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Carotid Artery Stenting without Angioplasty and Cerebral Protection: A Single-Center Experience with up to 7 Years’ Follow-Up

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: The use of cerebral protection during CAS in the treatment of carotid artery disease is matter of controversy. The purpose of this study was to evaluate the outcome of CASWBAP in a large cohort of patients, with ≤7 years’ follow-up.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Two hundred thirty-six patients with 255 symptomatic carotid stenoses and/or with high-risk-morphology plaques of >50% and asymptomatic plaques of >70% were prospectively identified. Patients underwent neurologic and carotid US examination before the procedure and during follow-up at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months and annually thereafter. Plain films of the neck were obtained immediately after the procedure and then at 1 and 3 months.

RESULTS: Technical success was achieved in 253/255 (99%) patients. Primary stent placement was successful in 248/253 (98%) patients. Neurologic periprocedural complications within 30 days included 1 (0.4%) nondisabling stroke, 1 (0.4%) disabling stroke, 11 (4.3%) TIA, and 1 (0.4%) death. The mean duration of follow-up was 23 ± 1.4 months (range, 3–84 months). During the follow-up period, there were 9 additional deaths (7 unrelated to the carotid disease and 2 stroke-related) and 2 strokes (in other vascular territories). The degree of stenosis decreased from a mean of 82% before the procedure to 30% immediately after. During follow-up, 38 (14.8%) angioplasties were performed due to restenosis in 19 (7.4%) patients, lack of stent expansion in 14 (5.4%), or both in 5 (1.9%).

CONCLUSIONS: CASWBAP is effective and safe with a low incidence of periprocedural complications, providing satisfactory long-term clinical results.

ABBREVIATIONS: CAS = carotid artery stenting; CASWBAP = carotid artery stenting without balloon angioplasty and protection; CEA = carotid endarterectomy; CPD = cerebral protection device; CTA = CT angiography; DWI = diffusion-weighted imaging; ICU = intensive care unit; MRA = MR angiography; NASCET = North American Symptomatic Carotid Endarterectomy Trial; TCD = transcranial Doppler sonography; TIA = transient ischemic attack; US = ultrasonography
cerebral tumors, illness impeding informed consent, and life expectancy <2 years

- Previous CEA.

Preprocedural Evaluation

All patients underwent an examination by a neurologist prior to the procedure.

The indication for stent placement was given by Doppler US and digital subtraction angiography in most of the cases. In selected cases, CTA or MRA was also performed.

The morphology of plaque was assessed by US; the plaque morphology was classified into 4 grades\(^1\text{-}^{15}\); Grades 1 and 2 were considered high-risk-morphology plaque, grade 3 was medium risk, and grade 4 was low risk of stroke. All patients with a high-risk-morphology plaque were treated if stenosis was >50%.

Digital subtraction angiography (Integris; Philips Healthcare, Best, the Netherlands) was performed before the endovascular intervention in all patients. The degree of stenosis before stent placement was quantified by using the NASCET criteria\(^16\) and ranged from 50% to 99%, with a mean of 82%.

Before treatment, baseline cerebral CT or MR imaging was performed in all patients except 13. Intraprocedural TCD was performed in 15 patients.

Stent-Placement Procedure

All patients gave informed consent and underwent the procedure according to a protocol approved by the institutional review board. Patients were pretreated with 75 mg of clopidogrel and 100 mg of aspirin at least 72 hours before treatment. Dual antiplatelet medication was maintained for at least 6 months after treatment, and aspirin, indeterminately. An intravenous bolus of 5000 IU of heparin was administered immediately after sheath placement.

All treatments were performed by neurointerventional radiologists (S.B., M.R., T.Z., and M.M.) with experience in CAS ranging between 6 and 20 years.

With the patient under local anesthesia, a femoral approach was used in 248 patients; in 6 patients, a direct carotid approach was used (for an extremely tortuous aortic arch in 4 or Leriche syndrome in 2); and in 1 patient, a brachial approach was performed.

