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Autism spectrum conditions affect approximately 1% of the population and are characterized by relative deficits in social reciprocity and communication alongside restricted interests and resistance to change.1 Despite increasing recognition, our understanding of their neurobiologic bases remains minimal.

The behavioral manifestations of ASCs can be conceptualized within the framework of the “empathizing-systemizing” theory, which postulates that there are sex differences in the domains of empathy (the ability to identify another person’s mental states and respond to these with an appropriate emotion) and “systemizing” (the ability to analyze and construct systems). At a population level, females on average have higher levels of empathy, whereas on average, males are better at systemizing.2 From this perspective, ASCs have been viewed as an extreme form of the typical male profile, having impaired empathy alongside intact or even enhanced ability to systemize.3,4 This hypothesis is termed the EMB theory and is substantiated by a growing body of psychometric, behavioral, and endocrine data.5 Among the biologic mechanisms that may be related to the EMB theory are the effects of fetal testosterone on behavioral and brain development (ie, evidence that, in typically developing children, amniotic testosterone levels positively correlate with autistic traits in later childhood).6 The theory is also relevant to observations of the higher prevalence of ASCs in males compared with females.7

Within the EMB literature, there is also a more subtle point about the lack of sexual dimorphism. For example, the typical sex differences on measures of empathizing, systemizing, and autistic traits are attenuated or absent in patients with ASCs.8,9 The finding of altered sexual dimorphism can have a substan-
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tial impact on current hypotheses of the etiology of ASCs, even in absence of overall “masculinization.” At present, it remains unclear whether the behavioral and neuroendocrine differences observed between patients with ASCs and neurotypical controls are reflected in terms of brain structure and function and whether, as the theory would predict, typical sexual dimorphism is affected and a general hypermasculinization is found.

There is a substantial body of literature highlighting significant differences between the typical male and female brains in terms of gross anatomy and distribution of dopamine, serotonin, and gamma-aminobutyric acid receptors. Absolute white matter volume is larger in males than females; albeit less consistently, a similar effect is also observed for gray matter. Differences in regional anatomy are more variable across studies and have been reported mainly for the temporal and parietal regions, amygdala, insula, and cerebellum. In some investigations, total brain volume has been reported for ASCs across many brain regions, in contrast to typically developing individuals. Differences in regional anatomy are more variable across studies and have been reported mainly for the temporal and parietal regions, amygdala, insula, and cerebellum. DTI studies measuring FA indicate higher white matter density in the CC, cingulum bundle, and thalamocortical projection fibers in males.

On the other hand, subtle neuroanatomic abnormalities have been reported for ASCs across many brain regions, including frontal, parietal, limbic areas, basal ganglia, and the cerebellum. In some investigations, total brain volume and amygdala volume are identified as abnormal. The broad involvement of areas across the whole brain echoes another neurobiologic account, which is the “underconnectivity” theory, which holds that ASCs are related to reduced long-range connectivity between frontal and temporal-parietal association cortices and enhanced short-range local connectivity. It is proposed that this connectivity profile compromises global integration while favoring an over-reliance on local information processing. Some functional neuroimaging evidence is consistent with this hypothesis, but a coherent account of the neural underpinnings of ASCs has yet to emerge. FA has been found to be lower than that in controls in the CC, frontal white matter, superior temporal gyrus, anterior cingulum, and cerebellum, indicating reduced connectivity. Relatively small sample sizes, clinical variability, and methodologic differences may, in part, account for this heterogeneity of findings.

The investigation of sex-related effects in brain structure is obviously relevant to understanding the pathophysiology of ASCs. The EMB theory postulates a link between sex-related differences and the manifestations of ASCs, but to date, to our knowledge, very limited neuroimaging data are available to evaluate this view. Two recent studies considering young children with ASCs suggest that females have more widespread and pronounced structural abnormalities than males, but no information is available for adults.

Here, we use a factorial design to explore effects related to sex, diagnostic status, and their interaction on brain structure in adults with AS. AS can be considered a “pure” ASC, in that it does not involve a learning disability or major delay in the development of spoken language. We assessed whole-brain volume, regional anatomy through VBM, and the integrity of white matter tracts by using DTI.

