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Impact of Retrievable Stents on Acute Ischemic Stroke Treatment

BACKGROUND AND PURPOSE: Retrievable stents combine the high recanalization rate of stents and the capability of removing the thrombus offered by mechanical thrombectomy devices. We hypothesized that retrievable stents shorten time to recanalization in the multimodal approach for endovascular stroke treatment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: Forty consecutive patients with acute ischemic stroke and undergoing endovascular therapy were included. Treatment included thromboaspiration, thrombus disruption, thrombolysis, PTA, and stent placement. In 17 patients, a retrievable stent was used (group A) in addition to multimodal therapy. The remaining 23 patients constituted group B. Baseline characteristics, occlusion sites, urokinase dose, recanalization rate, and time to recanalization were compared between the groups.

RESULTS: Median NIHSS scores were higher in group A compared with group B on admission (19 versus 12.5; \( P = .018 \)) but were not significantly different at day 1 (14 versus 10; \( P = .6 \)). Intra-arterial thrombolysis was used in significantly fewer patients of group A than group B (53% versus 87%, respectively; \( P = .017 \)), and median urokinase dose was lower in group A than in group B (250,000 IU versus 700,000 IU; \( P = .006 \)). Time to recanalization was significantly shorter in group A compared with group B (median time to recanalization 52.5 minutes versus 90 minutes, respectively; \( P = .001 \)). Recanalization rate was higher in group A than group B (94% versus 78%; \( P = .17 \)).

CONCLUSIONS: Addition of retrievable stents to the multimodal endovascular approach for acute ischemic stroke treatment significantly reduces time to recanalization and further increases the recanalization rate.

In our department, endovascular stroke treatment has been performed since 1992 and includes thromboaspiration, intra-arterial thrombolysis, and mechanical thrombectomy as well as PTA and stent placement.\(^6\,14\,16\) We have used retrievable stents in addition to the established techniques since January 2010. This study evaluates the impact of the use of a retrievable stent on multimodal endovascular stroke treatment. We hypothesized that the application of a retrievable stent accelerates time to recanalization during endovascular stroke treatment.

Materials and Methods

The endovascular treatment of acute ischemic stroke was approved by our local ethics committee. Data of all stroke patients are registered prospectively in our stroke database. For this study, all acute ischemic stroke patients who were treated with endovascular therapy during a 5-month period (November 2009–April 2010) were analyzed. The inclusion criteria for endovascular treatment were as follows: 1) clinical diagnosis of acute stroke established by a stroke neurologist; 2) acute neurologic deficit and corresponding cerebral artery occlusion; 3) baseline NIHSS score \( \geq 4 \), except for isolated aphasia or hemianopsia; 4) exclusion of cerebral hemorrhage by CT or MR imaging; 5) for patients \( > 75 \) years old, their general condition before stroke did not advise against it; and 6) informed consent of patient or next of kin.

The treatment consisted of 1) thromboaspiration, 2) intra-arterial thrombolysis, 3) mechanical thrombus disruption, 4) mechanical thrombectomy, and 5) PTA and/or stent implantation. Intra-arterial urokinase (Urokinase Medac; Medac, Wedel, Germany) was administered up to a dose of 1,000,000 IU in the first 6 hours, whereas
thromboaspiration and mechanical thrombectomy were performed up to 8 hours after symptom onset. Treatment also was performed beyond 8 hours in selected patients who presented with small areas of infarct on diffusion-weighted imaging, large hyperperfused areas on perfusion-weighted imaging, and persistent occlusion of a large cerebral artery.

All patients were evaluated on admission by an experienced neurologist and assessed according to the NIHSS. Patients treated with combined intravenous thrombolysis/endovascular approach also were included.

**Endovascular Treatment**

Endovascular treatments were performed by 1 or 2 neuroradiologists (C.B., J.G., G.S.). After placement of an 8F catheter sheath in the femoral artery, a 4-vessel diagnostic angiography was performed to assess vessel occlusion and collateral flow to the infarcted area. After confirmation of vessel occlusion, an 8F guide catheter (Guider Softip; Boston Scientific, Natick, Massachusetts) or a balloon guide catheter (Merci 8F Balloon Guide Catheter; Concentric Medical, Mountain View, California) was introduced into the target cervical artery. A microcatheter and/or the microwire (SilverSpeed 14; ev3 Endovascular) was advanced over the 8F guide catheter into the common carotid artery. Distal protection (FilterWire EZ; Boston Scientific) was used for PTA. Generally, 500 mg of aspirin was given intravenously if a stent had to be deployed permanently. Balloon angioplasty was performed in the case of residual stenosis or in-stent thrombus formation.

