were 10,000/73.4 ms and 10,000/94.1 ms, respectively. Diffusion gradients were encoded in 3 orthogonal axes (x, y, and z) to generate trace DWI.

### Qualitative Assessment
Two neuroradiologists (K.-H.C., D.G.N.), unaware of other conventional MR images and clinical/pathologic information, independently reviewed the DWI and scored the SIs of tumors on a 1- to 5-point scale. When a tumor had mixed SI, its most hyperintense SI was scored. After independent reviews were performed, discrepant cases were rescoring by consensus between the 2 observers.

The 5-point scale assigned to tumor signals on DWI obtained at b = 1000 s/mm² was as follows: 1 = markedly hypointense SI nearly equal to that of normal CSF; 2 = hypointense SI between those of normal CSF and normal subcortical white matter; 3 = isointense SI equal or similar to that of normal subcortical white matter; 4 = hyperintense SI between those of normal subcortical white matter and normal cortex; 5 = markedly hyperintense SI higher than that of normal cortex.

At b = 3000 s/mm², points from 1 to 3 were assigned to tumor signals the same as those observed at b = 1000 s/mm², but 4 points were assigned to hyperintense signals between those of the normal subcortical white matter and the corticospinal tract and 5 to markedly hyperintense signals that were equal to or higher than that of the normal corticospinal tract. The corticospinal tract was chosen as a reference tissue for hyperintensity because white matter, especially the contralateral cerebral hemisphere. We calculated the ADC values at b = 1000 s/mm² using SIs at b = 0 and 1000 s/mm², and ADC values at b = 3000 s/mm² using SIs at b = 1000 and 3000 s/mm².

### Statistical Analyses
We performed statistical analyses using commercially available software packages (SPSS, version 12.0; SPSS, Chicago, Ill, and Medcalc, version 9.3; Medcalc Software, Mariakerke, Belgium). P values of less than .05 were considered statistically significant. In qualitative assessments, we compared mean 5-point scale scores for high-grade and low-grade gliomas using the Student t test. Receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve analysis was performed to compare diagnostic performance at b = 1000 and 3000 s/mm² with respect to differentiation of high-grade and low-grade gliomas. Areas under the ROC curve (Az values) were also calculated to summarize the performances of the 2 DWI types with respect to this differentiation. We calculated the sensitivities, specificities, and positive and negative predictive values at the 2 b-values by using cutoff scores corresponding to minimum C1 errors as determined by ROC analysis, where C1 = 1 – (sensitivity + specificity)/2. When the score of a tumor was higher than the cutoff score, we regarded the tumor as high grade. k-coefficients of interobserver visual assessment agreements were calculated at both b-values.

In quantitative assessments, we compared the mean SI ratios and mean ADC values of high-grade and low-grade gliomas using the Student t test. Analysis of variance with a non directional Tukey post hoc procedure was used to compare those of the WHO grades of gliomas. The paired t test for matched pairs was used to compare those at b = 1000 and 3000 s/mm².

### Results
#### Qualitative Assessment
On DWI at b = 1000 s/mm², all high-grade gliomas were, at least focally, markedly hyperintense (n = 34) or hyperintense (n = 15) (Fig 1), whereas low-grade tumors were markedly hyperintense (n = 3), hypointense (n = 5), isointense (n = 3), or hypointense (n = 2) (Fig 2). At b = 3000 s/mm², most high-grade tumors were markedly hyperintense (n = 36) or hyperintense (n = 5) (Fig 1), whereas most low-grade tumors were isointense (n = 5), hypointense (n = 4), or markedly

### Table 1: Summary of histologic diagnoses and patient demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor Type</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Age (y)</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-grade glioma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO grade III</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>44.7</td>
<td>23–72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>23–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic oligodendroglioma</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45.2</td>
<td>29–63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic oligoastrocytoma</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>42.7</td>
<td>37–53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gliomatosis cerebri</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>38–72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO grade IV</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>6–77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>50.9</td>
<td>6–77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gliosarcoma</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-grade glioma</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO grade II</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>40.9</td>
<td>29–65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligodendroglioma</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>42.9</td>
<td>30–65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse astrocytoma</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>38.5</td>
<td>29–53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:—WHO indicates World Health Organization.
hypointense \((n = 2)\) (Fig 2). However, 2 grade II gliomas were markedly hyperintense.

