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Brain Abnormalities on MR Imaging in Patients with Retinoblastoma

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Although pineoblastoma is the main brain abnormality associated with hereditary retinoblastoma, recent studies suggest an association with pineal cysts. This association is important because some pineoblastomas mimic pineal cysts. If there is a relationship, then radiologists should be aware of it because diagnostic confusion is possible. Mental retardation and congenital brain anomalies are also reported in patients with retinoblastoma, mostly in combination with 13q deletion syndrome. In this retrospective study, the presence of brain abnormalities on MR images in a large group of consecutive patients with retinoblastoma is evaluated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Brain MR images of 168 patients with retinoblastoma from 1989 to 2009 were evaluated by 2 radiologists for tumors, structural anomalies, myelinization, and coincidental findings. Clinical records were reviewed for laterality, heredity, and the presence of the 13q deletion syndrome.

RESULTS: The hereditary group (patients with bilateral and unilateral proved RB1-germline mutation) included 90 (54%) of 168 patients. Seven patients had 13q deletion syndrome. Normal findings on brain MR images were seen in 150 (89%) patients. Five pineoblastomas were detected, all in patients with hereditary retinoblastoma (5.5% in the hereditary subgroup). Nine pineal cysts were detected (2.2% in the hereditary subgroup). Corpus callosum agenesis was found in 1 patient and a Dandy-Walker variant in 1 patient, both in combination with 13q deletion syndrome.

CONCLUSIONS: Pineoblastoma is associated with hereditary retinoblastoma, and structural brain abnormalities are restricted to patients with the 13q deletion syndrome. The incidence of pineal cysts in patients with retinoblastomas is similar to that in healthy children and is not associated with hereditary retinoblastoma.

ABBREVIATIONS: CCA = corpus callosum agenesis; DV = dilated ventricles; MRI = MR imaging; Pre-t. MRI = pretreatment MRI; RB = retinoblastoma

Retinoblastoma is the most common intraocular tumor in early childhood, occurring in 1/17,000 live births.1 Retinoblastoma cells contain a mutation or deletion of the retinoblastoma gene (RB1 gene), a tumor-suppressor gene, located on chromosome 13q14.2,3 Approximately 40% of patients with retinoblastoma have hereditary disease (mostly bilateral tumors), while the remainder (60%) have unilateral nonhereditary disease. A minority is due to a cytogenetically detectable interstitial deletion of variable size with a minimal overlapping region in band 13q14.4 It is reported that midline intracranial neuroblastic tumors of either the suprasellar or the pineal region (pineoblastoma) are present in approximately 5%–15% of patients with hereditary retinoblastoma.5

Besides midline malignant tumors, benign intracranial abnormalities are also reported in the retinoblastoma population, in particular the presence of pineal cysts. In the literature, an association between hereditary retinoblastoma and pineal cysts is suggested. Popovic et al6 reported an incidence of pineal lesions (cysts and pineoblastoma) of 6.4% in their retinoblastoma population. All pineal lesions were present in the bilaterally affected hereditary patients. In this subgroup, they found an equal incidence of 5.3% for both pineal cysts and pineoblastoma. The combination of retinoblastoma, suprasellar tumor, and pineal cyst is also reported, which, according to Popovic et al,7 further adds to a possible relationship between hereditary retinoblastoma and pineal lesions. Karatza et al8 reported 11 patients with retinoblastoma with pineal cysts simulating pineoblastoma. They recommended that clinicians should be aware of the presence of this benign lesion when performing routine neuroimaging, especially in patients with bilateral disease.

However, pineal cysts are quite common in the general population. In postmortem cases, pineal cysts are encountered in 25%–40% of people of all ages.9,10 In recent literature, an incidence of 0.4%–2.2% on MR images in the general pediatric population between 0 and 5 years of age has been reported.11 It is already known that pineoblastoma is associated with retinoblastoma. If the same is true for pineal cysts, then radiologists should be aware of the association. Distinct imaging criteria in distinguishing pineoblastomas from pineal cysts should be evaluated to avoid diagnostic and therapeutic confusion.

