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MR—Based Molecular Imaging of the Brain: The Next Frontier

SUMMARY: In the foreseeable future, the MI field could greatly assist neuroradiologists. Reporter molecules provide information on specific molecular or cellular events that could not only aid diagnosis but potentially differentiate stages of disorders and treatments. To accomplish this, reporter molecules literally need to pass a barrier, the BBB, which is designed to repel nonessential molecules from the brain. Although this is not a trivial task, several transport systems could be tricked into guiding molecules into the brain. The noninvasive nature in conjunction with a wide availability makes MR imaging particularly suitable for longitudinal neurologic imaging studies. This review explains the principles of MR imaging contrast, delineates different types of reporter molecules, and describes strategies to transport reporters into the brain. It also discusses recent advances in MR imaging hardware, pulse sequences, the development of targeted reporter probes, and future directions of the MR neuroimaging field.

ABBREVIATIONS: AD = Alzheimer disease; BBB = blood-brain barrier; CA = contrast agent; CEST = chemical exchange saturation transfer; CLIO = cross-linked iron oxide; CNS = central nervous system; DMSO = dimethyl sulfoxide; Eu-DOTA = europium tetra-azacyclododecane tetracetic acid; 18F = fluorine-18; 19F = fluorine-19; FDG = fluorodeoxyglucose; Gd = gadolinium; Gd3+= gadolinium 3+; 1H-MR imaging = proton MR imaging; LRP = lysine rich protein; MI = molecular imaging; MION = monocrystalline iron oxide nanoparticle; Mn2+= manganese 2+; MRS = magnetic resonance spectroscopy; MW = molecular weight; 31P = phosphorus-31; PARACEST = chemical exchange saturation transfer using paramagnetic ions; PEG = polyethylene glycol; PET = positron-emission tomography; RNA = ribonucleic acid; SPIO = superparamagnetic iron oxide; T1 = longitudinal relaxation time; T2 = transverse relaxation time due to spin-spin interactions (irreversible effect); T2* = transverse relaxation time due to spin-spin interactions and local inhomogeneities (partly reversible); USPIO = ultrasmall superparamagnetic iron oxide.

Anatomic images have always been the center of gravity in the daily work of radiologists. They provide the basis of many diagnoses supplemented by physiologic MR imaging data or metabolic profiling if necessary. Despite the sophistication of these techniques and the wealth of information that can be obtained, the diagnostic information often remains nonspecific, and evidence regarding the nature of the underlying disease commonly remains circumstantial. In contrast to generic contrast agents used in the clinic, the MI field uses reporter molecules tailored for in vivo detection of specific molecular or cellular events. Formally, MI encompasses techniques that directly or indirectly monitor and record the spatiotemporal distribution of molecular or cellular processes for biochemical, biologic, diagnostic, or therapeutic applications (Table 1). The technique is widely used in preclinical research and is on the verge of entering the clinical arena. It enables radiologists to add molecular or cellular information to their array of diagnostic tools, which will have a tremendous effect on the diagnosis of neurologic disorders, where invasive diagnostic techniques like biopsies can rarely be used. Radiologists may even be able to detect “predisease” or “presymptomatic” states when molecular and cellular changes arise before they lead to anatomic or functional disturbances. Following an early diagnosis, MI could closely monitor the effectiveness of therapeutic interventions.

MR imaging is already the technique of choice for neuroradiologists, and MR imaging systems are widely available. In principle, one could collect anatomic and physiologic information and report the location of reporter molecules in a patient during a single MR imaging session. For these reasons, this review focuses on MR imaging—based MI of the brain, explaining the principles of MR reporter molecules, describing strategies to target them to the brain, and reviewing the state of the art in CNS MI.