Materials and Methods

Participants and Assessment

We recruited participants with a diagnosis of AS and healthy controls for the study, which was approved by the local ethics committee for patient research. Written informed consent was obtained after a complete description of the study, in line with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. Twenty patients were recruited from a local specialist clinic for diagnosis and evaluation of high-functioning adults with suspected neurodevelopmental conditions (Neurobehavioural Clinic, Sussex Partnership National Health Service Foundation Trust, Brighton, UK). This is a tertiary clinic that receives referrals from consultant community psychiatrists who undertake initial screening of general practitioner referrals. The remaining 8 patients, each of whom had validated diagnoses established as children, were recruited through advertisement from a local voluntary sector organization supporting people with AS. A total of 15 male (age 32 ± 10 years; 14 right-handed) and 13 female (age 32 ± 7 years; 12 right-handed) participants with a diagnosis of AS were recruited, alongside 15 male (age 28 ± 8 years; 14 right-handed) and 15 female healthy controls (age 32 ± 8 years; 13 right-handed). As determined by χ² test, the proportion of left-handed participants was comparable across the 4 groups (P = .3). Participants with any history of neurologic pathology, general learning disability, or head injury were excluded.

The diagnosis of AS (characterized by life-long impairments in social and emotional communication with restricted interests and repetitive stereotyped behavior in the absence of language delay or general intellectual dysfunction) was established in the clinic by using the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 4th ed, Revised criteria by specialist clinical assessment and informant interviews conducted, through an experienced multidisciplinary team including a neuropsychiatrist, a clinical psychologist, and a speech and language therapist. Confirmatory evidence was obtained by using the Diagnostic Interview for Social and Communication Disorders. Concurrent expression of autistic traits was quantified by using AQ. All patient scores on the Adult Autism Assessment (combined AQ and Empathy Quotient) fell within the range for a likely diagnosis of AS. The NART was also administered as a proxy of overall intellectual function (all participants were UK-educated). All patients were high-functioning, graduating at high school level with 8 of the 28 patients achieving a university degree. Patients were not taking long-term medication at the time of scanning and had no comorbid psychiatric diagnoses, with the exception of 4 who were taking antidepressant medication. All patients were in contact with health and social services for support and advocacy.

Imaging Data Acquisition

Structural MR imaging was performed on a Magnetom Avanto 1.5T system (Siemens, Erlangen, Germany). During scanning, the head was gently restrained by surrounding it with foam cushions. Anatomic images were acquired by using a sagittal 3D T1-weighted magnetization-prepared rapid acquisition of gradient echo sequence with 192 sections (TR = 1160 ms, TE = 4 ms, TI = 600 ms, 0.9-mm isotropic voxels). To exclude gross brain pathology, T2-weighted images were also acquired by using a coronal turbo spin-echo sequence (TR = 6500 ms, TE = 120 ms, FOV = 260 × 183 mm, section thickness = 4.4 mm). Diffusion-weighted images were obtained with a spin-echo-echo-planar sequence (TR = 8000 ms, TE = 95 ms, FOV = 240 × 180 mm, 110 × 82 matrix, section thickness = 2.6 mm, duration = 8 minutes) yielding 2.2 × 2.2 × 2.6 mm voxels,
b = 1000 s/mm², 64 directions; bipolar gradient pulses were used, yielding an eddy current–related distortion below 1 voxel.

Analysis of Structural Images
All T1- and T2-weighted images were reviewed by a neuroradiologist to exclude macroscopic pathology and white matter hyperintensities or ventricular/sulcal enlargement beyond the level expected for the age. All participants had normal imaging findings, and therefore none were excluded. The structural analysis was performed as follows: First, the anatomic volumetric scans were automatically segmented with SPM8 (Wellcome Department of Imaging Neuroscience, London, UK), yielding normalized images of gray and white matter. Second, to improve the accuracy of intersubject alignment, we applied a diffeomorphic registration algorithm, using a template created with the data from all participants. This nonlinear warping technique minimizes interindividual structural variance and thereby improves the sensitivity of VBM analysis. A Jacobian modulation step was performed using the flow fields (u_rc1*.nii) and rc1*.nii/rc2*.nii data files, preserving voxelwise information about local tissue volume. Third, resulting tissue-volume maps in MNI space (voxel size, 2 mm isotropic) were smoothed with an 8-mm Gaussian kernel and entered into voxelwise factorial analyses (see below). The individual total volumes of gray and white matter were calculated by summing over all voxels in the corresponding tissue maps.