Retinoblastoma may occur in the presence of 13q deletion syndrome. The risk of retinoblastoma development in patients with this syndrome is approximately 80%.5,4 Besides retinoblastoma, this syndrome has a spectrum of clinical features,
including moderate-to-severe developmental delay. Baud et al⁴ reported a variable degree of mental retardation in patients with retinoblastoma with associated 13q cytogenetic abnormalities. Only in case reports, describing patients with 13q deletion syndrome or in combination with retinoblastoma, are congenital brain abnormalities or brain disorders indicative of cognitive symptoms reported.⁴,⁷,¹²-²⁴ Ballarati et al²⁵ reported central nervous system anomalies such as Dandy-Walker malformation, cerebellar hypoplasia, cortical dysplasia, and agenesis of the corpus callosum in patients with 13q deletion. Although one can assume that these symptoms are due to 13q deletion syndrome, it is interesting to evaluate whether (structural) brain abnormalities are involved in patients with retinoblastoma. To our knowledge, no large correlative study has been performed on the presence of retinoblastoma and brain abnormalities on MR imaging.

The purpose of this retrospective study was to evaluate the presence of brain abnormalities on MR images in a large group of consecutive patients with retinoblastoma.

Materials and Methods

Patients

Patients eligible for this retrospective study were those at our institution with retinoblastoma who had undergone MR imaging of the brain that enabled adequate image interpretation between 1989 and 2009. To avoid alteration in the brain due to aging, we only evaluated patients younger than 5 years of age. The diagnosis of retinoblastoma was confirmed in all patients with extensive funduscopy, sonography, and MR imaging. In case of enucleation, the diagnosis was confirmed by histopathology. In this period, we identified 245 patients with retinoblastoma, and all patients had undergone MR imaging. However, in 77 patients, brain imaging studies were absent or incomplete and, therefore, excluded. The total number of included patients was 168.

Clinical records were reviewed by 1 reviewer for the patient’s sex, age at first MR imaging, laterality, heredity, and the presence of 13q deletion syndrome. In patients with brain abnormalities, the evaluation of pre- or posttreatment MR imaging had to exclude treatment-induced brain abnormalities.

Patients with bilateral disease or a positive family history of retinoblastoma or a defect in the RB1 gene found in chromosomal/deoxyribonucleic acid analysis were classified as having hereditary disease. All other patients were classified as having nonhereditary disease.⁵

MR Imaging

MR imaging was performed at 1T (Magnetom Impact Expert; Siemens, Erlangen, Germany) and 1.5T (Magnetom Vision and Sonata, Siemens). Within the past 20 years, MR imaging protocols for retinoblastoma have changed. In the beginning, whole-brain MR imaging sequences were more common in retinoblastoma MR imaging protocols in combination with a standard-quadrature head coil. Due to technologic developments and improved coil design, attention shifted to small-FOV imaging of the orbits by using surface coils. MR imaging protocols did not differ between unilaterally and bilaterally affected patients. Postcontrast axial T1-weighted MR images covering the whole brain were available in all included patients. In only 48 of 168 patients were axial T2-weighted MR images of the brain available.

Image Analysis

MR imaging examinations were individually reviewed by 2 radiologists with, respectively, 4 and 9 years of experience in pediatric neuroradiology. Disagreement was resolved by consensus. The parameters evaluated on MR imaging were selected on the basis of literature study⁴,⁷,¹²-²⁴ and were divided into the following categories: benign or malignant tumor (tumor of the pineal gland, pineal cyst, or other); structural anomalies (corpus callosum agenesis, holoprosencephaly, encephalocoele, Dandy-Walker malformation or a variant, hypoplasia of the basic pontis, microcephaly and polymicrogyria, or other); and degree of myelinization, ventriculomegaly, or coincidental findings. Special attention was paid to myelinization to clarify possible cognitive symptoms. The diagnosis of a pineal tumor was made if the pineal gland was enlarged, solid, and isointense to gray matter on T1-weighted images with homogeneous and intense contrast enhancement.⁶ A pineal cyst was diagnosed if the pineal gland was enlarged and had a central region hypointense with respect to white matter on T1-weighted images and isointense with respect to CSF on T2-weighted images and a thin wall of ≤2 mm with discrete rim enhancement after gadolinium injection.⁶ The diameters of pineal cysts and pineoblastomas were measured.

Results

Clinical Findings

The mean age at first MR imaging of the 168 patients was 17 months (range, 0–59 months). Bilateral retinoblastoma was present in 78 patients (46%) and unilateral disease in 90 patients (54%). Seventy-nine patients with retinoblastoma were female (47%) (41 bilateral, 38 unilateral) and 89 (53%) were male (36 bilateral, 53 unilateral). The hereditary group consisted of 90 patients and the nonhereditary group of 78 patients. Seven hereditary patients (8%) were diagnosed with 13q deletion syndrome.