Mean scores and ranges of DWI SIs of brain tumors according to the 5-point scale assessment are presented in Table 2. The mean score of all high-grade gliomas was significantly higher than that of low-grade gliomas at \(b = 1000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) (4.7 ± 0.5 vs 3.7 ± 1.0; \(P < .05\)) and at \(b = 3000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) (4.6 ± 0.8 vs 2.7 ± 1.3; \(P < .05\)), respectively. No significant SI difference was observed between WHO grade III and IV gliomas at either \(b\)-value (\(P > .05\)).

The ROC curves of DWIs at \(b = 1000\) and 3000 \(\text{s/mm}^2\) are shown in Fig 3. The Az value of \(b = 3000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) DWI was higher than that of \(b = 1000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) DWI but the difference was not statistically significant (\(P = .08\)). As noted in Table 3, when the optimal cutoff scores for high-grade glioma were 5 at \(b = 1000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) and 4 at \(b = 3000\ \text{s/mm}^2\), sensitivity and specificity were higher for \(b = 3000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) (83.7% and 84.6%, respectively) than for \(b = 1000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) (69.4% and 76.9%, respectively). Positive and negative predictive values at \(b = 3000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) were also higher than at \(b = 1000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) (95.3% and 57.9% vs 94.4% and 40%, respectively). \(\kappa\)-values of interobserver agreement were higher at \(b = 3000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) than at \(b = 1000\ \text{s/mm}^2\).

**Quantitative Assessment**

In high-grade and low-grade gliomas, mean SI ratios and mean ADC values at \(b = 1000\) and 3000 \(\text{s/mm}^2\) are presented in Tables 4 and 5. The mean SI ratio of high-grade gliomas was significantly higher than that of low-grade gliomas at both \(b\)-values (\(P < .05\)) (Fig 4A). The mean ADC value of high-grade gliomas was also significantly lower than that of low-grade gliomas at both \(b\)-values (\(P < .05\)) (Fig 4B). No significant difference was found between the SI ratios or ADC values of grade III and IV gliomas at either \(b\)-value.

The mean SI ratio of high-grade gliomas at \(b = 3000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) was significantly higher than that at \(b = 1000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) (\(P < .05\)), whereas the mean SI ratio of low-grade gliomas at \(b = 3000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) was significantly lower than that at \(b = 1000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) (\(P < .05\)) (Table 4). Thus, the difference between the mean SI ratios of high-grade and low-grade tumors at \(b = 3000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) was greater than that at \(b = 1000\ \text{s/mm}^2\).

The mean ADC values of brain tumors and normal subcortical white matter at \(b = 3000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) were significantly lower than at \(b = 1000\ \text{s/mm}^2\) (\(P < .01\)) (Table 5, Fig 4B). At a \(b\)-value of 3000 \(\text{s/mm}^2\), the ADCs of high-grade and low-grade gliomas decreased by 34% to 36%, whereas the ADC of normal subcortical white matter decreased by 20%.
Cellularity is one of the most important determinations of brain tumor grade. Increased cellularity in a high-grade tumor restricts the microscopic water movement in tissue, and thus ADC values are lower than those of low-grade tumors, which have relatively low cellularity. As a result, high-grade tumors have more intense DWI SIs than low-grade tumors.

In our study, our comparisons of DWIs at $b = 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2$ and $b = 3000 \text{ s/mm}^2$ showed more conspicuous hyperintensity in most high-grade gliomas, and more conspicuous hypointensity in most low-grade gliomas at $b = 3000 \text{ s/mm}^2$. This result indicates that differences between the SI ratios of high-grade and low-grade gliomas are larger at $b = 3000 \text{ s/mm}^2$ (Fig 4A), which results in better diagnostic performance (higher sensitivity and specificity) at $b = 3000 \text{ s/mm}^2$. In particular, this difference between $b = 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2$ and $b = 3000 \text{ s/mm}^2$ was more prominent in low-grade gliomas. Many

Table 2: Mean scores and ranges of DWI signal intensities (SIs) in cerebral gliomas according to the five-point visual assessment scale used in this study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$b = 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2$</th>
<th>$b = 3000 \text{ s/mm}^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean ± SD</td>
<td>Range</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High-grade glioma ($n = 49$)</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO grade III ($n = 20$)</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO grade IV ($n = 29$)</td>
<td>4.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4–5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low-grade (II) glioma ($n = 13$)</td>
<td>3.7 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2–5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: WHO indicates World Health Organization; SD, standard deviation.

Discussion

Cellularity is one of the most important determinations of brain tumor grade. Increased cellularity in a high-grade tumor restricts the microscopic water movement in tissue, and thus ADC values are lower than those of low-grade tumors, which have relatively low cellularity. As a result, high-grade tumors have more intense DWI SIs than low-grade tumors.