Analysis of Diffusion-Weighted Images
Diffusion-weighted images were fitted with rank-2 diffusion tensor fields, and FA and MD were calculated by using the Diffusion Toolkit software (Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, Massachusetts). The non-diffusion-weighted images (ie, the b = 0 s/mm² scans) were normalized to MNI space, by coregistering them to the corresponding individual volumetric T1 scans and iteratively segmenting and normalizing the T1 images. The resulting transformation matrices were applied to the FA and MD maps, which were interpolated to 1-mm isotropic resolution. ROIs for measurement of average regional FA and MD were drawn by experienced operators (L.M. and F.D.B.) blinded to sex and diagnostic status. The ROIs, shown in Fig 1, were drawn on the midsagittal plane separately for the CC-genu (area, 96 ± 30 mm²), CC-body (104 ± 29 mm²), and CC-splenium (93 ± 29 mm²). We drew the principal ROIs for the cingulum (131 ± 32 mm²) on the sagittal plane, choosing the section on which the area of the bundle was maximal for each hemisphere and including its portion overlaying the CC. Additional ROIs for the cingulum were drawn on the same plane chosen for the SLF and CR (see below), separately for the anterior and posterior portions of the cingulum to the center of the body of the CC. The ROIs for the CST (105 ± 21 mm²) were drawn on the axial plane at the level of the posterior limb of the internal capsule. Those for the SLF (147 ± 30 mm²) were drawn on the axial section on which its area was maximal; at the same level, ROIs were also drawn on the ascending fibers of the CR (133 ± 25 mm²). The ROIs for the MCP (53 ± 11 mm²) were positioned on the coronal plane, on the section immediately posterior to the limit of the pons. All coordinates are given in MNI space.

Statistical Analysis
Before statistical analysis, for each parameter and group (control males, control females, AS males and AS females), a preliminary evaluation was performed comparing the left-handed participants with the rest of the group by means of a 2-sample t test. Because no significant differences were found, the left-handed participants were pooled together with the rest of the groups.

Group differences in AQ and NART scores were tested for in 2-way ANOVAs with sex and diagnosis as between-subject factors. The diffusional data (FA and MD measurements) were analyzed by means of ANCOVA, which included sex and diagnosis as between-subject factors as well as the NART score as nuisance covariate, to control for significantly lower scores in AS compared with control participants. For those regions in which an ROI was drawn on each hemisphere (ie, all except the CC), an extra within-subjects factor for hemisphere (left or right) was included.

Similarly, the total gray and white matter volumes were entered as dependent variables in 2-way ANCOVAs, having between-subject factors for sex and diagnosis and NART scores as a nuisance covariate. The VBM results were analyzed with voxelwise ANCOVAs, including sex and diagnosis as factors and total gray or white matter volume, age, and NART score as nuisance covariates. For this analysis, the voxel-level threshold was set to P < .0001, and the cluster-level threshold, to P < .05, family-wise error–corrected.

Where significant sex × diagnosis interactions were found, 4 post hoc contrasts were run: 1) control males versus control females, 2) AS males versus AS females, 3) control males versus AS males, and 4) control females versus AS females. A Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons was applied.

Results

AQ and NART Scores
As predicted, AQ score was higher for AS participants than controls [36.8 ± 7.1 versus 13.7 ± 7.7; F(1,54) = 166, P < .001, ηp² = 0.75] and for males than females [27.4 ± 14.1 versus 22.4 ± 13.2; F(1,54) = 10.8, P = .002, ηp² = 0.17]. There was no significant sex × diagnosis interaction. Furthermore, AS participants had lower NART scores than controls [30.3 ± 7.7 versus 35.4 ± 6.3; F(1,54) = 7.4, P = .009, ηp² = 0.12], without any main effect of sex or sex × diagnosis interaction.


Fig 1. Example of positioning of ROIs for FA measurement on a representative participant.
Discussion

Our study provides the first evidence of atypical sexual dimorphism in the brain structure of adults with AS. We found significant interactions between sex and diagnosis on measures of gross white matter volume, regional gray matter volume, and water diffusion anisotropy in white matter. These interactions indicate that the sex-related differences in neurotypical controls are attenuated or absent in AS participants. However, we did not find that individuals with AS have a more masculinized brain, as postulated in the core hypothesis of the EMB theory.

