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Nonhelical Acquisition CT Angiogram after Aneurysmal Clipping: In Vitro Testing Shows Diminished Artifact

SUMMARY: Imaging of the cerebral vessels with use of CT angiogram (CTA) after placement of aneurysmal clips is often limited by clip artifacts. We used a phantom to demonstrate a visible reduction in metal artifact when using the axial technique, compared with the usual CTA helical acquisition. This approach may have some advantage when used for CTA with 64-section scanners in the specific circumstance of immediate postoperative imaging after placement of cerebral aneurysmal clips.

CT angiogram (CTA) is an attractive alternative for patients who require imaging of the cerebral vasculature after aneurysm clipping compared with conventional angiography. Although advances in CT technology and the use of titanium clips have improved the quality of CTA after aneurysmal clipping, artifacts arising from the clip limit the visualization of the aneurysmal neck and nearby vessels in some cases. CTA usually requires the use of helical image acquisition, to provide rapid imaging with sufficient coverage, but it is of interest to consider that CT imaging of the brain at most sites is done with the axial technique because, in principle, it has fewer artifacts. We wondered if axial imaging might improve the quality of CTA after placement of aneurysmal clips. This report describes the results of our study with use of a clipped aneurysmal phantom in which we compared the artifacts using the helical and axial techniques.

Case Report/Technique
We studied silicone models of aneurysms in both a simple water bath and inside a skull to simulate the beam hardening that occurs in vivo. We immersed the skull in a bucket of tap water for imaging. These silicone phantoms were filled with dilute contrast (1 mL of Omnipaque 350 [GE Healthcare, Piscataway, NJ] mixed in 9 mL of saline). We studied all of the aneurysmal phantoms with a 64-section scanner (GE Healthcare, Milwaukee, Wis) using our postclipping CTA technique (ie, kV, 140; mA, 380; section collimation, 0.625 mm; pitch, 0.96; rotation speed, 0.4 seconds). These were compared with scans with use of the axial technique with a single rotation with 32 detectors (Yasargil). We established the timing for acquisition of the source data (Fig 2). Differences in image quality related to the rotation speed of the tube in helical mode were not apparent on the 3D models.

On the basis of these tests, we used axial imaging for the follow-up CTA of a patient 1 day after successful clipping of an anterior communicating aneurysm using a titanium clip (Yasargil). We established the timing for acquisition of the CTA using a timing bolus of 15 mL of contrast injected while scanning a single level in the neck at the level of C4. A cursor was placed within the high cervical internal carotid artery, and the time to peak attenuation was displayed as a graph on the operator console. The time delay to acquire the scan from the start of the injection was calculated to be the time-to-peak attenuation of the bolus plus 1 second. The 2-cm imaging slab (defined by the center as 32 detectors on the 64-section scan-
ner) was centered on the clip, which was easily identified on the scout. We used 100 cc of contrast for this examination, though in principle it could be obtained with less.

Comparing the radiation dose and contrast requirements of this technique to conventional angiography is difficult because the radiation dose from conventional angiography is dependent on the hardware (eg, units with image intensifiers may deliver a larger dose than those by using digital flat plate) and the number of projections obtained. Some angiographers use rotational angiography to allow the generation of a 3D model as well as minimizing any need to acquire additional views. The contrast requirements for axial CTA and rotational angiography should prove to be comparable. Any comparison of these techniques must consider the relative procedure related risks as well as the speed of acquisition of the CTA.

We judged the image quality in our clinical case as excellent, with little visible artifact near the clip on source images and clear visualization of the aneurysm clip and adjacent vessels on the reformatted images and 3D reconstructions (Fig 3). The interpretation of an intraoperative conventional angiogram, which had been obtained at the time of clip placement, was in agreement (ie, there was no residual aneurysm neck). Reviewing the dose reports calculated from the scanner for the preoperative CTA compared with the postoperative axial examination, while the CTDIvol was slightly higher for the axial scan (111 vs 76 mGy), we found that the dose length product was significantly less (223 mGy-cm vs 1264 mGy-cm) because of the limited coverage needed for this postoperative study.