The Solitaire FR Revascularization Device (ev3) was used for mechanical thrombectomy. This device consists of a self-expandable nitinol stent firmly mounted at the push wire. Because the stent is not detachable, it can be retrieved even after complete deployment. All retrievable stents used in this study measured 4 mm in diameter and 20 mm in length. First, the thrombus was passed with the microcatheter (2.3F Prowler Select Plus), and a distal angiogram was performed to confirm proper localization in the target cerebral artery. The Solitaire FR Revascularization Device was used by retraction of the microcatheter and placed at the occlusion site under fluoroscopic control, immediately followed by an angiogram to assess recanalization. Considering the results of a previously performed animal study by using the Solitaire FR Revascularization Device in 15 vessel occlusions,17 we left the stent in place for 5 minutes before the microcatheter was advanced to reseat the connection site of the stent at the push wire. This maneuver stabilizes the connection zone and reduces the risk of device fracture. Both the stent and the microcatheter were retrieved simultaneously during aspiration at the guide/balloon catheter. Flow arrest was applied at the discretion of the interventionalist. In the case of failed or insufficient recanalization, the Solitaire FR Revascularization Device was cleaned and reinserted. Up to 3 retrieval attempts were performed per occlusion.

Follow-up angiography was performed after each recanalization attempt with the above-mentioned endovascular techniques to assess the recanalization results as well as thromboembolic events to a previously unaffacted vessel territory, eg, occlusion of anterior cerebral artery after thrombus retrieval from middle cerebral artery. The recanalization rate was assessed according to the TIMI grades.18 TIMI grades 2 and 3 were rated as sufficient recanalization, whereas TIMI grades 0 and 1 were rated as insufficient recanalization.

The endovascular procedures were performed during general anesthesia or with an anesthesia stand-by team. Patients were observed for at least 24 hours in an intensive care unit, and CT was performed in cases of clinical worsening to rule out intracranial hemorrhage. The NIHSS score at day 1 was assessed by our stroke neurologists. Clinical outcome was assessed by a neurologist at 3 months according to the mRS.19 For statistical evaluation, mRS scores were dichotomized to good outcome (mRS 0–2) and poor outcome (mRS 3–6).

Different time points were recorded for this analysis: symptom onset, MR imaging or CT study before intervention, first angiogram, achievement of main recanalization, and final angiogram. Time intervals from the first angiogram to the main recanalization (time to recanalization) were calculated. Main recanalization was defined as sufficient recanalization (TIMI 2/3) and flow to the ischemic territory.

For comparison, patients were stratified into 2 groups: group A comprised all patients treated with the Solitaire FR Revascularization Device, and group B comprised all patients who were not. Group comparisons were performed by using the t test for continuous and the Mann-Whitney U test for noncontinuous variables, and P values <.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Results**

During the 5-month period (November 2009–April 2010), 40 consecutive patients (15 women; median age, 67 years [IQR, 59–77]) were treated by endovascular means for acute ischemic stroke. Occlusions were located in the internal carotid artery (n = 12), the M1 segment of middle cerebral artery (n = 17), the M2 segment (n = 7), and the basilar artery (n = 4).
Demographic data, treatment modalities, recanalization results, time to recanalization, and NIHSS scores on admission and 1 day after intervention of patients treated with (group A) and without the Solitaire FR Revascularization Device (group B)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr) (median [IQR])</td>
<td>66 (61–77)</td>
<td>68 (57.5–78)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (%), female</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS score, median [IQR]</td>
<td>19 (14–22)</td>
<td>12.5 (10–15.5)</td>
<td>.018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arterial hypertension (%)</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus (%)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypercholesterolemia (%)</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niacine abuse (%)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intravenous thrombolysis (%)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multimodal therapy (%)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombolisation (%)</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intra-arterial thrombolysis</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urokinase dose (IU), median (IQR)</td>
<td>250 000 (0–500 000)</td>
<td>700 000 (475 000–1 000 000)</td>
<td>.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stent/PTA (%)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intubation (%)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recanalization TIMI 2/3 (%)</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to recanalization, min (median [IQR])</td>
<td>52.5 (37.5–61)</td>
<td>90 (66–112.5)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHSS score 1 d, median (IQR)</td>
<td>14 (6–20)</td>
<td>10 (6–20)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good outcome (%; mRS 0–2) at 3 mo follow-up</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The median NIHSS score on admission was 15.5 (IQR, 12–20).