In the patients in whom the access was the femoral artery, we used, in the first part of our experience, an 8F guiding catheter mounted coaxially over a 6F multipurpose catheter. Afterward we used a long sheath (6F) technique to engage the common carotid artery. In both cases, the catheters were advanced into the common carotid artery and 0.035-inch stiff support wires were placed in the external carotid artery.

Using a road-mapping technique, we crossed the stenotic lesion by using a 0.014-inch guidewire. This was followed by advancement of appropriate dilators across the lesion. If the plaque was not traversable or if there was a tight calcification of 5 mm in thickness, we used a 2.5- to 3.0-mm angioplasty balloon was performed before stent deployment.

A cerebral angiogram was obtained at the end of the procedure. Hemostasis of the puncture site was achieved with a percutaneous closure device (Angio-Seal; St Jude Medical, Minnetonka, Minnesota). After the procedure was completed, a plain film of the neck was obtained in different oblique projections to document the expansion of the stent.

Definitions and Follow-Up

Postprocedural neurologic complications were defined as follows:

1) “TIA,” any neurologic deficit that completely resolved within 24 hours
2) “Nondisabling,” if symptoms lasted more >7 days but resolved within 30 days with no disability of functional significance (modified Rankin Scale score, <3)
3) “Disabling,” if the patient had a persistent neurologic deficit causing disability of functional significance for >30 days after the onset of the symptoms (modified Rankin Scale score, >4)
4) “Fatal,” if death occurred as a direct result of stroke at any time after the procedure.

Follow-up assessment was carried out, whenever possible, with CT or MR imaging (including DWI) within 48 hours after stent placement. On discharge, patients were followed up clinically and with Doppler US at 1, 3, 6, and 12 months and annually thereafter. In the first 100 patients, plain films of the neck were obtained immediately after the procedure and in the same time intervals for ≤12 months; afterward, they were performed only at 1 and 3 months because we considered that further expansion of the stent was less likely to occur.

The statistical analysis was performed by means of descriptive calculations of percentages.

Results

The population study consisted of 236 patients, 50 women (21%) and 186 men (79%). The mean age was 71 ± 9.18 years (37–92 years), with 255 carotid artery stenoses. Of the treated arteries, 152 (59%) were symptomatic and 103 (41%) asymptomatic. Of the symptomatic group, 136 (89%) had stenoses of >70% and 16 (11%) had >50%. Of the asymptomatic group, 93 (90%) had stenoses of >70% and 10 (10%) had >50% (8 presented with high-risk-morphology plaque, and 2, with microembolisms detected by TCD).

Vascular-risk-factor distribution is summarized in Table 1.

### Table 1: Baseline characteristics of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demography</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases</td>
<td>255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>200 (186 patients)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>55 (50 patients)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (yr)</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vascular risk factors</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary artery disease</td>
<td>141 (55%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>181 (70%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>93 (36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>123 (48%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker</td>
<td>129 (50%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;79 years</td>
<td>57 (22%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;2 risk factors</td>
<td>178 (69%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;3 risk factors</td>
<td>102 (40%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Procedural Results

Technical success was achieved in 253/255 (99%). In 2 patients, it was not possible to cross the lesion due to tight calcified stenoses. Primary stent placement was successful in 248/
253 (98%) patients. In 5 (2%) patients, predilation with a small balloon was necessary before stent deployment.

The degree of stenosis decreased from a mean of 82% before the procedure to a mean of 30% immediately after stent placement. In 156 patients, the immediate residual stenosis was <30%; in 79, between 30% and 50%; and in 20 patients, >50% (Table 2).

There were no TIsAs or strokes during the 255 procedures. Seven (4%) patients experienced intraprocedural bradycardia, and 2 (1.1%), hypotension. None of the patients presented with asystole during the procedure. Four (2%) patients developed a hyperperfusion syndrome consisting of headache and confusion but without CT evidence of hemorrhage.

MR imaging with DWI could be performed before and within 48 hours after the procedure in 82 patients; silent infarcts were detected in 7 (8.5%).