Discussion

Multidetector scanners can be used to acquire images in either an axial or helical mode. One specific artifact associated with helical scanning has been compared with the blades of a wind-mill and is attributed to the difficulties of reconstructing a single section from data acquired from multiple detectors. The number of blades increases with pitch, and this might account for the decreased artifact noted by van der Schaaf et al when they used pitch of less than 1 in their phantom study of clip artifacts.

The goals of postoperative imaging the aneurysmal clip site immediately after placement of an aneurysmal clip is to establish the patency of the nearby vessels and the complete elimination of the aneurysm from the cerebral circulation. Intraoperative angiography is valuable because there is still the option of improving the clip position, but because of the limitations imposed by the operating room environment and lesser image

Fig 1. The helical acquisition of the titanium clip (A) shows the lines of alternating white and dark arising from the clip. The axial scan done with an otherwise identical technique (B) shows a diminished artifact in comparison to the helical acquisition.

Fig 2. A This 3D reformatted image with use of a Vitrea workstation shows blurring of the margins of the aneurysmal clip on the side of the clip (large arrow). The aneurysmal dome is visible (small arrow). The axial acquisition (B) more clearly demonstrates the aneurysmal clip, with fewer artifacts arising from the margins of the clip, particularly at the level of the spring.

Fig 3. These 3D models were generated from the axial CTA acquisition. Images of the clip viewed from superior to inferior (A) and inferior to superior (B) show the relationship of the clip (large white arrow) to the A2 segments of the anterior cerebral arteries (small arrows). The maximum intensity projection image in the coronal plane (C) again demonstrates the relationships of the clip (large arrow) to the A2 segments (small arrow) and also highlights the narrow coverage of the axial acquisition while still including the pertinent anatomy around the clip and vessels distal to the clip.
quality compared with a dedicated angiography room, a sec-
ond study in the immediate postoperative period is often war-
ranted. This approach with axial CTA but with limited cov-
erage would be well suited for immediate postoperative imaging and has the potential to eliminate the need for a post-
operative conventional angiogram. Although this approach
does require accurate determination of the scan coverage, this
can be easily done with use of the clip itself based on the scout
view and would not require physician interaction. The speed
of the single section is sufficiently fast that several scans could
be obtained at that same level to eliminate the possibility of
missing the bolus though at the cost of additional radiation.
The axial technique might be well suited for those cases with
multiple clips in which conventional CTA is frequently limited
by the composite artifacts. There may also be advantages to
this approach in cases in which the clip is in close proximity to
the skull, as is common with aneurysms of the anterior com-
municating artery and posterior inferior cerebellar artery. Fol-
low-up angiography at 3 years or more should also allow de-
tection of new aneurysms at remote sites, and, for this reason,
helical CTA would be necessary.

The clip artifact would be minimized when the clip is ori-
ented perpendicular to the section. This is more dependent on
the orientation of the aneurysm and the approach used by the
surgeon than it is on the actual aneurysm site, but it is more
common to see transverse clip placement at all sites because of
the lateral exposure commonly used.

Further evaluation of this approach is necessary because
the factors that lead to metal artifacts are complex, and many
are specific to scanners and reconstruction software. Cur-
rently, the use of titanium clips is implicit for any reasonable
imaging of aneurysmal clips with CTA regardless of the scanner.
This approach would not be feasible with an 8-section scanner, however, because of limited coverage with thin sec-
tions. A scanner with more detectors than 64 should provide
even more coverage with a single spin of the tube and may be
the ideal for CTA for any indication. Clinical studies will be
needed to determine whether this approach improves CTA
sufficiently to justify the reduced coverage, but it would seem
to be a promising approach based on this in vitro investigation.
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