Percutaneous Vertebroplasty and Pulmonary Cement Embolism: Results from VERTOS II
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Cement leakage commonly occurs during PV, with occurrence in observational studies varying from 0% to 23%. Occasionally, cement that has leaked into the veins may migrate into the lungs causing PCE. Although most PCEs remain asymptomatic, serious and even fatal sequelae have occasionally been reported. In most studies with a low incidence of PCE, “the occurrence of PCE” is defined as cement migration toward the lungs observed during fluoroscopy. In studies with standard postprocedural chest radiographs, the observed incidence is higher; apparently, a substantial proportion of PCE remains undetected during fluoroscopy. In 1 study, the long-term effects of pulmonary cement deposits on the surrounding lung parenchyma are largely unknown. In this study, we used follow-up chest CT to assess the true incidence of the occurrence of PCE during fluoroscopy in a large patient cohort with osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures treated with PV. In addition, we evaluated the possible inflammatory response of cement deposits on the lung parenchyma. Due to lack of a sensitive diagnostic test for PCE, it is likely that these small cement emboli remained undetected.

**Materials and Methods**

**Materials**

Cement was injected into the vertebral bodies using a unipedal technique. The type of vertebral body injection was decided by the physician. The cement was injected until either the vertebra or the bone cement interface stopped increasing in size on fluoroscopy. Patients were not monitored for PCE postoperatively.

**Methods**

The study was a prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled trial comparing PV and conservative therapy for OVCFs in 202 patients. Between October 2005 and June 2008, 202 patients were randomized for PV and conservative therapy. Ultimately, in 98 patients, PV was performed without clinical procedural complications. These 98 patients form the basis of this study. During a mean follow-up of 22 months (median, 21 months; range, 6–42 months), 10 patients died and 6 refused to complete the follow-up protocol. The remaining 82 patients were invited by telephone for a native CT of the treated vertebrae and chest to detect perivertebral cement leakage and PCE. Of these 82 patients, 24 declined participation and 4 patients could not be reached. Thus, 54 of 82 patients (69%) had follow-up CT. CT was performed after a mean follow-up of 22 months (median, 21 months; range, 6–42 months). In these 54 patients, no cement migration had been visible on fluoroscopy during the procedure. There were 36 women (67%) and 18 men (33%) with a mean age of 74 years (median, 77 years; range, 53–88 years). These 54 patients had 80 OVCFs and were treated in 60 sessions. Thirty-nine patients were treated for 1 OVCF; 11 patients, for 2; and 4 patients, for 3 OVCFs in 1 session. During follow-up, 4 patients presented with a new OVCF and were treated again. One of these 4 patients had 2 additional PVs for 1 OVCF each time. The location of treated osteoporotic compression fractures in relation to PCE is shown in Fig 1.

**Results**

PCE was detected in 14 of 54 patients (26%; 95% CI, 16%–39%). All patients were asymptomatic. Cement emboli were small and randomly distributed in peripheral small vessels. There were no reactive pulmonary changes. Cement leakage in the azygos vein was the only risk factor for the occurrence of PCE (OR, 43; 95% CI, 5–396).

**Conclusions**

Small and clinically silent PCE occurred in a quarter of patients treated with PV. Cement leakage into the azygos vein was the only risk factor. With time, these small cement emboli remained inert, without inflammatory pulmonary response. Standard postprocedural CT or chest radiographs are not necessary.

**Abbreviations:** CI = confidence interval; HU = Hounsfield unit; OR = odds ratio; OVCF = osteoporotic vertebral compression fracture; PCE = pulmonary cement embolism; PV = percutaneous vertebroplasty; VERTOS = Percutaneous Vertebroplasty versus Conservative Therapy
PV Technique

PV was performed on a single or biplane angiographic unit under fluoroscopic guidance. After local infiltration anesthesia (lidocaine 1%), needles were bilaterally transpedicularly inserted into the vertebral body. Polymethylmethacrylate bone cement (Osteo-Firm; Cook Medical, Bloomington, Indiana) was injected under continuous lateral fluoroscopy, alternating both pedicles by using 1-mL syringes. Injection was stopped whenever perivertebral cement migration was observed. Injection was resumed after a 15- to 20-second delay without changing needle position. The volume of injected cement in each treated vertebral body was recorded. Immediately after the procedure, a CT scan of the treated OVCF was obtained to evaluate perivertebral cement leakage.

Follow-Up CT

Follow-up CT was performed in 5 different hospitals with a multidetector spiral CT scanner with 16- or 64-detector arrays. Native CT of the chest and treated vertebrae was performed with a section thickness of 2 mm. Two radiologists reviewed the follow-up CT scans. Differences were resolved by consensus. Cement-embolus detection was performed at a bone window (window width, 2400 HU; window level, 350 HU) and lung window (window width, 1500 HU; window level, −700 HU). PCE should be located in the expected course of a pulmonary vessel with an attenuation of >500 HU. To distinguish a calcified granuloma and a PCE, we made a comparison with an old chest radiograph or chest CT scan if possible.

Perivertebral Cement Leakage on Postprocedural and Follow-Up CT

Treated vertebrae were assigned to 3 location categories: T5-T10, T11-L2, and L3-L5. Perivertebral venous cement leakage was assessed from direct postprocedural CT and categorized as limited to the anterior external venous plexus, azygos vein, or inferior vena cava. “PCE” was defined as any high-attenuation lesion in the lungs, heart, or large vessels. In patients with PCE and multiple levels treated, we assumed the level with the most leakage to be the origin of PCE.