Thirty-Day Periprocedural Results
During the 30-day periprocedural period, there were 11 (4.3%) TIsAs, all within the first 24 hours after stent placement, and 1 (0.4%) disabling stroke, 1 (0.4%) nondisabling stroke, and 1 (0.4%) death (1 stent thrombosis at 11 days). Excluding TIsAs, the total stroke/death rate was 1.2%.

Long-Term Follow-Up
One hundred seventy-two patients could be followed up beyond the periprocedural period of 30 days, with a mean follow-up time of 23 ± 14 months (range, 3–84 months). During this period, there were 9 deaths (5%, 2 related to stroke [1 in the posterior circulation and 1 intracranial stent thrombosed at 36 days] and 7 not related to stroke post-cardiac surgery) and 3 strokes (2 nondisabling strokes in vascular territories different from the stented artery and 1 disabling ipsilateral stroke due to stent thrombosis in a patient in whom clopidogrel was suspended before cardiac surgery).

During follow-up, 38 (14.8%) angioplasties were performed, due to restenosis in 19 (7.4%) patients, lack of stent expansion in 14 patients (5.4%), or both in 5 (1.9%). We waited ≤3 months for stent self-expansion; after this period, if the residual stenosis was >50%, angioplasty with cerebral protection was performed. In case of restenosis, angioplasty was performed when the stenosis was >70%. All patients who received secondary angioplasty were asymptomatic.

Discussion
In many centers, neuroprotected CAS has become the standard practice for the endovascular treatment of patients for whom surgery for symptomatic or asymptomatic severe carotid stenoses carries high risk.17–20 Some CAS trials in which CPDs were used demonstrated equivalence with endarterectomy by achieving equal or inferior periprocedural risk.4,21,22 However, a subgroup analysis of data of some recent trials failed to demonstrate a statistically significant difference between protected and unprotected CAS. It has been argued that the relative reduction provided by CPDs may be as low as 25%, probably because the devices themselves serve as sources for emboli during the procedure or removal technique.23 In general, 30-day adverse outcome (stroke/death rate) for CAS with the use of CPDs ranged from 1.2% to 7%, whereas for CAS without protection, it ranged from 2.4% to 11%.20

Thus, there continues to be controversy regarding the benefit of the CPDs.

On the basis of our experience, the use of CPDs is not required to treat carotid stenoses because balloon angioplasty is not performed either before or after stent placement. It is well-known that the highest potential for embolization occurs post–stent placement when the balloon crushes the plaque against the stent struts.24,25

In our series, the 30-day composite rate of any stroke or death was 1.2% (0.8% for symptomatic and 0.4% for asymptomatic patients). These results are considerably below the 3% and 6% of maximum complication rates recommended in the American Heart Association guidelines for carotid endarterectomy of asymptomatic and symptomatic patients, respectively,17 and compare favorably with rates found in patients enrolled in large trials of carotid stent placement (10% in the Carotid and Vertebral Artery Transluminal Angioplasty Study,26 12.1% in the WALLSTENT [carotid stenting versus endarterectomy in patients with symptomatic carotid stenosis] trial,27 4.8% in the Stenting and Angioplasty with Protection in Patients at High Risk for Endarterectomy study,2 6.8% in the Stent–Protected Angioplasty versus Carotid Endarterectomy trial,2 and 9.6% in the EVA-3S [endarterectomy versus stenting in patients with symptomatic severe carotid stenosis] study1).

Unlike peripheral or coronary stenoses, carotid stenoses are very infrequently symptomatic due to hemodynamic compromise. Rather, symptoms arise from embolization from a carotid plaque. Therefore, it remains to be determined what degree of correction of carotid stenosis is necessary to reduce the risk of embolization, because on one hand, it is known that balloon dilation to achieve total expansion at once might produce a higher risk of procedural complications such as additional emboli; on the other hand, leaving residual stenosis may lead to a higher rate of late restenosis, which at this time is of uncertain clinical significance.28 Some CAS trials have defined technical success as residual stenosis of <30%;4 Others have used a definition of residual stenosis of <50%.29 In the absence of definitive scientific evidence, technical success was arbitrarily defined as stent placement resulting in improvement of the stenosis by ≥20%, with a final residual stenosis of <50% with NASCET measurement criteria.28

In our series, the degree of stenosis decreased from a mean of 82% before the procedure to a mean of 30% immediately after stent placement. In 156 patients, the immediate residual stenosis was <30%; in 79, between 30% and 50%; and in 20 patients, >50% (in all these patients, there was at least a 20% improvement of stenosis).