Patient characteristics and demographic factors of both groups are presented in the Table. Stroke severity on admission differed significantly between groups (group A: median NIHSS score 19; IQR, 14–22; group B: median NIHSS score 13; IQR, 10–15.5; P = .018). The groups did not differ with respect to occlusion site (internal carotid artery, n = 7 versus n = 9 for group A and group B, respectively; M1 segment, n = 7 versus n = 10; M2 segment, n = 2 versus n = 3; basilar artery, n = 3 versus n = 1) or the treatment modalities applied (other than the use of the Solitaire FR Revascularization Device; Table). Time to recanalization was significantly shorter in group A (median, 52.5 minutes; IQR, 37.5–61.0 minutes) compared with group B (median, 90.0 minutes; IQR, 66.0–112.5 minutes; P = .001). Sufficient recanalization (TIMI 2/3) was achieved in group A in 94.1% of patients compared with 78.3% in group B (P = .179).

Delivery of the Solitaire FR Revascularization Device was technically feasible in all patients of group A. The retrievable stent was used as the exclusive endovascular treatment in 4 patients. Flow arrest was applied during stent retrieval in 12 patients. Only 1 retrieval attempt with the stent was performed in 13 patients. Two retrieval attempts were performed in 2 patients and 3 retrieval attempts in another 2 patients. Overall, 23 retrieval attempts were performed. Immediate recanalization after deployment of the Solitaire FR Revascularization Device was observed in 18/23 (78%) attempts (Fig 1). Retrieval of the stent resulted in sufficient recanalization in 14/17 patients (82%). In 12 patients (71%), thrombotic material was removed and normally found attached to the stent meshes (Fig 1). No thromboembolic occlusion of a previously unaffected artery was found at follow-up angiography after retrieval. In 2 of 3 patients with failed recanalization after removal of the Solitaire FR Revascularization Device, the vessel was finally recanalized by permanent placement of an intracranial stent (Wingspan). Overall, 35% of the patients in group A received intravenous thrombolysis, 53% intra-arterial thrombolysis, 41% thrombolisation, and 24% an additional stent placement with or without PTA (2 stent placements into intracranial arteries and 2 stent placements into the proximal internal carotid artery). Eighty-eight percent of the interventions in group A were performed during general anesthesia. No symptomatic intracranial hemorrhages or device-related adverse events were encountered.

In group B, 22% of the patients received intravenous thrombolysis, 87% intra-arterial thrombolysis, 61% thrombolyispiration, and 35% stent placement with or without PTA (3 stent placements into intracranial arteries and 5 stent placements into the proximal internal carotid artery). Intra-arterial thrombolysis was used as the exclusive endovascular treatment in 6 patients of this group (26%). General anesthesia during endovascular treatment was applied in 70% of patients. Intra-arterial urokinase was used significantly more often in group B than group A (87% versus 53%, respectively; P = .017), and the median urokinase dose was significantly higher (P = .006; Table) in group B (median dose, 700 000 IU; IQR, 475 000–1 000 000) than in group A (median dose, 250 000 IU; IQR, 0–500 000). Clinical outcome assessed according to the NIHSS score at day 1 and to the mRS at 3 months did not differ between groups.

Discussion

Early recanalization is associated with better outcomes and lower levels of mortality in acute ischemic stroke patients. Recanalization rates of endovascular treatment are already considerably high, with a range of 66%–76% for intra-arterial thrombolysis and 68%–81.6% for the combination of mechanical thrombectomy with intravenous and intra-arterial thrombolysis. However, intra-arterial thrombolysis is sometimes a long procedure, normally lasting 60–120 minutes, and the mean time to recanalization in one study by using the Penumbra system was 97 ± 37 minutes. New devices for acute stroke treatment, such as the Solitaire FR Revascularization Device, should have recanalization rates at least as high as the above-mentioned combined therapies. Ideally, and perhaps more importantly, these devices should reduce time to recanalization. The present study verified that the use of a
A retrievable stent significantly reduces the time to recanalization in a multimodal approach to endovascular stroke treatment. Because ischemic penumbral tissue irreversibly turns into damaged brain tissue over time, faster recanalization should result in less infarct growth and better outcome. We observed a more pronounced reduction of the NIHSS score during the first 24 hours after stroke onset in patients of group A compared with group B, which might be explained by the significantly faster recanalization achieved in group A. However, further studies are needed to assess the long-term effect of this improved endovascular treatment on patient outcome. In addition, the recanalization rate of the multimodal approach including the Solitaire FR Revascularization Device was higher compared with the multimodal approach without the use of the retrievable stent. Due to the small number of patients included, this result did not reach significance.