Brain Targeting

An important feature of the brain that sets it apart from other organs in terms of MI is the presence of the BBB, a selective barrier to the CNS that impedes the influx of most compounds from blood to brain (Fig 1A). It permits the passage of metabolic compounds and ions to maintain neuronal function, while shielding off possible harmful compounds. The effectiveness of the barrier results from the selective permeability of tight junctions between endothelial cells, though the underlying layers and cell types also exhibit great influence on its function and permeability. The endothelial cells in the cerebral vasculature differ from normal endothelial cells in having low pinocytic activity, abundant mitochondria, fewer fenestra-
molecules and nanoparticles and is, therefore, particularly interesting for MI. A prerequisite for this mechanism is a receptor-binding ligand, such as a molecular mimic of an endogenous ligand or an antibody against the receptor of interest. Evidently, the ligand should be conjugated to a contrast agent or an MR imaging–detectable nanoparticle to be able to visualize it using MR imaging.

Compounds may also be internalized by nonspecific interactions with the cell surface. Cationized albumin, for example, interacts with the anionic cell surface of the endothelial cells and is then internalized via adsorptive endocytosis. This general internalization mechanism that is not specific for endothelial cells, so with regard to MI, this strategy is not very useful because reporter probes would be internalized by a range of cell types, resulting in a high nonspecific background signal intensity.

It is possible to disrupt the BBB temporarily to gain access to the brain—that is, through osmotic pressure (mannitol). A method that appears relatively safe is the injection of microbubbles into the bloodstream, followed by focused local sonographic exposure causing cavitation, leading to BBB disrup-

---

**Table 1: Definitions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Term</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Molecular imaging</td>
<td>In vivo imaging of the spatiotemporal distribution of molecular or cellular processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular imaging</td>
<td>In vivo imaging of the spatiotemporal distribution of cellular processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contrast agent, label</td>
<td>Chemical functional group that allows visualization by an appropriate imaging technique: For example, Gd chelates or iron oxides are MR imaging contrast agents, $^{18}$F atoms are PET contrast agents, and fluorophores are optical contrast agents.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nanoparticle</td>
<td>Molecules in the 10- to 1000-nm range that serve as an imaging platform; examples include SPIO particles, liposomes, dendrimers, and quantum dots.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporter probe, reporter molecule</td>
<td>A molecule or nanoparticle that is used to image particular biological processes; the molecule or nanoparticle is a composite of a contrast agent and targeting moiety.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporter cell</td>
<td>A cell that contains a contrast agent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reporter gene</td>
<td>A gene that encodes for a protein that (directly or indirectly) is easy to assay; reporter genes are linked to genes of interest to study expression levels.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig 1.** Schematic representation of the BBB (A) and transport mechanisms for BBB passage (B) (see text for details).
Iron oxide particles (SPIO, CLIO, and MION) are composed of iron oxide crystals with polymer coatings and are often biodegradable. They are synthesized in different forms, ranging from 300 nm to 1.6 μm in diameter, and each size category exhibits different pharmacodynamic behavior and relaxation effects. All iron oxide particles possess relatively large (negative) magnetic susceptibilities, thereby reducing T2 and T2' relaxation times, resulting in signal-intensity voids or hypointense regions in T2-weighted or T2*-weighted MR images. The fact that SPIOs cause “negative contrast” could make them difficult to distinguish from imaging artifacts or other susceptibility sources. Imaging sequences are being developed that produce positive contrast by using SPIOs to overcome this disadvantage, though the generated contrast is still nonspecific and cannot be exclusively attributed to the presence of iron oxide particles. Some SPIOs are approved by the US Food and Drug Administration, making them popular choices for cellular imaging and MI, though their relatively large size makes them less useful for targets that are not perhaps for image-guided drug delivery.

### Generating MR Imaging Contrast

Whatever the object of interest is, the reporter system used to visualize it should contain an MR imaging—visible contrast agent. An overview of these agents is provided below and in Table 2.

Iron oxide particles (SPIO, CLIO, and MION) are composed of iron oxide crystals with polymer coatings and are often biodegradable. They are synthesized in different forms and sizes, ranging from 300 nm to 1.6 μm in diameter, and each size category exhibits different pharmacodynamic behavior and relaxation effects. All iron oxide particles possess relatively large (negative) magnetic susceptibilities, thereby reducing T2 and T2' relaxation times, resulting in signal-intensity voids or hypointense regions in T2-weighted or T2*-weighted MR images. The fact that SPIOs cause “negative contrast” could make them difficult to distinguish from imaging artifacts or other susceptibility sources. Imaging sequences are being developed that produce positive contrast by using SPIOs to overcome this disadvantage, though the generated contrast is still nonspecific and cannot be exclusively attributed to the presence of iron oxide particles. Some SPIOs are approved by the US Food and Drug Administration, making them popular choices for cellular imaging and MI, though their relatively large size makes them less useful for targets that are not easily accessible, as in neurologic applications.

