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Orbital Disorders

Imaging analysis of orbital diseases is facilitated by a compartmental approach that establishes differential diagnoses on the basis of the location of the process within the orbit. General visual disturbances and/or ophthalmoplegia may be caused by such conditions as tumors, infections, inflammations, and vascular disorders, which may be found at any location along the visual pathway extending from the globe to the occipital lobes. Therefore, the choice of appropriate imaging technique and focus depends on the specific clinical condition and may include portions of the orbits, anterior skull base, and/or brain.

CT and MR imaging are complementary diagnostic procedures and may be used together in some circumstances (Table). Dedicated thin-section multiplanar orbital imaging is necessary for detecting orbital abnormalities. The intrinsic contrast provided by orbital fat allows for excellent anatomic visualization with either technique. Contrast enhancement is important in assessing most orbital disorders. CT is useful in evaluating bony structures, and MR imaging excels in evaluating soft tissues. Because of the absence of radiation and the utility of fat-suppressed contrast-enhanced images, MR imaging has emerged as the procedure of choice for orbital disorders, with the exception of trauma and assessment for foreign bodies. Moreover, specialized surface coils have expanded the utility of MR imaging. Sonography and fluorescein angiography are also important modalities; however, these special procedures are usually performed by the ophthalmologist.

Optic Nerve and Sheath Disorders

Primary disorders of the optic nerve and optic nerve sheath include optic nerve tumors such as gliomas, astrocytomas, hamartomas, and meningiomas. Extension of these tumors into the optic chiasm, optic tracts, and lateral geniculate bodies of the thalamus is more accurately depicted on MR imaging than on CT. The size and shape of the optic canals are best assessed in the axial projection, while the size and shape of the optic nerves are best appreciated on coronal and oblique sagittal images. Many optic nerve tumors exhibit fusiform homogeneous enhancement. Meningiomas may be cuffed, surrounding the optic nerves, or eccentrically located on 1 side of the nerve. CT scans will often demonstrate calcifications. Enhancement parallel to the length of the optic nerves with the intact nerve seen within the mass (“tram-tracking”) is seen on both CT and MR imaging. MR imaging scans also readily depict the spread into adjacent meninges.

The papilledema associated with pseudotumor cerebri or intracranial mass may enlarge the optic nerve as detected on CT or MR imaging. If severe, there is a reversal of the optic nerve head, with bulging forward into the posterior wall of the globe. While dilatation of the peripetric subarachnoid space is best appreciated on fat-suppressed T2-weighted images, reversal of the nerve head may be more readily detected on CT than on MR imaging because of the chemical shift artifacts inherent to the MR imaging studies. MR imaging may also monitor optic nerve damage in other disorders such as glaucoma. Other Orbital Neoplasms

Imaging with MR imaging also demonstrates other orbital tumors such as benign cavernous hemangiomas, complex vascular lesions such as lymphangiomas and capillary hemangiomas, schwannomas, metastases, and lymphomas. CT, as a complementary method, may reveal calcifications and bone destruction.

Optic Nerve Neuropathies

Optic neuritis is best seen on MR imaging as focal or diffuse enlargement of the optic nerve, abnormal hyperintensity on T2-weighted images, and/or enhancement. These features are best appreciated on fat-suppressed T2-weighted and contrast-enhanced T1-weighted images. MR imaging has become an essential study for evaluating patients with optic neuropathy and suspected multiple sclerosis and supplements other clinical studies. Even when MR imaging findings of the orbit are normal, imaging of the brain may reveal foci of demyelination.

Radiation-induced optic neuropathy may manifest after a latency period of 6–36 months following treatment. Clinically, the nerve head may appear normal, but gadolinium-enhanced fat-suppressed MR imaging will show patchy, linear, or confluent enhancement along the portions of the optic nerve, chiasm, or optic tract.

Vascular Disorders

In patients with cavernous carotid fistulas, arteriovenous malformations, or orbital varices, MR imaging or CT will demonstrate the dilated ophthalmic veins, facial veins, and other regional venous structures along with enlargement of the cavernous sinus. Large edematous extraocular muscles and periorbital structures may be identified. Proptosis is typically
The differentiation of an amelanotic melanoma from a subretinal hemorrhage is based on both the precontrast and postcontrast T1-weighted images. Of note are metastatic lesions to the retina or certain inflammatory conditions that cannot be consistently differentiated from primary uveal melanomas. Doppler sonography may help detect vascularity within an intraocular tumor and help differentiate such entities from nonvascular choroidal, subretinal, or subhyaloid effusions or from hematomas.
Summary

- Imaging analysis of the orbit is facilitated by a compartmental approach.
- CT and MR imaging are complementary diagnostic procedures for suspected orbital pathology.
- CT is useful in evaluating bony structures.
- MR imaging is useful in evaluating soft-tissue structures such as the globe and optic nerves and intraconal and extraconal spaces.
- CTA, MR angiography, and conventional angiography may be useful in vascular conditions.
- Conventional angiography may be useful in delivering therapeutic intervention.

Anticipated Exceptions

Nephrogenic systemic fibrosis (NSF) is a disorder with a sclerodema-like presentation and a spectrum of manifestations that can range from limited clinical sequelae to fatality. It has occurred primarily in patients on dialysis, rarely appears to be related to both underlying severe renal dysfunctions that can range from limited clinical sequelae to fatality. It is of interest to note that NSF has been reported in patients with very limited glomerular filtration rate (GFR) (ie, <30 mL/min/1.73 m²), and almost never in other patients. There is growing literature regarding NSF. Although some controversy and lack of clarity remain, there is a consensus that it is advisable to avoid all gadolinium-based contrast agents in dialysis-dependent patients unless the possible benefits clearly outweigh the risk and to limit the type and amount in patients with estimated GFR rates <30 mL/min/1.73 m². For more information, please see the ACR Manual on Contrast Media.

Review Information

This guideline was originally developed in 1999. The last review and update were completed in 2009.
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