Our results concerning differences between control males and females in white matter volume and FA are concordant with existing literature.8–10,15–17 No sex difference was found for total gray matter volume, which previous studies have inconsistently reported to be larger in males.11,12 We found a

Statistical Measurements

As reported in Table 1 and shown in Fig 2A, total gray matter volume (computed summation over the entire brain on corresponding tissue maps) was larger for males than females, with no main effect of diagnosis or sex x diagnosis interaction. Total white matter volume was also higher in males than females. Again, there was no main effect of diagnosis; however, there was a significant sex x diagnosis interaction. Post hoc comparisons revealed that the difference between males and females, present in both groups, was weaker in AS participants than controls. There was a trend (ie, an effect that did not survive correction for multiple comparisons) toward larger volume in AS females than control females, but no such effect was observed for males.

With VBM, larger regional gray matter volume for males was found in the left inferior parietal lobe and rolandic operculum (k_c = 614 voxels, \( P_{corr} = 0.008 \)) and in the superior occipital lobe (k_c = 389, \( P_{corr} = 0.04 \)); the converse was found in the cerebellar vermis (k_c = 814, \( P_{corr} = 0.002 \)) and left cerebellar hemisphere (k_c = 562, \( P_{corr} = 0.011 \)). As shown in Fig 2B–C, a significant sex x diagnosis interaction emerged in the right inferior parietal lobe and rolandic operculum (peak t-score, 5.9; peak coordinates, \([49, -14, 19]\); \( k_c = 495 \) corresponding to a cluster extent of 4 mLi; \( P_{corr} = 0.02 \)). Post hoc comparisons for this cluster, reported in Table 1, indicated that regional gray matter volume was larger for males than females in controls but not in AS participants and that it was reduced in AS males with respect to control males. No significant effects were detected for white matter in any region.

Diffusional Measurements

For the thalamus, there was a main effect of diagnosis (\( F(1,54) = 6.5, P = .01, \eta^2 = 0.11 \)), with reduced MD in AS participants (0.72 ± 0.01 versus 0.75 ± 0.01 mm^2/s); there was no main effect of sex or sex x diagnosis interaction. No other effects were detected for MD in any region.

The statistics for FA measurements are given in the Online Table. For the body of the CC, there was no main effect of diagnosis or sex, but a significant sex x diagnosis interaction was found. Post hoc comparisons indicated that FA was higher for males than females in controls but not in AS participants; furthermore, there was a trend toward lower FA in AS males with respect to control males. No effects were observed for the genu and splenium of the CC.

For the right and left cingulum, there was no main effect of diagnosis; however, FA was higher in males than females and a significant sex x diagnosis interaction was found. As above, post hoc comparisons indicated that FA was larger for males in controls but not in AS participants, and there was a trend toward lower FA in AS males with respect to control males. The additional analyses conducted separately for the left and right anterior and posterior portions of the cingulum revealed a significant sex x diagnosis interaction in the anterior portion, and no effects in the posterior portion.

Similarly, for the left and right CR, there was no main effect of diagnosis; the FA was higher in males than in females and a significant sex x diagnosis interaction was found. Here, there was a side x sex x diagnosis interaction, but no lateralization effect was observed in the post hoc comparisons. As above, post hoc comparisons indicated that the FA was larger for males than females in controls but not in AS participants, and there was a trend toward lower FA in AS males with respect to control males.

For the right and left SLF, there was no main effect of diagnosis or sex. A borderline sex x diagnosis interaction was found, but none of the post hoc comparisons reached statistical significance.

No effects were found in the right and left CST and right and left MCP.