Paramagnetic agents (eg, Gd) have small positive magnetic susceptibilities that cause a modest decrease of relaxation times, particularly T1, resulting in “positive contrast” on T1-weighted images. The positive contrast effect of the paramagnetic contrast agents is much weaker than the negative contrast effect of SPIOs. Sensitivity could be enhanced by conjugating multiple Gd-containing chelates to a single probe, such as a dendrimer or protein, but the design of large complexes is always a trade-off between sensitivity and molecular weight. The larger (and brighter) the contrast agents that are synthesized, the more difficulties they will encounter in crossing the BBB. A special class of paramagnetic contrast agents is encompassed by “smart” or “responsive” contrast agents. Their relaxation properties significantly change in response to local physiologic changes (eg, in pH, temperature, or enzyme activity). Paramagnetic agents are small and generally easy to conjugate to probes of interest; these features make them suitable for neuroimaging, though they remain relatively insensitive.

CEST is a contrast mechanism that has been developed during the past decade and is based on magnetization transfer through proton exchange. CEST agents contain exchangeable protons with a resonance frequency different from bulk water. On selective irradiation of this frequency, magnetization is transferred to bulk water through chemical exchange, causing a decrease in the signal intensity of bulk water. The contrast can thus be switched on (by presaturation of the CEST protons) or off (no presaturation). The efficacy of CEST agents depends on the exchange rate and frequency difference between the exchangeable CEST protons and bulk water and can be improved by the incorporation of lanthanide ions (PARACEST). CEST probes can be engineered to work at different excitation frequencies, which potentially allows us to study interactions of cells labeled with different CEST agents.

Contrast agents described thus far are detected indirectly, in the sense that they affect the relaxation properties of water protons. Their effect is registered in the final proton image. A more direct approach is heteronuclear MR imaging. In principle, any nucleus with a nonzero magnetic spin and a large enough magnetic sensitivity could be used. Fluorine is a popular choice in the MI field because it exhibits favorable MR imaging characteristics with a spin-1/2, a magnetic sensitivity close to that of protons, and 100% natural abundance. Moreover, there are hardly any endogenous fluorine-containing molecules, so fluorine-based reporter molecules do not have background signal intensity. Compared with proton imaging, however, the fluorine signal intensity will be rather low; fluorine probes accumulate at most in the millimolar range, whereas the water concentration in vivo is roughly 40 mol/L. The design of fluorine-based probes is very variable, ranging from low-MW molecules with covalently bound fluorine atoms to perfluorocarbon molecules measuring several hundred nanometers. Low-MW fluorine probes may be designed to accumulate in the brain, where they could take full advantage of the "hot spot imaging" principle if they locally agglomerate to sufficiently high concentrations.

### Reporter Systems

MR imaging—based MI is used to detect a wide range of biologic events, which can be classified in 3 categories (with some overlap among them). First, the presence of specific molecules, such as receptors, can be imaged (see “Detecting Molecules”). Second, specific cells, such as stem cells after transplantation (see “Detecting Cells”), can be traced; and third, the expression of specific genes (see “Reporter Genes”) can be visualized.