The implantation of an intracranial stent is very effective for recanalization in acute ischemic stroke. However, permanent stent implantation carries the risk of in-stent thrombosis, and it requires dual antiplatelet therapy, which increases the risk of hemorrhage. In addition, restenosis and reclosure rates between 25% and 32.3% have been reported. To avoid these problems, temporary stent placement with stent retrieval at the end of the intervention seems to be a promising approach. Cerebral vessel recanalization with the temporary placement of an intracranial stent in conjunction with thrombolysis and/or mechanical thrombectomy was first reported in 4 cases, including the use of the Enterprise stent (Cordis) (n = 3) and the Solitaire AB Device (n = 1). Both devices had originally been introduced as detachable remodeling stents for aneurysm treatment. Only the nondetachable Solitaire FR Revascularization Device was used in the present study.

The Solitaire FR Revascularization Device was successfully integrated into our multimodal endovascular approach for acute ischemic stroke treatment. It was applied with a high technical success rate, and we observed no device-related adverse events confirming the findings of 2 previously published studies. However, during this study the sequence of the different endovascular approaches differed: thromboaspiration was the first-line treatment in most patients followed by intra-arterial thrombolysis or mechanical thrombectomy with the Solitaire FR Revascularization Device, whereas mechanical thrombectomy with the Solitaire FR Revascularization Device was the first-line treatment followed by intra-arterial thrombolysis in some patients with residual peripheral occlusion. From the results of this study, it can be assumed that the use of a retrievable stent as the first-line treatment in all patients might result in an even shorter time to recanalization than the reported 52.5 minutes. Castano et al. reported a median time from groin puncture to recanalization of 50 minutes when the retrievable stent was the first endovascular approach. The recanalization rate achieved with the Solitaire FR Revascularization Device was significantly higher compared with the multimodal approach without the use of the retrievable stent.
tion Device was 82%. Remarkably, thrombi were retrieved in 71% of the patients, demonstrating the capability of the retrievable stent as a thrombectomy device. If recanalization fails, further techniques can be applied, for example, intra-arterial thrombolysis or permanent stent placement. The combination of a retrievable stent with other endovascular techniques yielded a recanalization rate of 94% comparable with recently published results of 90% and 90.9%.

Remarkably, significantly fewer patients were treated with intra-arterial urokinase and lower doses of urokinase were given in the group of patients treated with the retrievable stent compared with the group of patients treated without the retrievable stent. Higher urokinase doses are a predictor of symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage. Therefore, a less frequent use and lower dose of urokinase should result in lower rates of symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage in patients who are treated with the retrievable stent. However, due to the small sample size the risk of symptomatic intracerebral hemorrhage could not be evaluated in this study.

Thromboembolic complications resulting in occlusion of previously unaffected arteries after mechanical thrombectomy are a major concern. However, no such complications were encountered in this study confirming previously reported data. Finding might be explained by the use of flow arrest and aspiration during retrieval of the Solitaire FR Revascularization Device. A balloon guide catheter was used in most patients and in 20 patients treated by Castano et al. However, in 22 patients treated without balloon occlusion no thromboembolic events were encountered as well.

This study did not assess the long-term outcomes of the patients. However, a trend for improved outcome in the patients who were treated with the retrievable stent might be reflected by the short-term evolution of the NIHSS scores in both groups. Group A patients (multimodal stroke treatment including the retrievable stent) had more severe strokes compared with group B (multimodal treatment without retrievable stent), but at day 1 the NIHSS scores were no longer significantly different between the 2 groups.

Conclusions
The use of a retrievable stent improved the recanalization rate of the multimodal endovascular revascularization approach and, more importantly, significantly reduced the time needed for recanalization. Faster recanalization is expected to result in less infarct growth. No technical failures or device-related adverse events were encountered.
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