The rate of in-stent restenosis appears to be higher when carotid stenoses are treated with stents alone. Bussière et al20 reported a restenosis rate of 15.9% at 1 year by using this technique. In our series, restenosis occurred in 19 (7.4%) pa-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2: Degree of residual stenosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial Stenosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Stenosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82% (50–99%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0%–30%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tients; lack of stent expansion, in 14 (5.4%); and a combination of both, in 5 (1.9%). Fortunately, most in-stent restenoses are asymptomatic, as evidenced by the low rates of ipsilateral stroke during follow-up. Strokes arising from carotid stenosis are most often due to atheroembolism. Treatment, therefore, should be aimed at eliminating the potential for plaque embolization. Restoration of a normal lumen diameter at once should be considered a secondary goal. Although the criteria for re-intervention are not well-defined, angioplasty with protection was performed in all of these otherwise asymptomatic patients.

Carotid sinus reaction including bradycardia, asystole, and hypotension is 1 of the most common complications of internal carotid artery angioplasty. It may occur due to an abnormal response to a baroreflex related to overstretching of the carotid sinus caused by the dilating balloon. In the published literature, the rate of hypotension after CAS varies from 10% to 42%, and the rate of bradycardia, from 27% to 37%. The sustained outward force of a self-expanding stent seems to be a weak stimulus to the baroreceptors of the carotid sinus, whereas forceful dilation with a balloon is a strong stimulus; therefore, these adverse events can be drastically reduced if neither prestenting nor poststenting balloon dilation is used. Hypotension and bradycardia, in our series, occurred in 5.1% of the cases during the procedure; none required vasopressor drugs or a stay in the ICU for hemodynamic monitoring.

An additional benefit of the gradual expansion of the stent with time might be the reduction of the risk of reperfusion syndrome after restoration of carotid flow. Although hyperperfusion did occur in 4 patients, hemorrhage was not detected in any on CT. This hypothesis, nevertheless, can only be confirmed in large randomized trials comparing traditional protected CAS with balloon angioplasty and CASWBP.

DWI is currently the most sensitive tool for detecting early cerebral ischemia and offers the possibility of revealing small and thus asymptomatic lesions occurring during CAS, so it would have considerable use in evaluating the efficacy of CPDs. In 82 patients, MR imaging with DWI could be performed before and within 48 hours after the procedure, depicting 8.5% of silent infarcts. This result compares favorably with previous reports that reveal a number of silent ischemic lesions after neuroprotected CAS, ranging from 15.3% to 50%. This finding supports the idea that less device manipulation, including CPDs, across the lesion minimized emboli dislodgment.

In these patients, only 2 types of stents were used, and both have an open-cell design. All TIAs in this series occurred several hours after the procedure, so it is possible that the use of different stents, particularly those with closed-cell design in echolucent lesions, could decrease neurologic complication rates by covering a greater percentage of the vascular wall within the stented region and avoiding dislodgment of the plaque while the stent expands.

Finally, with this technique, in which a CPD is not needed because angioplasty is not performed, indications for CAS can be extended. There are some anatomic difficulties such as tortuous vessels, in which CPDs are not suitable for navigation or placement; in these cases, our technique may overcome such difficulties, making the procedure possible and safer (Fig 1).
Conclusions
On the basis of our experience, stent placement alone might be enough to treat patients who have symptomatic or asymptomatic severe carotid stenosis. In-stent restenosis rates with this approach seem to be higher than those with conventional angioplasty and stent placement. However, angioplasty may be performed safely a second time if necessary.

CASWBAP may reduce the occurrence of hemodynamic depression during and after the procedure, avoiding the need for vasopressor support and the ICU, thus reducing in-hospital stays and costs. Further clinical investigations, comparing CASWBAP directly with the protected CAS with balloon angioplasty, deserve the consideration of the scientific community.
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