---

**Table 2: MR imaging contrast**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MR Imaging Contrast Agent</th>
<th>Examples</th>
<th>Predominant MR Imaging Effect</th>
<th>MR Imaging Sensitivity</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1 agent</td>
<td>Gd-DTPA (magnevist), Gd-DOTA (dotarem), Mn²⁺</td>
<td>T1</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Gd is chelated for toxicity reasons</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2 agent</td>
<td>SPIO, USPIO, MION, CLIO</td>
<td>T2</td>
<td>++++</td>
<td>Large range of sizes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEST, PARACEST</td>
<td>Amino acids, sugars, Eu-DOTA</td>
<td>Saturation transfer</td>
<td>++</td>
<td>Preclinical stage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heteronuclei</td>
<td>¹⁹F, ³¹P</td>
<td>Hot spot imaging</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Negligible background signal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** Plus signs indicate the relative sensitivity of the different contrast mechanisms.
Detecting Molecules

Molecular reporter probes come in many shapes and sizes, but their principal components are a targeting molecule and a contrast agent. The probe should be targeting a unique hallmark of the biologic process of interest. Popular target choices are receptors, enzymes, and cytokines, which are often expressed to much higher levels in pathologies. Once a target is chosen, one needs to select a complementary ligand that binds the target with high affinity and specificity. Natural ligands, such as receptor agonists, can be used, or specific ligands, such as antibodies or peptides, can be developed.

Reporter probes are synthesized in a wide range of sizes (Fig 2) and can be divided in low-MW probes and large-MW probes or nanoparticles. Low-MW probes are based on drugs, metabolites, or inhibitors, in which single atoms or small functional groups are substituted with a contrast moiety. For neurologic MI applications, low-MW probes most likely find their way to the brain via passive diffusion or carrier-mediated transport. A beautiful example is the small fluorine-containing reporter probe developed by Higuchi et al.26 This molecule targets amyloid plaques, one of the hallmarks of AD (Fig 3A–C) and was intravenously injected into transgenic AD mice. Using a combination of $^{19}$F and $^1$H-MR imaging, Higuchi showed the presence of this probe in the brain of these mice, presumably bound to amyloid plaques.

High-MW reporter probes (with MWs of >5 kDa and diameters ranging from a few to several hundred nanometers) are based on proteins or nanoparticles to which contrast agents and ligands are conjugated. Some nanoparticles, such as SPIOs or perfluorocarbon particles, generate contrast themselves (see “Generating MR Imaging Contrast”), while others (such as liposomes and dendrimers) simply supply a crosslinking platform. There is a trend in the field to construct nanoparticles that contain multiple types of contrast agents, which can be visualized by different imaging modalities.29 This concept, referred to as multimodality imaging, combines the strengths of different imaging techniques, such as sensitive PET tracers, with high-resolution MR images. For example, Kircher et al.30 set out to aid neurosurgeons in visualizing brain tumor margins. They synthesized a long-circulating multimodality reporter molecule, composed of an iron-oxide nanoparticle and a near-infrared fluorochrome, and injected this into rats bearing gliosarcoma that expressed green fluorescent protein. MR imaging confirmed that microglia had sequestered the nanoparticle and displayed the tumor as hypointense regions in T2-weighted images. Subsequent optical imaging allowed discrimination of tumors from brain tissue, which ultimately could be used by surgeons during surgery.

Detecting Cells

Instead of visualizing reporter molecules, it is also possible to label intact cells, allowing cell tracking and gaining knowledge on cell behavior, such as migration patterns of immune cells following immunotherapy, or stem cell survival following transplantation.

Evidently, cells should remain viable and functional after the labeling procedure. Cellular contrast agents should ideally remain within the desired cell type and not dilute with cell division, to enable reliable longitudinal studies.31,32 SPIO particles are by far the most used MR imaging contrast agents for MR imaging cell tracking. However, a particular confound is that the MR image cannot distinguish viable cells from nonviable cells. Additionally, due to susceptibility effects, hypointense regions in MR images are significantly larger than the actual cluster of labeled cells, which could lead to misinterpretations of the iron source. MR imaging—based cell tracking does not exclusively lean on SPIO particles; Gd-based approaches benefiting from positive contrast have also been demonstrated,33 though substantial amounts of lanthanide-based contrast agents are required to affect MR images, which increases toxicity issues. Phosphorous and fluorine imaging have the advantage of a low background and can often be performed in a quantitative manner, but they too have sensitivity problems. Finally, CEST imaging is emerging as a very useful technique, especially in reporter gene imaging.34