Data Analysis

The frequency of PCE was assessed per patient as a proportion, with 95% CIs. Univariate logistic regression analysis was performed for the following possible risk factors for the occurrence of PCE: age, sex, number of treated vertebrae, cement volume injected per vertebra higher than the median, and presence and location of perivertebral venous cement leakage. The χ² test was used to correlate PCE with the location of treated vertebrae. Statistics were performed with the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences, Version 15.0.1 (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois). The VERTOS II Trial is registered with clinicaltrials.gov, number NCT00232466.

Results

Incidence and Characteristics of PCE on Native Follow-Up Chest CT

After a median of 21 months of follow-up, PCE was detected in 14 of 54 patients (26%; 95% CI, 16%–39%). All patients were asymptomatic. An example of a PCE is presented in Fig 2.

The emboli varied in size between 1 and 12 mm and were randomly distributed in the periphery of the lungs. No cement depositions were observed in the heart and central pulmonary vessels. In the 14 patients with PCE, 6 (43%) had a single cement embolus and 8 (57%) had 2–35 cement depositions. With multiple PCEs, these emboli were randomly scattered in peripheral portions of both lungs. No patients showed reactive pulmonary parenchymal change associated with cement embolism.

Cement Leakage after PV

Venous cement leakage immediately after PV was observed on CT in 34 of 80 treated vertebrae (43%); 23 cement deposits were into the anterior external venous plexus, 7 into the azygos vein, and 4 into the inferior caval vein.

Statistical Analysis

Cement leakage in the azygos vein was the only risk factor for the occurrence of PCE (OR, 43; 95% CI, 5–396). Age, sex, number and location of treated vertebrae, and injected cement volume were not correlated with the occurrence of PCE.

Discussion

This study showed that during PV for OVCFs, clinically silent PCE occurs in a quarter of patients. Cement emboli were small and scattered in peripheral portions of the lungs without specific lobar distribution. There were no cement deposits in the heart and large vessels. Cement leakage in the azygos vein was the only risk factor for PCE. Remarkably, the volume of injected cement was not correlated with the occurrence of PCE.
After a mean follow-up of almost 2 years, the cement emboli caused no structural parenchymal changes.

In a comparable study with the use of CT to detect PCE, Kim et al\textsuperscript{13} found a similar incidence in 75 patients undergoing PV for OVCFs, with cement leakage to the inferior caval vein as the only relevant risk factor. In studies that used only post-procedural chest radiographs for detection of PCE, the observed incidences were substantially lower.\textsuperscript{10,11} This is not surprising because small pulmonary cement deposits easily remain undetected on chest radiographs while they are readily apparent on CT. In 1 study,\textsuperscript{11} an incidence of 4.6\% was reported after retrospectively reviewing postprocedural chest radiographs in 69 VP sessions. In that study, all patients with cement emboli had multiple myeloma and remained asymptomatic. An association was found between PCE and paravertebral venous cement leakage but not between PCE and the number of vertebral bodies treated or the performance of kyphoplasty or vertebroplasty. In another study,\textsuperscript{10} the authors also retrospectively reviewed postprocedural chest radiographs, and when PCE was detected, they confirmed it with CT. In that study, 5 of 73 patients (6.8\%) had PCE. Four of these patients had osteoporotic compression fractures, and 1 had multiple myeloma. Venous leakage was not recognized during fluoroscopy in patients with PCE.

In the VERTOS II Trial,\textsuperscript{12} fluoroscopic venous cement migration to the lungs was detected and reported by the operator in only 1 patient (C.A.H. Klazen, unpublished data, 2010). This patient remained asymptomatic and stopped the trial 3 months later because of unrelated comorbidity. In no patients who were included in the present follow-up study was fluoroscopically visible cement migration toward the lungs reported by the operators. The findings of our study imply that with fluoroscopy, virtually all migration of small cement quantities remains undetected. Thus, when the operator notices cement leakage into anterior venous structures, careful observation of pulmonary symptoms is mandatory. Conversely, when a patient complains of pulmonary symptoms after PV, PCE should be excluded, even though venous cement migration was not seen.

As in previous studies,\textsuperscript{9,11} our study showed that cement emboli were scattered in peripheral portions of the lung without specific lobar distribution and no acute inflammatory pulmonary reaction. Our study also indicates that long-term, cement emboli do not cause structural changes of the pulmonary parenchyma. A previous study showed that PCE occurred infrequently and caused no pulmonary reaction on CT after 1 year.\textsuperscript{7}

In our study, cement in the azygos vein on postprocedural CT of the treated vertebrae was the only risk factor for PCE. Analogously, other studies\textsuperscript{13,14} showed a statistically significant relation between PCE and cement leakage into the inferior caval vein.

Our study has several limitations. Our patient group was relatively small, and not all patients agreed to participate. Results were expressed on a per-patient basis, while some patients had multiple levels treated. Thus, in patients with PCE and multiple treated vertebrae, the level of leakage remained uncertain. However, we used postprocedural CT of the treated levels to indicate the most likely level of the origin of the leakage. Another limitation is that postprocedural chest CT was not routinely performed. Therefore, it is possible that small cement emboli might have been resolved or changed with time or had migrated. In addition, earlier but transient pulmonary changes cannot be excluded. On the other hand, strong points of the study were the use of CT for the detection of PCE and the long follow-up interval, which allowed a reliable assessment of PCE with time.

On the basis of our findings and other studies, in our opinion, standard CT or chest radiography after PV is not warranted in asymptomatic patients, not even when small quantities of cement have been observed migrating toward the lungs. Only in symptomatic patients should CT be performed to guide the appropriate therapy. Because cement emboli remain inert with time, follow-up CT is not necessary.

Conclusions
In the VERTOS II Trial, small and clinically silent PCE occurred in a quarter of patients treated with PV. Cement leakage into the azygos vein was the only risk factor. With time, these small cement emboli remained inert without inflammatory pulmonary response. Standard postprocedural CT or chest radiographs are not necessary.

References