Table 1: Statistics for structural measurements*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statistics</th>
<th>Gross brain volume</th>
<th>Diffusion measurements</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Post hoc comparisons</td>
<td>Post hoc comparisons</td>
<td>Post hoc comparisons in structural measurements</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex: males 0.63 ± 0.09 vs females 0.59 ± 0.07</td>
<td>Sex: males 0.63 ± 0.09 vs females 0.59 ± 0.07; R(1,53) = 4.6, ( P = .04, \eta^2 = 0.08 )</td>
<td>Sex: males 0.63 ± 0.09 vs females 0.59 ± 0.07; R(1,53) = 4.6, ( P = .04, \eta^2 = 0.08 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnosis: controls 0.63 ± 0.09 vs AS 0.58 ± 0.07</td>
<td>Diagnosis: controls 0.63 ± 0.09 vs AS 0.58 ± 0.07; R(1,53) = 4.8, ( P = .03, \eta^2 = 0.08 )</td>
<td>Diagnosis: controls 0.63 ± 0.09 vs AS 0.58 ± 0.07; R(1,53) = 4.8, ( P = .03, \eta^2 = 0.08 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex x diagnosis: R(1,53) = 23.3, P &lt; .001, \eta^2 = 0.31</td>
<td>Sex x diagnosis: R(1,53) = 23.3, P &lt; .001, \eta^2 = 0.31</td>
<td>Sex x diagnosis: R(1,53) = 23.3, P &lt; .001, \eta^2 = 0.31</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control males 0.68 ± 0.06 vs control females 0.57 ± 0.07</td>
<td>Control males 0.68 ± 0.06 vs control females 0.57 ± 0.07; R(1,27) = 24.2, P &lt; .001</td>
<td>Control males 0.68 ± 0.06 vs control females 0.57 ± 0.07; R(1,27) = 24.2, P &lt; .001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AS males 0.56 ± 0.06 vs AS females 0.61 ± 0.07; n.s.</td>
<td>AS males 0.56 ± 0.06 vs AS females 0.61 ± 0.07; n.s.</td>
<td>AS males 0.56 ± 0.06 vs AS females 0.61 ± 0.07; n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control males 0.68 ± 0.06 vs AS males 0.56 ± 0.06; R(1,27) = 27.1, P &lt; .001</td>
<td>Control males 0.68 ± 0.06 vs AS males 0.56 ± 0.06; R(1,27) = 27.1, P &lt; .001</td>
<td>Control males 0.68 ± 0.06 vs AS males 0.56 ± 0.06; R(1,27) = 27.1, P &lt; .001</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control males 0.57 ± 0.07 vs AS females 0.61 ± 0.07; n.s.</td>
<td>Control males 0.57 ± 0.07 vs AS females 0.61 ± 0.07; n.s.</td>
<td>Control males 0.57 ± 0.07 vs AS females 0.61 ± 0.07; n.s.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note:—n.s. indicates not significant.

*All tests include the NART as a covariate. According to the Bonferroni correction, we set \( \alpha = .013 \) for post hoc comparisons. All values are given as means ± standard deviation. The values reported after “Sex” correspond to males and females, irrespective of diagnosis; the values reported after “Diagnosis” correspond to control and AS participants, irrespective of sex. Separate values for each group are given only in the presence of a significant interaction.

**Statistically significant post hoc comparison at the corrected threshold.
significant interaction in the anatomy of the right inferior parietal lobe, which had increased gray matter volume in males versus females for controls but not patients with AS. Sex-related differences in this region had been previously found, but with inconsistencies between studies using VBM and manual segmentation.14,16,44

Our findings regarding patients with AS substantiate the view that atypical expression of sex differences is linked to the etiology of AS. Because the key findings were interactions between sex and diagnosis, this indicates that investigating atypical sexual dimorphism in AS is essential to gain insight into the etiology of the condition. It is possible that to a certain extent, differential neurobiologic developmental mechanisms exist between the sexes in adults with AS.45

Two biologic theories propose specific mechanisms that might relate to our finding of atypical sexual dimorphism: first, the "fetal testosterone" theory, which postulates that ASCs are related to exposure to elevated levels of fetal testosterone. This hypothesis is supported by evidence that prenatal androgens are critically involved in sex differentiation of the human brain.6 Treating pregnant females with high levels of androgens are critically involved in sex differentiation of the human brain.6 Treating pregnant females with high levels of androgens increases male-typical play behavior in female offspring, while prohibiting testosterone exposure has the opposite effect.46 Furthermore, follow-up studies of typically developing children show that testosterone levels in amniotic fluid relate positively to the presence of autistic traits and restricted interests46 and negatively with the frequency of eye contact, quality of social relationships, and empathy scores.47

A second theory focuses on the protective effects of the X chromosome.48 According to this view, the expression of maternally inherited X-loci may result in social-communication deficits specifically in males but not in females (because females also inherit a paternal X chromosome). While the paternal X may be "silenced" through X-linked inactivation, 10%–15% of paternally inherited X-loci are still expressed and, according to this theory, may act as a protective mechanism against the detrimental maternal imprinted X-loci. The genetic basis of ASCs is very complex and multifactorial, and the relative contribution of fetal testosterone and X-linked genes remains to be established.