Cell labeling can be performed in vitro, after which the labeled cells are implanted. Alternatively the contrast agent may be injected systemically, which is then taken up by phagocytic cells.35 Many cell types readily take up contrast agents via phagocytosis, but nonphagocytic cells (including stem cells) can be labeled via transfection agents, fluid phase pinocytosis, encapsulation, receptor-mediated uptake, or magnetoelectroporation.31,32 Cells that have been labeled for CNS application include neural stem cells, oligodendrocyte precursors, and macrophages.36–38 Tracking neuronal stem cells empowers us to study the best way to administer the cells (intravenous-versus-intraparenchymal transplantation), estimate
the dose (how many cells to use), assess the survival rate, evaluate their migration patterns, check if they find their target site and home, and optimize the therapeutic time window. To illustrate this, Hoehn et al. transplanted SPIO-labeled stem cells into the contralateral hemisphere of a mouse displaying ischemic stroke. T2*-weighted MR images showed the migration of these cells across the brain from the implantation site to the edge of an ischemic lesion (Fig 3D). This example demonstrates the possibility of following cells in real time, which may be used to study the therapeutic potential of stem cells in the future.

Reporter Genes

The previous 2 sections focused on reporting specific molecules or cells; this section addresses imaging gene function, which, in contrast to cell tracking, exclusively reports on viable cells. Reporter gene imaging is a technique in which gene products (ie, reporter proteins) are imaged in vivo. Essentially, a reporter gene is transcribed to messenger RNA, which in turn is translated into a reporter protein (which are far more abundant in the cell than DNA or RNA). A good reporter protein must be easy to assay and must not normally be expressed in the cells of interest or, when encoding for endogenous proteins, must be expressed at much higher levels than normal.

The gene of interest is unlikely to encode an MR-imaging-visible protein, though the protein of interest may interact with exogenous reporter molecules. Often, the gene of interest is teamed up with a reporter gene. These genes can be engineered so that they are both driven by the same promoter. On activation of this promoter (which can be conditional or tissue-specific), the expression of both genes is simultaneously enhanced; imaging the reporter protein thus "reports" on the expression of the gene of interest. The reporter protein may produce endogenous contrast or may be imaged via exogenous reporter molecules.

Reporter gene imaging is currently in a preclinical stage, but its potential is enormous. One could monitor gene expression, track cells in normal and abnormal development, map dynamic protein interactions, and check cell transplantation therapy. Taking this 1 step further, one could follow the effects of gene therapy, in which cells are genetically modified to pro-
duce a therapeutic effect.\textsuperscript{41,42} Reporter gene imaging is commonly used in the nuclear and optical imaging field, with green fluorescent protein\textsuperscript{43} and luciferase\textsuperscript{44} as prominent examples, but MR imaging has started claiming a place on the stage as well, by virtue of its noninvasive nature and whole-body coverage.

Needless to say, for MR reporter gene imaging, the reporter protein needs to be MR imaging—detectable, and common strategies are outlined in recent reviews.\textsuperscript{41,42,45} Reporter genes may encode for artificial proteins, which are detectable by CEST imaging (Fig 3G–I),\textsuperscript{34} but most MR imaging reporter systems are based on the accumulation of iron, circumventing the administration of exogenous contrast agents. When reporter genes overexpress proteins such as ferritin,\textsuperscript{46,47} the transferrin receptor,\textsuperscript{48} or MagA\textsuperscript{49} at high levels, they cause a local buildup of iron, which leads to enhanced negative contrast in T2- or T2*-weighted images. This principle has been demonstrated by Genove et al,\textsuperscript{47} who visualized gene expression by using genetically modified replication-defective adenoviruses carrying the genes for the light-chain or heavy-chain subunits of ferritin. They injected the adenoviruses into the striatum of a living mouse, which led to the local overexpression of ferritin and the accumulation of endogenous iron. This resulted in enhanced negative contrast in T2-weighted MR images, which was visible for weeks.