In our study, our comparisons of DWIs at $b = 1000 \text{ s/mm}^2$ and $b = 3000 \text{ s/mm}^2$ showed more conspicuous hyperintensity in most high-grade gliomas, and more conspicuous hypointensity in most low-grade gliomas at $b = 3000 \text{ s/mm}^2$. This result indicates that differences between the SI ratios of...
hyperintense or isointense low-grade gliomas at $b = 1000$ s/mm$^2$ became hypointense at $b = 3000$ s/mm$^2$ with a mean SI ratio of less than 1. This greater difference in SI ratios at high b-values resulted in greater contrast between tumors and normal tissue.

As noted in Table 5, at $b = 1000$ s/mm$^2$, the mean ADC values of high-grade and low-grade gliomas were higher than that of normal white matter, which concurs with previous studies.\textsuperscript{23,26} but at $b = 3000$ s/mm$^2$, the mean ADC values of high-grade gliomas were lower than that of normal white matter, whereas the ADC value of low-grade glioma remained higher compared to that of normal white matter (Fig 4B). Consequently, these changes in the ADC values in high-grade and low-grade gliomas at high b-value resulted in greater contrast between tumors and normal tissue.

As demonstrated in Table 3, a high positive predictive value (95.3%) indicates that DWI at $b = 3000$ s/mm$^2$ is clinically useful to predict high-grade tumor (hyperintense or markedly hyperintense on DWI, or higher than score 4), though it is unlikely to replace biopsy to determine tumor grade and pathology. However, its low negative predictive value (57.9%) suggests that it is not as useful at predicting low-grade glioma when DWI SIs are isointense or hypointense, and that, thus, the technique should be applied with caution. If predictions of low-grade glioma were limited to hypointense or markedly hypointense masses, its positive predictive value for low-grade glioma would increase to approximately 85%.

ADC values decrease when b-values are increased beyond 1000 s/mm$^2$.\textsuperscript{16,27-31} Delano et al\textsuperscript{16} reported that ADC values decreased by approximately 30% to 35% when $b$-values are increased beyond 1000 s/mm$^2$. The observed ADC decrease on increasing b-values could be explained by biexponential SI decay. Fast and slow diffusion components have been described in human brain models, and at a relatively low b-value, SI is dominated by fast diffusion, whereas at a high b-value SI is governed predominantly by slow diffusion. Intracellular and extracellular water are considered to correspond to, but not exactly equal to, slow and fast diffusion components, respectively.\textsuperscript{27,31-33}

In the present study, degrees of ADC decrease at $b = 3000$ s/mm$^2$ differed in tumors and normal brain tissue (34%–36% vs 20%, respectively). Although the reason for this difference is not known, we speculate that the slow diffusion component fraction, which may be determined by the concentrations of water-binding macromolecules, cellular size, and changes in tissue architecture,\textsuperscript{30} is larger in brain tumors than in normal brain tissue.
The trade-off of using a high b-value is SNR reduction. In our study at 3T, mean SNR at b = 3000 s/mm² and with NEX of 1 was approximately one third of mean SNR at b = 1000 s/mm² and with NEX of 1 (30.8 ± 21.7 vs 93.5 ± 42.1). However, the lower SNR at b = 3000 s/mm² and NEX of 1 did not lead to any diagnostic problem in our study. Thus, higher SNRs at 3T seem to overcome the SNR decrease associated with the use of a high b-value.

One of the limitations of our study concerns the identifications of areas containing highest SIs in tumors with variable tissue components. In our study, we adopted the method described by Wetzel et al, who selected highest SI regions from among 3 measurements to determine high-grade tumors in their perfusion MR imaging study. Different TEs at b = 1000 s/mm² and b = 3000 s/mm² could have been another limitation. The TEs used in our study were minimum TEs and were optimized for the 2 b-values.

Conclusion

DWI at b = 3000 s/mm² showed more conspicuous hyperintensity than DWI at b = 1000 s/mm², at least focally, in most high-grade gliomas, and hypointensity in most low-grade gliomas. Sensitivity and specificity at b = 3000 s/mm² were higher than those at b = 1000 s/mm² (83.7% and 84.6% vs 69.4% and 76.9%, respectively), and the quantitative assessment showed that differences between the SI ratios of high-grade and low-grade gliomas were significantly larger at b = 3000 s/mm² than at b = 1000 s/mm². Thus, we recommend that high b-value DWI (b = 3000 s/mm²) be used to predict the grade of cerebral gliomas at 3T.
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