MR Imaging Findings

Brain MR imaging showed no abnormalities in 150 (89%) patients. Brain abnormalities were found in 18 patients (11%), with the pineal gland as the most commonly affected site (14 patients, 78%) (Table). Pineoblastoma was found in 5 patients at a mean age of 20 months (range, 1–39 months), all with hereditary retinoblastoma (3.0% overall incidence, and 5.5% in the hereditary group). The mean interval between diagnosis of ocular and intracranial tumor was 16.4 months. In 1 patient (10 months of age), the pineoblastoma was detected with the diagnosis of retinoblastoma (synchronous tumors). In the other 4 patients, the pineoblastoma was discovered at a later stage; 6, 15, 25, and 37 months after the diagnosis of retinoblastoma (metachronous tumors). In patients 6, 8, and 9, the tumor presented as a solid mass isointense with respect to gray matter on precontrast T1-weighted images and showed a homogeneous intense contrast enhancement in the pineal region (diameters respectively, 55, 16, and 49 mm) (Table). In patients 5 and 7, the tumor consisted of a heterogeneous mass with intense contrast enhancement and areas with low signal intensity on T1-weighted images and high signal intensity on T2-weighted images, suspicious for central necrosis. In patient 5, the configuration of the lesion mimicked a pineal cyst (Fig 1). On axial images however, the diagnosis of pineoblastoma was more
evident because there was an asymmetric nodular thickening of the enhancing wall. The thickness of the enhancing wall varied from 3 to 7 mm. Histopathology revealed a pineoblastoma with central necrosis.

The total incidence of pineal cysts in our study was 5.4% (9 patients), with an incidence of 9.0% in the nonhereditary group (7 patients; mean age, 20 months; range, 10–34 months) and 2.2% in the hereditary group (2 patients; mean age, 9 months; range, 9–10 months) (Fig 2). The mean size of the cysts in the nonhereditary group was 4.8 mm (range, 3–7 mm) and 4 mm in the hereditary group (range, 3–5 mm). In 2 patients, follow-up MR imaging was available and showed no change in the pineal cysts.

Besides pineoblastoma and pineal cysts, a diversity of brain abnormalities was found. Corpus callosum agenesis occurred in 1 patient with hereditary retinoblastoma (Fig 3), and in another patient, a hereditary Dandy-Walker variant occurred. Both were known to have a 13q deletion syndrome. In the other 5 patients (71%) with 13q deletion syndrome, no brain abnormalities were present. One patient with hereditary retinoblastoma showed DV.

Evaluation of white matter abnormalities was only possible in 48 patients because of incomplete series of T2-weighted images of the brain in 120 patients. In this subgroup, no white matter abnormalities were observed and all patients with retinoblastoma showed normal myelinization.

**Discussion**

In this study, we present an overview of brain abnormalities in a large group of patients with retinoblastoma.

Pineoblastoma is the most well-known brain pathology associated with retinoblastoma, a combination known as trilateral retinoblastoma, occurring in 1.5%–5% of all patients and in 5%–15% in the hereditary subgroup. Besides the pineal region, tumors may also occur in the suprasellar or parasellar region. Our study showed similar results, with an incidence of 3.0% in the whole study group and 5.5% concerning only the hereditary group.

Three of 5 pineoblastomas showed a typical imaging pattern: a solid homogeneous lobulated enlarged pineal gland, isointense with gray matter on T1-weighted images with intense homogeneous contrast-enhancement. In the other 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient No./Age (Mo)/Sex</th>
<th>Heredity</th>
<th>Pre-t. MRI</th>
<th>MRI Findings (Side)</th>
<th>Size (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1/1/M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>DV (temporal and atrium)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/13/M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dandy-Walker variant with DV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3/4/F</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>CCA (corpus/splenium), vermis hypoplasia, DV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4/10/M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Trigonocephalia, DV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5/10/M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pineoblastoma</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6/39/F</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pineoblastoma</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7/5/F</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pineoblastoma</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8/1/M</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pineoblastoma</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9/37/F</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pineoblastoma</td>
<td>49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10/34/M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pineal cyst</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11/16/F</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pineal cyst</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12/31/M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pineal cyst</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/15/F</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pineal cyst</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/10/F</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pineal cyst</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/23/F</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pineal cyst</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/9/F</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pineal cyst</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/10/M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pineal cyst</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18/18/M</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pineal cyst</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Iyama et al. reported a case of a pineoblastoma with a large \_\_\_. This has been reported only once before. Sugerroneously diagnosed as a pineal cyst, with severe clinical consequences. In our experience, the pineoblastoma was discovered in an early stage synchronously with the intraocular tumor on baseline MR imaging. One pineoblastoma even mimicked a pineal cyst due to a large central area of histopathologically proved necrosis. The thickness of this cyst wall (7 mm), however, did not correspond with the criteria of pineal cyst (thickness, ≤2 mm). This finding can cause confusion in the diagnosis of pineoblastoma, and it could have been erroneously diagnosed as a pineal cyst, with severe clinical consequences. This has been reported only once before. Sugiya et al. reported a case of a pineoblastoma with a large central cyst, histopathologically due to central necrosis. In our patient, the pineoblastoma was discovered in an early stage synchronously with the intraocular tumor on baseline MR imaging without clinical symptoms due to pineoblastoma.