The field of MR reporter gene imaging is developing at a steady pace, but before it becomes a mainstream clinical tool, it has to overcome several issues. The efficiency of gene transfer is currently very modest, which, in combination with low MR imaging sensitivity, makes MR reporter imaging a challenge. Reporter gene imaging (in combination with gene therapy) often uses viral-based vectors to package genes and a delivery device to pass them to the target organ. In this respect, the method is not strictly noninvasive. Current applications of reporter gene imaging are, therefore, mostly confined to small animal models, though clinical applications are anticipated in the future, most likely as tools to visualize gene therapy.

Current Developments

The field of MI is developing in several directions. Imaging modalities perform better, molecular biologists construct ingenious reporter genes and transgenic mice, while chemists improve contrast agents\textsuperscript{20,50} and create multimodal reporter molecules.

There is a continuous effort to increase the field strengths of human scanners, and these high-field magnets are making their way into the clinic. The most commonly used clinical scanners operate at field strengths of 1.5T, while 3T is regarded as high-field; but ultra-high-field magnets at 7T are also used for patient studies. Because the MR imaging signal intensity is proportional to the magnetic field strength, using high-field scanners improves the sensitivity and enhances the signal intensity–to-noise ratio, improving anatomic and functional imaging.\textsuperscript{51} A higher magnetic field also increases the spectral resolution, which is an additional benefit for \textsuperscript{1}H-MR spectroscopy and CEST-based imaging. Unfortunately, high-field magnets do not come without expense: Increased magnetic susceptibility effects, field inhomogeneity, and energy deposition pose technical challenges, but these are partly overcome by using optimized coils, fast and parallel imaging, and refocusing flip angles.\textsuperscript{52,53}

With regard to MI, high-field scanners can detect lower concentrations of reporter molecules, which decrease toxicity issues. This is particularly the case for iron oxide–based particles, heteronuclear contrast agents, and CEST agents. On the other hand, the current lanthanide-based contrast agents do not perform as well at high fields, and their relaxation behavior should be significantly improved.\textsuperscript{20} Regardless of the field strength there is a continuous effort to tailor and improve pulse sequences, such as ultrafast imaging sequences and creating positive contrast for SPIO particles.\textsuperscript{19}

Outlook

The road to MR imaging–based MI has been a long one. MR imaging–based contrast agents are inherently far less sensitive than radiotracers; this difference makes them more likely to fail due to sensitivity or toxicity problems. Nevertheless, the advantage of providing anatomic, physiologic, and molecular or cellular information during a single examination is so great that research in this area is booming. In the near future, we anticipate that fluorine-based reporter molecules in conjunction with MR imaging at higher fields holds great promise, combining hot-spot imaging and sensitivity. In contrast to fluorine-based radiotracers, MR reporter probes are relatively long-lived and could be imaged on a regular basis while avoiding excessive ionizing radiation. While BBB research is in fifth gear, MI of the brain is already in use for pathologies with a possible compromised BBB, such as cerebrovascular diseases, inflammatory conditions, and gliomas. Without the need to cross the BBB, this approach could take full advantage of current developments in the field.

Also, alternative therapies for neurologic disorders, such as gene therapy and stem cell transplantation, are active areas of research, and we expect that MI will play an essential role in the development of these therapies, for example, by tracing labeled stem cells or by developing a common platform for treatment and diagnostics. Examples of this common approach are already known from cancer research, in which liposomal particles that target tumor sites and contain anticancer drugs and MR imaging–visible contrast agents to monitor the treatment response have been used.

Many radiologists may regard MI as science fiction, but they should be aware that this field develops at a fast pace. Although currently most research is applied in animal models, the first radiologic studies in humans have already been performed. It is generally believed that MI based on radiologic techniques will be introduced in the clinical arena within the next few years. However, the clinical implementation of MR imaging–based MI will only occur when academic radiologists are actively involved in research programs in which they master data collection and interpretation of MI images. This could be achieved by providing MI courses to residents, organizing postgraduate courses for radiologists, and by creating MI fellowships. Radiologists could also assist chemists and biochemists in the development of reporter probes to advance clinical applications. Seeing that MI is on the brink of leaping to the clinic, radiologists should prepare themselves now to join that jump in the near future.
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