The finding of sex-specific effects is not without precedence in autism research. For example, it has been recently shown that males and females with ASCs are characterized by sex-specific biomarker patterns in the expression of blood proteins.45 It is at present difficult to relate our finding of attenuated sex-related differences to the fetal testosterone and X chromosome theories. Most important, both theories could, in principle, explain attenuated sex differences even in the absence of significant overall masculinization; however, neither could account for an inversion of the typical pattern in patients. Because the present study investigated the brain anatomy in adults with AS, who have all undergone a long period of developmental changes from childhood, these theories are going to be hard to test. Given the complexity of brain plasticity and behavioral development, it is unsurprising that behavioral and endocrine findings may not map to anatomic features with a straightforward relationship.

Our DTI results demonstrate AS-related changes in microstructural organization, consistent with reduced axonal density or myelination,49 in the CC, cingulum, and CR, as inconsistently reported in previous literature,32-37 selectively in males but not in females. Studies of patients with callosal agenesis have demonstrated that reduced interhemispheric connectivity, in addition to impacting intelligence quotient intellect and information integration, may lead to specific emotional and social deficits resembling those typical of ASCs.50 The central role of the cingulate cortex in emotion and behavioral regulation is well-established.51 Most interesting, our results suggest that AS affects primarily its anterior portion, which is intimately linked to the prefrontal cortex and insula and is functionally related to emotion processing and response selection, with sparing of the posterior portion, which is more closely linked to the precuneus and parietal gyri and is mainly implicated in personal and visuospatial orientation.52 Involvement of the CR, generally associated with motor and sensory function, could be explained in light of recent evidence suggesting that corticothalamic connectivity may play a much broader role than previously thought in the integration of activity among distant cortical areas.53,54 The superior longitudinal fasciculus comprises 4 functionally distinct subpopulations, related to visuospatial attention, motor coordination, and language articulation.55 Because it was not possible to isolate these 4 components by tractography, it is difficult to draw definite conclusions; nevertheless, the observed borderline interaction could reflect differences in the development of language or attentional functions.

On the other hand, preserved connectivity in the superior longitudinal fasciculus may be expected, given that the AS participants were high-functioning in terms of intellect and language. These findings are only partially in line with the under-
connectivity theory. While they confirm that long-range connectivity is altered, the observed differences were not in the form of main effects of the condition, as the theory would predict, but in the form of interactions with sex. Even though the post hoc comparisons between AS participants and controls for males and females did not reach the corrected statistical threshold, the FA appeared to be generally reduced in AS males with respect to control males, without a corresponding effect in females. If confirmed, this would signal that long-range connectivity is more severely affected in males.

The study has limitations. First, as with most neuroimaging investigations of ASCs, we used a cross-sectional design and are, therefore, unable to make direct inferences on brain development. Second, we restricted the study to participants with high-functioning ASCs, and our results may, therefore, not be immediately generalizable to more severe forms of autism. There was, nevertheless, a significant difference in NART scores between AS participants and controls, which was accounted for by entering this variable as a covariate in all tests. Third, our study, which was based on a larger cohort in comparison with previous literature, is still constrained by a relatively small sample size in each subgroup and is thus susceptible to type II error. Our inferences await substantiation by larger multicenter investigations focusing on predetermined sexual dimorphic regions. As important, these studies of the EMB theory at the neuroanatomic level require extension into the examination of toddlers and children with ASCs, who have undergone fewer developmental compensatory changes in the brain. Finally, the ROI set was drawn only once, and the inter-rater reproducibility was, therefore, not assessed; nevertheless, rater bias was intrinsically avoided by blinding, and all ROIs were cross-checked between the 2 operators.

Conclusions

Our results provide the first empiric test of the predictions of the EMB theory at the level of brain structure. No evidence of hypermasculinization was found, indicating the previously described behavioral and neuroendocrine effects do not map onto anatomic features in a straightforward way. However, we found multiple interactions between sex-specific brain differences and AS. This provides a novel perspective into the etiology of ASCs and may partly explain the inconsistency of existing literature. Further research is warranted to extend these observations to other ASCs subtypes and to explore in more detail the relationship between behavioral, endocrine, and anatomic findings.
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