Central necrosis can be present in large and small pineoblastomas, and especially small pineoblastomas with central necrosis can mimic a pineal cyst. In our experience, the thickness and appearance of the cyst wall before and after contrast administration on MR imaging are indeed important to differentiate a pineal cyst and pineoblastoma. Pineal cysts show a thin wall (<2 mm) with discrete enhancement, whereas pineoblastomas with central necrosis show a nodular thickened wall with homogeneous wall enhancement.

In our screening for brain abnormalities in 168 patients with retinoblastoma, pineal cysts were detected in 5.4% of the patients. Sener performed a review of MR imaging in 500 children and 500 adults and found no pineal cysts in children younger than 12 years of age. The sensitivity of this study, however, could have been limited by using 0.5T MR imaging in evaluation. Sawamura et al. found 79 cysts in 6023 patients but none in children younger than 10 years of age. However, only MR images in 73 children of 10 years of age and younger were evaluated.

The study of Al-Holou et al. was the only one in which the incidence of pineal cysts on MR imaging was examined in healthy children younger than 5 years of age. They reported an incidence of 2.0%, almost similar to that in our hereditary group. In this study, the pineal cyst population was compared with an age- and sex-matched control population. Popovic et al. also reported almost the same incidence as in our overall study group (5.3%). They found cysts in patients with bilateral hereditary retinoblastoma, but no pineal cysts in the patients with nonhereditary retinoblastoma. These previously mentioned studies included only pineal cysts of ≥5 mm. In our study of patients with retinoblastoma younger than 5 years of age, 5 of the 9 cysts were ≤5 mm (4 of the 5 pineal cysts in the nonhereditary group).

A follow-up study in a matched control group would be necessary to examine the high incidence of pineal cysts in the patients with nonhereditary compared with hereditary retinoblastoma with the same criteria used in our study. In previous studies, only Karatza et al. reported the occurrence of pineal cysts in patients with nonhereditary retinoblastoma. They reviewed 1400 medical records of patients with retinoblastoma and found 3 pineal cysts (0.2%) in patients with unilateral and 8 pineal cysts (0.6%) in patients with bilateral retinoblastoma. These low incidences are probably due to screening for pineal cysts in medical records instead of re-evaluation of MR images. In our experience, these cysts are not always described in medical records as a finding. However, for assessing the association between retinoblastoma and pineal cysts, the incidence of pineal cysts in the hereditary group is interesting. Given the incidence of 2.2% in the hereditary group, which is similar to the incidence in the healthy population, there is no association between hereditary retinoblastoma and pineal cysts. Although it is important to be aware of pineoblastomas mimicking a pineal cyst, there is no evidence that pineal cysts occur more often in patients with retinoblastoma compared with the healthy pediatric population.

In our study group, larger structural brain abnormalities occurred only in combination with a 13q deletion syndrome. One patient with 13q deletion syndrome had a corpus callosum agenesis and another patient showed a Dandy-Walker variant with DV. This brain abnormality is also described in previous case reports. Alamay et al. reported a patient with a thin corpus callosum and a Dandy-Walker malformation.

**Conclusions**

In conclusion, pineoblastoma is associated with hereditary retinoblastoma, and structural brain abnormalities are restricted to the patients with 13q deletion syndrome. The inci-
Incidence of pineal cysts in retinoblastoma is similar to that in healthy children and is not associated with hereditary retinoblastoma.
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